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The Weil theorem [1] on local rigidity is one of the fundamental results of the theory of discrete subgroups of Lie groups. The theorem asserts that for any connected semisimple Lie group $G$ without compact components whose Lie algebra does not have any sl(2, R) factors, the orbit of any uniform lattice $\Gamma \subset G$ (with respect to the adjoint action ad $G$ ) is open in Hom ( $\Gamma$, G). The assertion follows from the fact that the cohomology group $H^{1}$ ( $\Gamma$, Ad) is trivial (for the definitions, see e.g. [2]). The above result of Weil can often be generalized ([3-6], etc.). Garland and Raghunathan [7] proved the "disappearance theorem," according to which for any lattice $\Gamma$ in a simple connected lie group $G$ of real rank 1 that is not locally isomorphic with $\mathrm{SL}_{2}$, the cohomology group $\mathrm{H}^{1}(\Gamma, \mathrm{Ad})$ is equal to zero. Thurston [8] proved that the corresponding "disappearance theorem" is no longer valid for nonuniform lattices in the case $G=S_{2}(\mathbb{C})$. Namely, if $\Gamma$ is a lattice in $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathrm{C})$ that has no finite-order elements and $n$ is the number of conjugacy classes of the maximal parabolic subgroups of $\Gamma$, then the complex dimension of $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\Gamma, S L_{2}(C)\right) / a d L_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ at any point corresponding to an irreducible representation $\rho$ is not less than $n$. Alternative proofs of this fact were presented in $[9$, 10]. However, each of the proofs rests upon some algebraic (or geometric) properties of the group $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathrm{C})$, the representations in which were considered in these articles. In particular, Thurston's proof was based on the fact that for any $a, b \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathrm{C})$ and for any word $w(a, b)=1$, the word $w\left(a^{-1}, b^{-1}\right)$ is also equal to 1.

The goal of the present article is to explain the fact that the absence of rigidity in the above case is caused by the topology of $M=\Pi^{3} / \Gamma$, where $H^{3}$ is a hyperbolic space, rather than by any algebraic or geometric properties of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathrm{C})$. The fact that $M$ is a three-dimensional manifold turns out to be essential (besides, Thurston's proof was also purely topological).

Let $M$ be a three-dimensional compact nonspherical manifold, $G$ be a Lie group with Lie (G), $\partial M=T_{1} \cup \ldots \cup T_{n}$ be a system of tori, and let $\rho$ be a representation of $T_{1}(M)$ in $G$.

THEOREM 1. If the above conditions are satisfied, then the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{dim} H^{1}\left(\pi_{1} M, \operatorname{Ad} \circ \rho\right) \geqslant \operatorname{dim} H^{0}\left(\pi_{1} M, \operatorname{Ad} \circ \rho\right)-\operatorname{dim} H_{0}\left(\pi_{\mathrm{i}} M, \operatorname{Ad} \circ \rho\right)+ \\
+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{dim} H_{0}\left(\pi_{1} T_{i},\left.\operatorname{Ad} \circ \rho\right|_{\pi_{1}\left(T_{i}\right)}\right) \tag{1}
\end{gather*}
$$

COROLLARY. If $G$ is a semisimple group, then the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} H^{1}\left(\pi_{i} M, \operatorname{Ad} \circ \rho\right) \geqslant d=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{dim} Z_{G}\left(\rho\left(\pi_{1} T_{i}\right)\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. $Z_{G}(A)$ denotes the centralizer of a subgroup $A$ of $G$. If $G$ is an infinite algebraic group and the groups $\rho\left(\pi_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ are infinite for $a l l i=1, \ldots, n$, then $d \geqslant n$. In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} H^{1}\left(\pi_{1} M, \mathrm{Ad} \circ \rho\right) \geqslant n>0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark. If $G=\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathrm{C})$, then (3) implies the above-mentioned result of Thurston.
The proof of the theorem is contained in Sec. 2. In Sec. 3 some consequences of the theorem are presented and questions connected with the problem of local rigidity for the natural embedding of the lattice $\mathrm{T} \subset S O(3,1)$ in $S O(4,1)$ are discussed.

The results of the present article were announced by the author in [11].
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## 1. HEEGAARD INTERLACINGS FOR MANIFOLDS WITH TOROIDAL BOUNDARIES

1.1. This section contains an auxiliary construction of a section of $M$, which is necessary to prove the theorem.

Definition. Let $M$ be a three-dimensional manifold whose boundary consists of tori. A pair ( $\mathrm{V}_{1}, \mathrm{~V}_{2}$ ) of two homeomorphic bodies (generally speaking, nonorientable) with handles such that
(a) $V_{1} \cup V_{2}=M, V_{1} \cap V_{2}=\Sigma \equiv \operatorname{cl}\left(\partial V_{1} \backslash\left(\partial V_{1} \cap \partial M\right)\right)$,
(b) the intersection of the surface $\Sigma$ with any of the components $T_{i}$ of the boundary is the union of two disjoint circles which yield a nontrivial element of $H_{1}\left(T_{i}\right)$ (Fig. 1), is called a Heegaard interlacing.

