

## Practice Midterm 2 Solutions

### Problem 1 (10 points)

True or False? Circle one.

T/F For any functions  $F$  and  $G$ ,  $\text{Dom}(G \circ F) \subseteq \text{Dom}(F)$ .

True.  $G \circ F = \{(x, z) : \text{for some } y, (x, y) \in F \text{ and } (y, z) \in G\}$ . Therefore,  $\text{Dom}(G \circ F)$  is the set of all first coordinates appearing in that set, namely:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Dom}(G \circ F) &= \{x : \text{for some } z, (x, z) \in G \circ F\} \\ &= \{x : \text{for some } y \text{ and } z, (x, y) \in F \text{ and } (y, z) \in G\} \\ &= \{x : \text{for some } y, (x, y) \in F \text{ and for some } z, (y, z) \in G\} \\ &= \{x : \text{for some } y, (x, y) \in F \text{ and (some other condition)}\} \\ &\subseteq \{x : \text{for some } y, (x, y) \in F\} = \text{Dom}(F)\end{aligned}$$

T/F For any functions  $F$  and  $G$ ,  $\text{Dom}(G \circ F) \subseteq \text{Dom}(G)$ .

False. We've already seen (above) that  $\text{Dom}(G \circ F) \subseteq \text{Dom}(F)$ . If  $F$  and  $G$  are functions with disjoint domains, then the domain of  $G \circ F$  must also be disjoint from  $\text{Dom}(G)$ .

T/F Every relation that is symmetric and transitive is also reflexive.

False. The empty relation on  $A$ , where  $A$  is whatever nonempty set you like, is symmetric and transitive, but not reflexive.

T/F The relation  $\{(1, 2), (2, 3), (3, 1)\}$  is transitive.

False. Since the relation contains  $(1, 2)$  and  $(2, 3)$ , it must contain  $(1, 3)$  in order to be transitive.

### Problem 2 (10 points)

There is a natural correspondence between equivalence relations and partitions. If  $A$  is a set and  $R$  is an equivalence relation on  $A$ , then  $A/R$ , the set of  $R$ 's equivalence classes, is a partition of  $A$ . Prove or disprove: If  $R$  and  $S$  are two equivalence relations on  $A$ , then  $A/R \cap A/S$  is a partition of  $A$ .

The claim is false. Let  $A$  be the set  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , and define relations  $R$  and  $S$  on  $A$  as follows:  $xRy$  iff  $x \equiv_2 y$ , and  $xSy$  iff  $(x - 2.5)(y - 2.5) > 0$ . That is:

$R = \{(1, 1), (1, 3), (3, 1), (3, 3), (2, 2), (2, 4), (4, 2), (4, 4)\}$  and

$S = \{(1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 1), (2, 2), (3, 3), (3, 4), (4, 3), (4, 4)\}$ .

(You could also describe  $R$  and  $S$  by way of a digraph, if you like.) The point is,

$A/R = \{\{1, 3\}, \{2, 4\}\}$  while  $A/S = \{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}\}$ . I'd like to point out that  $\{1, 3\} \in A/R$  but  $\{1, 3\} \notin A/S$ . You can check each element; we find that  $A/R \cap A/S = \emptyset$ . And  $\emptyset$  is not a partition of  $A$ .

**Problem 3** (10 points)

Prove that the relation " $\equiv_7$ " is an equivalence relation.

To do this, we must know that  $x \equiv_7 y$  iff  $7|x - y$ , and that the domain is assumed to be  $\mathbf{Z}$ . Now we prove three things:

1.  $\equiv_7$  is reflexive: For any  $x \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $x - x = 0 = 0 \cdot 7$ , so  $7|x - x$ . Thus,  $x \equiv_7 x$ .
2.  $\equiv_7$  is symmetric. Suppose  $x \equiv_7 y$ . Then  $7|x - y$ , so  $7|-(x - y)$ , which is to say that  $7|y - x$ . Thus  $y \equiv_7 x$ .
3.  $\equiv_7$  is transitive. Suppose  $x \equiv_7 y$  and  $y \equiv_7 z$ . Then  $7|x - y$  and  $7|y - z$ , so  $7|(x - y) + (y - z)$ . That is,  $7|x - z$ , so  $x \equiv_7 z$ .

Since  $\equiv_7$  is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive, it is an equivalence relation.

**Problem 4** (10 points)

Consider the relation  $R$  on  $\mathbf{N}$ , defined by:  $xRy$  iff  $x$  and  $y$  have a common factor greater than 1. Is this relation reflexive? Symmetric? Transitive?

This relation is *almost* reflexive, but not quite. After all, it is not true that  $1R1$ .

This relation is symmetric: if  $x$  and  $y$  have a common factor  $k > 1$ , then  $y$  and  $x$  have the common factor  $k$ .

