# LECTURE 10: WEAK BRUHAT ORDER 

STEVEN PON

## 1. Lattices

Example 1.1. Bruhat order in $B_{2}$ (Coxeter diagram: $\bullet_{a} \overline{ } \bullet_{b}$ )
$S=\{a, b\}, T=\{a, b, b a b, a b a\}$.
We can draw a graph showing the covering relations of Bruhat order on $B_{2}$ :


Definition 1.2. An element $z$ in a poset is the meet (or greatest lower bound) of a subset $A$ if
(1) $z \leq y, \quad \forall y \in A$
(2) $u \leq y \quad \forall y \in A \Rightarrow u \leq z$

We denote the meet of $A$ by $\wedge A$. If $A=\{x, y\}$ we denote the meet by $x \wedge y$.
Note: If the meet exists, then it is unique.
Definition 1.3. A poset $P$ for which every $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq P$ has a meet is called $a$ meet-semilattice.

Definition 1.4. Similarly, we can define the join, or least uppper bound, of a subset of a poset, and a join-semilattice. A lattice is a poset which is both a meetsemilattice and a join-semilattice.

Note that the Bruhat graph in Example 1.1 above is not a lattice. However, when we can obtain a lattice if instead of Bruhat order we use weak Bruhat order.

## 2. Weak Bruhat Order

Weak Bruhat order is especially useful in studying the combinatorics of reduced words; for example, enumerating the number of reduced words of a given Coxeter group element. Intuitively, two elements are comparable in Bruhat order if one is a subword of the other. In weak Bruhat order, two words are comparable if one word is a prefix (or suffix) of the other. There are two weak orders, left and right weak Bruhat order, corresponding to if we are considering prefixes or suffixes.
Definition 2.1. Let $(W, S)$ be a Coxeter system, and let $u, w \in W$. Let $\leq_{R}$ and $\leq_{L}$ denote right and left (weak) Bruhat order, respectively. Then:

[^0](1) $u \leq_{R} w$ if $w=u s_{1} \cdots s_{k}$, where $s_{i} \in S$, s.t. $\ell\left(u s_{1} \cdots s_{i}\right)=\ell(u)+i$, for $1 \leq i \leq k$.
(2) $u \leq_{L} w$ if $w=s_{1} \cdots s_{k} u$, where $s_{i} \in S$, s.t. $\ell\left(s_{1} \cdots s_{i} u\right)=\ell(u)+i$, for $1 \leq i \leq k$.
Remark 2.2. Note that left and right weak orders are distinct, but they are isomorphic by the map $w \rightarrow w^{-1}$.

Weak Bruhat order called "weak" because $u \leq_{R} w \Rightarrow u \leq w$, and $u \leq_{L} w \Rightarrow$ $u \leq w$.

Example 2.3. We can draw the covering relations for weak Bruhat order:
(1) Let $W=S_{3}$.

(2) Let $W=B_{2}$.


Note that in the examples above, we do get lattices.
In the case of $S_{n}$, there is a simple test: for $x, y \in S_{n}, x \leq_{R} y \Leftrightarrow y$ can be obtained from $x$ by a sequence of adjacent transpositions that increase the inversion number at each step.

Example 2.4. Let $263154 \in S_{6}$ be given in 1-line notation. We can multiply by $s_{4}$ on the right (acting on positions) to get 263514, which increases the inversion number. We could then multiply by $s_{1}$, then $s_{5}$, then $s_{2}$ to get the sequence:

$$
x=263154 \rightarrow^{s_{4}} 263514 \rightarrow^{s_{1}} 623514 \rightarrow^{s_{5}} 623541 \rightarrow^{s_{2}} 632541=y
$$

Therefore, $x \leq_{R} y$.
Proposition 2.5. Properties of Weak Order
(1) There is a 1-1 correspondence between reduced words for $w \in W$ and maximal chains in $[e, w]_{R}$.
(2) $u \leq_{R} w \Leftrightarrow \ell(u)+\ell\left(u^{-1} w\right)=\ell(w)$.
(3) If $W$ is finite, then $w \leq w_{0}$ for all $w \in W$.
(4) Prefix property: $u \leq_{R} w \Leftrightarrow$ there exist reduced expressions $u=s_{1} \cdots s_{k}$ and $w=s_{1} \cdots s_{k} s_{k+1} \cdots s_{k}^{\prime}$.
(5) Chain property: $u<_{R} w \Rightarrow$ there is a chain $u=u_{0}<_{R} u_{1}<_{R} \cdots<_{R} u_{k}=$ $w$ such that $\ell\left(u_{i}\right)=\ell\left(u_{)}+i\right.$ for $0 \leq i \leq k$.
(6) Let $s \in D_{L}(u) \cap D_{L}(w)$. Then $u \leq_{R} w \Leftrightarrow s u \leq_{R} s w$.