Remark. If $\partial M=\varnothing$, then $\left(V_{1}, V_{2}\right)$ is an ordinary Heegaard interlacing (for example, see [12]).
1.2. Proposition 1. For every three-dimensional compact manifold M with toroidal boundary there exists a Heegaard interlacing.

Proof. Let $M^{*}$ be a closed manifold obtained by attaching a solid torus $\mathscr{T}_{i}$ to each of the boundary tori $T_{i}$. We shall regard $\mathscr{T}_{i}$ as regular neighborhoods of simple loops $\gamma_{i} \subset M^{*}$ which are piecewise linear with respect to a sufficiently fine triangulation. The first barycentric subdivision of a triangulation $K$ will be called $K^{\prime}$ and $N(S, K)$ will denote a regular neighborhood of a complex $S \subset K$. We shall consider a triangulation $K$ on $M^{*}$ such that $\gamma=\gamma_{1} \cup \ldots U \gamma_{n}$ is a part of its 1 -skeleton $\Gamma_{1}$, and we denote by $\Gamma_{2}$ the dual skeleton to $\Gamma_{1}$ (i.e., the maximal 1-subcomplex $K^{\prime}$ that does not intersect $\Gamma_{1}$ ).

Then [12, Theorem 2.5] $V_{i}^{*}=N\left(\Gamma_{i}, K^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is a body with handles $(i=1,2)$ and $\left(V_{i}^{*}, V_{2}^{*}\right)$ is a Heegaard interlacing for $\mathrm{M}^{*}$. Moreover, one can assume without loss of generality that $\mathrm{V}_{1}^{*}$ and $V_{2}^{*}$ are simultaneously orientable (or nonorientable), and so $V_{i}^{*}$ is homeomorphic with $V_{2}^{*}$.

Let $\Delta_{i}$ be any simplex from $K^{\prime}$ that intersects $\gamma_{i}$ along the edge $e_{i}$ (one can assume that $\Delta_{i} \cap \Delta_{j}=\varnothing$ if i $\neq j$ ). Let $v_{i} \in \Gamma_{2}$ be a vertex of $\Delta_{i}$ that does not lie on $\gamma_{i}$. Let us now replace $Y_{i}$ by the piecewise linear loop $\gamma_{i}^{\prime}=\left(\gamma_{i} \backslash e_{i}\right) \cup\left(c_{i} \cup d_{i}\right)$, where $c_{i}$ and $d_{i}$ are the edges of $\Delta_{i}$ that connect $v_{i}$ with the end-points of $e_{i}$ (Fig. 2). $\gamma^{\prime}=\gamma_{i}^{\prime} U \ldots U \gamma_{n}^{\prime}$ is a union of disjoint simple loops.

We denote the manifold $N\left(\gamma^{\prime}, K^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$ by $V$. It is easily seen that $V_{1}^{*}$ int $V=V_{1}$ is homeomorphic with a body with handles, and so is $V_{2}=V_{2}^{*}$ int $V$. Besides, these manifolds are simultaneously (orientable or nonorientable) and have the same genus (as bodies with handles). Moreover, each of the components of $\partial V$ intersects $\partial V_{I}$ along two circles which divide $\partial V$ into two rings. Now, it remains to note that since $\gamma^{\prime}$ and $\gamma$ are isotopic in $M^{*}$, it follows that $M^{*}$ int $V$ is isomorphic with $M$. Therefore, $\left(V_{1}, V_{2}\right)$ is a Heegaard interlacing for M. The proposition is proved.

