

② Assume any ideal is finitely generated,

let us prove R is Noetherian: $I_1 \subset I_2 \subset I_3 \subset \dots$

Define $I = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k$, let us prove it is an ideal

• $f \in I, g \text{ any} \Rightarrow f \in I_k \text{ for some } k \Rightarrow fg \in I_k \subset I$.

• $f_1 \in I_k, f_2 \in I_l \Rightarrow f_1, f_2 \in I_{\max(k,l)} \Rightarrow f_1 + f_2 \in I_{\max(k,l)} \subset I$

By assumption, $I = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$ and

$f_1 \in I_{k_1}, \dots, f_m \in I_{k_m}$

$\Rightarrow$ all $f_i \in I_{\max(k_1, \dots, k_m)} \Rightarrow I = (f_1, \dots, f_m) \subset I_{\max(k_1, \dots, k_m)}$

$\Rightarrow I = I_{\max}$ and we are done. $\square$

Thm If R is Noetherian then $R[x]$ is Noetherian.

Pf $I \subset R[x]$ ideal, let us prove it is finitely generated

$I \ni f = a_n x^n + \dots + a_0 \quad a_i \in R, a_n \neq 0$

Define $a_{\text{top}} = a_n$ and $\deg(f) = n$.

Define a sequence of polynomials I :

• f_1 = element of smallest degree in I

• f_2 = element of smallest degree in $I \setminus (f_1)$

$\vdots$

• f_k = element of smallest degree in $I \setminus (f_1, \dots, f_{k-1})$.

If $(f_1, \dots, f_k) = I$, we stop and I is finitely generated.

Otherwise consider a sequence of ideals

$(a_{\text{top}}(f_1), \dots, a_{\text{top}}(f_k)) \subset R$

③

Since R is noetherian, at some point

$$a_{\text{top}}(f_m) \in (a_{\text{top}}(f_1), \dots, a_{\text{top}}(f_k)) \text{ for } m \geq K$$

write $a_{\text{top}}(f_m) = \sum_i a_{\text{top}}(f_i) u_i$ then

$$\text{for } g = f_m - \sum u_i x^{\deg(f_m) - \deg(f_i)} f_i$$

top coef cancels and $\deg g < \deg f_m$

$\Rightarrow$ by our assum $g \in (f_1, \dots, f_{m-1}) \Rightarrow$

$$f_m \in (f_1, \dots, f_{m-1}).$$

Corollary (Hilbert Basis Theorem) $K = \text{field}$

$K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is Noetherian $\Rightarrow$ any ideal in $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is finitely generated.

Corollary $\mathcal{Z}_1 \supset \mathcal{Z}_2 \supset \mathcal{Z}_3 \supset \dots$ descendancy chain of algebraic subset $\Rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_i$ stabilize.

Proof $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}_1) \subset \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}_2) \subset \dots \Rightarrow$ by noetherian property $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{Z}_k)$ stabilize $\Rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_k$ stabilize.

Thus $\mathcal{Z} = \text{algebraic set}$, then we can write $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{Z}_k$ where $\mathcal{Z}_i$ are irreducible.
 finitely many

Pf If $\mathcal{Z}$ is irreducible, we stop. Otherwise $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}_1 \cup \mathcal{Z}_2$.

If there are irreducible, we are done, otherwise $\mathcal{Z}_1 = \mathcal{Z}_1' \cup \mathcal{Z}_1''$ and so on.

Each chain of proper subsets eventually stop $\Rightarrow$ tree is finite and all leaves are used. (4)

Lecture 6 | Lemma 1 $Z = \text{algebraic set} = A \cup B$

$Y \subset Z$ irreducible
algebraic set

algebraic set.

Then $Y \subset A$ or $Y \subset B$.

Proof: $Y = (Y \cap A) \cup (Y \cap B)$

Since Y is irreducible, either $Y = Y \cap A$ or $Y = Y \cap B$
so $Y \subset A$ or $Y \subset B$.

Lemma 2 $Z = Z_1 \cup \dots \cup Z_k$, Z_i irreducible

Assume $Z = A \cup B$ where A, B are algebraic sets.

Then $A = (\text{union of some } Z_i)$

$B = (\text{union of some } Z_i)$.

Proof By lemma 1, either $Z_i \subset A$ or $Z_i \subset B$. $\square$

Cor Since $\bigcup_{i=1}^k Z_i = Z$, we have $A = \bigcup_{i: Z_i \subset A} Z_i$.

can skip.

Def Z_i is called an irreducible component of Z

if (1) $Z_i \subset Z$, Z_i irreducible

(2) It is maximal: if $Z_i \subset Z' \subset Z$ and Z' irreducible then $Z_i = Z'$.

Thm $Z = Z_1 \cup \dots \cup Z_k$ where Z_i are irreducible components of Z . Furthermore, such Z_i are uniquely determined by Z .

Proof (Existence) Recall that $Z = Z_1 \cup \dots \cup Z_k$ where Z_i are irreducible.

①

Assume for some i z_i is not a component
then $\exists z' : z_i \supseteq z' \subset z$ and z' med.

By Lemma 1, $z' \subset z_j$ for some j , so $z_i \subset z_j$
and we do not actually need z_i :

~~$z = \bigcup_{l \neq i} z_l$~~ $z = \bigcup_{l \neq i} z_l$

~~Now we proceed by induction~~ Now we proceed
by induction until we get smallest number of z_i
which must be reducible components.

(Uniqueness) Assume $z = z_1 \cup \dots \cup z_k = Y_1 \cup \dots \cup Y_e$
where Y_i, z_j are ^{med.} components. Then by Lemma
 $Y_i \subset z_j$ for some $j \Rightarrow$ since Y_i are med. compon.
 $Y_i = z_j$ and the result follows.