Proposition 2.6. Let $u, w \in W$. Then $u \leq_{R} w \Leftrightarrow T_{L}(u) \subseteq T_{L}(w)$, where $T_{L}(u)=$ $\{t \in T \mid \ell(t u) \leq \ell(u)\}$.
Proof. $\quad(\Rightarrow)$ Let $u=s_{1} \cdots s_{k}$, w $=s_{1} \cdots s_{k} \cdots s_{q}$ be reduced words. Then $T_{L}(u)=\left\{s_{1} s_{2} \cdots s_{i} \cdots s_{2} s_{1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq k\right\} \subseteq\left\{s_{1} s_{2} \cdots s_{i} \cdots s_{2} s_{1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq\right.$ $q\}=T_{L}(w)$.
$(\Leftarrow)$ Suppose $u=s_{1} \cdots s_{k}$ is reduced. Let $t_{i}=s_{1} s_{2} \cdots s_{i} \cdots s_{2} s_{1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq$ $k$. Assume $T_{L}(u)=\left\{t_{1}, \cdots, t_{k}\right\} \subseteq T_{L}(w)$. We claim there is a reduced expression $w=s_{1} \cdots s_{i} s_{1}^{\prime} \cdots s_{q-i}^{\prime}$, for $0 \leq i \leq k$. For $i=0$, this is trivially true since this just means there exists a reduced word for $w$. Now suppose the claim is true for some $i, 0 \leq i<k$. By assumption, $t_{i+1} \in T_{L}(w)$. We know that $t_{j} \neq t_{i+1}$ for $j \leq i$ by a lemma from a previous lecture (using that $s_{1} \cdots s_{i+1}$ is reduced). Then since we can write $w=s_{1} \cdots s_{i} s_{1}^{\prime} \cdots s_{q-i}^{\prime}$, we can write $t_{i+1}=s_{1} \cdots s_{i} s_{1}^{\prime} \cdots s_{m}^{\prime} \cdots s_{1}^{\prime} s_{i} \cdots s_{1}$ for some $1 \leq m \leq q-i$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
w=t_{i+1}^{2} w & =\left(s_{1} \cdots s_{i+1} \cdots s_{1}\right)\left(s_{1} \cdots s_{i} s_{1}^{\prime} \cdots s_{m}^{\prime} \cdots s_{q-i}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =s_{1} \cdots s_{i+1} s_{1}^{\prime} \cdots s_{m}^{\prime} \cdots s_{q-i}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $u \leq_{R} w$ is equivalent to the claim for $i=k$ by the Prefix Property.

Corollary 2.7. $w \rightarrow T_{L}(w)$ provides an order and rank-preserving embedding $W \hookrightarrow$ lattice of finite subsets of $T$.

Proposition 2.8. If $W$ is finite,
(1) $w \rightarrow w_{0} w$ and $w \rightarrow w w_{0}$ are anti-automorphisms of weak order and
(2) $w \rightarrow w_{0} w w_{0}$ is an automorphism of weak order.

Proof. We will prove (2), as (1) is similar.
For all $s \in S, s w_{0}=w_{0} s^{\prime}$ for some $s^{\prime} \in S$, since $w_{0} S w_{0}=S$. Suppose $w \leq_{R} w s$. Then $w_{0} w s w_{0}=w_{0} w w_{0} s^{\prime} \leq_{R} w_{0} w w_{0}$ since $\ell\left(w_{0} w s w_{0}\right)=\ell(w s)=\ell(w)+1=$ $\ell\left(w_{0} w w_{0}\right)+1>\ell\left(w_{0} w w_{0}\right)$.
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