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## Introduction and Inspiration

■ For $q$ prime, the field $\mathbb{Z}_{q}$ has a cyclic group of units $\mathbb{Z}_{q}^{\times}$.
■ The subgroup structure of $\mathbb{Z}_{q}^{\times}$has been well-studied.

- Little is known about the additive gaps between elements of the same order.

■ Here we aim to classify the positive integers $n$ for which there exists a prime $q$ so that $\mathbb{Z}_{q}$ contains adjacent elements of multiplicative order $n$.

## Example: $\mathbb{Z}_{11}$

$$
\begin{array}{c|cccccccccc}
x & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 \\
\operatorname{ord}(x) & 1 & 10 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 10 & 10 & 10 & 5 & 2
\end{array}
$$

where the order of $x$ is the smallest positive integer $k$ such that

$$
x^{k} \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod q)
$$
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where the order of $x$ is the smallest positive integer $k$ such that
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## Remark

Given $n$, we want to guarantee that modulo some prime $q$, we can find adjacent elements of order $n$.

## Lucas Numbers and Mersenne Numbers

## Definition

The nth Lucas number $L_{n}$ is given by the linear recurrence

$$
L_{n}=L_{n-1}+L_{n-2}
$$

with the initial conditions $L_{0}=2$ and $L_{1}=1$.

## Lucas Numbers and Mersenne Numbers

## Definition

The nth Lucas number $L_{n}$ is given by the linear recurrence

$$
L_{n}=L_{n-1}+L_{n-2}
$$

with the initial conditions $L_{0}=2$ and $L_{1}=1$.

## Definition

The nth Mersenne number is of the form $M_{n}=2^{n}-1$.
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## Necessary Tools

## Definition

The nth cyclotomic polynomial, denoted $\Phi_{n}(x)$ is a monic, irreducible polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ having the primitive nth roots of unity in the complex plane as its roots.


## The Resultant

## Definition

The resultant of two polynomials over a field $K$ is defined as the product of the differences of their roots in the algebraic closure of K:

$$
\operatorname{Res}(f, g)=\prod_{x, y \in \bar{K}: f(x)=g(y)=0}(x-y)
$$
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## Remark

We are concerned with the specific norm

$$
N\left(\zeta_{n}-\zeta_{n}^{j}+1\right)=\prod_{(i, n)=1} \zeta_{n}^{i}-\zeta_{n}^{i j}+1
$$

## Boiling Down The Problem

■ For prime $q>n$, an element $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\boldsymbol{q}}$ has order $n$ if and only if $\alpha$ is a root of $\Phi_{n}(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{q}$.

## Boiling Down The Problem

■ For prime $q>n$, an element $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}$ has order $n$ if and only if $\alpha$ is a root of $\Phi_{n}(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{q}$.

- So, $\alpha$ and $\alpha+1$ are both of order $n$ if and only if $\alpha$ is simultaneously a root of $\Phi_{n}(x)$ and $\Phi_{n}(x+1)$.


## Boiling Down The Problem

■ For prime $q>n$, an element $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}$ has order $n$ if and only if $\alpha$ is a root of $\Phi_{n}(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{q}$.

- So, $\alpha$ and $\alpha+1$ are both of order $n$ if and only if $\alpha$ is simultaneously a root of $\Phi_{n}(x)$ and $\Phi_{n}(x+1)$.
- $\Phi_{n}(x)$ and $\Phi_{n}(x+1)$ will share some irreducible factor modulo $q$ whenever $\operatorname{Res}\left(\Phi_{n}(x), \Phi_{n}(x+1)\right) \equiv 0(\bmod q)$.


## Boiling Down The Problem

■ For prime $q>n$, an element $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}$ has order $n$ if and only if $\alpha$ is a root of $\Phi_{n}(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{q}$.

- So, $\alpha$ and $\alpha+1$ are both of order $n$ if and only if $\alpha$ is simultaneously a root of $\Phi_{n}(x)$ and $\Phi_{n}(x+1)$.
- $\Phi_{n}(x)$ and $\Phi_{n}(x+1)$ will share some irreducible factor modulo $q$ whenever $\operatorname{Res}\left(\Phi_{n}(x), \Phi_{n}(x+1)\right) \equiv 0(\bmod q)$.
- It is also known that $\Phi_{n}(x)$ will split into linear factors mod $q$ whenver $q \equiv 1(\bmod n)$.


