Integrable Models in Statistical Physics & Associated Universality Theorems & Conjectures

> Aisenstadt Chair Lecture March 6, 2009 Craig A. Tracy UC Davis

#### Theme of Lecture

Simple models III General Theory

#### Theme of Lecture

Simple models III General Theory

Classical harmonic oscillator \*\*\*
Theory of small oscillations

#### Theme of Lecture

Simple models III General Theory

- Classical harmonic oscillator \*\*
  Theory of small oscillations
- Quantum harmonic oscillator "This example is of importance for general theory, because it forms a corner-stone in the theory of radiation", P.A.M. Dirac

 Ideal gas => Formulation of statistical mechanics by Gibbs

Ideal gas → Formulation of
 statistical mechanics by Gibbs

Schrödinger's solution of the
 Hydrogen atom + Pauli principle
 Theory of atomic structure

- Ideal gas → Formulation of
   statistical mechanics by Gibbs
- Schrödinger's solution of the
   Hydrogen atom + Pauli principle
   Theory of atomic structure
- The problem, of course, is to choose the "right" simple model!

Three examples from statistical physics

- The 2D Ising Model
- Random Matrix Theory
- Asymmetric Simple Exclusion
   Process

Three examples from statistical physics

- The 2D Ising Model
- Random Matrix Theory
- Asymmetric Simple Exclusion
   Process

#### Integrable Structure & Universality Theorems/Conjectures

# Integrable Structure Fredholm determinants

#### Painlevé functions



Erik Ivar Fredholm 1866–1927



Paul Painlevé 1863–1933



Lars Onsager 1903–1976

 Onsager (1944) found the exact free energy in zero magnetic field



Lars Onsager 1903–1976

- Onsager (1944) found the exact free energy in zero magnetic field
- Beginning of modern critical phenomena -fluctuations are important (B. Widom, M. Fisher, L.Kadanoff)



Lars Onsager 1903–1976

- Onsager (1944) found the exact free energy in zero magnetic field
- Beginning of modern critical phenomena -fluctuations are important (B. Widom, M. Fisher, L.Kadanoff)
- Renormalization group (K. Wilson)



Lars Onsager 1903–1976

# Spontaneous Magnetization $M = \left(1 - \left[\sinh\left(\log(1+\sqrt{2})\frac{T_{\rm C}}{T}\right)\right]^{-4}\right)^{1/8}$



The ½ is the "universal" part for a large class of ferromagnetic 2D systems, critical exponent

C. N. Yang

 $\sigma_x = \pm 1 = \text{spin at site } x \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ 

 $\sigma_x = \pm 1 = \text{spin at site } x \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ 

 $\sigma_x = \pm 1 = \text{spin at site } x \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ 

C=configuration = assignment of spins to lattice  $\mathcal{E}_{\Lambda}$ =energy of C in box  $\Lambda = -\Sigma \sigma_x \sigma_y$   $\downarrow$  sum over nearest neighbors x,y

> Gibbs measure = Probability of C =  $Exp(-\beta \mathcal{E}_{\Lambda}(C))/Z_{\Lambda}(\beta)$

 $\sigma_x = \pm 1 = \text{spin at site } x \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ 

C=configuration = assignment of spins to lattice  $\mathcal{E}_{\Lambda}$ =energy of C in box  $\Lambda = -\Sigma \sigma_x \sigma_y$   $\downarrow$  sum over nearest neighbors x,y

> Gibbs measure = Probability of C = Exp(-β ε<sub>Λ</sub>(C))/Z<sub>Λ</sub>(β)

 $\langle \sigma_0 \sigma_x \rangle = \text{spin-spin correlation} = \lim_{\Lambda \to \infty} E(\sigma_0 \sigma_x)$ 

 $\langle \sigma_0 \sigma_{(j,0)} \rangle$  = Toeplitz determinant, Onsager, Montroll, Potts & Ward

 $\langle \sigma_0 \sigma_{(j,0)} \rangle$  = Toeplitz determinant, Onsager, Montroll, Potts & Ward

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{M}^2(\mathsf{T}) = \lim_{j \to \infty} \langle \sigma_0 \sigma_{(j,0)} \rangle \\ & \qquad j \to \infty \end{array}$$

 $\langle \sigma_0 \sigma_{(j,0)} \rangle$  = Toeplitz determinant, Onsager, Montroll, Potts & Ward

What about correlation functions near the critical temperature?

