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## Outline of the Talk

1 Introduce $\mathcal{G}_{p}$ and a Conjecture on Markoff $\bmod p$ Connectivity
2 A Lower Bound for Connectivity of $\mathcal{G}_{p}$
3 Introduce Maximal Divisors $M_{d}(n)$
4 A Better Lower Bound from $M_{d}(n)$

## Markoff Triples - What Are They?

A Markoff triple $(x, y, z)$ is a non-negative integer triple satisfying the Markoff equation

$$
\mathcal{M}: x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}=3 x y z
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- Zagier (1982) showed that the number of Markoff triples with $x \leq y \leq z \leq T$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$ grows like

$$
\begin{aligned}
C(\log (T))^{2}+ & O\left(\log (T) \log (\log (T))^{2}\right) \\
\text { with } C & \approx 0.180717047
\end{aligned}
$$

## Orbit Structure of Markoff Triples

There are three involutions acting on $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{Z})$ (Vieta moves):

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{1}(x, y, z)= & (3 y z-x, y, z), \quad R_{2}(x, y, z)=(x, 3 x z-y, z), \\
& R_{3}(x, y, z)=(x, y, 3 x y-z)
\end{aligned}
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Markoff showed that under the action of the $R_{1}, R_{2}, R_{3}, \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{Z})$ consists of two orbits, one "small" (solely ( $0,0,0$ ) ) and one "large" (generated by $(1,1,1)$ )

$$
(1,1,1) \frac{R_{3}}{(1,1,2) \frac{R_{2}}{-}(1,5,2) \frac{R_{3}}{R_{1}}(1,5,13) \frac{\frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}(1,34,13)}{}(194,5,13) \_\cdots} \begin{aligned}
& R_{1} \\
& R_{2} \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}(29,169,2) \_\cdots:
$$

## Markoff Graph mod $p$

Consider the graph $\mathcal{G}_{p}$ where vertices are given by non-( $0,0,0$ ) solutions to $\mathcal{M}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ and an edge exists between two vertices if they are related by a Vieta involution.
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## Strong Approximation for $\mathcal{G}_{p}$

## Conjecture (Strong Approximation Conjecture, Baragar (1991))

The projection map $\pi_{p}: \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{p}$ is surjective, or equivalently, the Markoff mod $p$ graphs are connected for all primes $p$.
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## Theorem (Chen (2022))

There exists a prime $p_{0}$ such that for all $p \geq p_{0}, \mathcal{G}_{p}$ is connected.
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The window from $10^{6}$ to $10^{392}$ has yet to be filled in!

## A Preliminary Bound

Proposition (Eddy-Fuchs-L.-Martin-Tripeny ('23))
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We will outline how this result is obtained to illuminate the general strategy for our stronger result

## Parametrizing Markoff Triples

- A triple $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$ with $a \neq 0, \pm \frac{2}{3}$ solves $x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}=3 x y z$ if and only if it is of the form
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- The orbit of this triple under $R_{2}$ and $R_{3}$ consists precisely of triples of the form
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To show connectivity, it suffices to show $\mathcal{G}_{p} \backslash \mathcal{C}_{p}$ is empty (which by Chen has size divisible by $p$ )
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- This triple is connected to those whose other entries are of the form $\frac{\left(r+r^{-1}\right)\left(s r^{n}+\left(s r^{n}\right)^{-1}\right)}{r-r^{-1}}$ for some $n$
- Since $d$ is maximal, the order of $\frac{\left(r+r^{-1}\right)\left(s r^{n}+\left(s r^{n}\right)^{-1}\right)}{r-r^{-1}}=f+f^{-1}$ (call it $d^{\prime}$ ) must satisfy $d^{\prime} \leq d, d^{\prime} \mid p \pm 1$
- So our aim is to bound the number of possible exponents $n$ for which $\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(\frac{\left(r+r^{-1}\right)\left(s r^{n}+\left(s r^{n}\right)^{-1}\right)}{r-r^{-1}}\right)=d^{\prime}$ divides $d$
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Considering both cases separately and rearranging yields...

## First Connectivity Criterion

## Proposition (Eddy-Fuchs-L.-Martin-Tripeny ('23))
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Applying standard bounds for $\tau$ and $\phi$ yields our $10^{532}$ bound

## Maximal Divisors

## Definition

For a natural number $n$ and real $\ell$, let $\mathcal{M}_{\ell}(n)$ denote the set of divisors $d$ of $n$ less than or equal to $\ell$ such that no other divisor $d^{\prime}$ of $n$ less than or equal to $\ell$ divides $d$

As $\ell$ increases, $\mathcal{M}_{\ell}(n)$ is constant between any two consecutive divisors of $n$, so we only need to check $\mathcal{M}_{d}(n)$ at $d \mid n$
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For a natural number $n$ and real $\ell$, let $\mathcal{M}_{\ell}(n)$ denote the set of divisors $d$ of $n$ less than or equal to $\ell$ such that no other divisor $d^{\prime}$ of $n$ less than or equal to $\ell$ divides $d$