## Boiling Down The Problem

■ For prime $q>n$, an element $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\boldsymbol{q}}$ has order $n$ if and only if $\alpha$ is a root of $\Phi_{n}(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{q}$.

- So, $\alpha$ and $\alpha+1$ are both of order $n$ if and only if $\alpha$ is simultaneously a root of $\Phi_{n}(x)$ and $\Phi_{n}(x+1)$.
- $\Phi_{n}(x)$ and $\Phi_{n}(x+1)$ will share some irreducible factor modulo $q$ whenever $\operatorname{Res}\left(\Phi_{n}(x), \Phi_{n}(x+1)\right) \equiv 0(\bmod q)$.
- It is also known that $\Phi_{n}(x)$ will split into linear factors mod $q$ whenver $q \equiv 1(\bmod n)$.
- We conclude that if we find a prime $q \equiv 1(\bmod n)$ that divides $\operatorname{Res}\left(\Phi_{n}(x), \Phi_{n}(x+1)\right)$, there are consecutive elements of order $n$ modulo $q$.
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## Boiling Down The Problem, cont.

■ For the remainder of this talk, we say $\Gamma_{n}$ for $\operatorname{Res}\left(\Phi_{n}(x), \Phi_{n}(x+1)\right)$.

- We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{n}=\operatorname{Res}\left(\Phi_{n}(x), \Phi_{n}(x+1)\right) & =\prod_{(i, n)=1} \prod_{(j, n)=1}\left(\zeta_{n}^{i}-\zeta_{n}^{j}+1\right) \\
& =\prod_{(i, n)=1} N\left(\zeta_{n}-\zeta_{n}^{i}+1\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

- We are thus concerned with finding prime divisors of these norms which are 1 modulo $n$.
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## Lemma

For any $n>6$, every primitive prime divisor $p$ of $M_{n}$ satisfies $p \equiv 1$ $(\bmod n)$
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- Suppose $p$ is a primitive prime divisor of $M_{n}=2^{n}-1$.
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## Proof

- Suppose $p$ is a primitive prime divisor of $M_{n}=2^{n}-1$.
- We have $2^{n} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$, so $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(2) \mid n$.

■ If $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(2)=d<n$, then $p \mid 2^{d}-1$, which is a contradiction.
$■$ We conclude that $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(2)=n$, so $n\left|\left|\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}\right|=p-1\right.$, and $p \equiv 1(\bmod n)$.
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## Results

## Theorem

There exists a prime $q$ such that $\mathbb{Z}_{q}$ contains consecutive primitive nth roots of unity if and only if $n \neq 1,2,3,6$.

Observe, from our slides on boiling down the problem, that this statement is equivalent to the following:
Theorem
There exists a prime $q \equiv 1(\bmod n)$ dividing $\Gamma_{n}$ if and only if $n \neq 1,2,3,6$.

We prove this theorem for $n>6$ in three cases:

- $n$ is odd.

■ $n=2 k$ where $k$ is odd.

- $n \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$.
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## The Proof

- First we suppose $n$ is odd. By a previous lemma, the $n$th Lucas number has a primitive prime divisor $q$, where $q \equiv 1$ $(\bmod 2 n)$.
- Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{n}=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(\zeta_{n}^{2 i}+\zeta_{n}^{i}-1\right)=\prod_{d \mid n} N\left(\zeta_{d}-\zeta_{d}^{d-1}+1\right), \text { and } \\
& N\left(\zeta_{n}-\zeta_{n}^{n-1}+1\right) \mid \Gamma_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

■ If $q \nmid N\left(\zeta_{n}-\zeta_{n}^{n-1}+1\right)$, then $q \mid N\left(\zeta_{d}-\zeta_{d}^{d-1}+1\right)$ for some $d<n$.

- This implies that $q \mid L_{d}$, which is a contradiction!

■ We may conclude that $q \mid \Gamma_{n}$, so modulo $q$ there are consecutive primitive $n$th roots of unity.

## The Proof, cont.
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The case where $n=2 k$, where $k$ is odd, follows easily from the following fact.

## Lemma

Whenever $k$ is odd, $\Gamma_{2 k}=\Gamma_{k}$.
We now treat the case where $4 \mid n$.
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## The Proof, cont.