The relation is not transitive:  $2R6$  and  $6R3$ , but not  $2R3$ .

**Problem 5** (10 points)

Prove that if  $f : A \xrightarrow{1-1} B$  and  $g : B \xrightarrow{1-1} C$ , then  $g \circ f$  is 1-1. Does this imply that  $g \circ f : A \xrightarrow{1-1} C$ ?

Suppose that  $(g \circ f)(x_1) = (g \circ f)(x_2)$ . This implies that  $g(f(x_1)) = g(f(x_2))$ . Since  $g$  is 1-1, it follows that  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ ; now, since  $f$  is 1-1, we conclude that  $x_1 = x_2$ . Thus  $g \circ f$  is 1-1.

Furthermore, for any  $x \in A$ ,  $f(x) \in B = \text{Dom}(g)$ , so  $g(f(x)) \in C$ . This means  $\text{Dom}(g \circ f) = A$ , and so  $g \circ f : A \xrightarrow{1-1} C$ .

However, there is a related question that turns out differently. If  $f : A \xrightarrow{1-1} B$  and  $g : C \xrightarrow{1-1} D$ , it will still be the case that  $g \circ f$  is 1-1, and it will also be true that  $g \circ f \subseteq A \times D$ . But, it does not necessarily follow that  $g \circ f : A \xrightarrow{1-1} D$ , because  $\text{Dom}(g \circ f)$  may be a proper subset of  $A$ . For instance, if  $f(x) = x - 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $g(x) = \log x$  for all  $x > 0$ , then  $(g \circ f)(x) = \log(x - 1)$  for all  $x > 1$ . The domain of  $f$  is  $\mathbf{R}$ , but the domain of  $g \circ f$  is only half as big:  $(1, \infty)$ . We could say  $g \circ f : (1, \infty) \xrightarrow{1-1} \mathbf{R}$ , but not  $g \circ f : \mathbf{R} \xrightarrow{1-1} \mathbf{R}$ .

**Problem 6** (10 points)

Let  $f(x) = \frac{2x+1}{x-1}$ . Find the domain of  $f$ , assuming that it is the largest possible subset of  $\mathbf{R}$ . Find the range of  $f$ . Find an expression for  $f^{-1}(x)$ . What are the domain and range of  $f^{-1}$ ?

The domain of  $f$  is  $\mathbf{R} - \{1\}$ . (A rational function is defined at any real number for which the denominator is nonzero.) Now let's find the range. Note that a real number  $y$  is in the range of  $f$  iff there is some  $x$  such that  $y = f(x)$ ; so, we'll try to decide when such an  $x$  exists. We'll solve the equation for  $x$ .

$$\begin{aligned} y &= \frac{2x+1}{x-1} \\ (x-1)y &= 2x+1 \\ xy - y &= 2x+1 \\ xy - 2x &= y+1 \\ x(y-2) &= y+1 \\ x &= \frac{y+1}{y-2} \end{aligned}$$

We see that for every  $y$  except  $y = 2$ , this equation tells us a preimage (really, *the* preimage) of  $y$ . That is, 2 is the only real number without a preimage, so  $\text{Rng}(f) = \mathbf{R} - \{2\}$ .

To find an expression for  $f^{-1}(x)$ , we can simply exchange the roles of  $x$  and  $y$  in the final equation above, getting  $y = \frac{x+1}{x-2}$ . That is,  $f^{-1}(x) = \frac{x+1}{x-2}$ . Now  $\text{Dom}(f^{-1}) = \text{Rng}(f) = \mathbf{R} - \{2\}$  and  $\text{Rng}(f^{-1}) = \text{Dom}(f) = \mathbf{R} - \{1\}$ .

**Problem 7** (10 points)

Find an example of a function  $f : A \rightarrow B$  that is 1-1, and a function  $g : B \rightarrow C$  that is NOT 1-1, such that  $g \circ f$  is 1-1, or prove that this is impossible.

Two such functions are  $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  by  $f(x) = e^x$  and  $g : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  by  $g(x) = x^2$ . Then  $f$  is 1-1 and  $g$  is not, but the composition is 1-1:  $(g \circ f)(x) = g(f(x)) = (e^x)^2 = e^{2x}$ . The reason this works is that, while  $g$  is not 1-1, it becomes 1-1 when we restrict its domain to  $[0, \infty)$ . If  $f$  is an injection into this smaller set, then the composition is injective. You could think of it this way: The reason  $g$  is not 1-1 is that every positive number, such as 2, has an "evil twin" (-2, in this case), meaning  $g(2) = 2^2 = 4 = (-2)^2 = g(-2)$ . So, the distinct inputs 2 and -2 have the same output, making  $g$  noninjective. But the function  $f$  has range  $(0, \infty)$ , so it never wakes up the evil twins.