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#### Abstract

We prove nonemptyness of domains of proper discontinuity of Anosov groups of affine Lorentzian transformations of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.


There is a substantial body of literature, going back to the pioneering work of Margulis [Ma], on properly discontinuous non-amenable groups of affine transformations, see e.g. [A, AMS02, AMS11, Dr, DGK, GLM, Me], and numerous other papers. In this paper we address a somewhat related question of nonemptyness of domains of proper discontinuity of discrete groups acting on affine spaces:

Question 1. Which discrete subgroups $\Gamma<A f f\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ have nonempty discontinuity domain in the affine space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ ?

In this paper we limit ourselves to the following setting: Suppose that $\Gamma<\mathbb{R}^{n} \rtimes O(n-1,1)<$ $\operatorname{Aff}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is a discrete subgroup such that the linear projection $\ell: \Gamma \rightarrow O(n-1,1)$ is a faithful representation with convex-cocompact image, see e.g. [Bo] for the precise definition. Given a representation $\ell: \Gamma \rightarrow O(n-1,1)$, the affine action of $\Gamma$ is determined by a cocycle $c \in Z^{1}\left(\Gamma, \mathbb{R}_{\ell}^{n-1,1}\right)$. Even in the case $n=3$ and $\ell(\Gamma)$ a Schottky subgroup of $O(2,1)$ (which is the setting of Margulis' original examples), while some actions are properly discontinuous on the entire $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ (as proven by Margulis, see also [GLM] for a general description of such actions), nonemptyness of domains of discontinuity for arbitrary $c$ does not appear to be obvious ${ }^{1}$.

The main result of this note is:
Theorem 2. Every subgroup $\Gamma<\mathbb{R}^{n} \rtimes O(n-1,1)$ with faithful convex-cocompact linear representation $\ell: \Gamma \rightarrow O(n-1,1)$, acts properly discontinuously on a nonempty open subset of the Lorentzian space $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1}$.

We will prove this theorem by applying results on domains of discontinuity for discrete group actions on flag manifolds proven in [KLP3]. To this end, we will begin by identifying

[^0]the Lorentzian space $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1}$ with an open Schubert cell in a partial flag manifold of the group $G=O(n, 2)$.

Consider the group $G=O(n, 2)$ and its symmetric space $X=G / K, K=O(n) \times O(2)$. The group $G$ has two partial flag manifolds: the Grassmannian $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ of isotropic lines and another partial flag manifold $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ of isotropic planes in $V=\mathbb{R}^{n, 2}$, where the quadratic form on $V$ is

$$
q=x_{1} y_{1}+x_{2} y_{2}+z_{1}^{2}+\ldots+z_{n}^{2}
$$

We will use the notation $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ for the associated bilinear form on $V$.
In the paper we will be using the Tits boundary $\partial_{\text {Tits }} X$ of the symmetric space $X$ and the incidence geometry interpretation of $\partial_{\text {Tits }} X$. The Tits boundary $\partial_{\text {Tits }} X$ is a metric bipartite graph whose vertices are labelled lines and planes, these are the elements of $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ respectively. Two vertices $L \in \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ and $p \in \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ are connected by an edge iff the line $L$ is contained in the plane $p$. The edges of this bipartite graph have length $\pi / 4$. We refer the reader to $[\mathrm{Br}],[\mathrm{G}]$ and $[\mathrm{T}]$.

The group $G$ acts transitively on the set of edges of $\partial_{\text {Tits }} X$ and we can identify the quotient $\partial_{\text {Tits }} X / G$ with $\sigma_{\text {mod }}$, the model spherical chamber of $\partial_{\text {Tits }} X$. Thus $\sigma_{\text {mod }}$ is a circular segment of the length $\pi / 4$. This segment has two vertices, one of which we denote $\tau_{\text {mod }}$, this is the one which is the projection of $\mathrm{F}_{1}$. The flag manifold $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ is the quotient $G / P_{L}$, where $P_{L}$ is the stabilizer of an isotropic line $L$ in $G$; this flag manifold is $n$-dimensional.

Recall that two vertices of $\partial_{\text {Tits }} X$ are opposite iff they are within Tits distance $\pi$ from each other. In terms of the incidence geometry of the vector space $(V, q)$, two lines $L, \hat{L} \in \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ are opposite iff that they span the plane $\operatorname{span}(L, \hat{L})$ in $V$ such that the restriction of $q$ to $\operatorname{span}(L, \hat{L})$ is nondegenerate, necessarily of the type $(1,1)$. Two lines $L, L^{\prime} \in \mathrm{F}_{1}$ are within Tits distance $\pi / 2$ iff they span an isotropic plane in $V$.