### Scaling Limit

 $\xi(T)$ =correlation length $\rightarrow \infty$  as  $T \rightarrow T_c^{\pm}$ , R=distance between two spins $\rightarrow \infty$ such that

#### $r=R/\xi(T)$ is fixed

### Scaling Limit

 $\xi(T)$ =correlation length $\rightarrow \infty$  as  $T \rightarrow T_c^{\pm}$ , R=distance between two spins $\rightarrow \infty$ such that

#### $r=R/\xi(T)$ is fixed

$$F_{\pm}(r) = \lim_{sl} \frac{\langle \sigma_0 \sigma_x \rangle}{\mathcal{M}^2(T)}$$

### Scaling Limit

 $\xi(T)$ =correlation length $\rightarrow \infty$  as  $T \rightarrow T_c^{\pm}$ , R=distance between two spins $\rightarrow \infty$ such that

#### $r=R/\xi(T)$ is fixed

$$F_{\pm}(r) = \lim_{sl} \frac{\langle \sigma_0 \sigma_x \rangle}{\mathcal{M}^2(T)}$$

#### $F_{-} = Fredholm det$ $F_{+} = Fredholm det \times extra factor$

**Theorem.**(Wu, McCoy, Barouch & C.T., 1973–77)

$$F_{\pm}(r) = \begin{cases} \sinh \psi(r)/2 \\ \cosh \psi(r)/2 \end{cases} \times \\ \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4} \int_{r}^{\infty} \left(\frac{d\psi}{dy}\right)^{2} - \sinh^{2} \psi(y) \, dy\right) \end{cases}$$

#### where

$$\frac{d^2\psi}{dr^2} + \frac{1}{r}\frac{d\psi}{dr} = \frac{1}{2}\sinh(2\psi)$$
$$\psi(r) \sim \frac{2}{\pi}K_0(r), \ r \to \infty$$

Note:  $\eta = \exp(-\psi)$  is a **Painlevé III** transcendent.

#### This is the first appearance of **Painlevé functions** in statistical physics



T. T. Wu



B. M. McCoy

#### Notion of a Tau-function & "rediscovery" of the importance of isomonodromic deformations: M. Sato, T. Miwa and M. Jimbo (1977–1981).

- Notion of a Tau-function & "rediscovery" of the importance of isomonodromic deformations: M. Sato, T. Miwa and M. Jimbo (1977–1981).
- Holonomic quantum fields (SMJ)

- Notion of a Tau-function & "rediscovery" of the importance of isomonodromic deformations: M. Sato, T. Miwa and M. Jimbo (1977–1981).
- Holonomic quantum fields (SMJ)
- Connection formulas for Painlevé functions, MTW, M. Ablowitz, H.Segur, M. Jimbo, A. Its, A. Kapaev, . . .



#### M. Sato





M. Jimbo

T. Miwa

#### Open Problem
### Open Problem

 The Ising scaling functions F<sub>±</sub> are conjectured to be universal for a large class of 2D ferromagnetic systems.

## Open Problem

- The Ising scaling functions F<sub>±</sub> are conjectured to be universal for a large class of 2D ferromagnetic systems.
- These systems don't have the "determinantal structure" & therefore can't apply methods so far developed.

## Open Problem

- The Ising scaling functions F<sub>±</sub> are conjectured to be universal for a large class of 2D ferromagnetic systems.
- These systems don't have the "determinantal structure" & therefore can't apply methods so far developed.
- Problem: Classify 2D ferromagnetic systems whose scaling functions are  $F_{\pm}$ .

#### Random Matrix Theory In the beginning . . .



John Wishart 1898–1956

Eugene Wigner 1902–1995

Freeman Dyson

Simplest random matrix models: the N×N
 Gaussian Ensembles: GOE, GUE & GSE.

