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## Early history - Representation theory

Theorem (Frobenius, 1900)
The map from class functions on $S_{n}$ to symmetric functions given by

$$
f \mapsto \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{w \in S_{n}} f(w) p_{\lambda(w)}
$$

sends
( trace function on $\lambda$-irrep of $S_{n}$ ) $\mapsto s_{\lambda}$
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## Early history - Representation theory

Theorem (Frobenius, 1900)
The map from class functions on $S_{n}$ to symmetric functions given by

$$
f \mapsto \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{w \in S_{n}} f(w) p_{\lambda(w)}
$$

## sends

( trace function on $\lambda$-irrep of $S_{n}$ ) $\mapsto s_{\lambda}$

Corollary

$$
s_{\lambda}=\sum_{\mu} \frac{1}{z_{\mu}} \chi_{\lambda}(\mu) p_{\mu} \quad p_{\mu}=\sum_{\lambda} \chi_{\lambda}(\mu) s_{\lambda}
$$

## Early History - Combinatorics

Theorem (Littlewood-Richardson, 1934)

$$
p_{r} s_{\mu}=\sum_{\lambda}(-1)^{\mathrm{ht}(\lambda / \mu)} s_{\lambda}
$$

where the summation is over all $\lambda$ such that
Dudley Littlewood
$\lambda / \mu$ is a border strip of size $r$.
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## Early History - Combinatorics

Theorem (Littlewood-Richardson, 1934)

$$
p_{r} s_{\mu}=\sum_{\lambda}(-1)^{\mathrm{ht}(\lambda / \mu)} s_{\lambda}
$$

where the summation is over all $\lambda$ such that
Dudley Littlewood $\lambda / \mu$ is a border strip of size $r$.

Corollary
Iteration gives

$$
\chi_{\lambda}(\mu)=\sum_{T}(-1)^{\mathrm{ht}(T)}
$$

where the sum is over all border strip tableaux of shape $\lambda$ and type $\mu$.

## Early History - Further work

- Francis Murnaghan (1937) On representations of the symmetric group



## Early History - Further work

- Francis Murnaghan (1937) On representations of the symmetric group

- Tadasi Nakayama (1941) On some modular properties of irreducible representations of a symmetric group


## Border Strips

A border strip of size $r$ is a connected skew partition consisting of $r$ boxes and containing no $2 \times 2$ squares.

## Example

$(4,3,3) /(2,2)$ is a border strip of size 6 :
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A border strip of size $r$ is a connected skew partition consisting of $r$ boxes and containing no $2 \times 2$ squares.

## Example

$(4,3,3) /(2,2)$ is a border strip of size 6 :


Definition
ht $(\lambda / \mu)=\#$ vertical dominos in $\lambda / \mu$

## Border Strips

A border strip of size $r$ is a connected skew partition consisting of $r$ boxes and containing no $2 \times 2$ squares.

## Example

$(4,3,3) /(2,2)$ is a border strip of size 6 :


Definition
ht $(\lambda / \mu)=\#$ vertical dominos in $\lambda / \mu$


## The Murnaghan-Nakayama rule

Theorem

$$
p_{r} s_{\mu}=\sum_{\lambda}(-1)^{h t(\lambda / \mu)} s_{\lambda}
$$

sum over all $\lambda$ such that $\lambda / \mu$ a border strip of size $r$.

## The Murnaghan-Nakayama rule

Theorem

$$
p_{r} s_{\mu}=\sum_{\lambda}(-1)^{h t(\lambda / \mu)} s_{\lambda}
$$

sum over all $\lambda$ such that $\lambda / \mu$ a border strip of size $r$.
Example

$$
p_{3} s_{2,1}=
$$

## The Murnaghan-Nakayama rule

Theorem

$$
p_{r} s_{\mu}=\sum_{\lambda}(-1)^{h t(\lambda / \mu)} s_{\lambda}
$$

sum over all $\lambda$ such that $\lambda / \mu$ a border strip of size $r$.
Example

$$
p_{3} s_{2,1}=s_{2,1,1,1,1}
$$



## The Murnaghan-Nakayama rule

Theorem

$$
p_{r} s_{\mu}=\sum_{\lambda}(-1)^{h t(\lambda / \mu)} s_{\lambda}
$$

sum over all $\lambda$ such that $\lambda / \mu$ a border strip of size $r$.
Example