Consider a subgroup $P_{L}<G$; it is a maximal parabolic subgroup of $G$; let $U<P_{L}$ be the unipotent radical of $P_{L}$. Choosing a line $\hat{L}$ opposite to $L$, defines a semidirect product decomposition $P_{L}=U \rtimes G_{L, \hat{L}}$, where $G_{L, \hat{L}}$ is the stabilizer in $P_{L}$ of the line $\hat{L}$; equivalently, it is the stabilizer of the parallel set ${ }^{2} P(L, \hat{L})$. This subgroup is the intersection

$$
G_{L, \hat{L}}=P_{L} \cap P_{\hat{L}} .
$$

The orthogonal complement $V_{L, \hat{L}} \subset V$ of the anisotropic plane $\operatorname{span}(L, \hat{L})$ is invariant under $G_{L, \hat{L}}$, hence,

$$
G_{L, \hat{L}} \cong \mathbb{R}_{+} \times O\left(V_{L, \hat{L}},\left.q\right|_{V_{L, \hat{L}}}\right) \cong \mathbb{R}_{+} \times O(n-1,1)
$$

Here the group $\mathbb{R}_{+}$acts via transvections along geodesics in the symmetric space $X$ connecting $L$ and $\hat{L}$. The group $G_{L, \hat{L}}$ acts on both $\left(V^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right)=\left(V_{L, \hat{L}},\left.q\right|_{V_{L, \hat{L}}}\right)$ and on $U$, where the action of $\mathbb{R}_{+}$on $V^{\prime}=V_{L, \hat{L}}$ is trivial. In order to simplify the notation, we set

$$
O\left(q^{\prime}\right)=O\left(V^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right)
$$

[^1]In terms of linear algebra, $\mathbb{R}_{+}$is the identity component of the orthogonal group

$$
O\left(\operatorname{span}(L, \hat{L}),\left.q\right|_{\operatorname{span}(L, \hat{L})}\right) \cong O(1,1)
$$

We will use the notation

$$
G_{L}^{\prime}:=U \rtimes O\left(q^{\prime}\right)<P_{L}
$$

This subgroup is the stabilizer in $P_{L}$ of horoballs in $X$ centered at $L$.
Our next goal is to describe Schubert cells in the Grassmannian $\mathrm{F}_{1}$. We fix $L \in \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ and define the subvariety $Q_{L} \subset \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ consisting of all (isotropic) lines $L^{\prime} \subset V$ such that $\operatorname{span}\left(L, L^{\prime}\right)$ is isotropic (the line $L$ or an isotropic plane). In terms of the Tits' distance, $Q_{L}-\{L\}$ consists of lines $L^{\prime} \in \mathrm{F}_{1}$ within distance $\frac{\pi}{2}$ from $L$. The complement

$$
L^{o p p}=\mathrm{F}_{1}-Q_{L}
$$

consists of lines opposite to $L$. The group $P_{L}$ acts transitively on $\{L\}, Q_{L}-\{L\}$ and $L^{\text {opp }}$ and each of these subsets is an open Schubert cell of $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ with respect to $P_{L}$ and we obtain the $P_{L}$-invariant Schubert cell decomposition

$$
\mathrm{F}_{1}=\{L\} \sqcup\left(Q_{L}-\{L\}\right) \sqcup L^{o p p} .
$$

We next describe $Q_{L}$ more geometrically. A vector $v \in V$ spans an isotropic subspace with $L$ iff $v \in L^{\perp}$ and satisfies the quadratic equation $q(v)=0$. Since we are only interested in nonzero vectors $v \neq 0$ and their spans $\operatorname{span}(v)$, we obtain the natural identification

$$
Q_{L} \cong \mathbb{P}\left(q^{-1}(0) \cap L^{\perp}\right)
$$

the right hand-side is the projectivization a conic in $L^{\perp}$. Thus, $Q_{L}$ is a (projective) conic and $L \in Q_{L}$ is the unique singular point of the $Q_{L}$.

Lemma 3. Given two opposite isotropic lines $L, \hat{L}$, the intersection of the conics

$$
E=E_{L, \hat{L}}:=Q_{L} \cap Q_{\hat{L}}
$$

is an ellipsoid in $Q_{L}$.
Proof. As before, let $V^{\prime} \subset V$ denote the codimension two subspace orthogonal to both $L, \hat{L}$. Then each $L^{\prime} \in E$ is spanned by a vector $v \in V^{\prime}$ satisfying the condition $q(v)=0$. In other words, $E$ is the projectivization of the conic

$$
\left\{v \in V^{\prime}: q(v)=0\right\}
$$

i.e. is an ellipsoid.