- Simplest random matrix models: the N×N
  Gaussian Ensembles: GOE, GUE & GSE.
- P(A)≃Exp(-Tr(A<sup>2</sup>)), measure invariant under orthogonal, unitary or symplectic transformations.

- Simplest random matrix models: the N×N
  Gaussian Ensembles: GOE, GUE & GSE.
- P(A)~Exp(-Tr(A<sup>2</sup>)), measure invariant under orthogonal, unitary or symplectic transformations.
- Basic quantity:  $\lambda_{max}(A) = \text{largest eigenvalue of a random matrix } A$

- Simplest random matrix models: the N×N
  Gaussian Ensembles: GOE, GUE & GSE.
- P(A)~Exp(-Tr(A<sup>2</sup>)), measure invariant under orthogonal, unitary or symplectic transformations.
- Basic quantity:  $\lambda_{max}(A) = \text{largest eigenvalue of a random matrix } A$
- Basic fact is that the distribution of  $\lambda_{max}(A)$  is a Fredholm determinant.

- Simplest random matrix models: the N×N
  Gaussian Ensembles: GOE, GUE & GSE.
- P(A)~Exp(-Tr(A<sup>2</sup>)), measure invariant under orthogonal, unitary or symplectic transformations.
- Basic quantity:  $\lambda_{max}(A) = \text{largest eigenvalue of a random matrix } A$
- Basic fact is that the distribution of  $\lambda_{max}(A)$  is a Fredholm determinant.
- Want limit theorem as  $N \rightarrow \infty$

Prob(λ<sub>max</sub> ≤ c<sub>1</sub>(N)+c<sub>2</sub>(N) s)→F<sub>β</sub>(s) as
 N→∞ where β=1 (orthogonal), 2
 (unitary) or 4 (symplectic)

- Prob(λ<sub>max</sub> ≤ c<sub>1</sub>(N)+c<sub>2</sub>(N) s)→F<sub>β</sub>(s) as
  N→∞ where β=1 (orthogonal), 2
  (unitary) or 4 (symplectic)
- Each  $F_{\beta}$  is expressible in terms of a Fredholm determinant. Scaler kernel for  $\beta=2$  and matrix kernel for  $\beta=1,4$ .

- Prob(λ<sub>max</sub> ≤ c<sub>1</sub>(N)+c<sub>2</sub>(N) s)→F<sub>β</sub>(s) as
  N→∞ where β=1 (orthogonal), 2
  (unitary) or 4 (symplectic)
- Each  $F_{\beta}$  is expressible in terms of a Fredholm determinant. Scaler kernel for  $\beta=2$  and matrix kernel for  $\beta=1,4$ .
- $F_{\beta}$  have Painlevé representation

#### Painlevé II Representation of F<sub>2</sub>

$$F_{2}(s) = \exp\left(-\int_{s}^{\infty} (x-s)q^{2}(x) dx\right)$$
$$\frac{d^{2}q}{dx^{2}} = xq + 2q^{3}, \text{ Painlevé II}$$
$$q(x) \sim \operatorname{Ai}(x), \ x \to \infty$$

This q is called the *Hastings-McLeod* solution.

Universality Theorems Invariant measures Riemann-Hilbert approach: Unitary Case: Its, Bleher, Deift, Kriecherbauer, McLaughlin, Venakides, Zhou Orthogonal & Symplectic: Deift, Gioev

Replace Gaussian measure with exp(-N V(A)) dA/Z<sub>N</sub> where V is a polynomial, Gaussian V(x)=x<sup>2</sup> Have orthogonal, unitary & symplectic cases Universality Theorems Invariant measures Riemann-Hilbert approach: Unitary Case: Its, Bleher, Deift, Kriecherbauer, McLaughlin, Venakides, Zhou Orthogonal & Symplectic: Deift, Gioev

#### Replace Gaussian measure with exp(-N V(A)) dA/Z<sub>N</sub> where V is a polynomial, Gaussian V(x)=x<sup>2</sup> Have orthogonal, unitary & symplectic cases