As $\ell$ increases, $\mathcal{M}_{\ell}(n)$ is constant between any two consecutive divisors of $n$, so we only need to check $\mathcal{M}_{d}(n)$ at $d \mid n$
$\star$ In our previous sum, we can replace all divisors of $p \pm 1$ less than $d$, $\mathcal{T}_{d}$, with $\mathcal{M}_{d}(p \pm 1)$ to lessen the overcounting of solutions $\star$

## Updated Connectivity Criterion using Maximal Divisors
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The first few primes for which this theorem guarantees connectivity of $\mathcal{G}_{p}$ are $p=3,7,101$ and $1,327,363$ (a gap on the order of $10^{6}$ )

## A Bound on Maximal Divisors

## Theorem (Eddy-Fuchs-L.-Martin-Tripeny ('23))

For any $\varepsilon>0$, if $\alpha \in[\varepsilon, 1-\varepsilon]$ then
$\log \left|\mathcal{M}_{n^{\alpha}}(n)\right|=\log \left(\frac{1}{\alpha^{\alpha}(1-\alpha)^{1-\alpha}}\right) \frac{\log n}{\log \log n}+O\left(\frac{\log n}{(\log \log n)^{2}}\right)$.
The implied constant depends only on $\varepsilon$.
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For any $\varepsilon>0$, if $\alpha \in[\varepsilon, 1-\varepsilon]$ then
$\log \left|\mathcal{M}_{n^{\alpha}}(n)\right|=\log \left(\frac{1}{\alpha^{\alpha}(1-\alpha)^{1-\alpha}}\right) \frac{\log n}{\log \log n}+O\left(\frac{\log n}{(\log \log n)^{2}}\right)$.
The implied constant depends only on $\varepsilon$.
We can now apply this to our connectivity criterion to deduce the following...

## A Stronger Bound on $p_{0}$ from Maximal Divisors

## Theorem

$\mathcal{G}_{p}$ is connected for all primes

$$
p>863 \# 53 \# 13 \# 7 \# 5 \# 3^{3} 2^{5} \approx 3.448 \cdot 10^{392}
$$

where $n \#$ denotes the product of primes less than or equal to $n$.

## A Stronger Bound on $p_{0}$ from Maximal Divisors

## Theorem

$\mathcal{G}_{p}$ is connected for all primes

$$
p>863 \# 53 \# 13 \# 7 \# 5 \# 3^{3} 2^{5} \approx 3.448 \cdot 10^{392}
$$

where $n \#$ denotes the product of primes less than or equal to $n$.
$p=863 \# 53 \# 13 \# 7 \# 5 \# 3^{3} 2^{5}-1471$ is the largest prime for which we do not know if $\mathcal{G}_{p}$ is connected.

## Testing for Smaller Values of $10^{n}$

| $n$ | $q_{10000}\left(10^{n}\right)$ | $r_{10000}\left(10^{n}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | $20.22 \%$ | $38.12 \%$ |
| 9 | $49.04 \%$ | $67.46 \%$ |
| 10 | $76.41 \%$ | $87.05 \%$ |
| 11 | $90.78 \%$ | $95.33 \%$ |
| 12 | $97.10 \%$ | $98.29 \%$ |
| 13 | $98.65 \%$ | $99.11 \%$ |
| 14 | $99.44 \%$ | $99.52 \%$ |
| 15 | $99.74 \%$ | $99.83 \%$ |
| 16 | $99.88 \%$ | $99.88 \%$ |
| 17 | $99.93 \%$ | $99.95 \%$ |
| 18 | $99.97 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| 19 | $99.97 \%$ | $99.97 \%$ |
| 20 | $99.97 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| 21 | $99.99 \%$ | $99.99 \%$ |


| $n$ | $q_{10000}\left(10^{n}\right)$ | $r_{10000}\left(10^{n}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| 23 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| 24 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| 25 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| 26 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| 27 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| 28 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| 29 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| 30 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| 31 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| 32 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| 33 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| 34 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| 35 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

$q_{m}\left(10^{n}\right)=$ the percentage of the first $m$ primes after $10^{n}$ for which the Connectivity Criterion guarantees connectivity of $\mathcal{G}_{p}$ $r_{m}\left(10^{n}\right)=$ the percentage of $m$ random primes between $10^{n}$ and $10^{n+1}$ for which the Connectivity Criterion guarantees connectivity of $\mathcal{G}_{p}$.

## Thank You!



Plot of $\left|\mathcal{M}_{d_{i}}(n)\right|$ as $i$ ranges from 1 to the number of divisors of $n=323232323232323232$