$$
p_{3} s_{2,1}=s_{2,1,1,1,1}-s_{2,2,2}
$$



## The Murnaghan-Nakayama rule

Theorem

$$
p_{r} s_{\mu}=\sum_{\lambda}(-1)^{h t(\lambda / \mu)} s_{\lambda}
$$

sum over all $\lambda$ such that $\lambda / \mu$ a border strip of size $r$.
Example

$$
p_{3} s_{2,1}=s_{2,1,1,1,1}-s_{2,2,2}-s_{3,3}
$$



## The Murnaghan-Nakayama rule

Theorem

$$
p_{r} s_{\mu}=\sum_{\lambda}(-1)^{h t(\lambda / \mu)} s_{\lambda}
$$

sum over all $\lambda$ such that $\lambda / \mu$ a border strip of size $r$.
Example

$$
p_{3} s_{2,1}=s_{2,1,1,1,1}-s_{2,2,2}-s_{3,3}+s_{5,1}
$$



## Border strip tableaux

## Definition

A border strip tableau of shape $\lambda$ is a filling of $\lambda$ satisfying:

- Restriction to any single entry is a border strip
- Restriction to first $k$ entries is partition shape for every $k$

Type of a border strip tableau: (\# of boxes labelled $i)_{i}$ Height of a border strip tableau: sum of heights of border strips

## Border strip tableaux

## Definition

A border strip tableau of shape $\lambda$ is a filling of $\lambda$ satisfying:

- Restriction to any single entry is a border strip
- Restriction to first $k$ entries is partition shape for every $k$

Type of a border strip tableau: (\# of boxes labelled $i$ ) ${ }_{i}$ Height of a border strip tableau: sum of heights of border strips

## Example

## Border strip tableaux

## Definition

A border strip tableau of shape $\lambda$ is a filling of $\lambda$ satisfying:

- Restriction to any single entry is a border strip
- Restriction to first $k$ entries is partition shape for every $k$

Type of a border strip tableau: (\# of boxes labelled $i)_{i}$ Height of a border strip tableau: sum of heights of border strips

## Example

$$
\left.T=\begin{array}{|l|l|l|}
\hline 1 & 3 & 3 \\
\hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline & 1 & 3
\end{array}\right\} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{type}(T)=(4,1,5) \\
& \hline
\end{aligned} \quad \operatorname{ht}(T)=2+0+2=4
$$

## Border strip tableaux

## Definition

A border strip tableau of shape $\lambda$ is a filling of $\lambda$ satisfying:

- Restriction to any single entry is a border strip
- Restriction to first $k$ entries is partition shape for every $k$

Type of a border strip tableau: (\# of boxes labelled $i)_{i}$ Height of a border strip tableau: sum of heights of border strips

## Example

$$
\left.T=\begin{array}{|l|l|l|}
\hline 1 & 3 & 3 \\
\hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline & 1 & 3
\end{array}\right\} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{type}(T)=(4,1,5) \\
& \hline
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## Border strip tableaux

## Definition

A border strip tableau of shape $\lambda$ is a filling of $\lambda$ satisfying:

- Restriction to any single entry is a border strip
- Restriction to first $k$ entries is partition shape for every $k$

Type of a border strip tableau: (\# of boxes labelled $i)_{i}$ Height of a border strip tableau: sum of heights of border strips

## Example

$$
T=\begin{array}{|l|l|l}
\hline 1 & 3 & 3 \\
\hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline & 1 & 3 \\
\hline & 3 & 3
\end{array} \quad \operatorname{type}(T)=(4,1,5)
$$

## The affine Murnaghan-Nakayama rule

Theorem (Bandlow-S-Zabrocki, 2010)
For $r \leq k$,

$$
p_{r} s_{\mu}^{(k)}=\sum_{\lambda}(-1)^{h t(\lambda / \mu)} s_{\lambda}^{(k)}
$$

where the summation is over all $\lambda$ such that $\lambda / \mu$ is a $k$-border strip of size $r$.

The affine Murnaghan-Nakayama rule

Theorem (Bandlow-S-Zabrocki, 2010)
For $r \leq k$,

$$
p_{r} s_{\mu}^{(k)}=\sum_{\lambda}(-1)^{\operatorname{ht}(\lambda / \mu)} s_{\lambda}^{(k)}
$$

where the summation is over all $\lambda$ such that $\lambda / \mu$ is a $k$-border strip of size $r$.

## Jason Bandlow
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## $k$-Schur functions

$k$-Schur functions were first introduced in 2000 by Luc Lapointe, Alain Lascoux and Jennifer Morse.