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

2.1. We denote by $\left(V_{1}, V_{2}\right)$ an arbitrary Heegaard interlacing for a manifold M (which satisfies the assumptions of the theorem) and we consider the actions of $\Gamma_{i}=\operatorname{Ad} \circ \rho\left(\pi_{1}\left(V_{i}\right)\right)$ on (G) and $\Gamma_{i}^{*}=* \circ A d \circ \rho\left(\pi_{1}\left(V_{i}\right)\right)$ on $\mathscr{G}^{*}$, where $\mathscr{G}^{*}$ is the dual space to $\mathscr{B}^{\circ}$. If $X$ is a vector space and $H \subset G L(X)$, then we denote by fix $(H)$ the set of points $x \in X$ such that $h(x)=x$ for all $h \in H$. We recall that fix $\left(\Gamma_{i}\right) \simeq H^{0}\left(\pi_{1} V_{i}, \operatorname{Ad} \circ \rho\right)$, fix $\left(\Gamma_{i}^{*}\right) \simeq H_{0}\left(\pi_{1} V_{i}, \operatorname{Ad} \circ \rho\right) ; \quad \operatorname{fix}(\Gamma) \simeq H^{0}\left(\pi_{1} M, \operatorname{Ad} \circ \rho\right)$, and $\operatorname{fix}\left(\Gamma^{*}\right) \simeq H_{0}\left(\pi_{1} M\right.$, Ad $\left.\circ \rho\right)$, where $\Gamma=\left\langle\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}\right\rangle$ and $\Gamma^{*}=\left\langle\Gamma_{1}^{*}, \Gamma_{2}^{*}\right\rangle$ are the images of $\pi_{1}$ (M). Our goal is to find a Heegaard interlacing such that $\operatorname{fix}(\Gamma)=\operatorname{fix}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)$ and fix $\left(\Gamma^{*}\right)=$ fix $\left(\Gamma_{i}^{*}\right)$ for $i=1,2$.

Let $\left(V_{1}, V_{2}\right)$ be an arbitrary Heegaard interlacing for $M$. We denote by $n_{i}$ the codimension of fix $(\Gamma)$ in $f i x\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)$, and we denote by $n_{\dot{i}}^{*}$ the codimension of fix $\left(\Gamma^{*}\right)$ in fix ( $\Gamma_{i}^{*}$ ). We assume that $n=n_{1}+n_{2}>0$ and, consequently, one of these numbers (for example $n_{1}$ ) is greater than zero. We assume that the desired modification of the Heegaard interlacing exists for all $\mathrm{m}<\mathrm{n}$. We denote by $\mathrm{v}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{g}}$ the standard system of generators for the free group $\pi_{1}\left(V_{2}, x\right)$, where $x \in \Sigma$. Then, since $n_{1}>0$, there is an element of the system (for example $v_{1}$ ) such that fix $\left(\operatorname{Ad} \circ \rho\left\langle v_{1}\right\rangle\right)$ does not contain fix $\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)$. As a representative of the class $v_{1} \in \pi_{1}\left(V_{2}, x\right)$ we choose a loop w that is unknotted in $V_{2}$ (i.e., int $V_{2} \backslash w$ is homeomorphic with an open body with handles). Such a choice is possible due to the fact that $v_{2}$ is a standard generator of $\pi_{1}\left(V_{2}, x\right)$. Let $N(w)$ be a regular neighborhood of $w$ in $V_{2}, V_{1}^{\prime}=V_{2} \cup N(w)$ and $V_{2}^{\prime}=V_{2}$ int $N(w)$. It is easily seen that ( $V_{2}^{\prime}, V_{2}^{\prime}$ ) defines a new Heegaard interlacing for $M, \operatorname{codim}\left(\operatorname{fix}(\Gamma), \quad \operatorname{fix}\left(\operatorname{Ad} \circ \rho\left(\pi_{1} V_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)<n_{1}$, and the numbers $n_{2}$ and $n_{i}^{2}$ for the new Heegaard interlacing do not exceed the corresponding codimensions for the original interlacing ( $V_{1}, V_{2}$ ).

It follows that one can use an inductive argument. With the aid of analogous considerations one can ensure that $n_{1}^{*}+n_{2}^{*}$ is equal to zero. We denote the resulting Heegaard interlacing (such that $n_{1}+n_{2}=n_{1}^{*}+n_{2}^{*}=0$ ) anew by $\left(V_{1}, V_{2}\right)$. The genus of $V_{i}$ is equal to $g$. Now, we can immediately set about proving Theorem 1 .
2.2. In the discussion below we shall find it expedient to pass from the cohomology of the group $\pi_{1} M$ to the cohomology of $M$ itself (with coefficients in some bundle). Let $L_{0}=$ $M X_{A d \rho}(\mathbb{S})$ be a fiber bundle over $M$ constructed from the representation $A d \circ \rho: \dot{x}_{1} M \rightarrow G L(G)$, where (G) is equipped with the discrete topology, and let $\mathscr{L}_{0}$ be the bundle of continuous sections of $L_{\rho}$.

Then (since $M$ is nonspherical) there is a natural isomorphism between the groups $H^{p}\left(\pi_{1} M\right.$, Ad $\circ \rho$ ) and $H^{\dot{p}}\left(M, \mathscr{\mathscr { L }}_{\rho}\right)$ (for example, see [2, Chap. 7]). In what follows we shall suppress the given bundles in the notation for the cohomology groups (assuming that either $\mathscr{L}_{0}$ or the restriction of $\mathscr{L}_{0}$ to the appropriate submanifold of $M$ is the bundle in question).