- Suppose $4 \mid n$, and see that $N\left(\zeta_{n}-\zeta_{n}^{(n / 2)+1}+1\right) \mid \Gamma_{n}$.
- Apply the observation that

$$
\begin{aligned}
N\left(\zeta_{n}-\zeta_{n}^{(n / 2)+1}+1\right) & =N\left(\zeta_{n}-(-1) \zeta_{n}+1\right)=N\left(2 \zeta_{n}+1\right) \\
& =\prod_{(i, n)=1}\left(2 \zeta_{n}^{i}+1\right)=\prod_{(i, n)=1}-\zeta_{n}^{i}\left(-2-\zeta_{n}^{-i}\right) \\
& =\prod_{(i, n)=1}\left(-2-\zeta_{n}^{-i}\right)=\Phi_{n}(-2) .
\end{aligned}
$$

- As $4 \mid n$, it can be shown that $\Phi_{n}(-2)=\Phi_{n}(2)$, which is the primitive part of the $n$th Mersenne number.
- All primitive prime divisors $q$ of the $n$th Mersenne number satisfy $q \equiv 1(\bmod n)$, and the proof is complete.
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## Bounding the Relevant Prime Divisors

## Definition

Let $\mathfrak{d}_{n}$ be the number of prime divisors $q \equiv 1(\bmod n)$ of $\Gamma_{n}$, counted with multiplicity.

Lemma
The resultant $\Gamma_{n}$ satisfies $\left|\Gamma_{n}\right| \leq 3^{\varphi(n)^{2}}$.

## Corollary

If $q \mid \Gamma_{n}$, then $q \leq 3^{\varphi(n)^{2}}$.

## Proposition

The following bound holds for $\mathfrak{d}_{n}$ :

$$
\mathfrak{d}_{n} \leq \varphi(n)^{2} \frac{\ln (3)}{\ln (n+1)}
$$

If $n=p$ is prime, we have the refined bound

$$
\mathfrak{d}_{p} \leq(p-1)^{2} \frac{\ln (3)}{\ln (2 p+1)}
$$

## Outline

## 1 Introduction and Inspiration

2 Background and Methods

3 Results
■ Prime Divisors of the Resultant

- Analytic Bounds on Relevant Prime Divisors

4 Further Interests

## Further Interests

## Conjecture

For $n \neq 1,2,3,6$, all primes $q>n$ dividing $\Gamma_{n}$ satisfy $q \equiv 1$ $(\bmod n)$.

## Further Interests

## Conjecture

For $n \neq 1,2,3,6$, all primes $q>n$ dividing $\Gamma_{n}$ satisfy $q \equiv 1$ $(\bmod n)$.

## Conjecture

Let $n \neq 1,2,3,6$, and let $q$ be a prime. Whenever $\alpha$ and $\alpha+1$ are primitive nth roots of unity in a finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q^{r}}$ where $q>n$, we have $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{\boldsymbol{q}}$.

## Further Interests

The following proposition is the beginning of an argument towards proving our first conjecture when $n=p$ is prime:

## Proposition

When $p$ is prime, $N\left(\zeta_{p}-\zeta_{p}^{j}+1\right) \equiv 1(\bmod p)$ for each
$1 \leq j \leq p-1$.
It is much harder to reach the same conclusion for the individual prime divisors of these norms.

## Further Interests

There seems to be a nice relationship between the multiplicity of a prime divisor $q$ of the resultant and the behavior of $\Phi_{n}(x)$ when considered modulo $q$ :
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There seems to be a nice relationship between the multiplicity of a prime divisor $q$ of the resultant and the behavior of $\Phi_{n}(x)$ when considered modulo $q$ :

## Conjecture

For $p$ prime, let $k$ be the largest integer such that $q^{k} \mid \Gamma_{p}$ for some prime $q \equiv 1(\bmod p)$. If $k<\frac{p-1}{2}$, then there exist exactly $k$ distinct elements $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}$ such that the order of $\alpha_{i}$ and $\alpha_{i}+1$ is $p$ for each $1 \leq i \leq k$. If $k \geq \frac{p-1}{2}$, there are exactly $\frac{p-1}{2}$ distinct elements $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{\frac{p-1}{2}} \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}$ such that the order of $\alpha_{i}$ and $\alpha_{i}+1$ is $p$ for each $1 \leq i \leq \frac{p-1}{2}$.

