## Homework Set No. 4 – Probability Theory (235A), Fall 2013

## Due: 10/28/13 at discussion section

1. Compute  $\mathbf{E}(X)$  and  $\operatorname{Var}(X)$  when X is a random variable having each of the following distributions:

- 1.  $X \sim \text{Binomial}(n, p)$ .
- 2.  $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ .
- 3.  $X \sim \text{Geom}(p)$ .
- 4.  $X \sim U\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  (the discrete uniform distribution on  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ).
- 5.  $X \sim U(a, b)$  (the uniform distribution on the interval (a, b)).
- 6.  $X \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)$

7. 
$$X \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \lambda)$$

- 8.  $X \sim \text{Beta}(\alpha, \beta)$
- **2.** If X is a random variable satisfying  $a \leq X \leq b$ , prove that

$$\operatorname{Var}(X) \le \frac{(b-a)^2}{4},$$

and identify when equality holds.

**3.** A function  $\varphi : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  is called **convex** if for any  $x, y \in (a, b)$  and  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$  we have

$$\varphi(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y) \le \alpha \varphi(x) + (1 - \alpha)\varphi(y).$$

(a) Prove that an equivalent condition for  $\varphi$  to be convex is that for any x < z < y in (a, b) we have

$$\frac{\varphi(z) - \varphi(x)}{z - x} \le \frac{\varphi(y) - \varphi(z)}{y - z}$$

Deduce using the mean value theorem that if  $\varphi$  is twice continuously differentiable and satisfies  $\varphi'' \ge 0$  then it is convex.

(b) Prove **Jensen's inequality**, which says that if X is a random variable such that  $\mathbf{P}(X \in (a, b)) = 1$  and  $\varphi : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$  is convex, then

$$\varphi(\mathbf{E}X) \le \mathbf{E}(\varphi(X)).$$

**Hint.** Start by proving the following property of a convex function: If  $\varphi$  is convex then at any point  $x_0 \in (a, b)$ ,  $\varphi$  has a **supporting line**, that is, a linear function y(x) = ax + bsuch that  $y(x_0) = \varphi(x_0)$  and such that  $\varphi(x) \ge y(x)$  for all  $x \in (a, b)$  (to prove its existence, use the characterization of convexity from part (a) to show that the left-sided derivative of  $\varphi$  at  $x_0$  is less than or equal to the right-sided derivative at  $x_0$ ; the supporting line is a line passing through the point  $(x_0, \varphi(x_0))$  whose slope lies between these two numbers). Now take the supporting line function at  $x_0 = \mathbf{E}X$  and make appropriate use of the monotonicity property of the expectation.

4. Let  $(A_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of events in a probability space. Show that

$$1_{\limsup A_n} = \limsup_n 1_{A_n}.$$

(The lim-sup on the left refers to the lim-sup operation on events; on the right it refers to the lim-sup of a sequence of functions; the identity is an identity of real-valued functions on  $\Omega$ , i.e., should be satisfied for each individual point  $\omega \in \Omega$  in the sample space). Similarly, show (either separately or by relying on the first claim) that

$$1_{\liminf A_n} = \liminf_n 1_{A_n}.$$

**5.** Let U be a uniform random variable in (0, 1). For each  $n \ge 1$  define an event  $A_n$  by

$$A_n = \{U < 1/n\}.$$

Note that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}(A_n) = \infty$ . However, compute  $\mathbf{P}(A_n \text{ i.o.})$  and show that the conclusion of the second Borel-Cantelli lemma does not hold (of course, one of the assumptions of the lemma also doesn't hold, so there's no contradiction).

6. If P, Q are two probability measures on a measurable space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ , we say that P is absolutely continuous with respect to Q, and denote this  $P \ll Q$ , if for any  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , if Q(A) = 0 then P(A) = 0.

Prove that  $P \ll Q$  if and only if for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $Q(A) < \delta$  then  $P(A) < \epsilon$ .

Hint. The first Borel-Cantelli lemma makes an interesting appearance here.