It follows from the discussion in Sec. 2.1 that $H^{0}(M) \simeq H^{0}\left(V_{i}\right) \simeq H^{0}\left(o V_{i}\right)$ [the latter equality follows from the fact that the homomorphism $\pi_{1}\left(\partial V_{i}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(V_{i}\right)$ is an epimorphism] and $H_{0}(M) \simeq$ $I_{0}\left(V_{i}\right) \simeq H_{0}\left(d V_{i}\right)$. The dimensions of these linear spaces will be denoted by $h^{\prime}$ and $h$, respec ${ }^{-}$ tively.

Let $N(Q)$ be a regular neighborhood of the complex $Q=\partial V_{1} \cup \partial M, N(Q)=N\left(0 V_{1}\right) \cup N(\partial M)$, and let $N(C)=N\left(\partial V_{1}\right) \cap N(\partial M)=N\left(\partial V_{1} \cap\left(T_{1} \cup \ldots J T_{n}\right)\right)$ be a regular neighborhood of the system of cylinders $C_{j}=\partial V_{1} \cap T_{j}$ in $M$. Since the Euler characteristic $\chi\left(\partial V_{1}\right)$ is equal to $2-2 \mathrm{~g}, \chi(\partial M)=0$, $x(N(C))=0$, it follows from Poincarés duality that $H^{0}(N(C)) \simeq H^{\prime}\left(N^{\prime}(C)\right), H^{\prime}(\partial M) \simeq H^{0}(\partial M) \oplus$ $H_{0}(\partial M)$, and $\operatorname{dim} H^{1}\left(\partial V_{1}\right)=(2 g-2) \operatorname{dim}\left(G+\operatorname{dim} I^{0}\left(\partial V_{1}\right)+\operatorname{dim} H_{0}\left(\partial V_{1}\right)=(2 g-2) \operatorname{dim}\left(\mathfrak{G}+h^{\prime}+h\right.\right.$.
2.3. Let us write down the Mayer-Vietoris sequence [13] for the covering of $N(Q)$ by the pair $\left(N\left(\partial V_{1}\right), N(\partial M)\right.$ of closed sets:

$$
0 \rightarrow H^{0}(N(Q)) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\partial V_{1}\right) \oplus H^{0}(\partial M) \rightarrow H^{0}(N(C)) \rightarrow H^{1}(N(Q)) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\partial V_{1}\right) \oplus H^{1}(\partial M) \rightarrow H^{1}(N(C)) \rightarrow \ldots
$$

Since the sequence is exact, we have the inequality

$$
\operatorname{dim} H^{1}(Q) \geqslant \operatorname{dim} H^{0}(Q)+(2 g-2) \operatorname{dim}(G)+h+\operatorname{dim} H_{0}(\partial M)
$$

2.4. Since $\pi_{1}\left(V_{i}\right)$ is a free group of rank $g$, it follows that $\operatorname{dim} H^{1}\left(V_{1}^{\prime}\right)=h^{\prime}+(g-1) \quad x$ $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{B}^{(G)}$, where $V_{i}^{\prime} \subset V_{i}$ is a component of the manifold $M \backslash i n t(Q)$, which is a deformation retract for $V_{i}$. We shall now consider the covering of $M$ by the pair $\left(V_{1}^{\prime} \cup V_{2}^{\prime}, N(Q)\right.$ ) of closed sets. The surfaces $S_{1}=N(Q) \cap V_{1}^{\prime}$ and $S_{2}=N(Q) \cap V_{2}^{\prime}$ are homotopic with $\partial V_{1}$ and $\partial V_{2}$ in $M$, and so $H^{1}\left(S_{i}\right) \simeq H^{\prime}\left(\partial V_{i}\right)$ the space being of dimension $(2 g-2) \operatorname{dim}\left(B+h^{\prime}+h, i=1,2\right.$. Analogously, dim $x$ $H^{1}\left(V_{i}^{\prime}\right)=(g-1) \operatorname{dim}+h^{\prime}, i=1,2$.
2.5. Taking the above observations into account, let us write down the Mayer-Vietoris sequence for the covering ( $\left.V_{1}^{\prime} \cup V_{2}^{\prime}, N(Q)\right)$ of M :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \rightarrow H^{0}(M) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(V_{1}^{\prime}\right) \oplus H^{0}\left(V_{2}^{\prime}\right) \oplus H^{0}(N(Q)) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(S_{1} \cup S_{2}\right) \rightarrow \\
& \rightarrow H^{1}(M) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(V_{1}^{\prime}\right) \oplus H^{1}\left(V_{2}^{1}\right) \oplus H^{1}(N(Q)) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(S_{1} \cup S_{2}\right) \rightarrow \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

From (4) and the fact that the sequence is exact there follows the estimate

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{dim} H^{1}\left(\pi_{1} M, A d \circ \rho\right)=\operatorname{dim} H^{1}(M) \geqslant h^{\prime}+2 h^{\prime}-2 h^{\prime}-\operatorname{dim} H^{0}(N(Q))+ \\
+\left(2 h^{\prime}+2(g-1) \operatorname{dim}(6)+\operatorname{dim} H_{0}(\partial M)+\operatorname{dim} H^{0}(Q)+h\right)-
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
-2(g-4) \operatorname{dim} \mathbb{G}-2 h^{\prime}-2 h=h^{\prime}-h+\operatorname{dim} H_{0}(\partial M)=
$$

The theorem is proved.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\operatorname{dim} H^{0}\left(\pi_{1} M, \operatorname{Ad} \circ \rho\right)+\operatorname{dim} H_{0}\left(\pi_{1} M, \operatorname{Ad} \circ \rho\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{dim} H_{0}\left(\pi_{1} T_{i},\left.\operatorname{Ad} \circ \rho\right|_{\pi_{1}\left(T_{i}\right)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

2.6. It is obvious that the proof of Theorem 1 is valid in the case of a $\pi_{1}(M)$-module of a more general form than $\mathcal{G}_{\text {Adop }}$. Namely, there holds the following result.

THEOREM 2. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, let E be an arbitrary finite-dimensional $\pi_{1}(M)$-module (over a field of characteristic 0$)$. Then $\operatorname{dim} H^{1}\left(\pi_{1} M, E\right) \geqslant \operatorname{dim} H^{0}\left(\pi_{1} M, E\right)-$ $\operatorname{dim} H_{0}\left(\pi_{1} M, E\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{dim} H_{0}\left(\pi_{1}\left(T_{i}\right), E\right)$.

## 3. SOME CONSEQUENCES OF THEOREM 1 AND REMARKS

3.1. Proof of the Corollary (for the Formulation, see the Introduction). We assume that $G$ is a semisimple Lie group. Then the Killing metric on $G_{6}$ defines a nondegenerate Ad-invariant bilinear coupling on (G), and so $H_{0}(\Gamma, A d \circ \rho) \simeq H^{0}(\Gamma, * \circ A d \circ \rho) \simeq H^{\circ}(\Gamma, A d \circ \rho)$ for any group I. Therefore $\operatorname{dim} H^{0}\left(\pi_{1} M, \operatorname{Ad} \circ \rho\right)=\operatorname{dim} H_{0}\left(\pi_{1} M, \operatorname{Ad} \circ \rho\right)$. It is easily seen that $\operatorname{dim} H^{0}\left(\pi_{1} T_{i}, A d\right.$ 。 $\left.\left.\rho\right|_{\pi_{1}\left(T_{i}\right)}\right)=\operatorname{dim} Z_{G}\left(\rho\left(\pi_{1} T_{i}\right)\right)$, from which there follows inequality (2).

We shall now demonstrate that for any connected semisimple Lie group $G \neq 1$, the dimension of $Z_{G}(A)$ is greater than zero, A being an arbitrary infinite Abelian subgroup of $G$ [in particular, $\left.\rho\left(\pi_{1}\left(T_{i}\right)\right)\right] . G$ is an algebraic group. The algebraic envelope $3(A)$ of $A$ is also an Abelian group and consists of a finite number of connected components. Therefore [since $3(A)$ ) is infinite] the dimension of $B(A)$ is greater than zero, and so $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{G}}(\mathrm{A})>0$. The corollary is proved.
3.2. Let $G=\mathrm{SE}_{2}(\mathrm{C})$, let $M$ be a three-dimensional compact manifold such that. $\mathrm{H}^{3} / \Gamma=\operatorname{int} M$, where $\Gamma$ is a torsion-free nonuniform lattice in $G$, and let $n$ be the number of components of the boundary of $M$; $\Gamma \simeq \pi_{1} M$.

Proposition 2. If $\rho=\mathrm{id}: \Gamma \rightarrow G$, then $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{C}} H^{1}(\Gamma, \mathrm{Ad})=n=(1 / 2) \operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{C}} H^{1}\left(\partial M, \mathscr{L}_{\rho}\right)=(1 / 2) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{dim} \times$ $H^{1}\left(\pi_{i} T_{i}, A d\right)$.

