

ANALYSIS PRELIMINARY EXAM  
Spring 2014: Solutions

1. Let  $(g_n)$  be a sequence of absolutely continuous functions on  $[0, 1]$  with  $|g_n(0)| \leq 1$ . Suppose also that for each  $n$ ,  $|g'_n(x)| \leq 1$  for Lebesgue almost everywhere  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Show that there is a subsequence of  $(g_n)$  that converges uniformly to a Lipschitz function on  $[0, 1]$ .

**Solution.**

- Since  $g_n$  is absolutely continuous, it is differentiable pointwise a.e. and, by the fundamental theorem of calculus for the Lebesgue integral,

$$g_n(x) = g_n(0) + \int_0^x g'_n(t) dt, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1. \quad (1)$$

- It follows that

$$\|g_n\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in [0,1]} |g_n(x)| \leq |g_n(0)| + \int_0^1 |g'_n(t)| dt \leq 2,$$

so  $\{g_n\}$  is a bounded subset of  $C([0, 1])$ .

- It also follows from (1), and the assumption  $|g'_n| \leq 1$ , that

$$|g_n(x) - g_n(y)| = \left| \int_y^x g'_n(t) dt \right| \leq |x - y|, \quad (2)$$

which shows that  $\{g_n\}$  is equicontinuous (i.e., for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|x - y| < \delta$  implies that  $|g_n(x) - g_n(y)| < \epsilon$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ; take  $\delta = \epsilon$ ).

- Since the set  $\{g_n\}$  is bounded and equicontinuous, the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem implies that it is precompact in  $C([0, 1])$ , so  $(g_n)$  has a uniformly convergent subsequence  $(g_{n_k})$ .
- If  $g_{n_k} \rightarrow g$  uniformly, then it converges pointwise and

$$|g(x) - g(y)| = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |g_{n_k}(x) - g_{n_k}(y)| \leq |x - y|$$

from (2), so the limit  $g$  is Lipschitz.

2. Let  $T$  be a linear operator from a Banach space  $X$  to a Hilbert space  $H$ . Show that  $T$  is bounded if and only if  $x_n \rightharpoonup x$  implies that  $T(x_n) \rightharpoonup T(x)$  for every weakly convergent sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $X$ .

**Solution.**

- Suppose that  $T : X \rightarrow H$  is bounded. If  $y \in H$ , then  $\phi : x \mapsto \langle Tx, y \rangle$  is a bounded linear functional on  $X$ , since it is obviously linear and

$$|\langle Tx, y \rangle| \leq \|T\| \|y\| \|x\|,$$

so  $\|\phi\| \leq \|T\| \|y\|$ . If  $x_n \rightharpoonup x$  in  $X$ , then  $\phi(x_n) \rightarrow \phi(x)$  by the definition of weak convergence. It follows that

$$\langle Tx_n, y \rangle \rightarrow \langle Tx, y \rangle$$

for every  $y \in H$ , meaning that  $Tx_n \rightharpoonup Tx$  in  $H$ .

- To prove the converse, suppose that  $T$  is not bounded. Then for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $y_n \in X$  with  $\|y_n\| = 1$  and  $\|Ty_n\| \geq n^2$ . Let  $x_n = y_n/n$ . Then  $x_n \rightarrow 0$  strongly and therefore weakly in  $X$ , but  $Tx_n$  does not converge weakly in  $H$  since  $\|Tx_n\| \geq n$  and every weakly convergent sequence is bounded.

3. Let  $f, f_k : E \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be non-negative Lebesgue integrable functions on a measurable set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . If  $(f_k)$  converges to  $f$  pointwise almost everywhere

$$\int_E f_k dx \rightarrow \int_E f dx,$$

show that

$$\int_E |f - f_k| dx \rightarrow 0.$$

**Solution.**

- Since  $f, f_k \geq 0$ , we have

$$f + f_k - |f - f_k| \geq 0,$$

so Fatou's lemma implies that

$$\int_E \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} (f + f_k - |f - f_k|) dx \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_E (f + f_k - |f - f_k|) dx.$$

- Since  $f_k \rightarrow f$  pointwise a.e. and  $\int_E f_k dx \rightarrow \int_E f dx$ , this gives

$$\int_E 2f dx \leq 2 \int_E f dx - \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_E |f - f_k| dx,$$

so

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_E |f - f_k| dx \leq 0.$$

Since  $|f - f_k| \geq 0$ , it follows that  $\lim \int_E |f - f_k| dx = 0$ .

**Remark.** It's essential to use the positivity of  $f_k$  here. For example, if  $f_k : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined (for  $k \geq 2$ ) by

$$f_k(x) = \begin{cases} -k & \text{if } 0 < x < 1/k, \\ 0 & \text{if } 1/k \leq x \leq 1 - 1/k, \\ k & \text{if } 1 - 1/k < x < 1, \end{cases}$$

then  $f_k \rightarrow 0$  pointwise and  $\int_0^1 f_k dx \rightarrow 0$ , but  $\int_0^1 |f_k| dx \rightarrow 2$ .

4. Let  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  be a pair of orthogonal projections onto  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , respectively, where  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are closed subspaces of a Hilbert space  $H$ . Prove that  $P_1P_2$  is an orthogonal projection if and only if  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  commute. In that case, prove that  $P_1P_2$  is the orthogonal projection onto  $H_1 \cap H_2$ .

**Solution.**

- A linear operator  $P : H \rightarrow H$  is an orthogonal projection if and only if  $P^2 = P$  (projection) and  $P^* = P$  (orthogonal).
- If  $P_1P_2$  is an orthogonal projection, then

$$P_1P_2 = (P_1P_2)^* = P_2^*P_1^* = P_2P_1,$$

where we use the identity  $(AB)^* = B^*A^*$  and the orthogonality of the  $P_i$ , so  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  commute.