Our next goal is to (equivariantly) identify the open cell $L^{o p p}$ with the $n$-dimensional Lorentzian affine space $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1}$ (where a chosen $\hat{L} \in L^{\text {opp }}$ will serve as the origin), so that
the group $P_{L}$ is identified with the group of Lorentzian similarities, where the simply-transitive action $U \frown L^{o p p}$ is identified with the action of the full group of translations of $\mathbb{R}^{n-1,1}$.

We fix nonzero vectors $e \in L, f \in \hat{L}$ such that $\langle e, f\rangle=1$. Then

$$
V=\operatorname{span}(e) \oplus \operatorname{span}(f) \oplus V^{\prime}
$$

We obtain an epimorphism $\eta: P_{L} \rightarrow O\left(q^{\prime}\right)$ by sending $g \in P_{L}$ first to the restriction $g \mid L^{\perp}$ and then to the projection of the latter to the quotient space $V^{\prime} \cong L^{\perp} / L$ (the quotient of $L^{\perp}$ by the null-subspace of $\left.q \mid L^{\perp}\right)$. Hence, the kernel of this epimorphism is precisely the solvable radical $U \rtimes \mathbb{R}_{+}$of $P_{L}$.

For each $v^{\prime} \in V^{\prime}$ we define the linear transformation (a shear) $s=s_{v^{\prime}} \in G L(V)$ by its action on $e, f$ and $V^{\prime}$ :

1. $s(e)=e$.
2. $s(f)=-\frac{1}{2} q\left(v^{\prime}\right) e+f+v^{\prime}$.
3. For $w \in V^{\prime}, s(w)=w-\left\langle v^{\prime}, w\right\rangle e$.

The next two lemmata are proven by straightforward calculations which we omit:
Lemma 4. For each $s=s_{v^{\prime}}$ the following hold:

1. $s \in P_{L}$.
2. s lies in the kernel of the homomorphism $\eta: P_{L} \rightarrow G L\left(V^{\prime}\right)$ and is unipotent. In particular, $s \in U$ for each $v^{\prime} \in V$.

Lemma 5. The map $\phi: v^{\prime} \mapsto s_{v^{\prime}}$ is a continuous monomorphism $V^{\prime} \rightarrow U$, where we equip the vector space $V^{\prime}$ with the additive group structure.

Since $U$ acts simply transitively on $L^{o p p}$, it is connected and has dimension $n$. Therefore, the monomorphism $\phi$ is surjective and, hence, a continuous isomorphism. Thus, $\phi$ determines a homeomorphism $h: V^{\prime} \rightarrow L^{o p p}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
h: v^{\prime} \mapsto s_{v^{\prime}}(\hat{L})=\operatorname{span}\left(-\frac{1}{2} q\left(v^{\prime}\right) e+f+v^{\prime}\right), \\
h(0)=\hat{L}
\end{gathered}
$$

The group $G_{L, \hat{L}} \cong \mathbb{R}_{+} \times O\left(V^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right)$ acts on both $L^{o p p}$ and on $U$ (via conjugation). The center of $G_{L, \hat{L}}$ acts on $V^{\prime}$ trivially while its action on $U$ is via a nontrivial character.

Proposition 6. The map $h$ is equivariant with respect to these two actions of $O\left(V^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right)$.
Proof. Consider a linear transformation $A \in O\left(V^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right)$; as before, we identify $O\left(V^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right)$ with a subgroup of $O(V, q)$ fixing $e$ and $f$. For an arbitrary $v^{\prime} \in V^{\prime}$ we will verify that

$$
s_{A v^{\prime}}=A s_{v^{\prime}} A^{-1}
$$

It suffices to verify this identity on the vectors $e, f$ and arbitrary $w \in V^{\prime}$. We have:

1. For each $u \in V^{\prime}, s_{u}(e)=e$, while $A(e)=A^{-1}(e)=e$. It follows that

$$
e=s_{A v^{\prime}}(e)=A s_{v^{\prime}} A^{-1}(e)=e .
$$

2. 

