# LECTURE 7: BRUHAT ORDER 
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In this lecture, as before, we let $(W, S)$ be a Coxeter system and $T$ be the set of all conjugates:

$$
T=\left\{w s w^{-1} \mid s \in S, w \in W\right\}
$$

1. Bruhat Order

Definition 1.1. Let $u, v$ be two elements in $W$
(1) $u \xrightarrow{t} w$ means $u t=w$ for $t \in T$ and $\ell(u)<\ell(w)$
(2) $u \rightarrow w$ means $u \xrightarrow{t} w$ for some $t \in T$
(3) $u \leq w$ means that there exist $u_{i} \in W$ such that

$$
u=u_{0} \rightarrow u_{1} \rightarrow u_{2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow u_{k}=w
$$

The Bruhat graph is a directed graph whose nodes are elements of $W$ and whose edges are given by condition (2) of the previous definition. Also Bruhat order is the partial order given by condition (3).
Remark 1.2. From the definition it is clear that
(1) $u<v$ implies that $\ell(u)<\ell(w)$
(2) $u<u t$ if and only if $\ell(u)<\ell(u t)$, with $u \in W$ and $t \in T$.
(3) $e \leq w \forall w \in W$.

The last remark is true because if $w=s_{1} s_{2} \cdots s_{k}$ is a reduced expression for $w$, then we have the sequence of steps

$$
e \rightarrow s_{1} \rightarrow s_{1} s_{2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow s_{1} s_{2} \cdots s_{k}=w
$$

Remark 1.3. The condition $w=u t$ in definition 1.1 can be replaced by $w=t^{\prime} u$ for $t^{\prime} \in T$ and $t^{\prime}=u t u^{-1} \in T$.
[Bruhat order in $S_{n}$ ] Consider the system $\left(S_{n}, S\right)$ where

$$
S=\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n-1}\right\}
$$

and $s_{i}=(i, i+1)$. We observe that

$$
x s_{i} x^{-1}=(x(i), x(i+1)),
$$

for $x \in S_{n}, s_{i} \in S$. Thus the reflection set is the set of all transpositions

$$
T=\{(a, b) \mid 1 \leq a<b \leq n\}
$$

Since reflections in $S_{n}$ are transpositions $(a, b)$ and the length of a permutation equals its inversion number, $x \xrightarrow{(a, b)} y$ means that one moves from the permutation
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Figure 1. Bruhat Order for $S_{3}$


Figure 2. Bruhat Graph for $S_{3}$
$x=\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{a}, \ldots, x_{b}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ to the permutation $y=\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{b}, \ldots, x_{a}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ obtained by switching $x_{a}$ and $x_{b}$, where $a<b$ and $x_{a}<x_{b}$. For example

$$
21543 \xrightarrow{(2,5)} 23541 .
$$

From this construction we naturally get the Bruhat graph for $S_{n}$, a directed graph with edges between $x$ and $y$ if $x<y$. We also have a Hasse diagram for the Bruhat order on $S_{n}$ obtained from the Bruhat graph by relaxing directedness and keeping edges corresponding to covering relations. Figure 1 shows the Bruhat order for $S_{3}$ and Figure 2 shows the Bruhat graph for $S_{3}$.

Lemma 1.4. Let $x, y \in S_{n}$. Then, $x$ is covered by $y$ in the Bruhat order if and only if $y=x(a, b)$ for some $a$ and $b, a<b$, such that $x(a)<x(b)$ and there does not exist $c, a<c<b$, such that $x(a)<x(c)<x(b)$.
Proof. $(\Leftarrow)$ If $y=x(a, b)$ with the stated conditions, then $\operatorname{inv}(y)=\operatorname{inv}(x)+1$, thus we have a Bruhat covering relation.
$(\Rightarrow)$ Conversely, suppose that $y=x(a, b), a<b$, and $\operatorname{inv}(y)>\operatorname{inv}(x)$. Then $x(a)<x(b)$. If $x(a)<x(c)<x(b)$ for some $a, b$ and $c, a<c<b$, then $x<x(a, c)<y$, so $x<y$ is not a Bruhat covering.

Recall that Bruhat order is a partial order; two elements not necessarily comparable. This leads to the natural question: what are the necessary and sufficient conditions for determining when two elements in $S_{n}$ are comparable in Bruhat order ? For example, how can we determine if $x=368475912$ and $y=694287531$ are comparable in Bruhat order ?

Let $x \in S_{n}$, and consider the collection of points in the square $[n] \times[n]$ given by $(i, x(i))$. We define the number of dots north-west of $(i, j)$ in the diagram as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
x[i, j]=|\{a \in[i]: x(a) \geq j\}| \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example, in the following diagram for $x=31524 \in S_{5}$ we observe that the number of points north-west of the point $(4,2)$ is 4 , which corresponds to the number of points in the shaded area, thus $x[4,2]=3$.


Remark 1.5. Note that for any $x \in S_{n} x[n, i]=n+1-i$ and $x[i, 1]=i$.

The following lemma is essentially to determining conditions for Bruhat comparability.

Lemma 1.6. $x[i, j]-x[k, j]-x[i, l]+x[k, l]=|\{a \in[k+1, i]: j \leq x(a)<l\}|$ $\forall 1 \leq k \leq i \leq n$ and $\forall 1 \leq j \leq l \leq n$.

The proof is evident by considering the diagram below.


We can now state our theorem characterizing Bruhat comparability.
Theorem 1.7. Let $x, y \in S_{n}$, then the following are equivalent:
(i) $x \leq y$
(ii) $x[i, j] \leq y[i, j] \forall i, j \in[n]$

As an application, let us determine if $x=368475912$ and $y=694287531$ are comparable in Bruhat order. Considering the corresponding overlapped diagrams we observe (see the figure below) that $x[1,6]=0<1=y[1,6]$, and $x[4,3]=4>3=y[4,3]$. Consequently, $x$ and $y$ are not comparable in Bruhat order.


Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Suppose that $x \leq y$, and without loss of generality assume $x \rightarrow y$. Then there exist $a$ and $b, 1<a<b<n$, such that $y=x(a, b)$ and $x(a)<x(b)$. By
definition (1.1) this implies that

$$
y[i, j]=\begin{array}{ll}
x[i, j]+1 & , \quad \text { if } a \leq i<b, \quad x(a)<j \leq x(b) \\
x[i, j] & , \quad \text { otherwise }
\end{array}
$$

so (ii) follows.
(i) $\Leftarrow$ (ii). see Björner and Brenti.
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