Soshnikov Faculty

3

280

SPRING 2012

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA - DAVIS Student Evaluation of Teaching



Enrollment 12 % responding 83%	excellent		very good		good		fair		poor					
% responding 65%	5	%	4	%	3	%	2	%	1	%	x	SD	N	
Instructor's knowledge and command of subject matter	9	90%	1	10%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.9	0.3	10	
Clarity of course objectives and organization	8	80%	1	10%	1	10%	0	0%	0	0%	4.7	0.7	10	
3. Effectiveness of style and methods of class presentations	8	80%	1	10%	0	0%	0	0%	1	10%	4.5	1.3	10	
Instructor's openness to discussion and ability to stimulate it	9	90%	1	10%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.9	0.3	10	
5. Instructor's availability for consultation	9	90%	1	10%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.9	0.3	10	
Relevance and educational value of the required readings	9 :	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5.0	0.0	9	
7. Instructional value of course assignments	9	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5.0	0.0	9	
8. Instructional value of examinations	3	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5.0	0.0	3	
9. Fairness and impartiality of grading	5	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5.0	0.0	5	
10. Overall evaluation of the instructor's teaching	9	90%	0	0%	0	0%	1	10%	0	0%	4.7	0.9	10	
11. Overall evaluation of the quality of this course	9	90%	0	0%	0	0%	1	10%	0	0%	4.7	0.9	10	
12.					7 Miles - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -					to angent of the same				
13.		Towns or the Party of the Party												
14.														
15.														
16.														
17.														
18.														
19.						1		······································						
			<u> </u>			i						<u> </u>	1	