# LECTURE 7: K-SCHUR FUNCTIONS IN THE NILCOXETER ALGEBRA

#### ALEXANDER LANG

We recall the following definitions.

**Definition 0.1.** A k-Schur function is defined as  $s_{\lambda}^{(k)} = \sum_{\mu:\mu_1 \leq k} \gamma_{\lambda\mu} h_{\mu}$ .

**Definition 0.2.** A noncommutative k-Schur function is  $\sharp_{\lambda}^{(k)} = \sum_{\mu:\mu_1 \leq k} \gamma_{\lambda\mu} \not{h}_{\mu}$ , where

$$h_r = \sum_{J \subset I, |J| = r} A_J^{dec} \text{ and } h_\mu = h_{\mu_1} \cdots h_{\mu_m}.$$

**Definition 0.3.** The affine Stanley symmetric functions are  $F_w = \sum_{\alpha \models n} \langle A_w, \not h_{\alpha_1} \not h_{\alpha_2} \cdots \rangle x^{\alpha}$ 

for  $w \in \tilde{S}_n$  and  $\langle A_w, A_v \rangle = \delta_{w,v}$ .

If  $w \in \tilde{S}_n/S_n$  (*w* an affine Grassmannian element), then  $F_{\lambda} = \sigma_{\lambda}^{(k)}$  the dual k-Schur functions.

## 1. CAUCHY IDENTITY

A denotes the ring of symmetric functions.  $h_r = \sum_{\lambda \vdash r} m_\lambda = \sum_{i_1 \le i_2 \le \cdots \le i_r} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_r}$ .  $h_0 = m_{\emptyset} = 1, \ h_\lambda = h_{\lambda_1} h_{\lambda_2} \cdots$ .

**Proposition 1.1.** Let  $\lambda \vdash r$  and  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots)$  a weak composition of r (zeros are allowed). Then the coefficient  $N_{\lambda\alpha}$  of  $x^{\alpha}$  in  $h_{\lambda}$  ( $h_{\lambda} = \sum_{\mu \vdash r} N_{\lambda\mu}m_{\mu}$ ) is the number of  $\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$  matrices  $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \geq 1}$  such that  $row(A) = \lambda$  and  $col(A) = \alpha$ .

*Proof.* The term  $x^{\alpha}$  in  $h_{\lambda}$  is obtained by choosing  $x_1^{a_{i_1}} x_2^{a_{i_2}} \cdots$  from each  $h_{\lambda_i}$  such that  $\prod_i x_1^{a_{i_1}} x_2^{a_{i_2}} \cdots = x^{\alpha}$ . This is the same as choosing a matrix  $(a_{ij})$  with  $\operatorname{row}(A) = \lambda$  and  $\operatorname{col}(A) = \alpha$ .

**Proposition 1.2.** 
$$\prod_{i,j\geq 1} \frac{1}{1-x_i y_j} = \sum_{\lambda,\mu\in P} N_{\lambda\mu} m_\lambda(x) m_\mu(y) = \sum_{\lambda\in P} m_\lambda(x) h_\lambda(y).$$

*Proof.* The monomial  $x^{\alpha}y^{\beta}$  appearing in  $\prod_{i,j\geq 1} \frac{1}{1-x_iy_j}$  corresponds to a non-negative integer matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$  such that  $\prod_{i,j\geq 1} (x_iy_j)^{a_{ij}} = x^{\alpha}y^{\beta}$ , hence it is  $N_{\lambda\mu}$ .  $\Box$ 

Date: October 22, 2012.

**Definition 1.3.** A pair of bases  $\{u_{\lambda}\}, \{v_{\lambda}\}$  of  $\Lambda$  are dual if  $\langle u_{\lambda}, v_{\lambda} \rangle = \delta_{\lambda \mu}$ .

**Proposition 1.4.** If  $\{u_{\lambda} | \lambda \vdash r\}$  and  $\{v_{\lambda} | \lambda \vdash r\}$  are bases of  $\Lambda^r$  (graded piece of degree r), then  $\{u_{\lambda} | \lambda \vdash r\}$  and  $\{v_{\lambda} | \lambda \vdash r\}$  are dual bases iff

(1.1) 
$$\sum_{\lambda \in P} u_{\lambda}(x)v_{\lambda}(y) = \prod_{i,j \ge 1} \frac{1}{1 - x_i y_j} = \sum_{\lambda \in P} m_{\lambda}(x)h_{\lambda}(y).$$

*Proof.* Write  $m_{\lambda} = \sum_{\rho} \zeta_{\lambda_{\rho}} u_{\rho}, h_{\mu} = \sum_{\nu} \eta_{\mu_{\nu}} v_{\nu}$ . Then

$$\delta_{\lambda\mu} = \langle m_{\lambda}, h_{\mu} \rangle = \sum_{\rho,\nu} \zeta_{\lambda\rho} \eta_{\mu\nu} \langle u_{\rho}, v_{\nu} \rangle = 1.1.$$

For fixed  $r \zeta, \eta$  are matrices indexed by  $P_r$  (partitions of size r). Let  $A_{\rho\nu} = \langle u_{\rho}, v_{\nu} \rangle$ . Hence  $1.1 \leftrightarrow I = \zeta A \eta^t$ . Therefore  $\{u_{\lambda} | \lambda \vdash r\}$  and  $\{v_{\lambda} | \lambda \vdash r\}$  are dual iff A = Iand by 1.1 this is iff  $I = \zeta \eta^t \leftrightarrow I = \zeta^t \eta \leftrightarrow \delta_{\rho\nu} = \sum_{\lambda} m_{\lambda}(x) h_{\lambda}(y)$ . Therefore

