

**Problem Set 2: Solutions**  
Math 118B: Winter Quarter, 2018

**6.3.1**

- (e) The general solution obtained by a superposition of separable solutions has the form

$$u(r, \theta) = a_0 + \alpha_0 \log r + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n(r, \theta) \quad 1 < r < 2,$$
$$u_n(r, \theta) = (a_n r^n + \alpha_n r^{-n}) \cos(n\theta) + (b_n r^n + \beta_n r^{-n}) \sin(n\theta).$$

- Imposition of the boundary condition at  $r = 1$  gives

$$a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [(a_n + \alpha_n) \cos(n\theta) + (b_n + \beta_n) \sin(n\theta)] = 0,$$

which implies that

$$a_0 = 0, \quad a_n + \alpha_n = 0, \quad b_n + \beta_n = 0 \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

- Imposition of the boundary condition at  $r = 2$  then gives

$$\alpha_0 \log 2 = 1, \quad a_1 \cdot 2 + \alpha_1 \cdot 2^{-1} = 3, \quad b_8 2^8 + \beta_8 2^{-8} = -17,$$
$$a_n 2^n + \alpha_n 2^{-n} = 0, \quad b_n 2^n + \beta_n 2^{-n} = 0 \quad \text{otherwise.}$$

- It follows that

$$\alpha_0 = \frac{1}{\log 2}, \quad a_1 = 2, \quad \alpha_1 = -2,$$
$$b_8 = -\frac{17}{2^8 + 2^{-8}}, \quad \beta_8 = \frac{17}{2^8 - 2^{-8}},$$

and  $a_n, \alpha_n, b_n, \beta_n = 0$  otherwise.

- The solution is therefore

$$u(r, \theta) = \frac{\log r}{\log 2} + 2(r - r^{-1}) \cos \theta - \frac{17(r^8 - r^{-8})}{2^8 - 2^{-8}} \sin(8\theta).$$

### 6.3.3

- (e) The general solution obtained by a superposition of separable solutions that are continuous at  $r = 0$  has the form

$$u(r, \theta) = \frac{1}{2}a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [a_n r^n \cos(n\theta) + b_n r^n \sin(n\theta)].$$

- Imposition of the boundary condition at  $r = 2$  gives

$$1 + 3 \cos \theta - 17 \sin(8\theta) = \frac{1}{2}a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [2^n a_n \cos(n\theta) + 2^n b_n \sin(n\theta)],$$

which implies that

$$\frac{1}{2}a_0 = 1, \quad a_1 = \frac{3}{2}, \quad b_8 = -\frac{17}{2^8},$$

and  $a_n, b_n = 0$  otherwise.

- The solution is therefore

$$u(r, \theta) = 1 + \frac{3}{2}r \cos \theta - \frac{17}{2^8}r^8 \sin(8\theta).$$

- In this solution, we require that the solution is continuous at  $r = 0$ . This is not required in Problem 6.3.1(e) because  $r = 0$  is not in the domain where we are solving Laplace's equation; instead we impose a boundary condition at  $r = 1$ .

### 6.3.8

- The function

$$u(r, \theta) = P(r, r_3, \theta)$$

is harmonic inside the disc  $0 \leq r < r_3$ . It follows that for

$$0 \leq r_1 < r_2 < r_3,$$

the values of  $u$  on  $r = r_1$  are given in terms of its values on  $r = r_2$  by the Poisson integral formula

$$u(r_1, \theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} P(r_1, r_2, \theta - \phi) u(r_2, \phi) d\phi.$$

- In other words,

$$P(r_1, r_3, \theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} P(r_1, r_2, \theta - \phi) P(r_2, r_3, \phi) d\phi.$$

### 6.3.10

- The general solution of Laplace's equation in the disc, expressed in polar coordinates, has the real form

$$u(r, \theta) = \frac{1}{2}\alpha_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [\alpha_n r^n \cos(n\theta) + \beta_n r^n \sin(n\theta)],$$

where the  $\alpha_n, \beta_n$  are constants.