$$
s_{A v^{\prime}}(f)=-\frac{1}{2} q\left(A v^{\prime}\right) e+f+A v^{\prime}=-\frac{1}{2} q\left(v^{\prime}\right) e+f+A v^{\prime}
$$

while (since $A e=e, A f=f$ )

$$
A s_{v^{\prime}} A^{-1}(f)=A s_{v^{\prime}}(f)=A\left(-\frac{1}{2} q\left(v^{\prime}\right) e+f+v^{\prime}\right)=-\frac{1}{2} q\left(v^{\prime}\right) e+f+A v^{\prime}
$$

3. For $w \in V^{\prime}$,

$$
s_{A v^{\prime}}(w)=w-\left\langle A v^{\prime}, w\right\rangle e=w-\left\langle v^{\prime}, A^{-1} w\right\rangle e
$$

while

$$
A s_{v^{\prime}} A^{-1} w=A s_{v^{\prime}}\left(A^{-1} w\right)=A\left(A^{-1} w-\left\langle v^{\prime}, A^{-1} w\right\rangle e\right)=w-\left\langle v^{\prime}, A^{-1} w\right\rangle e .
$$

In view of this proposition we will identify $V^{\prime}$ with the open Schubert cell $L^{o p p}$, which, in turn, enables us to use Lorentzian geometry to analyze $L^{o p p}$ and, conversely, to study discrete subgroups of $P_{L}$ using results of [KLP3] on domains of discontinuity of discrete group actions on the flag manifold $\mathrm{F}_{1}$. Under the identification $V^{\prime} \cong L^{\text {opp }}$, for each $\hat{L} \in L^{\text {opp }}$, the conic $Q_{\hat{L}} \cap L^{\text {opp }}$ becomes a translate of the null-cone of the form $q^{\prime}$ on $V^{\prime}$ (see Lemma 7 below) and the flag manifold $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ becomes a compactification of $V^{\prime}$ obtained by adding to it the "quadric at infinity" $Q_{L}$.

Lemma 7. For all $v^{\prime} \in V^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\left(v^{\prime}\right)=0$ iff $q$ vanishes on $\operatorname{span}\left(f, h\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right)$, i.e. iff $h\left(v^{\prime}\right) \in Q_{\hat{L}}$. In other words, $Q_{\hat{L}} \cap L^{\text {opp }}$ is the image under $h$ of the null-cone of $q^{\prime}$ in the vector space $V^{\prime}$.

Proof. Since $f$ and $s_{v^{\prime}}(f)$ (spanning the line $h\left(v^{\prime}\right)$ ) are null-vectors of $q$, the vanishing of $q$ on $\operatorname{span}\left(f, h\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right)$ is equivalent to the vanishing of

$$
\left\langle f, s_{v^{\prime}}(f)\right\rangle=-\frac{1}{2} q\left(v^{\prime}\right)
$$

Lemma 8. For each neighborhood $N$ of $L$ in $Q_{L}$ there exists $\hat{L} \in L^{\text {opp }}$ such that $E_{L, \hat{L}} \subset N$.
Proof. We pick $L_{\infty} \in \mathrm{F}_{1}$ opposite to $L$ and, as above, identify $L_{\infty}^{o p p}$ with $\left(V^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right)$. Then for a sequence $\hat{L}_{i} \in L_{\infty}^{o p p}$ contained in the, say, future light cone of $Q_{L} \cap L_{\infty}^{o p p}$ and converging radially to $L$, the intersections of null-cones $E_{L, L_{i}}=Q_{L_{i}} \cap Q_{L}$ converge to $L$. Since $L_{i} \notin Q_{L}$, they are all opposite to $L$.

For each subset $C \subset \mathrm{~F}_{1}$, we define the thickening of $C$ :

$$
\operatorname{Th}(C)=\bigcup_{L \in C} Q_{L}
$$

This notion of thickening is a special case of the one developed in [KLP3] (see also [KL2]): If we restrict to a single apartment $a$ in the Tits building of $G$, then for the vertex $L \in a$, $\operatorname{Th}(L) \cap a=Q_{L} \cap a$ consists of three vertices within Tits distance $\frac{\pi}{2}$ from $L$. Thus, in the terminology of [KLP3], the thickening Th is fat.

Lemma 9. For any two opposite lines $L, \hat{L} \in \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ and each compact subset $C \subset Q_{\hat{L}} \cap L^{\text {opp }}$, the intersection $T h(C) \cap L^{o p p}$ is a proper subset of $L^{\text {opp }}$.