Proof. We denote by $Z_{p a r}^{1}\left(\pi_{1} M\right.$, Ad) the space of cocycles $c$ such that $\left.c\right|_{\langle\gamma\rangle}$ is the coboundary in $Z^{1}(\langle\gamma\rangle$, Ad $)$ for any $\gamma \in \pi_{1}\left(T_{i}\right)$, where $i=1, \ldots, n, Z_{\text {par }}^{1}(\Gamma, \operatorname{Ad}) / B^{1}(\Gamma$, Ad) is the space of parabolic cohomologies of $H_{\mathrm{par}}^{1}\left(\pi_{1} M, \mathrm{Ad}\right)$ (see, for example, [14]). It is easily seen that $\operatorname{am}_{\mathrm{C}} H_{\mathrm{par}}^{1}\left(\pi_{1} T_{i}, \mathrm{Ad}\right)=1=(1 / 2) \operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{C}} H^{1}\left(\pi_{1} T_{i}, \mathrm{Ad}\right)$ in the case under consideration. We set $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{par}} \times$ $\left(\partial_{1}, \mathscr{L}_{p}\right)={ }_{i} \oplus H_{p a r}^{1}\left(\tau_{1} T_{i}, \mathrm{Ad}\right), \quad i_{*}: H^{1}\left(M, \mathscr{L}_{0}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\partial M, \mathscr{L}_{\rho}\right)$ is the natural "restriction" homomorphism. By virtue of the results of [7], $H_{\text {par }}^{1}\left(M, \mathscr{L}_{\rho}\right)=0$, and so $i_{\%}\left(H^{1}\left(M, \mathscr{L}_{\rho}\right)\right)$ intersects $H_{\text {par }}^{1}\left(\partial M, \mathscr{L}_{\rho}\right)$ at the point 0 only and $i_{*}$ is a monomorphism. Hence it follows immediately that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{C}} H^{1}(M$, $\left.\mathscr{L}_{\mathrm{p}}\right) \leqslant(1 / 2) \operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{C}} H^{1}\left(\partial M, \mathscr{L}_{\rho}\right)$. On the other hand, by virtue of $(3), \operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{G}} H^{1}\left(\pi_{1} M, \mathrm{Ad}\right) \geqslant n$, and so $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{C}} H^{1}\left(\pi_{1} M, A \mathrm{~d}\right)=n . \quad$ Proposition 2 is proved.

We remark that $\operatorname{dim}_{C} H^{1}(\Gamma, A d)=n$ is the dimension of the tangent space (in the sense of Zariski) to $R(\Gamma, G)=\operatorname{Hom}(\Gamma, G) / a d(G)$ at the point $[\rho]$ (see [15, Sec. 2]). Therefore, from inequality (3), Proposition 2, and the fact that simple points are dense in the complexalgebraic manifold $R(\Gamma, G)$, it follows that $[\rho=i d]$ is a simple point in $R(\Gamma, G)$ and there is a smooth manifold of complex dimension $n$ in a neighborhood of this point. However, this fact can also be proved directly [without referring to the complex-algebraic nature of $R(\Gamma$, G)].
3.3. Let $G$ be a Lie group, $M$ be a three-dimensional manifold that satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 1 , and let $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow G$ be a homomorphism, where $\Gamma=\pi_{1}(M)$. We now assume that
(a) there holds the equality in (1),
(b) $H_{0}(\Gamma, A d \circ \rho)=0$,
(c) for all $\mathrm{i}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{n}, \quad\left(\left.\rho\right|_{\pi_{1}\left(T_{i}\right)}\right)$ is a nonsingular point of the algebraic set $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\pi_{1}\left(T_{i}\right), G\right)$.
THEOREM 3. Under the above assumptions (a)-(c), $R(\Gamma, G)$ is a smooth manifold of dimension $\operatorname{dim}^{1}(\Gamma, A d \circ \rho)$ in a neighborhood of $[\rho]$.

Proof. Since there holds the equality in (1), there also holds the equality in (4), and so the homomorphisms $\alpha: H^{1}\left(\partial V_{1}\right) \oplus H^{1}(\partial M) \rightarrow H^{\perp}(N(C))$ and $\beta: H^{1}\left(V_{1}^{\prime} \cup V_{2}^{\prime}\right) \oplus H^{1}(N(Q)) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(S_{1}\right) \oplus H^{1}\left(S_{2}\right)$
from the corresponding Mayer-Vietoris sequences (see Secs.2.3 and 2.5) are endomorphisms. We remark that assumption (b) implies that $H^{2}\left(S_{1}\right)=H^{2}\left(S_{2}\right)=0$ and the point $\left[\left.\rho\right|_{\pi_{1}\left(S_{i}\right)}\right]$ is nonsingular in $R\left(\pi_{1}\left(S_{i}\right)\right.$, G) [16].