- If  $P_1, P_2$  commute and  $P = P_1P_2$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} P^2 &= P_1P_2P_1P_2 = P_1^2P_2^2 = P_1P_2 = P, \\ P^* &= (P_1P_2)^* = P_2^*P_1^* = P_2P_1 = P_1P_2 = P, \end{aligned}$$

so  $P_1P_2$  is an orthogonal projection.

- If  $P_1, P_2$  commute and  $P = P_1P_2$ , then  $\text{ran } P \subset \text{ran } P_1 = H_1$  and  $\text{ran } P = \text{ran}(P_2P_1) \subset \text{ran } P_2 = H_2$ , so  $\text{ran } P \subset H_1 \cap H_2$ . To get the reverse inclusion, suppose that  $x \in H_1 \cap H_2$ . Then  $P_1P_2x = P_1x = x$ , since  $P_i$  is the identity operator on its range  $H_i$ , meaning that  $x \in \text{ran } P$  and  $\text{ran } P \supset H_1 \cap H_2$ . It follows that  $P_1P_2$  is the orthogonal projection onto  $H_1 \cap H_2$ .

5. Let  $H$  be a (separable) Hilbert space with orthonormal basis  $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ . Prove that the linear operator  $T : H \rightarrow H$  defined by

$$T(f_k) = \frac{1}{k}f_{k+1}, \quad k \geq 1$$

is compact but has no eigenvalues.

**Solution.**

- For  $x = \sum_{k=1}^\infty x_k f_k \in H$ , we have

$$Tx = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{x_k}{k} f_{k+1}.$$

If  $Tx = \lambda x$  for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , then

$$\lambda x_1 = 0, \quad \lambda x_{k+1} = \frac{x_k}{k} \quad \text{for every } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

- If  $\lambda \neq 0$ , then  $x_1 = 0$  and, by induction,  $x_k = 0$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . On the other hand, if  $\lambda = 0$ , then it follows immediately that  $x_k = 0$ . In either case,  $x = 0$  and  $\lambda$  is not an eigenvalue.
- An operator  $T : H \rightarrow H$  is compact if it is the operator-norm limit of compact operators. Let  $T_n = P_n T$  where  $P_n$  is the orthogonal projection onto the subspace spanned by  $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\}$ . Then  $T_n$  has finite rank, so it is compact.
- Moreover, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T - T_n\| &= \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|(T - T_n)x\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} \left\| \sum_{k=n}^\infty \frac{x_k}{k} f_{k+1} \right\| \\ &= \sup_{\|x\|=1} \left( \sum_{k=n}^\infty \frac{|x_k|^2}{k^2} \right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{n} \sup_{\|x\|=1} \left( \sum_{k=n}^\infty |x_k|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $T_n \rightarrow T$  with respect to the operator norm, so  $T$  is compact.

- Alternatively, one can show directly that the image of the unit ball under  $T$  is precompact, either by showing it's totally bounded or by use of a diagonal argument.

6. Let  $H_1 = L^2([-\pi, \pi])$  be the Hilbert space of functions  $F(e^{i\theta})$  on the unit circle with inner product

$$(F, G) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} F(e^{i\theta}) \overline{G(e^{i\theta})} dx.$$

Let  $H_2$  be the space  $L_2(\mathbb{R})$ . Using the mapping

$$x \mapsto \frac{i-x}{i+x},$$

of  $\mathbb{R}$  to the unit circle, show that:

(a) The correspondence  $U : F \mapsto f$  with

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\pi^{1/2}(i+x)} F\left(\frac{i-x}{i+x}\right)$$

gives a unitary mapping of  $H_1$  to  $H_2$ .

b) As a result,

$$\left\{ \pi^{-1/2} \left(\frac{i-x}{i+x}\right)^n \frac{1}{i+x} \right\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$$

is an orthonormal basis of  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Solution.**

- (a) A mapping  $U : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  is unitary if and only if it is onto and preserves inner products. Let

$$e^{i\theta} = \frac{i-x}{i+x}, \quad x = i \left( \frac{1-e^{i\theta}}{1+e^{i\theta}} \right) = \tan\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right).$$

Then  $\theta \mapsto x$  defines a diffeomorphism of  $(-\pi, \pi)$  onto  $\mathbb{R}$ , and

$$ie^{i\theta} d\theta = -\frac{2i}{(i+x)^2} dx, \quad \text{or} \quad d\theta = \frac{-2}{(i-x)(i+x)} dx.$$

- If  $F, G \in L^2(-\pi, \pi)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle F, G \rangle_{H_1} &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} F\left(\frac{i-x}{i+x}\right) \overline{G\left(\frac{i-x}{i+x}\right)} \frac{-2}{(i-x)(i+x)} dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\pi^{1/2}(i+x)} F\left(\frac{i-x}{i+x}\right) \overline{\frac{1}{\pi^{1/2}(i+x)} G\left(\frac{i-x}{i+x}\right)} dx \\ &= \langle UF, UG \rangle_{H_2}, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly  $\langle f, g \rangle_{H_2} = \langle U^{-1}f, U^{-1}g \rangle_{H_1}$ , which shows that  $U$  is unitary.

- (b) A unitary transformation maps an orthonormal basis to an orthonormal basis. The functions

$$\pi^{-1/2} \left( \frac{i-x}{i+x} \right)^n \frac{1}{i+x} = U(e^{in\theta})$$

are the images of the standard orthonormal basis vectors  $\{e^{in\theta} : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  of  $L^2(-\pi, \pi)$ , so they form an orthonormal basis of  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ .