

3. Let $T : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$ be the **differentiation** map defined as $Tp(z) = p'(z)$. Then for two polynomials $p(z), q(z) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$ we have

$$T(p(z) + q(z)) = (p(z) + q(z))' = p'(z) + q'(z) = T(p(z)) + T(q(z)).$$

Similarly for a polynomial $p(z) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$ and a scalar $a \in \mathbb{F}$ we have

$$T(ap(z)) = (ap(z))' = ap'(z) = aT(p(z)).$$

Hence T is linear.

4. Let $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be the map given by $T(x, y) = (x - 2y, 3x + y)$. Then for $(x, y), (x', y') \in \mathbb{R}^2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} T((x, y) + (x', y')) &= T(x + x', y + y') = (x + x' - 2(y + y'), 3(x + x') + y + y') \\ &= (x - 2y, 3x + y) + (x' - 2y', 3x' + y') = T(x, y) + T(x', y'). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $a \in \mathbb{F}$ we have

$$T(a(x, y)) = T(ax, ay) = (ax - 2ay, 3ax + ay) = a(x - 2y, 3x + y) = aT(x, y).$$

Hence T is linear. More generally, any map $T : \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^m$ defined by

$$T(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (a_{11}x_1 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n, \dots, a_{m1}x_1 + \dots + a_{mn}x_n)$$

with $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}$ is linear.

5. Not all functions are linear! For example the exponential function $f(x) = e^x$ is not linear since $e^{2x} \neq 2e^x$. Also the function $f : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ given by $f(x) = x - 1$ is not linear since $f(x + y) = (x + y) - 1 \neq (x - 1) + (y - 1) = f(x) + f(y)$.

An important result is that linear maps are already completely determined if their values on basis vectors are specified.

Theorem 1. *Let (v_1, \dots, v_n) be a basis of V and (w_1, \dots, w_n) an arbitrary list of vectors in W . Then there exists a unique linear map*

$$T : V \rightarrow W \quad \text{such that } T(v_i) = w_i.$$

Proof. First we verify that there is at most one linear map T with $T(v_i) = w_i$. Take any $v \in V$. Since (v_1, \dots, v_n) is a basis of V there are unique scalars $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $v = a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n$. By linearity we must have

$$T(v) = T(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n) = a_1T(v_1) + \dots + a_nT(v_n) = a_1w_1 + \dots + a_nw_n, \quad (3)$$

and hence $T(v)$ is completely determined. To show existence, use (3) to define T . It remains to show that this T is linear and that $T(v_i) = w_i$. These two conditions are not hard to show and are left to the reader. \square

The set of linear maps $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$ is itself a vector space. For $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ addition is defined as

$$(S + T)v = Sv + Tv \quad \text{for all } v \in V.$$

For $a \in \mathbb{F}$ and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ scalar multiplication is defined as

$$(aT)(v) = a(Tv) \quad \text{for all } v \in V.$$

You should verify that $S + T$ and aT are indeed linear maps again and that all properties of a vector space are satisfied.

In addition to addition and scalar multiplication we can define the **composition of linear maps**. Let V, U, W be vector spaces over \mathbb{F} . Then for $S \in \mathcal{L}(U, V)$ and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$, we define $T \circ S \in \mathcal{L}(U, W)$ as

$$(T \circ S)(u) = T(S(u)) \quad \text{for all } u \in U.$$

The map $T \circ S$ is often also called the **product** of T and S denoted by TS . It has the following properties:

1. **Associativity:** $(T_1 T_2) T_3 = T_1 (T_2 T_3)$ for all $T_1 \in \mathcal{L}(V_1, V_0)$, $T_2 \in \mathcal{L}(V_2, V_1)$ and $T_3 \in \mathcal{L}(V_3, V_2)$.
2. **Identity:** $TI = IT = T$ where $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ and I in TI is the identity map in $\mathcal{L}(V, V)$ and I in IT is the identity map in $\mathcal{L}(W, W)$.
3. **Distributive property:** $(T_1 + T_2)S = T_1 S + T_2 S$ and $T(S_1 + S_2) = TS_1 + TS_2$ where $S, S_1, S_2 \in \mathcal{L}(U, V)$ and $T, T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$.