## $k$-Schur functions

k-Schur functions were first introduced in 2000 by Luc Lapointe, Alain Lascoux and Jennifer Morse.


$$
s_{\lambda}^{(k)}(x ; t)=\sum_{T \in A_{\lambda}^{(k)}} t^{c h(T)} s_{s h(T)}
$$

## $k$-Schur functions

Here we use the definition due to Lapointe and Morse in 2004:


$$
h_{r} s_{\lambda}^{(k)}(x)=\sum_{\mu} s_{\mu}^{(k)}(x) \quad \text { Pieri rule }
$$

where the sum is over those $\mu$ such that $\mathfrak{c}(\mu) / \mathfrak{c}(\lambda)$ is a horizontal strip.

## Partitions and cores

$k$-bounded partitions: First part $\leq k$
$k+1$-cores: No hook length $=k+1$

## Partitions and cores

$k$-bounded partitions: First part $\leq k$
$k+1$-cores: No hook length $=k+1$
Bijection: Slide rows with big hooks

## Partitions and cores

$k$-bounded partitions: First part $\leq k$
$k+1$-cores: No hook length $=k+1$
Bijection: Slide rows with big hooks
Example
$k=3$

| 2 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 2 |
|  |  |
| 5 | 4 |
|  | 1 |
| 6 | 5 |$\quad \rightarrow$|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Partitions and cores

$k$-bounded partitions: First part $\leq k$
$k+1$-cores: No hook length $=k+1$
Bijection: Slide rows with big hooks
Example
$k=3$

| 2 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 2 |
| 5 |  |
| 5 | 4 |


| 2 | 1 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2 |  |
|  | 5 | 2 |
|  | 1 |  |
|  | 6 | 3 |

## Partitions and cores

$k$-bounded partitions: First part $\leq k$
$k+1$-cores: No hook length $=k+1$
Bijection: Slide rows with big hooks
Example
$k=3$

| 2 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| 3 | 2 |
|  |  |
| 5 | 4 |
|  | 1 |
| 6 | 5 |


| 2 | 1 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3 | 2 |  |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |
|  |  | 4 | 3 |  |

## Partitions and cores

$k$-bounded partitions: First part $\leq k$
$k+1$-cores: No hook length $=k+1$
Bijection: Slide rows with big hooks
Example
$k=3$

| 2 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| 3 | 2 |
|  |  |
| 5 | 4 |
|  | 1 |
| 6 | 5 |


| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |
|  |  |  | 4 | 3 | 1 |

## Partitions and cores

$k$-bounded partitions: First part $\leq k$
$k+1$-cores: No hook length $=k+1$
Bijection: Slide rows with big hooks
Example
$k=3$

| 2 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 2 |
|  |  |
| 5 | 4 |
| 1 |  |
| 6 | 5 |



## Partitions and cores

$k$-bounded partitions: First part $\leq k$
$k+1$-cores: No hook length $=k+1$
Bijection: Slide rows with big hooks
Example
$k=3$

| 2 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 2 |
| 5 |  |
| 5 | 4 |
| 1 |  |
| 6 | 5 |


| 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Partitions and cores

$k$-bounded partitions: First part $\leq k$
$k+1$-cores: No hook length $=k+1$
Bijection: Slide rows with big hooks
Example
$k=3$

| 2 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 2 |
| 3 |  |
| 5 | 4 |
| 1 |  |
| 6 | 5 |


| 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | 110 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 |

## k-conjugate

The $k$-conjugate of a $k$-bounded partition $\lambda$ is found by

$$
\lambda \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}(\lambda) \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}(\lambda)^{\prime} \rightarrow \lambda^{(k)}
$$
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Example $k=3$
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Example $k=3$


## k-conjugate

The $k$-conjugate of a $k$-bounded partition $\lambda$ is found by

$$
\lambda \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}(\lambda) \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}(\lambda)^{\prime} \rightarrow \lambda^{(k)}
$$

Example $k=3$



| 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## k-conjugate

The $k$-conjugate of a $k$-bounded partition $\lambda$ is found by

$$
\lambda \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}(\lambda) \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}(\lambda)^{\prime} \rightarrow \lambda^{(k)}
$$

Example $k=3$


## content

When $k=\infty$, the content of a cell in a diagram is
(column index) - (row index)

Example

\[

\]

## content

When $k=\infty$, the content of a cell in a diagram is
(column index) - (row index)

Example

\[

\]

For $k<\infty$ we use the residue $\bmod k+1$ of the associated core Example

| 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |

## $k$-connected

A skew $k+1$ core is $k$-connected if the residues form a proper subinterval of the numbers $\{0, \ldots, k\}$, considered on a circle.