#### Generically behavior is same as Gaussian cases

Universality Theorems Wigner Symmetric/Hermitian Matrices

$$A_{N} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} (a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{N}$$

algebraically independent  $a_{ij}$  are iid random variables, odd moments zero, even moments decay at least as fast as Gaussian moments. This is "**nonintegrable"** in that there is no Fredholm determinant repr. of distr. function. Universality Theorems Wigner Symmetric/Hermitian Matrices

$$A_{N} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} (a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{N}$$

algebraically independent  $a_{ij}$  are iid random variables, odd moments zero, even moments decay at least as fast as Gaussian moments. This is "**nonintegrable"** in that there is no Fredholm determinant repr. of distr. function.

# A. Soshnikov: Limiting distribution of largest eigenvalue same as in Gaussian case

The asymmetric simple exclusion process (ASEP): Introduced in 1970 by Frank Spitzer in Interaction of Markov Processes.

The "default stochastic model for transport phenomena". The "Ising model of nonequilibrium phenomena".

ASEP is a model for interacting particles on a lattice.



Frank Spitzer 1926-1992





Each particle has an alarm clock - exponential distribution with parameter one



- Each particle has an alarm clock exponential distribution with parameter one
- When alarm rings particle jumps to right with probability p and to the left with probability q



- Each particle has an alarm clock exponential distribution with parameter one
- When alarm rings particle jumps to right with probability p and to the left with probability q
- Jumps are suppressed if neighbor is occupied



#### Step Initial Condition, q>p



#### Step Initial Condition, q>p



#### Flat Initial Condition



#### Step Initial Condition, q>p



#### Flat Initial Condition



Random: Product Bernoulli measure

# Growth Processes & ASEP



# Growth Processes & ASEP



#### **KPZ Equation & Growth Processes**

🛏 Kardar, Parisi & Zhang



 Physicists expect KPZ equation to describe a large class of stochastically growing interfaces:
 KPZ Universality

- Physicists expect KPZ equation to describe a large class of stochastically growing interfaces:
   KPZ Universality
- KPZ eqn mathematically difficult to handle so make discrete space approximation.

- Physicists expect KPZ equation to describe a large class of stochastically growing interfaces:
   KPZ Universality
- KPZ eqn mathematically difficult to handle so make discrete space approximation.
- ASEP is one discrete version of KPZ; thus expect ``universal behavior" in limit theorems

- Physicists expect KPZ equation to describe a large class of stochastically growing interfaces:
   KPZ Universality
- KPZ eqn mathematically difficult to handle so make discrete space approximation.
- ASEP is one discrete version of KPZ; thus expect ``universal behavior" in limit theorems
- TASEP is ASEP with jumps only to left or jumps only to right. TASEP is a simpler model (determinantal process)
# Total Current I(x,t)

Step Initial Condition Take q>p net drift to left I(x,t) = # of particles  $\le x$  at time t,  $x \le 0$ 

Let  $\eta(x,t)=1$  if particle at x at time t otherwise 0

Step initial condition xm(t)=position of m<sup>th</sup> particle from left at time t, xm(0)=m

Step initial condition x<sub>m</sub>(t)=position of m<sup>th</sup> particle from left at time t, x<sub>m</sub>(0)=m

#### Event: {I(x,t)=m}={x<sub>m</sub>(t)≤x, x<sub>m+1</sub>(t)>x}

Step initial condition xm(t)=position of m<sup>th</sup> particle from left at time t, xm(0)=m

#### Event: {I(x,t)=m}={x<sub>m</sub>(t)≤x, x<sub>m+1</sub>(t)>x}

From this and exclusion property:

 $Prob(I(x,t) \le m) = 1 - Prob(x_{m+1}(t) \le x)$ 

# Integrable Structure of ASEP

We solve the Kolmogorov forward equation ("master equation") for the transition probability  $Y \rightarrow X$ :

P<sub>Y</sub>(X;†)

Main idea comes from the Bethe Ansatz (1931)



