## Homework Set No. 5 – Probability Theory (235A), Fall 2011

Due: Tuesday 11/01/11 at discussion section

1. (a) If  $X \ge 0$  is a nonnegative r.v. with distribution function F, show that

$$\mathbf{E}(X) = \int_0^\infty \mathbf{P}(X \ge x) \, dx.$$

(b) Prove that if  $X_1, X_2, \ldots$ , is a sequence of independent and identically distributed ("i.i.d.") r.v.'s, then

$$\mathbf{P}(|X_n| \ge n \text{ i.o.}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mathbf{E}|X_1| < \infty, \\ 1 & \text{if } \mathbf{E}|X_1| = \infty. \end{cases}$$

(c) Deduce the following converse to the Strong Law of Large Numbers in the case of undefined expectations: If  $X_1, X_2, \ldots$  are i.i.d. and  $\mathbf{E}X_1$  is undefined (meaning that  $\mathbf{E}X_{1+} = \mathbf{E}X_{1-} = \infty$ ) then

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n X_k \text{ does not exist}\right) = 1.$$

- 2. Let X be a r.v. with finite variance, and define a function  $M(t) = \mathbf{E}|X t|$ , the "mean absolute deviation of X from t". The goal of this question is to show that the function M(t), like its easier to understand and better-behaved cousin,  $\mathbf{E}(X t)^2$  (the "moment of inertia" around t, which by the Huygens-Steiner theorem is simply a parabola in t, taking its minimum value of  $\mathbf{V}(X)$  at  $t = \mathbf{E}(X)$ , also has some unexpectedly nice propreties.
- (a) Prove that  $M(t) \ge |t \mathbf{E}X|$ .
- (b) Prove that M(t) is a convex function.
- (c) Prove that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( M(t) - |t - \mathbf{E}X| \right) dt = \mathbf{V}(X)$$

(see hints below). Deduce in particular that  $M(t) - |t - \mathbf{E}X| \xrightarrow[t \to \pm \infty]{} 0$  (again under the assumption that  $\mathbf{V}(X) < \infty$ ). If it helps, you may assume that X has a density  $f_X$ .

- (d) Prove that if  $t_0$  is a (not necessarily unique) minimum point of M(t), then  $t_0$  is a median (that is, a 0.5-quantile) of X.
- (e) Optionally, draw (or, at least, imagine) a diagram showing the graphs of the two functions M(t) and  $|t \mathbf{E}X|$  illustrating schematically the facts (a)–(d) above.

**Hints:** For (c), assume first (without loss of generality - why?) that  $\mathbf{E}X = 0$ . Divide the integral into two integrals, on the positive real axis and the negative real axis. For each of the two integrals, by decomposing |X - t| into a sum of its positive and negative parts and using the fact that  $\mathbf{E}X = 0$  in a clever way, show that one may replace the integrand  $(\mathbf{E}|X - t| - |t|)$  by a constant multiple of either  $\mathbf{E}(X - t)_+$  or  $\mathbf{E}(X - t)_-$ , and proceed from there.

For (d), first, develop your intuition by plotting the function M(t) in a couple of cases, for example when  $X \sim \text{Binom}(1, 1/2)$  and when  $X \sim \text{Binom}(2, 1/2)$ . Second, if  $t_0 < t_1$ , plot the graph of the function  $x \to \frac{|x-t_1|-|x-t_0|}{t_1-t_0}$ , and deduce from this a formula for  $M'(t_0+)$  and (by considering  $t_1 < t_0$  instead) a similar formula for  $M'(t_0-)$ , the right- and left-sided derivatives of M at  $t_0$ , respectively. On the other hand, think how the condition that  $t_0$  is a minimum point of M(t) can be expressed in terms of these one-sided derivatives.

**3.** (a) Let  $\Gamma(t)$  denote the Euler gamma function, defined by

$$\Gamma(t) = \int_0^\infty e^{-x} x^{t-1} dx, \qquad (t > 0).$$

Show that the special value  $\Gamma(1/2) = \sqrt{\pi}$  of the gamma function is equivalent to the integral evaluation  $\sqrt{2\pi} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2/2} dx$  (which is equivalent to the standard normal density being a density function).

(b) Prove that the Euler gamma function satisfies for all t > 0 the identity

$$\Gamma(t+1) = t \, \Gamma(t).$$

(This identity immediately implies the fact that  $\Gamma(n+1) = n!$  for integer  $n \ge 0$ .)

(c) Find a formula for the values of  $\Gamma(\cdot)$  at half-integers, that is,

$$\Gamma\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) = ?, \qquad (n \ge 0).$$

- **4.** Compute  $\mathbf{E}X^n$  when  $n \geq 0$  is an integer and X has each of the following distributions:
- (a)  $X \sim U(a, b)$
- (b)  $X \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)$
- (c)  $X \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \lambda)$ , i.e.  $f_X(x) = \frac{\lambda^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} e^{-\lambda x} x^{\alpha 1}$ , (x > 0).
- (d)  $X \sim \text{Beta}(a, b)$ , i.e.  $f_X(x) = \frac{1}{B(a, b)} x^{a-1} (1 x)^{b-1}$ , (0 < x < 1), where

$$B(a,b) = \int_0^1 u^{a-1} (1-u)^{b-1} du = \frac{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a+b)}$$

is the Euler beta function.

- (e)  $X \sim N(0,1)$ . In this case, identify  $\mathbf{E}X^n$  combinatorially as the number of **matchings** of a set of size n into pairs (for example, if a university dorm has only 2-person housing units, then when n is even this is the number of ways to divide n students into pairs of roommates; no importance is given to the ordering of the pairs).
- (f) (Optional, and more difficult)  $X \sim N(1,1)$ . In this case, identify  $\mathbf{E}X^n$  combinatorially as the number of **involutions** (permutations which are self-inverse) of a set of n elements. To count the involutions, it is a good idea to divide them into classes according to how many fixed points they have. (Note: the expression for  $\mathbf{E}(X^n)$  may not have a very simple form.)