## k-connected

A skew $k+1$ core is $k$-connected if the residues form a proper subinterval of the numbers $\{0, \ldots, k\}$, considered on a circle.

## Example

A 3-connected skew core:

| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 3 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 |

## $k$-connected

A skew $k+1$ core is $k$-connected if the residues form a proper subinterval of the numbers $\{0, \ldots, k\}$, considered on a circle.

## Example

A 3-connected skew core:


## $k$-connected

A skew $k+1$ core is $k$-connected if the residues form a proper subinterval of the numbers $\{0, \ldots, k\}$, considered on a circle.

## Example

A 3-connected skew core:


A skew core which is not 3-connected:

| 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 3 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 |

## $k$-connected

A skew $k+1$ core is $k$-connected if the residues form a proper subinterval of the numbers $\{0, \ldots, k\}$, considered on a circle.

## Example

A 3-connected skew core:


A skew core which is not 3-connected:


## $k$-border strips

The skew of two $k$-bounded partitions $\lambda / \mu$ is a $k$-border strip of size $r$ if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (size) $|\lambda / \mu|=r$
- (containment) $\mu \subset \lambda$ and $\mu^{(k)} \subset \lambda^{(k)}$
- (connectedness) $\mathfrak{c}(\lambda) / \mathfrak{c}(\mu)$ is $k$-connected
- (first ribbon condition) $\operatorname{ht}(\lambda / \mu)+\operatorname{ht}\left(\lambda^{(k)} / \mu^{(k)}\right)=r-1$
- (second ribbon condition) $\mathfrak{c}(\lambda) / \mathfrak{c}(\mu)$ contains no $2 \times 2$ squares


## $k$-border strips

The skew of two $k$-bounded partitions $\lambda / \mu$ is a $k$-border strip of size $r$ if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (size) $|\lambda / \mu|=r$
- (containment) $\mu \subset \lambda$ and $\mu^{(k)} \subset \lambda^{(k)}$
- (connectedness) $\mathfrak{c}(\lambda) / \mathfrak{c}(\mu)$ is $k$-connected
- (first ribbon condition) $\operatorname{ht}(\lambda / \mu)+h t\left(\lambda^{(k)} / \mu^{(k)}\right)=r-1$
- (second ribbon condition) $\mathfrak{c}(\lambda) / \mathfrak{c}(\mu)$ contains no $2 \times 2$ squares

Example
$k=3, r=2$

$\lambda^{(3)} / \mu^{(3)}$
$\mu^{(3)}$
$=$


## $k$-ribbons at $\infty$

At $k=\infty$ the conditions

- (size) $|\lambda / \mu|=r$
- (containment) $\mu \subset \lambda$ and $\mu^{(k)} \subset \lambda^{(k)}$
- (connectedness) $\mathfrak{c}(\lambda) / \mathfrak{c}(\mu)$ is $k$-connected
- (first ribbon condition) $\operatorname{ht}(\lambda / \mu)+h t\left(\lambda^{(k)} / \mu^{(k)}\right)=r-1$
- (second ribbon condition) $\mathfrak{c}(\lambda) / \mathfrak{c}(\mu)$ contains no $2 \times 2$ squares


## $k$-ribbons at $\infty$

At $k=\infty$ the conditions become

- (size) $|\lambda / \mu|=r$
- (containment) $\mu \subset \lambda$
- (connectedness) $\lambda / \mu$ is connected
- (first ribbon condition) $h t(\lambda / \mu)+\operatorname{ht}\left(\lambda^{\prime} / \mu^{\prime}\right)=r-1$
- (second ribbon condition) $\lambda / \mu$ contains no $2 \times 2$ squares


## $k$-ribbons at $\infty$

At $k=\infty$ the conditions become

- (size) $|\lambda / \mu|=r$
- (containment) $\mu \subset \lambda$
- (connectedness) $\lambda / \mu$ is connected
- (first ribbon condition) $h t(\lambda / \mu)+h t\left(\lambda^{\prime} / \mu^{\prime}\right)=r-1$
- (second ribbon condition) $\lambda / \mu$ contains no $2 \times 2$ squares

Proposition
At $k=\infty$ the first four conditions imply the fifth.