Hans Bethe 1906–2005



Let  $P_Y(X;t)$ =probability  $Y \rightarrow X$  at time t. Master equation:

$$\frac{dP}{dt} = p P(x - 1; t) + q P(x + 1; t) - P(x; t)$$

$$P_y(x;t) = \int_{\mathcal{C}} \xi^{x-y-1} e^{t\varepsilon(\xi)} d\xi$$

$$\varepsilon(\xi) = \frac{p}{\xi} + q\,\xi - 1$$

# N=2 ASEP



Master equation takes simple form for this configuration



Master equation reflects exclusion for this configuration

## N=2 ASEP



Master equation takes simple form for this configuration



Master equation reflects exclusion for this configuration

Impose boundary conditions for first equation so that if satisfied the second equation is automatically satisfied --- Bethe's Idea

# Important Point

New boundary conditions arise for N=3, 4,...



Last configuration requires new BC -automatically satisfied by 2-particle BC

# Bethe Ansatz Solution of Master Equation

# Bethe Ansatz Solution of Master Equation

# For any $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and any permutation $\sigma$ a solution is $\prod \xi_{\sigma(j)}^{x_j} e^{t\varepsilon(\xi_j)}$

1

# Bethe Ansatz Solution of Master Equation

For any  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and any permutation  $\sigma$  a solution is  $\prod_j \xi_{\sigma(j)}^{x_j} e^{t\varepsilon(\xi_j)}$ 

Can take linear combination or integral of a linear combination & have a solution:

$$\int \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_N} F_{\sigma}(\xi) \prod_j \xi_{\sigma(j)}^{x_j} \prod_j e^{t\varepsilon(\xi_j)} d^N \xi$$

• Want BC to be satisfied. Bethe's idea: This can be applied pointwise to the integrand

- Want BC to be satisfied. Bethe's idea: This can be applied pointwise to the integrand
- Gives condition on coefficients with result (this part same as the Yang & Yang analysis of XXZ spin Hamiltonian)

- Want BC to be satisfied. Bethe's idea: This can be applied pointwise to the integrand
- Gives condition on coefficients with result (this part same as the Yang & Yang analysis of XXZ spin Hamiltonian)

If 
$$A_{\sigma} = \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \frac{\prod_{i < j} (p + q\xi_{\sigma(i)}\xi_{\sigma(j)} - \xi_{\sigma(i)})}{\prod_{i < j} (p + q\xi_i\xi_j - xi_i)}$$

then solution to DE that satisfies BC is

$$\sum_{\sigma} \int A_{\sigma}(\xi) \prod_{i} \xi_{\sigma(i)}^{x_i - y_{\sigma(i)} - 1} e^{t\varepsilon(\xi_i)} d^N \xi$$

 To recap: We have found a solution to the master equation satisfying the BCs.

- To recap: We have found a solution to the master equation satisfying the BCs.
- Must show the solution at X={x<sub>1</sub>,...x<sub>N</sub>} & t=0 reduces to  $\delta_{X,Y}$  where Y={y<sub>1</sub>,...,y<sub>N</sub>} is the initial configuration of N particles.

- To recap: We have found a solution to the master equation satisfying the BCs.
- Must show the solution at X={x<sub>1</sub>,...x<sub>N</sub>} & t=0 reduces to  $\delta_{X,Y}$  where Y={y<sub>1</sub>,...,y<sub>N</sub>} is the initial configuration of N particles.
- Term for σ=id satisfies initial condition. Must show remaining N!-1 terms give zero at t=0.
  This is the new part of the problem.

- To recap: We have found a solution to the master equation satisfying the BCs.
- Must show the solution at  $X=\{x_1,...,x_N\}$  & t=0 reduces to  $\delta_{X,Y}$  where  $Y=\{y_1,...,y_N\}$  is the initial configuration of N particles.
- Term for σ=id satisfies initial condition. Must show remaining N!-1 terms give zero at t=0.
  This is the new part of the problem.
- Have not yet specified the contours.

