

## Homework 6

12.2.6

Let  $R$  be a ring such that every finitely generated  $R$ -module is free, and assume  $R$  is not the zero ring. Suppose  $\{0\} \neq I \subsetneq R$  is a proper ideal. Then there is  $0 \neq a \in I$ . Since  $R/I$  is an  $R$ -module which is finitely generated (i.e., by  $1+I$ ), it is free by assumption. So there is an isomorphism  $\varphi: R^n \rightarrow R/I$  for some  $n$ . But we see  $\varphi((a, 0, \dots, 0)) = a \cdot \varphi((1, 0, \dots, 0)) = \bar{0} (= 0+I = I)$  since  $a \in I$ . This contradicts to the injectivity of  $\varphi$  and, thus,  $R$  has no proper ideal. By Proposition (3.16) (p357),  $R$  is a field.

12.4.1

$$(a) \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 7 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 7 \\ 7 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 7 \\ 7 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 7 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(b) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 4 & \bar{3} & 6 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & \bar{3} & \bar{6} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{3} & \bar{6} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

12.4.6

(a) One can easily find the following identity:

$$QAP^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & \bar{8} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & \bar{3} & 3 & 1 \\ 7 & 1 & 1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \bar{3} & \bar{3} & 10 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 & \bar{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 7 & \bar{27} \\ 0 & 1 & \bar{3} & 12 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = A'$$

Since  $Q^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & \bar{8} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , the new basis for  $\mathbb{Z}^3$  is  $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 7 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ ,

and  $\left\{ 1 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 7 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$  is the basis for the submodule. Thus,

this submodule is actually  $\mathbb{Z}^3$  itself. (cf. p462).

12.5.1

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}$$

$$(2 \ 0 \ 0) \rightarrow (2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow (1) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} \cong \{0\}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \bar{1} \\ 1 & \bar{2} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow (1) \rightarrow \{0\}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \bar{4} \\ 1 & \bar{4} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow (4) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_4 \quad \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 6 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow (0) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

cf. Proposition (5.12) (p466).

12.6.2

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

12.6.4

Since  $400 = 2^4 \cdot 5^2$ , and  $4 = 3+1 = 2+2 = 2+1+1 = 1+1+1+1$  (5 cases),  $2 = 1+1$  (2 cases), there are  $5 \times 2 = 10$  isomorphism classes.

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| $\mathbb{Z}_{16} \times \mathbb{Z}_{25}$  | $\mathbb{Z}_{16} \times \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_5$  |
| $\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_{25}$   | $\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_5$   |
| $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_{25}$   | $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_5$   |
| $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_{25}$                     | $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_5$                     |
| $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_{25}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_5$ |

cf. Theorem (6.12) (p475).

12.6.5

Since  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  is a PID (recall subgroups of a cyclic group are cyclic), we can apply Theorem (6.13) by the last paragraph of section 6 (p476). Observe proper ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}_6 = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \dots, \bar{5}\}$  are  $(\bar{2}) = (\bar{4}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$  and  $(\bar{3}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ , so  $\mathbb{Z}_6/(\bar{2}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_6/(\bar{3}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Therefore, a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ -module is a direct sum of copies of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ , and  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ .

#1

- (i)  $m, n \in \text{Tor}(M) \Rightarrow am = bn = 0$  for some  $a, b \in R \setminus \{0\} \Rightarrow ab(m+n) = 0$  and  $ab \in R \setminus \{0\} \Rightarrow m+n \in \text{Tor}(M)$ .  
 $m \in \text{Tor}(M), r \in R \Rightarrow am = 0$  for some  $a \in R \setminus \{0\} \Rightarrow a(rm) = r(am) = 0 \Rightarrow rm \in \text{Tor}(M)$ .

Therefore,  $\text{Tor}(M)$  is a submodule of  $M$ .

- (ii)  $\text{Tor}(R/I) = R/I$ . ( $0 \neq a \in I \subset R, a(r+I) = ar + I = I = \bar{0}$ ).  
 $\text{Tor}(I) = I \cap \text{Tor}(R)$

- (iii) Define  $\varphi: \text{Tor}(M \oplus N) \rightarrow \text{Tor}(M) \oplus \text{Tor}(N)$  by  $\varphi(m, n) = (m, n)$ .  
 If  $(m, n) \in \text{Tor}(M \oplus N)$ , then  $(0, 0) = a(m, n) = (am, an)$  for some  $a \in R \setminus \{0\}$ . Thus,  $m \in \text{Tor}(M), n \in \text{Tor}(N)$  and so  $\varphi$  is really a map. Verify  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism.

- (iv) Suppose  $a(m + \text{Tor}(M)) = \text{Tor}(M)$  for some  $a \in R \setminus \{0\}$ . Then  $am \in \text{Tor}(M)$ , so  $b(am) = 0$  for some  $b \in R \setminus \{0\}$ . Since  $(ba)m = 0$  and  $ba \in R \setminus \{0\}$ , we see  $m \in \text{Tor}(M)$ . Thus,  $\text{Tor}(M/\text{Tor}(M)) = \{0\}$ .

- (v) The  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -module  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  has the required property.

#2

- (a), (b). Let  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  be the standard basis for  $R^n$ . Since  $f$  is surjective, there are  $m_i \in M$  such that  $f(m_i) = e_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ). Define an  $R$ -module homomorphism  $\varphi: R^n \rightarrow M$  by  $\varphi(e_i) = m_i$ . Then,

$f \circ \varphi = \text{Id}_{R^n}$ . Define now  $\Phi: \ker f \oplus R^n \rightarrow M$  by  $\Phi(a, b) = a + \varphi(b)$ .

- i)  $\Phi$  is obviously an  $R$ -module homomorphism. ii) If  $a + \varphi(b) = 0$ , then  $0 = f(a) = f(-\varphi(b)) = -b$ , so  $b = 0$  and  $a = 0$ . Thus,  $\Phi$  is 1-1.

- iii) For any  $m \in M$ ,  $\Phi(m - \varphi(f(m)), f(m)) = m$  and  $m - \varphi(f(m)) \in \ker f$  since  $f(m - \varphi(f(m))) = f(m) - f(m) = 0$ . So  $\Phi$  is onto. By i) ~ iii),  $\Phi$  is an isomorphism.

- (c). Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $f = p: \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\cong M} \mathbb{Z}_2 \xrightarrow{\cong N}$ . Then  $\ker p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \cong 2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$  is not isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}$ .