Proof. Let $H \subset L^{o p p} \cong V^{\prime}$ be an affine hyperplane in $V^{\prime}$ intersecting $Q_{\hat{L}}$ only at $\hat{L}$. Then

$$
C^{\prime}:=\left\{L^{\prime} \in H: Q_{L^{\prime}} \cap C \neq \varnothing\right\}
$$

is compact in $H$. Next, observe that for $L_{1}, L_{2} \in \mathrm{~F}_{1}, L_{1} \in Q_{L_{2}} \Longleftrightarrow L_{2} \in Q_{L_{1}}$. Thus, every $L^{\prime} \in H-C^{\prime}$ does not belong to $\operatorname{Th}(C)$.

Lemma 10. For each compact $C \subset Q_{L}-\{L\}$ the thickening $T h(C)$ is a proper subset of $\mathrm{F}_{1}$.
Proof. Lemma 8 implies that there exists $L_{\infty} \in L^{o p p}$ such that $E_{L, L_{\infty}}$ is disjoint from $C$. Thus, $C$ is contained in $L_{\infty}^{o p p}$. Now the claim follows from Lemma 9.

We now turn to discrete subgroups $\Gamma<G_{L}^{\prime}<P_{L}<G$. We refer the reader to [KLP3] for the notion of $\tau_{\text {mod }}$-regular discrete subgroups $\Gamma<G$ and their $\tau_{\text {mod }}$-limit sets, which are certain closed $\Gamma$-invariant subsets of $\mathrm{F}_{1}$.

Remark 11. We must also note that the notion equivalent to $\tau_{\text {mod }}$-regularity and the $\tau_{\text {mod }}$-lit set was first introduced by Benoist in his highly influential work [Ben].

An important class of $\tau_{\text {mod }}$-regular discrete subgroups $\Gamma<G$ consists of $\tau_{\text {mod }}$-Anosov subgroups. Anosov representations $\Gamma \rightarrow G$ whose images are Anosov subgroups were first introduced in [La] for fundamental groups of closed manifolds of negative curvature, then in [GW] for arbitrary hyperbolic groups; we refer the reader to our papers [KLP4, KLP5, KL1], for a simplification of the original definition as well as for alternative definitions and to [KL2, KLP2] for surveys of the results.

Lemma 12. The $\tau_{\text {mod }}$-limit set $\Lambda_{\tau_{\text {mod }}}(\Gamma)$ of every $\tau_{\text {mod }}$-regular discrete subgroup $\Gamma<P_{L}$ is contained in $Q_{L}$.

Proof. Recall that $G_{L}^{\prime}$ and, hence, $\Gamma$, preserves each horoball $H b o$ in $X$ centered at $L$, where the latter is regarded as a point of the visual boundary of the symmetric space $X$. Therefore, for each $x \in H b o$, the closure of $\Gamma x$ in $\bar{X}=X \cup \partial_{\infty} X$ is contained in the ideal boundary of $H b o$, which is the closed $\frac{\pi}{2}$-ball $\bar{B}\left(L, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ in $\partial_{\infty} X$ centered at $L$, where the distance is computed in the Tits metric on $\partial_{\infty} X$. For each vertex $\tau$ of the building $\partial_{\text {Tits }} X$ which belongs to $\bar{B}\left(L, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ the star $\operatorname{st}(\tau) \subset \partial_{\infty} X$ is contained in the closed ball in $\partial_{\infty} X$ of the radius $\frac{3 \pi}{4}$ centered at $L$. Therefore, the intersection of $\operatorname{st}(\tau)$ with the Grassmannian $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ is contained in $\bar{B}\left(L, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$. It follows from the definition of the $\tau_{\text {mod }}$-limit set that $\Lambda_{\tau_{\text {mod }}}(\Gamma)$ is contained in $\mathrm{F}_{1} \cap \bar{B}\left(L, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)=Q_{L}$.

Proposition 13. Suppose that $\Gamma<G_{L}^{\prime}$ is a $\tau_{\text {mod }}$-regular discrete subgroup whose $\tau_{\text {mod }}$-limit set does not contain L. Then

$$
\operatorname{Th}\left(\Lambda_{\tau_{\text {mod }}}(\Gamma)\right) \neq \mathrm{F}_{1}
$$

and the action

$$
\Gamma \frown \mathrm{F}_{1}-\operatorname{Th}\left(\Lambda_{\tau_{m o d}}(\Gamma)\right)
$$

is properly discontinuous.
Proof. Since $\Lambda_{\tau_{\text {mod }}}(\Gamma)$ is a compact subset of $Q_{L}$, the first statement of the proposition is a special case of Lemma 10. The proper discontinuity statement is a special case of a general theorem [KLP3, Theorem 6.13] since the thickening Th is fat.