## The ribbon statistic at $k=\infty$

Let $\lambda / \mu$ be connected of size $r$, and
ht $(\lambda / \mu)+$ ht $\left(\lambda^{\prime} / \mu^{\prime}\right)=$ \#vert. dominos + \#horiz. dominos $=r-1$
Then $\lambda / \mu$ is a ribbon

## The ribbon statistic at $k=\infty$

Let $\lambda / \mu$ be connected of size $r$, and
ht $(\lambda / \mu)+$ ht $\left(\lambda^{\prime} / \mu^{\prime}\right)=$ \#vert. dominos + \#horiz. dominos $=r-1$
Then $\lambda / \mu$ is a ribbon
Example


$$
3+3=6
$$

## The ribbon statistic at $k=\infty$

Let $\lambda / \mu$ be connected of size $r$, and
ht $(\lambda / \mu)+\operatorname{ht}\left(\lambda^{\prime} / \mu^{\prime}\right)=$ \#vert. dominos + \#horiz. dominos $=r-1$
Then $\lambda / \mu$ is a ribbon
Example


$$
(3+1)+(3+1)=8 \neq 7
$$

## Recap for general $k$

Theorem (Bandlow-S-Zabrocki, 2010)
For $r \leq k$,

$$
p_{r} s_{\mu}^{(k)}=\sum_{\lambda}(-1)^{\mathrm{ht}(\lambda / \mu)} s_{\lambda}^{(k)}
$$

where the summation is over all $\lambda$ such that $\lambda / \mu$ satifies

- (size) $|\lambda / \mu|=r$
- (containment) $\mu \subset \lambda$ and $\mu^{(k)} \subset \lambda^{(k)}$
- (connectedness) $\mathfrak{c}(\lambda) / \mathfrak{c}(\mu)$ is $k$-connected
- (first ribbon condition) $\operatorname{ht}(\lambda / \mu)+h t\left(\lambda^{(k)} / \mu^{(k)}\right)=r-1$
- (second ribbon condition) $\mathfrak{c}(\lambda) / \mathfrak{c}(\mu)$ is a ribbon


## Recap for general $k$

Theorem (Bandlow-S-Zabrocki, 2010)
For $r \leq k$,

$$
p_{r} s_{\mu}^{(k)}=\sum_{\lambda}(-1)^{h \mathrm{tt}(\lambda / \mu)} s_{\lambda}^{(k)}
$$

where the summation is over all $\lambda$ such that $\lambda / \mu$ satifies

- (size) $|\lambda / \mu|=r$
- (containment) $\mu \subset \lambda$ and $\mu^{(k)} \subset \lambda^{(k)}$
- (connectedness) $\mathfrak{c}(\lambda) / \mathfrak{c}(\mu)$ is $k$-connected
- (first ribbon condition) $\operatorname{ht}(\lambda / \mu)+h t\left(\lambda^{(k)} / \mu^{(k)}\right)=r-1$
- (second ribbon condition) $\mathfrak{c}(\lambda) / \mathfrak{c}(\mu)$ is a ribbon


## Conjecture

The first four conditions imply the fifth.

## Recap for general $k$

Theorem (Bandlow-S-Zabrocki, 2010)
For $r \leq k$,

$$
p_{r} s_{\mu}^{(k)}=\sum_{\lambda}(-1)^{h \mathrm{tt}(\lambda / \mu)} s_{\lambda}^{(k)}
$$

where the summation is over all $\lambda$ such that $\lambda / \mu$ satifies

- (size) $|\lambda / \mu|=r$
- (containment) $\mu \subset \lambda$ and $\mu^{(k)} \subset \lambda^{(k)}$
- (connectedness) $\mathfrak{c}(\lambda) / \mathfrak{c}(\mu)$ is $k$-connected
- (first ribbon condition) $\operatorname{ht}(\lambda / \mu)+h t\left(\lambda^{(k)} / \mu^{(k)}\right)=r-1$
- (second ribbon condition) $\mathfrak{c}(\lambda) / \mathfrak{c}(\mu)$ is a ribbon


## Conjecture

The first four conditions imply the fifth.
This has been verified for all $k, r \leq 11$, all $\mu$ of size $\leq 12$ and all $\lambda$ of size $|\mu|+r$.