- Assuming that the series converges sufficiently rapidly, we can differentiate it term-by-term to get

$$u_r(r, \theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [n\alpha_n r^{n-1} \cos(n\theta) + n\beta_n r^{n-1} \sin(n\theta)].$$

- The boundary condition  $u_r(r_0, \theta) = f(\theta)$ , where

$$f(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [a_n r^n \cos(n\theta) + b_n r^n \sin(n\theta)],$$

implies that  $a_0 = 0$  and

$$\alpha_n = \frac{a_n}{nr_0^{n-1}}, \quad \beta_n = \frac{b_n}{nr_0^{n-1}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The solution is non-unique up to an arbitrary additive constant  $\frac{1}{2}\alpha_0$ .

- The condition  $a_0 = 0$  is the compatibility condition

$$\int_0^{2\pi} f(\theta) d\theta = 0$$

for the existence of a solution to the Neumann problem (as in Problems 6.1.7, 6.2.8).

### 6.3.12

- (a) Since  $-1 \leq \cos \theta \leq 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} r_0^2 - 2rr_0 \cos \theta + r^2 &= (r_0 - r)^2 + 2rr_0(1 - \cos \theta) \geq (r_0 - r)^2, \\ r_0^2 - 2rr_0 \cos \theta + r^2 &= (r_0 + r)^2 - 2rr_0(1 + \cos \theta) \leq (r_0 + r)^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that for  $0 \leq r < r_0$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{r_0^2 - r^2}{r_0^2 - 2rr_0 \cos \theta + r^2} &\leq \frac{r_0^2 - r^2}{(r_0 - r)^2} = \frac{r_0 + r}{r_0 - r}, \\ \frac{r_0^2 - r^2}{r_0^2 - 2rr_0 \cos \theta + r^2} &\geq \frac{r_0^2 - r^2}{(r_0 + r)^2} = \frac{r_0 - r}{r_0 + r}. \end{aligned}$$

- (b) If  $u$  is harmonic in  $r < r_0$  and continuous on  $r \leq r_0$ , then

$$u(r, \theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{r_0^2 - r^2}{r_0^2 - 2rr_0 \cos(\theta - \phi) + r^2} u(r_0, \phi) d\phi$$

by the Poisson integral formula. If  $u \geq 0$ , then by using the previous inequalities in this equation, followed by the mean value property of harmonic functions, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} u(r, \theta) &\leq \left( \frac{r_0 + r}{r_0 - r} \right) \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(r_0, \phi) d\phi = \left( \frac{r_0 + r}{r_0 - r} \right) u(0), \\ u(r, \theta) &\geq \left( \frac{r_0 - r}{r_0 + r} \right) \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(r_0, \phi) d\phi = \left( \frac{r_0 - r}{r_0 + r} \right) u(0), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that

$$\left( \frac{r_0 - r}{r_0 + r} \right) u(0) \leq u(r, \theta) \leq \left( \frac{r_0 + r}{r_0 - r} \right) u(0).$$

- (c) Suppose  $u \geq 0$  is harmonic on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Fix  $r \geq 0$ . Then for any  $r_0 > r$ , we have

$$\left( \frac{1 - \rho}{1 + \rho} \right) u(0) \leq u(r, \theta) \leq \left( \frac{1 + \rho}{1 - \rho} \right) u(0), \quad 0 < \rho = \frac{r}{r_0} < 1.$$

Taking the limit of this inequality as  $\rho \rightarrow 0^+$ , we get that

$$u(0) \leq u(r, \theta) \leq u(0),$$

for all  $(r, \theta)$ , meaning that  $u = u(0)$  is constant.

- The assumption that  $u \geq 0$  is crucial here. For example,

$$u(x, y) = x^2 - y^2$$

is a nonconstant harmonic function on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , but it's not nonnegative.

- (d) Suppose that  $u$  is a harmonic function on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Let  $M \in \mathbb{R}$  be any constant. If  $u \leq M$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , meaning that  $u$  is bounded from above, then  $v = M - u$  is a nonnegative harmonic function on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , so it is constant. Similarly, if  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $u \geq m$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , meaning that  $u$  is bounded from below, then  $v = u - m$  is a nonnegative harmonic function, so it is constant.
- In particular, if a harmonic function  $u$  is bounded on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , meaning that  $|u(x, y)| \leq M$ , then it must be constant.
- If  $u : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and  $u(x_1, y_1) = c_1$ ,  $u(x_2, y_2) = c_2$ , then  $u$  takes on all values between  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  along a continuous curve joining  $(x_1, y_1)$  and  $(x_2, y_2)$  by the intermediate value theorem. Hence, if  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $u(x, y) \neq c$  for any  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , then the range of  $u$  must be contained in either  $(-\infty, c)$  or  $(c, \infty)$ .
- If  $u : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a harmonic function that does not take the value  $c$ , then the previous results imply that  $u$  is either bounded from above or from below, so  $u$  is constant. It follows that a nonconstant harmonic function on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  takes on all real values.