We now describe certain conditions on $\tau_{\text {mod }}$-regular discrete subgroups $\Gamma<G_{L}^{\prime}$ which will ensure that $\Lambda_{\tau_{\text {mod }}}(\Gamma)$ does not contain the point $L$. Each subgroup $\Gamma<G_{L}^{\prime}$ has the linear part $\Gamma_{0}$, i.e. its projection to $O\left(q^{\prime}\right) \cong O(n-1,1)$, which is identified with the semisimple factor of the stabilizer in $P_{L}$ of some $\hat{L} \in L^{\text {opp }}$. We now assume that:

- $\Gamma_{0}$ is a convex-cocompact subgroup of $O(n-1,1)$.
- The projection

$$
\ell: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma_{0}
$$

is an isomorphism.
Since $\Gamma_{0}<O\left(q^{\prime}\right)$ is convex-cocompact and $O\left(q^{\prime}\right)<P_{L}$ is the Levi subgroup of the parabolic group $P_{L}$ stabilizing a face of type $\tau_{\text {mod }}$ of $\partial_{\text {Tits }} X$, it follows that $\Gamma_{0}<G$ is a $\tau_{\text {mod }}$-Anosov subgroup of $G$; the $\tau_{\text {mod }}$-limit set of $\Gamma_{0}$ is contained in the visual boundary of the cross-section (isometric to $\mathbb{H}^{n-1}$ ) of the parallel set $P(L, \hat{L})$; in particular, $\Lambda_{\tau_{m o d}}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)$ does not contain $L$.

Given a subgroup $\Gamma_{0}<O\left(q^{\prime}\right)$, the inverse $\rho: \Gamma_{0} \rightarrow \Gamma$ to $\ell: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma_{0}$ is determined by a cocycle $c \in Z^{1}\left(\Gamma_{0}, V^{\prime}\right)$ which describes the translational parts of the elements of $\Gamma$ :

$$
\rho(\gamma): v \mapsto \gamma v+c(\gamma), v \in V^{\prime} \cong \mathbb{R}^{n-1,1} .
$$

Pick some $t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$; then $t c$ is again a cocycle corresponding to the conjugate representation $\rho^{t}$, where we identity $t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$with a central element of $G_{L, \hat{L}}$. Sending $t \rightarrow 0$ we obtain:

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \rho^{t}=i d
$$

the identity embedding $\Gamma_{0} \rightarrow O(n-1,1)<P_{L}$. In view of stability of Anosov representations (see [GW] and [KLP1]) we conclude that all representations $\rho^{t}$ are $\tau_{\text {mod }}$-Anosov and the $\tau_{\text {mod }}{ }^{-}$ limit sets of $\Gamma_{t}=\rho^{t}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)$ vary continuously with $t$; moreover,

$$
t \Lambda_{\tau_{\text {mod }}}\left(\Gamma_{t_{1}}\right)=\Lambda_{\tau_{\text {mod }}}\left(\Gamma_{t_{2}}\right)
$$

where $t=t_{2} / t_{1}$. In particular,

$$
\Lambda_{\tau_{\text {mod }}}(\Gamma) \subset Q_{L}-\{L\}
$$

is a compact subset. Proposition 13 now implies:

Corollary 14. For each $\Gamma$ as above,

$$
\operatorname{Th}\left(\Lambda_{\tau_{\text {mod }}}(\Gamma)\right) \neq \mathrm{F}_{1}
$$

and the action

$$
\Gamma \frown \mathrm{F}_{1}-\operatorname{Th}\left(\Lambda_{\tau_{\text {mod }}}(\Gamma)\right)
$$

is properly discontinuous.
Thus, we proved that each discrete subgroup $\Gamma<P_{L}$ as above has nonempty domain of discontinuity in the vector space $V^{\prime}$. Theorem 2 follows.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The reaction to the question that we observed included: "clearly true", "clearly false", "unclear".

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ The parallel set $P(L, \hat{L})$ is a certain symmetric subspace in $X$, which is the union of all geodesics $l$ in $X$ which are forward-asymptotic to $L \in \partial_{\text {Tits }} X$ and backward-asymptotic to $\hat{L} \in \partial_{\text {Tits }} X$. The parallel set splits isometrically as the product $l \times \mathbb{H}^{n-1}$, where $\mathbb{H}^{n-1}$ is the cross-section of $P(L, \hat{L})$.