Remark. In what follows we find it convenient to pass from considering the representation spaces $R\left(\pi_{1} Y, G\right)$ to the corrsponding spaces of flat connections (because we shall deal with disconnected manifolds). If $Y$ is a manifold and $p: \pi_{1}(Y) \rightarrow G$ is a representation of its fundamental group, then we shall denote by $E=E(Y)$ the fiber bundle over $Y$ with fiber © (equipped with the standard vector space topology) constructed from the representation Ad o $\rho$ : $\pi_{1}(Y) \rightarrow G L(\mathbb{B})$.

We consider the space of flat connections on $E(Y)$ and its quotient space $R(E(Y))$ with respect to the group of gauge transformations. Then $R(E(Y))$ is diffeomorphic with the connected component of $R\left(\pi_{1} Y, G\right)$ that contains [ $\rho$ ] (see [17]). We denote the corrsponding diffeomorphism by hol: $R\left(\pi_{1} Y, G\right) \rightarrow R(E)$. There is a natural isomorphism between the "tangent space" $H^{1}\left(\pi_{1} Y, A d \circ \rho\right.$ ) to $R\left(\pi_{1} Y, G\right)$ (at the point $[\rho]$ ) and the "tangent space" $\mathscr{F}_{A} P(E)$ to $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{E})$ (at $\mathrm{A}=$ hol $[\rho]) . \mathscr{T}_{A} R(E)$ is nothing but the quotient space $\operatorname{Ker}\left(d_{A}: \mathcal{A}(\dot{Y}, E) \rightarrow \Lambda^{2}(X, E)\right) /$ $d_{A}\left(\lambda^{0}(Y, E)\right)$.

Let us go back to the proof of Theorem 3. We consider the natural "restriction" mappings $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right): R\left(E\left(\partial V_{1}\right)\right) \times R(E(\partial M)) \rightarrow R(E(C))^{2}$ and $\left(r_{s}, r_{4}\right): R\left(E\left(V_{1}^{\prime} \cup V_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right) \times R(E(N(Q))) \rightarrow R\left(E\left(S_{1} \cup S_{2}\right)\right)^{2}$ [for $Y \subset M$, we denote by $E(Y)$ the restriction of the corresponding fiber bundle $E(M, \rho)$ constructed from the homomorphisms $\left.\rho: \pi_{I}(M) \rightarrow G\right]$.

Let us now remark that the space $R(E(N)(Q))$ of connections is diffeomorphic with the inverse image of the diagonal of the Cartesian product $R(E(N(C)))^{2}$ in $R\left(E\left(\partial V_{1}\right)\right) \times R(E(\partial M))$ and, analogously, $R\left(E\left(V_{1}^{\prime} \cup V_{2}^{\prime} \cup N(Q)=M\right)\right.$ ) is diffeomorphic with the inverse iamge of the diagonal of $R\left(E\left(S_{1} \cup S_{2}\right)\right)^{2}$. The analytic sets $R\left(E(\partial M)\right.$ ) and $R\left(E\left(\partial V_{1}\right)\right)$ are smooth (in neighborhoods of the points hol [ $\rho]$ ) by virtue of (b) and (c). From the fact that $\alpha$ is an epimorphism and the above remark it follows that the mapping $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)$ is transversal with respect to the diagonal of $R(E(\mathbb{N}(Q))$ ). Hence it follows immediately that hol $[\rho]$ is a nonsingular point of the analytic set $R(E(N(Q))$ ). By analogy (owing to the fact that $\beta$ is an epimorphism), the smooth mapping $\left(r_{3}, r_{4}\right)$ is transversal with respect to the diagonal $R\left(E\left(S_{1} \cup S_{2}\right)\right)^{2}$, and so [ $p$ ] is a nonsingular point in $R\left(\pi_{2} M, G\right)$ and the dimension of $R(\Gamma, G)$ is equal to dim $H^{1}[\Gamma, A d \circ \rho)$ in a neighborhood of [ $\rho$ ]. The theorem is proved.
3.4. We go back to the case where $n$. $M$ is the hyperbolic manifold $\mathbb{H}^{3} / \Gamma, \Gamma \subset P S L_{2}(C)=G$, and $\rho: \pi_{1} M \rightarrow \Gamma$ is the natural isomorphism. Then $\rho\left(\pi_{1} T_{i}\right)$ is a group generated by two parabolic elements and $R\left(\pi_{1} T_{i}, G\right)$ is a smooth manifold of complex dimension $2=2$ dim $H^{\circ}\left(\pi_{i} T_{i}\right.$, An op). in a neighborhood of $\left[\rho \mid \pi_{1}\left(T_{i}\right)\right]$. Therefore (by virtue of Theorem 3 ), $R(\Gamma, G)$ is a smooth manifold of complex dimension $n$ in a neighborhood of [ $\rho$ ].
3.5. We consider the natural embeddings $i_{1}: \Gamma \subset \operatorname{MSL}_{2}(\mathrm{O})=S O_{+}(3,1)$ and $i_{2}: \Gamma \in S O(4,1)$. Then, by virtue of the corollary, $\operatorname{dim} H^{1}\left(\mathrm{~T}, \mathrm{Ad} \circ i_{2}\right) \geqslant 3 n>\operatorname{dim} H^{i}\left(\mathrm{\Gamma}, \mathrm{Ad} \circ i_{1}\right)=2 n$. Therefore, there exist infinitesimal deformations of $\Gamma$ in $S(4,1)$ that move the group out of $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}(\mathrm{C})=\mathrm{SO}_{+}(3,1)$.