(1.2) 
$$\sum_{\lambda} \left( \sum_{\rho} \zeta_{\lambda\rho} \mu_{\rho}(x) \right) \left( \sum_{\nu} \eta_{\lambda\nu} v_{\nu}(y) \right) = \sum_{\rho\nu} \left( \sum_{\lambda} \zeta_{\lambda\rho} \eta_{\lambda\nu} \right) u_{\rho}(x) v_{\nu}(y)$$
which implies  $\{u_{\lambda} \mid \lambda \vdash x\}$  and  $\{v_{\lambda} \mid \lambda \vdash x\}$  are dual iff  $\prod \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{\nu} u_{\lambda}(x) v_{\nu}(y)}$ 

which implies  $\{u_{\lambda} | \lambda \vdash r\}$  and  $\{v_{\lambda} | \lambda \vdash r\}$  are dual iff  $\prod_{i,j \ge 1} \frac{1}{1 - x_i y_j} = \sum_{\lambda} u_{\lambda}(x) v_{\lambda}(y)$ .

Corollary 1.5. Cauchy identity.

$$\prod_{i,j\geq 1} \frac{1}{1-x_i y_j} = \sum_{\lambda} s_{\lambda}(x) s_{\lambda}(y).$$

**Remark 1.6.** This is related to RSK, which gives us a bijection between nonnegative integer matrices of finite support with  $row(A) = \alpha$  and  $col(B) = \beta$  and  $\bigcup_{\lambda} SSYT(\lambda, \alpha) \times SSYT(\lambda, \beta)$ 

**Remark 1.7.**  $\Lambda$  is a self dual Hopf algebra,  $\langle \Delta f, g \otimes h \rangle = \langle f, gh \rangle$ .

### 2. K-Schur Functions in the Nilcoxeter Algebra

Recall that x commutes with nilcoxeter generators. Let  $\alpha$  be a weak composition.

**Proposition 2.1.** 
$$\sum_{\alpha:\alpha_i \leq k} \#_{\alpha} x^{\alpha} = \sum_{\lambda:\lambda_1 \leq k} \#_{\lambda}^{(k)} F_{\lambda}$$

*Proof.*  $s_{\lambda}^{(k)}$  and  $F_{\lambda}$  are dual bases, so we have  $\sum_{\lambda:\lambda_1 \leq k} s_{\lambda}^{(k)}(y)F_{\lambda}(x) = \sum_{\alpha} h_{\alpha}(y)x^{\alpha}$  inside  $\Lambda_{(k)} \times \Lambda^{(k)}$ . Then just lift to the noncommutative setting.

$$F_w = \sum_{\alpha} \langle A_w, \not{\!\!\!\!/}_{\alpha} \rangle x^{\alpha} \text{ and by the previous proposition this equals } \sum_{\lambda} \langle A_w, \not{\!\!\!\!\!/}_{\lambda}^{(k)} \rangle F_{\lambda}(x)$$
  
Let  $a_{w\lambda} = \langle A_w, \not{\!\!\!\!/}_{\lambda}^{(k)} \rangle.$ 

**Corollary 2.2.** The coefficient of  $A_w$  in  $\sharp_{\lambda}^{(k)}$  is equal to the coefficient of  $F_{\lambda}$  in  $F_w$ .

The following theorem was proved by Lam using geometry.

Theorem 2.3.  $a_{w\lambda} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .

**Definition 2.4.**  $\{s_{\lambda}^{(k)}\}$  form a basis of  $\Lambda_{(k)}$ , define  $s_{\lambda}^{(k)}s_{\mu}^{(k)} = \sum_{\nu:\nu_1 \leq k} c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu,k}s_{\nu}^{(k)}$ . The  $c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu,k}$  are called *k*-Littlewood-Richardson coefficients.

## 3. Skew Affine Stanley Symmetric Functions

$$F_{w/v} = \sum_{\alpha} \langle A_w, \not\!\!\! h_{\alpha} A_v \rangle x^{\alpha} = F_{wv^{-1}}, \text{ where } w = uv \text{ and } \ell(w) = \ell(u) + \ell(v)$$

**Proposition 3.1.**  $\Delta F_w = F_w(x,y) = \sum_{uv=w:\ell(w)=\ell(u)+\ell(v)} F_u(x)F_v(y)$ 

Proof. Recall that  $F_w(x) = \sum_{\mu:\mu_1 \leq k} k_{w\mu}^{(k)} m_{\mu}$ . Then  $\Delta m_{\mu} = \sum_{\alpha \cup \beta = \mu} m_{\alpha} \otimes m_{\beta}$  implies  $\Delta F_w = \sum_{\mu:\mu_1 \leq k} k_{w\mu}^{(k)} \sum_{\alpha \cup \beta = \mu} m_{\alpha} \otimes m_{\beta} = \sum_{v,\alpha,\beta} k_{w/v,\alpha}^{(k)} k_{v,\beta}^{(k)} m_{\alpha} \otimes m_{\beta} = \sum_{v} F_{w/v} \otimes F_v = \sum_{uv=w} f_u \otimes F_v.$