## The non-commutative setting

## Sergey Fomin

Theorem (Fomin-Greene, 1998)
Any algebra with a linearly ordered set of generators $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}$ satisfying certain relations contains a homomorphic image of $\Lambda$.

## Example

The type $A$ nilCoxeter algebra. Generators $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n-1}$. Relations

- $s_{i}^{2}=0$
- $s_{i} s_{i+1} s_{i}=s_{i+1} s_{i} s_{i+1}$
- $s_{i} s_{j}=s_{j} s_{i}$ for $|i-j|>2$.


Curtis Greene

## The affine nilCoxeter algebra

The affine nilCoxeter algebra $A_{k}$ is the $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra generated by $u_{0}, \ldots, u_{k}$ with relations

- $u_{i}^{2}=0$ for all $i \in[0, k]$
- $u_{i} u_{i+1} u_{i}=u_{i+1} u_{i} u_{i+1}$ for all $i \in[0, k]$
- $u_{i} u_{j}=u_{j} u_{i}$ for all $i, j$ with $|i-j|>1$

All indices are taken modulo $k+1$ in this definition.

A word in the affine nilCoxeter algebra is called cyclically decreasing if

- its length is $\leq k$
- each generator appears at most once
- if $u_{i}$ and $u_{i-1}$ appear, then $u_{i}$ occurs first (as usual, the indices should be taken $\bmod k$ ).
As elements of the nilCoxeter algebra, cyclically decreasing words are completely determined by their support.
Example
$k=6$

$$
\left(u_{0} u_{6}\right)\left(u_{4} u_{3} u_{2}\right)=\left(u_{4} u_{3} u_{2}\right)\left(u_{0} u_{6}\right)=u_{4} u_{0} u_{3} u_{6} u_{2}=\cdots
$$

## Noncommutative h functions

For a subset $S \subset[0, k]$, we write $u_{S}$ for the unique cyclically decreasing nilCoxeter element with support $S$.
For $r \leq k$ we define

$$
\mathbf{h}_{r}=\sum_{|S|=r} u_{S}
$$

## Noncommutative h functions

For a subset $S \subset[0, k]$, we write $u_{S}$ for the unique cyclically decreasing nilCoxeter element with support $S$.
For $r \leq k$ we define

$$
\mathbf{h}_{r}=\sum_{|S|=r} u_{S}
$$

Theorem (Lam, 2005)
The elements $\left\{\mathbf{h}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{h}_{k}\right\}$ commute and are algebraically independent.


## Noncommutative h functions

For a subset $S \subset[0, k]$, we write $u_{S}$ for the unique cyclically decreasing nilCoxeter element with support $S$.
For $r \leq k$ we define

$$
\mathbf{h}_{r}=\sum_{|S|=r} u_{S}
$$

Theorem (Lam, 2005)
The elements $\left\{\mathbf{h}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{h}_{k}\right\}$ commute and are algebraically independent.

This immediately implies that the algebra $\mathbb{Q}\left[\mathbf{h}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{h}_{k}\right] \cong \mathbb{Q}\left[h_{1}, \ldots, h_{k}\right]$ where the latter functions are the usual homogeneous symmetric functions.

## Noncommutative symmetric functions

We can now define non-commutative analogs of symmetric functions by their relationship with the $\mathbf{h}$ basis.
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$\mathbf{s}_{\lambda}^{(k)}$ by the $k$-Pieri rule

## $k$-Pieri rule

The $k$-Pieri rule is

$$
\mathbf{h}_{r} \mathbf{s}_{\lambda}^{(k)}=\sum_{\mu} \mathbf{s}_{\mu}^{(k)}
$$

where the sum is over all $k$-bounded partitions $\mu$ such that $\mu / \lambda$ is a horizontal strip of length $r$ and $\mu^{(k)} / \lambda^{(k)}$ is a vertical strip of length $r$. This can be re-written as

$$
\mathbf{h}_{r} \mathbf{s}_{\lambda}^{(k)}=\sum_{|S|=r} \mathbf{s}_{u_{S} \cdot \lambda}^{(k)}
$$

## The action on cores

There is an action of $A_{k}$ on $k+1$-cores given by

$$
u_{i} \cdot c= \begin{cases}0 & \text { no addable } i \text {-residue } \\ c \cup \text { all addable } i \text {-residues } & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Example
$k=4$
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|  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |
| $u_{2} u_{0}$. | 0 | 1 | , | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
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## Multiplication rule

A corollary of the $k$-Pieri rule is that if $\mathbf{f}$ is any non-commutative symmetric function of the form

$$
\mathbf{f}=\sum_{u} c_{u} u
$$

then

$$
\mathbf{f s}_{\lambda}^{(k)}=\sum_{u} c_{u} \mathbf{s}_{u \cdot \lambda}^{(k)}
$$

## Hook words

Fomin and Greene define a hook word in the context of an algebra with a totally ordered set of generators to be a word of the form

$$
u_{a_{1}} \cdots u_{a_{r}} u_{b_{1}} \cdots u_{b_{s}}
$$

where

$$
a_{1}>a_{2}>\cdots>a_{r}>b_{1} \leq b_{2} \leq \cdots \leq b_{s}
$$

To extend this notion to $A_{k}$ which has a cyclically ordered set of generators, we only consider words whose support is a proper subset of $[0, \cdots, k]$.

## Hook words

There is a canonical order on any proper subset of $[0, k]$ given by thinking of the smallest (in integer order) element which does not appear as the smallest element of the circle.
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## Example

For $\{0,1,3,4,6\} \subset[0,6]$, we have the order

$$
2<3<4<5<6<0<1
$$

Hook words in $A_{k}$ have (support $=$ proper subset) and form

$$
u_{a_{1}} \cdots u_{a_{r}} u_{b_{1}} \cdots u_{b_{s}}
$$

where

$$
a_{1}>a_{2}>\cdots>a_{r}>b_{1}<b_{2}<\cdots<b_{s}
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## Hook words

There is a canonical order on any proper subset of $[0, k]$ given by thinking of the smallest (in integer order) element which does not appear as the smallest element of the circle.
Example
For $\{0,1,3,4,6\} \subset[0,6]$, we have the order

$$
2<3<4<5<6<0<1
$$

Hook words in $A_{k}$ have (support $=$ proper subset) and form

$$
u_{a_{1}} \cdots u_{a_{r}} u_{b_{1}} \cdots u_{b_{s}}
$$

where

$$
a_{1}>a_{2}>\cdots>a_{r}>b_{1}<b_{2}<\cdots<b_{s}
$$

Hook word representations are unique; therefore the number of ascents in a hook word is well-defined as $s-1$.

## The non-commutative rule

Theorem (Bandlow-S-Zabrocki, 2010)

$$
\mathbf{p}_{r} \mathbf{s}_{\mu}^{(k)}=\sum_{w}(-1)^{\operatorname{asc}(w)} \mathbf{s}_{w \mu \mu}^{(k)}
$$

where the sum is over all words in the affine nilCoxeter algebra satisfying

- (size) $\operatorname{len}(w)=r$
- (containment) $w \cdot \mu \neq 0$
- (connectedness) $w$ is a $k$-connected word
- (ribbon condition) $w$ is a hook word
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## Comparison between characterizations

Characterize the image of the map $(w \rightarrow w \cdot \mu=\lambda)$ :
conditions on words:

- (size)
$\operatorname{len}(w)=r$
- (containment)
$w \cdot \mu \neq 0$
- (connectedness)
$w$ is a $k$-connected word
- (ribbon condition) $w$ is a hook word
conditions on shapes:
- (size)
$|\lambda / \mu|=r$
- (containment)
$\mu \subset \lambda$ and $\mu^{(k)} \subset \lambda^{(k)}$
- (connectedness) $\mathfrak{c}(\lambda) / \mathfrak{c}(\mu)$ is $k$-connected
- (first ribbon condition) $\operatorname{ht}(\lambda / \mu)+\operatorname{ht}\left(\lambda^{(k)} / \mu^{(k)}\right)=r-1$
- (second ribbon condition) $\mathfrak{c}(\lambda) / \mathfrak{c}(\mu)$ is a ribbon


## Iteration

Iterating the rule

$$
p_{r} s_{\lambda}^{(k)}=\sum_{\mu}(-1)^{\mathrm{ht}(\mu / \lambda)} s_{\mu}^{(k)}
$$

gives

$$
p_{\lambda}=\sum_{T}(-1)^{\mathrm{ht}(T)} s_{s h(T)}^{(k)}=\sum_{\mu} \bar{\chi}_{\lambda}^{(k)}(\mu) s_{\mu}^{(k)}
$$

where the sum is over all $k$-ribbon tableaux, defined analogously to the classical case.