### 7.1.1

- (a) The  $n$ th complex Fourier coefficient of the function is given for  $n \neq 0$  by

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_n &= \frac{1}{2L} \int_{-L}^L x e^{-in\pi x/L} dx \\
 &= \frac{1}{2L} \left[ -\frac{L}{in\pi} x e^{-in\pi x/L} + \frac{L^2}{(in\pi)^2} e^{-in\pi x/L} \right]_{-L}^L \\
 &= \frac{iL}{2n\pi} (e^{-in\pi} + e^{in\pi}) \\
 &= -\frac{L}{n\pi i} \cos(n\pi) \\
 &= \frac{L}{n\pi i} (-1)^{n+1}
 \end{aligned}$$

and  $c_0 = 0$ , so

$$x = \frac{L}{\pi i} \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} e^{in\pi x/L} \quad |x| < L.$$

- (f) We have

$$e^{ax} \cos(bx) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ e^{(a+ib)x} + e^{(a-ib)x} \right].$$

For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  consider the Fourier coefficients of the complex-valued function  $e^{\lambda x}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{2L} \int_{-L}^L e^{\lambda x} e^{-in\pi x/L} dx &= \frac{1}{2L} \int_{-L}^L e^{(\lambda - in\pi/L)x} dx \\
 &= \frac{1}{2L} \left[ \frac{e^{(\lambda - in\pi/L)x}}{\lambda - in\pi/L} \right]_{-L}^L \\
 &= \frac{e^{\lambda L - in\pi} - e^{-\lambda L + in\pi}}{2(\lambda L - in\pi)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Using this result with  $\lambda = a + ib$  and  $\lambda = a - ib$ , we find that the  $n$ th Fourier coefficient of  $e^{ax} \cos(bx)$  on  $[-L, L]$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_n &= \frac{1}{2L} \int_{-L}^L e^{ax} \cos(bx) e^{-in\pi x/L} dx \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} \left[ \frac{e^{aL - i(n\pi - bL)} - e^{-aL + i(n\pi - bL)}}{aL - i(n\pi - bL)} + \frac{e^{aL - i(n\pi + bL)} - e^{-aL + i(n\pi + bL)}}{aL - i(n\pi + bL)} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

### 7.1.2

- (a) Collecting terms and using Euler's formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=-N}^{n=N} e^{in\theta} &= 1 + (e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta}) + (e^{2i\theta} + e^{-2i\theta}) + \cdots + (e^{iN\theta} + e^{-iN\theta}) \\ &= 1 + 2 \cos \theta + 2 \cos(2\theta) + \cdots + 2 \cos(N\theta).\end{aligned}$$

- (b) We have

$$\sum_{n=1}^N z^n = z \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} z^n = \frac{z - z^{N+1}}{1 - z}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=-N}^{n=N} e^{in\theta} &= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^N (e^{i\theta})^n + \sum_{n=1}^N (e^{-i\theta})^n \\ &= 1 + \frac{e^{i\theta} - e^{i(N+1)\theta}}{1 - e^{i\theta}} + \frac{e^{-i\theta} - e^{-i(N+1)\theta}}{1 - e^{-i\theta}} \\ &= 1 + \frac{e^{i\theta/2} - e^{i(N+1/2)\theta}}{e^{-i\theta/2} - e^{i\theta/2}} + \frac{e^{-i\theta/2} - e^{-i(N+1/2)\theta}}{e^{i\theta/2} - e^{-i\theta/2}} \\ &= \frac{e^{i(N+1/2)\theta} - e^{-i(N+1/2)\theta}}{e^{i\theta/2} - e^{-i\theta/2}} \\ &= \frac{\sin((N+1/2)\theta)}{\sin(\theta/2)}.\end{aligned}$$

- (c) From (a) and (b), we get

$$1 + 2 \cos \theta + 2 \cos(2\theta) + \cdots + 2 \cos(N\theta) = \frac{\sin((N+1/2)\theta)}{\sin(\theta/2)}.$$