**Theorem (TW)**: If  $p \neq 0$  and r is small enough then

$$\mathbb{P}_{Y}(X;t) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{N}} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{r}^{N}} A_{\sigma}(\xi) \prod_{i} \xi_{\sigma(i)}^{x_{i}} \prod_{i} \left( \xi_{i}^{-y_{i}-1} e^{\varepsilon(\xi_{i})t} \right) d^{N}\xi.$$

where

$$A_{\sigma} = \operatorname{sgn} \sigma \frac{\prod_{i < j} (p + q\xi_{\sigma(i)}\xi_{\sigma(j)} - \xi_{\sigma(i)})}{\prod_{i < j} (p + q\xi_i\xi_j - \xi_i)}$$

and satisfies

$$\mathbb{P}_Y(X;0) = \delta_Y(X).$$

- There is no Ansatz in our work!
- Usual Bethe Ansatz calculates the spectrum of the operator. This leads to transcendental equations for the eigenvalues and issues of completeness of the eigenfunctions.
- We compute the semigroup directly. No spectral theory.

# Marginal Distributions $P(x_m(t) \le x)$

# Marginal Distributions $P(x_m(t) \le x)$

Fix  $x_m = x$ , sum  $P_Y(X;t)$  over allowed  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ ,  $x_3$ , ...

# Marginal Distributions $P(x_m(t) \le x)$

Fix  $x_m = x$ , sum  $P_Y(X;t)$  over allowed  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ ,  $x_3$ , ...

Several "miraculous identities" occur that allow one to take N→∞ to obtain marginal distribution as a single contour integral whose integrand is a Fredholm determinant

K is an integral operator on circle

# Asymptotic analysis

We now transform the operator K so that we can perform a steepest descent analysis.

Recall that the generic behavior for the coalescence of two saddle points leads to the Airy function Ai(x)



George Airy 1801–1892

# Main Result

We set

$$\sigma = \frac{m}{t}, c_1 = -1 + 2\sqrt{\sigma}, c_2 = \sigma^{-1/6} (1 - \sqrt{\sigma})^{2/3}, \gamma = q - p$$

# **Theorem** (TW). When $0 \le p < q$ we have

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{x_m(t/\gamma) - c_1 t}{c_2 t^{1/3}} \le s\right) = F_2(s)$$

# Main Result

We set

$$\sigma = \frac{m}{t}, c_1 = -1 + 2\sqrt{\sigma}, c_2 = \sigma^{-1/6} (1 - \sqrt{\sigma})^{2/3}, \gamma = q - p$$

# **Theorem** (TW). When $0 \le p < q$ we have

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{x_m(t/\gamma) - c_1 t}{c_2 t^{1/3}} \le s\right) = F_2(s)$$

#### Theorem also has a current fluctuation formulation

## Total Current Fluctuations

I(x,t) = # of particles  $\le x$  at time t,  $x \le 0$ 

Theorem (TW).

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{I([-vt], t/\gamma) - a_1 t}{a_2 t^{2/3}} \le s\right) = 1 - F_2(-s)$$

where

 $0 \le v < 1, \ a_1 = \frac{1}{4}(1-v)^2, \ a_2 = 2^{-4/3}(1-v^2)^{2/3}$ 

 Theorems generalize Kurt Johansson's results for TASEP to ASEP.

- Theorems generalize Kurt Johansson's results for TASEP to ASEP.
- Coefficients a<sub>1</sub> & c<sub>1</sub> known since 1980s, Liggett, Rost.

- Theorems generalize Kurt Johansson's results for TASEP to ASEP.
- Coefficients a<sub>1</sub> & c<sub>1</sub> known since 1980s, Liggett, Rost.
- These theorems establish KPZ Universality at the fluctuation level for ASEP.