## Duality

In the classical case, the inner product immediately gives

$$
p_{\lambda}=\sum_{\mu} \chi_{\lambda}(\mu) s_{\mu} \Longleftrightarrow s_{\mu}=\sum_{\lambda} \frac{1}{z_{\lambda}} \chi_{\lambda}(\mu) p_{\lambda}
$$

In the affine case we have

$$
p_{\lambda}=\sum_{\mu} \bar{\chi}_{\lambda}^{(k)}(\mu) s_{\mu}^{(k)} \Longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{\mu}^{(k)}=\sum_{\lambda} \frac{1}{z_{\lambda}} \bar{\chi}_{\lambda}^{(k)} p_{\lambda}
$$

## Duality

In the classical case, the inner product immediately gives

$$
p_{\lambda}=\sum_{\mu} \chi_{\lambda}(\mu) s_{\mu} \Longleftrightarrow s_{\mu}=\sum_{\lambda} \frac{1}{z_{\lambda}} \chi_{\lambda}(\mu) p_{\lambda}
$$

In the affine case we have

$$
p_{\lambda}=\sum_{\mu} \bar{\chi}_{\lambda}^{(k)}(\mu) s_{\mu}^{(k)} \Longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{\mu}^{(k)}=\sum_{\lambda} \frac{1}{z_{\lambda}} \bar{\chi}_{\lambda}^{(k)} p_{\lambda}
$$

We would like the inverse matrix

$$
s_{\lambda}^{(k)}=\sum_{\mu} \frac{1}{z_{\mu}} \chi_{\lambda}^{(k)}(\mu) p_{\mu}
$$

## Conceptual reasons

$\Lambda$ ring of symmetric functions
$\mathcal{P}^{k}$ set of partitions $\left\{\lambda \mid \lambda_{1} \leq k\right\}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Lambda_{(k)}:=\mathbb{C}\left\langle h_{\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \mathcal{P}^{k}\right\rangle=\mathbb{C}\left\langle e_{\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \mathcal{P}^{k}\right\rangle=\mathbb{C}\left\langle p_{\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \mathcal{P}^{k}\right\rangle \\
& \Lambda^{(k)}:=\mathbb{C}\left\langle m_{\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \mathcal{P}^{k}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$

Hall inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ :
for $f \in \Lambda_{(k)}$ and $g \in \Lambda^{(k)}$ define $\langle f, g\rangle$ as the usual Hall inner product in $\Lambda$
$\left\{h_{\lambda}\right\}$ and $\left\{m_{\lambda}\right\}$ with $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}^{k}$ form dual bases of $\Lambda_{(k)}$ and $\Lambda^{(k)}$
$\Lambda_{(k)}$ is a subalgebra
$\Lambda^{(k)}$ is not closed under multiplication, but comultiplication

## Conceptual reasons

$\Lambda$ ring of symmetric functions
$\mathcal{P}^{k}$ set of partitions $\left\{\lambda \mid \lambda_{1} \leq k\right\}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Lambda_{(k)}:=\mathbb{C}\left\langle h_{\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \mathcal{P}^{k}\right\rangle=\mathbb{C}\left\langle e_{\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \mathcal{P}^{k}\right\rangle=\mathbb{C}\left\langle p_{\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \mathcal{P}^{k}\right\rangle \\
& \Lambda^{(k)}:=\mathbb{C}\left\langle m_{\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \mathcal{P}^{k}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

$k$-Schur functions $\left\{s_{\lambda}^{(k)} \mid \lambda \in \mathcal{P}^{k}\right\}$ form basis of $\Lambda_{(k)}$
(Schubert class of cohomology of affine Grassmannian $H_{*}(\mathrm{Gr})$ )
dual $k$-Schur functions $\left\{\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda}^{(k)} \mid \lambda \in \mathcal{P}^{k}\right\}$ form basis of $\Lambda^{(k)}$ (Schubert class of homology of affine Grassmannian $H^{*}(G r)$ )

## Back to Frobenius

For $V$ any $S_{n}$ representation, we can find the decomposition into irreducible submodules with

$$
\sum_{\mu} \frac{1}{z_{\mu}} \chi V(\mu) p_{\mu}=\sum_{\lambda} c_{\lambda} s_{\lambda}
$$

So finding

$$
s_{\lambda}^{(k)}=\sum_{\mu} \frac{1}{z_{\mu}} \chi_{\lambda}^{(k)}(\mu) p_{\mu}
$$

would potentially allow one to verify that a
 given representation had a character equal to $k$-Schur functions.
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