Let $N$ be a closed hyperbolic manifold, and let $\rho: \pi_{1} N \rightarrow \Gamma \varrho \operatorname{PSL}_{2}(C)$ be the natural representation of its fundamental group.

Conjecture. The embedding $\Gamma \subset S O(4,1)$ is not locally rigid if and only if there exists an incompressiblesurface $W$ in $N$ that is not a virtual fiber of the fibration over $S^{1}$ (i.e., no connected component $p^{-1}(W)$ of any finite-sheeted covering $p: M \rightarrow N$ is a fiber for the fibration of $M$ over a circle).
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TRANSITION PHENOMENA FOR THE TOTAL NUMBER OF OFFSPRINGS IN A
GALTON - WATSON BRANCHING PROCESS
A. V. Karpenko
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## INTRODUCTION

We consider a Galton-Watson branching process, starting with one particle at generation zero. $B y Z_{n}, n=0,1, \ldots$, we denote the number of particles in the $n-t h$ generation. In our case $\mathrm{Z}_{0}=1$. We set $p_{k}=\mathrm{P}\left(Z_{1}=k\right) ; \quad f_{n}(x)=E\left(x^{Z_{n}}\right), \quad|x| \leqslant 1, f(x)=f_{1}(x)$. Let $\lambda$ be the smallest root of the equation $s=f(s), 0 \leqslant s \leqslant 1$. We shall make use of the following notations: $\mathrm{A}=$ $f^{\prime}(1), B=f^{\prime \prime}(1), L=f^{\prime \prime \prime}(1), A_{0}=f^{\prime}(\lambda), B_{0}=f^{\prime \prime}(\lambda)$. If $A \leqslant 1$, then $\lambda=1$ and, therefore, $A_{0}=A$, $\mathrm{B}_{0}=\mathrm{B}$. If $\mathrm{A}>1$, then $\lambda<1$ and $\mathrm{A}_{0}<1$.

In this paper we prove limit theorems for the distribution $S_{n}=\sum_{0}^{n} Z_{i}$. As in [1], we investigate the conditional distribution $\mathrm{P}\left(S_{n}<x \mid Z_{n}>0\right)$, but, unlike the cases $\mathrm{A}=$ const, we consider the case when simultaneously $n \rightarrow \infty, A \rightarrow 1$. Limit theorems of this type are proved for $\mathrm{P}\left(Z_{n} \mid Z_{n}>0\right)$ in $[2,3]$, while for $\mathrm{P}\left(S_{n} \mid Z_{n}=0, Z_{n-1}>0\right)$ in $[4]$. We mention that the limit law for $\mathrm{P}\left(S_{n} / m_{n}<x \mid Z_{n}>0\right)$ depends on the rate and the direction of the convergence of A to 1 with the increase of $n$. As normalizing constant we take $m_{n}=\mathrm{E}\left(S_{n} \mid Z_{n}>0\right)$. In connection with this, the asymptotic behavior of $\mathrm{E}\left(S_{n} \mid Z_{n}>0\right)$ is investigated.

We shall assume that the convergence for $n \rightarrow \infty$, $A \rightarrow 1$ is carried out with respect to the class K of distributions, satisfying the following conditions:
A) $\sum_{2}^{\infty} l(l-1) p_{l}(F)>\beta_{0}>0$ for some $\beta_{0}$ and for any $F \in K$;
B) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{F \in K} \sum_{n}^{\infty} l^{2} p_{l}(F)=0$;
C) $\mathrm{p}_{0}(F)>\alpha_{0}>0$ for all $F \in K$.

Here $p_{\ell}(F)$ is the atom of the distribution $F$ at the point $\ell$. We note that by virtue of $B$ ) there exists $\beta_{1}$ such that for each $F \in K$ we have
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