

Selected solutions to 1. Homework

Problem 1.4: This is a problem of interpolation of 8 data points by a linear combination of 8 prescribed basis functions. The set-up is just as in Example 1.1 in the book, except that instead of the monomials $1, x, x^2, \dots$ we now have arbitrary functions f_1, f_2, \dots, f_8 .

Consider the mapping from coefficients c_1, \dots, c_8 to data d_1, \dots, d_8 . This mapping is linear, and thus can be represented by an 8×8 matrix. Let's call it B , with entries

$$B_{i,j} = f_j(i),$$

a kind of generalized Vandermonde matrix. By assumption, the mapping is onto: for every data $\{d_i\}$ there is a set of coefficients $\{c_i\}$. In other words B has full rank.

By Theorem 1.2, since B has full rank, the mapping it defines is one-to-one. This completes part (a).

by Theorem 1.3, since B has full rank, it is nonsingular. In fact, the inverse is just $B^{-1} = A$ as defined in the statement of the problem. Thus $A^{-1} = B$, and so the i, j -th entry of A^{-1} is $F_j(i)$. This completes part (b).

2.3: (a) Take $Ax = \lambda x$ with $\|x\| = 1$ and consider the scalar x^*Ax . Since $Ax = \lambda x$, it is equal to λ . On the other hand since $x^*A = x^*A^* = (Ax)^* = (\lambda x)^* = \bar{\lambda}x^*$, it must also be equal to $\bar{\lambda}$. This implies $\lambda = \bar{\lambda}$, that is, λ is real.

(b) Suppose $Ax = \lambda x$ and $Ay = \nu y$ with $\lambda \neq \nu$ and $x, y \neq 0$, and consider the scalar y^*Ax . Since $Ax = \lambda x$, it is equal to λy^*x . On the other hand since $y^*A = y^*A^* = (Ay)^* = (\nu y)^* = \bar{\nu}y^*$ (using our knowledge that ν is real), it must also be equal to $\bar{\nu}y^*x$. Thus $(\lambda - \bar{\nu})y^*x = 0$, and since $\lambda \neq \bar{\nu}$ this implies $y^*x = 0$.

Problem 2.5: (a) If S is skew-hermitian, then iS is hermitian, so by Problem 2, iS has real eigenvalues, therefore S has imaginary eigenvalues. (Or prove it directly as in Problem 2).

(b) If S has imaginary eigenvalues, then $I - S$ has eigenvalues on the line $\operatorname{Re} z = 1$ in the complex plane. In particular, none of the eigenvalues of $I - S$ are zero, so by Theorem 1.3 $I - S$ is non-singular.

(c) Let $Q = (I - S)^{-1}(I - S)$. We check if

$$(I - S)^{-1}(I - S)((I - S)^{-1}(I - S))^* = I.$$

Multiplying on the left by $(I - S)$ and on the right by $(I - S)^*$ converts this to

$$(I + S)(I + S)^* = (I - S)(I - S)^*,$$

that is

$$I + S + S^* + SS^* = I - S - S^* + SS^*.$$

Since $S = -S^*$, this equality certainly holds, and we are done.

Problem 3.2 Pick a vector $x \neq 0$ and a scalar λ such that $|\lambda| = \rho(A)$ and $Ax = \lambda x$. Then $\|Ax\| = |\lambda|\|x\|$, or in other words, $\|Ax\|/\|x\| = \rho(A)$. Since $\|A\|$ is the supremum of all quotients $\|Ax\|/\|x\|$, this implies $\|A\| \geq \rho(A)$.

Problem 3.3: Proof of 3.3(d), (3.3(c) is similar):

Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. We prove that

$$(1) \quad \|A\|_2 \leq \sqrt{n}\|A\|_\infty$$

We first show that

$$(2) \quad \|A\|_2 \leq \|A\|_F$$

and

$$(3) \quad \|A\|_F \leq \sqrt{n}\|A\|_\infty$$

Proof of (2):

$$\|A\|_2^2 = \rho(A^*A) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k(A^*A) = \text{trace}(A^*A) = \|A\|_F^2,$$

where $\rho(A^*A)$ denotes the spectral radius of A^*A and $\lambda_k(A^*A)$ are the eigenvalues of A^*A .

Proof of (3)

$$\|A\|_F^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{i,j}|^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |a_{i,j}|^2 \right) \leq n \left(\max_i \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{i,j}|^2 \right) = n\|A\|_\infty^2.$$

Combining (2) and (3) proves (1)

Problem 4.4: No. Here is a counterexample: Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix},$$

then A and B have the same singular values, but are not unitarily equivalent, since $QAQ^* = I \neq B$ for any unitary matrix Q .