- Theorems generalize Kurt Johansson's results for TASEP to ASEP.
- Coefficients a<sub>1</sub> & c<sub>1</sub> known since 1980s, Liggett, Rost.
- These theorems establish KPZ Universality at the fluctuation level for ASEP.
- Balázs & Seppäläinen; Quastel & Valkó prove t<sup>1/3</sup> fluctuations for ASEP with Bernoulli product initial condition -- general probabilistic methods

# First Appearance of F<sub>2</sub> in growth processes Baik, Deift & Johansson Patience Sorting (Aldous & Diaconis) \sigma = { 6 7 1 8 5 4 10 9 2 3 }
First Appearance of  $F_2$  in growth processes Baik, Deift & Johansson Patience Sorting (Aldous & Diaconis)  $\sigma = \{$ 2 4 5 3 9 1 8 10 6 7  $\ell(\sigma) =$  Number of piles = 4

**Theorem.** Given a random permutation  $\sigma \in S_n$ , let  $\ell(\sigma)$  equal the number of piles resulting from the patience sorting algorithm. Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\ell(\sigma) - 2\sqrt{n}}{n^{1/6}} \le s\right) = F_2(s).$$

**Theorem.** Given a random permutation  $\sigma \in S_n$ , let  $\ell(\sigma)$  equal the number of piles resulting from the patience sorting algorithm. Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\ell(\sigma) - 2\sqrt{n}}{n^{1/6}} \le s\right) = F_2(s).$$

• Johannsson showed  $F_2$  arises in a last passage percolation model (corner growth) which includes TASEP with step initial condition.

**Theorem.** Given a random permutation  $\sigma \in S_n$ , let  $\ell(\sigma)$  equal the number of piles resulting from the patience sorting algorithm. Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\ell(\sigma) - 2\sqrt{n}}{n^{1/6}} \le s\right) = F_2(s).$$

• Johannsson showed  $F_2$  arises in a last passage percolation model (corner growth) which includes TASEP with step initial condition.

• TASEP with flat initial conditions leads to F<sub>1</sub>, Sasamoto, Borodin, Ferrari, ...





Would like a conceptual understanding of why identities
 & cancellations appear in ASEP proofs.



- Would like a conceptual understanding of why identities
  & cancellations appear in ASEP proofs.
- Extend ASEP results to other initial conditions, e.g. flat initial conditions. Do we see  $F_1$  as in TASEP?



- Would like a conceptual understanding of why identities
  & cancellations appear in ASEP proofs.
- Extend ASEP results to other initial conditions, e.g. flat initial conditions. Do we see  $F_1$  as in TASEP?
- Can we apply Bethe Ansatz methods to other growth models?



- Would like a conceptual understanding of why identities
  & cancellations appear in ASEP proofs.
- Extend ASEP results to other initial conditions, e.g. flat initial conditions. Do we see  $F_1$  as in TASEP?
- Can we apply Bethe Ansatz methods to other growth models?
- Ultimately we want universality theorems not to rely upon integrable stucture of ASEP. For ½ exponent progress by Balázs, Seppäläinen, Quastel & Valkó.



### Harold Widom (left) and his brother Ben

### References

#### Books:

- A.S. Fokas, A.R. Its, A.A. Kapaev, V. Yu. Novokshenov, *Painlevé Transcendents: The Riemann-Hilbert Approach*, American Mathematical Society, 2006.
- T.M. Liggett, Stochastic Interacting Systems: Contact, Voter and Exclusion Processes, Springer, 1999.
- B.M. McCoy and T.T. Wu, *The Two-Dimensional Ising Model*, Harvard University Press, 1973.
- M.L. Mehta, *Random Matrices,* 3rd ed, Academic Press, 2004.
- J. Palmer, *Planar Ising Correlations,* Birkhäuser, 2007.
- B. Sutherland, *Beautiful Models: 70 Years of Exactly Solved Quantum Many-Body Problems,* World Scientific, 2004.

### **Review Papers:**

- P. Deift, Universality for mathematical and physical systems, *International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. I,* 125-152, 2007. <u>Preprint</u>
- K. Johansson, Toeplitz determinants, random growth and determinantal processes, International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. III, 53-62, 2002. <u>Preprint</u>
- H. Spohn, Exact solutions for KPZ-type growth processes, random matrices, and equilibrium shapes of crystals, Phys. A 369 (2006), 71-99. <u>Preprint</u>
- C. A. Tracy and H. Widom, Distribution functions for largest eigenvalues and their applications, *International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1*, 587-596, 2002.
  <u>Preprint</u>

### Link to ASEP papers: <u>arXiv</u>