Note that the product of linear maps is not always commutative. For example if $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}), \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}))$ is differentiation $Tp(z) = p'(z)$ and $S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}), \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}))$ is multiplication by z^2 given by $Sp(z) = z^2 p(z)$, then

$$(ST)p(z) = z^2 p'(z) \quad \text{but} \quad (TS)p(z) = z^2 p'(z) + 2z p(z).$$

2 Null spaces

Definition 2. Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear map. Then the **null space** or **kernel** of T is the set of all vectors in V that map to zero:

$$\text{null } T = \{v \in V \mid Tv = 0\}.$$

Example 2. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}), \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}))$ be differentiation $Tp(z) = p'(z)$. Then

$$\text{null } T = \{p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}) \mid p(z) \text{ is constant}\}.$$

Proposition 2. Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear map. Then $\text{null } T$ is a subspace of V .

Proof. We need to show that $0 \in \text{null } T$ and that $\text{null } T$ is closed under addition and scalar multiplication. By linearity we have

$$T(0) = T(0 + 0) = T(0) + T(0)$$

so that $T(0) = 0$. Hence $0 \in \text{null } T$. For closure under addition let $u, v \in \text{null } T$. Then

$$T(u + v) = T(u) + T(v) = 0 + 0 = 0,$$

and hence $u + v \in \text{null } T$. Similarly for closure under scalar multiplication, let $u \in \text{null } T$ and $a \in \mathbb{F}$. Then

$$T(au) = aT(u) = a0 = 0,$$

so that $au \in \text{null } T$. □

Definition 3. The linear map $T : V \rightarrow W$ is called **injective** if for all $u, v \in V$, the condition $Tu = Tv$ implies that $u = v$. In other words, different vectors in V are mapped to different vector in W .

Proposition 3. Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear map. Then T is injective if and only if $\text{null } T = \{0\}$.

Proof.

" \implies " Suppose that T is injective. Since $\text{null } T$ is a subspace of V , we know that $0 \in \text{null } T$. Assume that there is another vector $v \in V$ that is in the kernel. Then $T(v) = 0 = T(0)$. Since T is injective this implies that $v = 0$, proving that $\text{null } T = \{0\}$.

" \impliedby " Assume that $\text{null } T = \{0\}$. Let $u, v \in V$ such that $Tu = Tv$. Then $0 = Tu - Tv = T(u - v)$, so that $u - v \in \text{null } T$. Hence $u - v = 0$ or equivalently $u = v$ showing that T is indeed injective. □

Example 3.

1. The differentiation map $p(z) \mapsto p'(z)$ is not injective since $p'(z) = q'(z)$ implies that $p(z) = q(z) + c$ where $c \in \mathbb{F}$ is a constant.
2. The identity map $I : V \rightarrow V$ is injective.
3. The linear map $T : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$ given by $T(p(z)) = z^2p(z)$ is injective since $\text{null } T = \{0\}$.

3 Ranges

Definition 4. Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear map. The **range** of T , denoted by $\text{range } T$, is the subset of vectors of W that are in the image of T

$$\text{range } T = \{Tv \mid v \in V\} = \{w \in W \mid \text{there exists } v \in V \text{ such that } Tv = w\}.$$

Example 4. The range of the differentiation map $T : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$ is $\text{range } T = \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$ since for every polynomial $q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$ there is a $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$ such that $p' = q$.

Proposition 4. Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear map. Then $\text{range } T$ is a subspace of W .

Proof. We need to show that $0 \in \text{range } T$ and that $\text{range } T$ is closed under addition and scalar multiplication. We already showed that $T0 = 0$ so that $0 \in \text{range } T$.

For closure under addition let $w_1, w_2 \in \text{range } T$. Then there exist $v_1, v_2 \in V$ such that $Tv_1 = w_1$ and $Tv_2 = w_2$. Hence

$$T(v_1 + v_2) = Tv_1 + Tv_2 = w_1 + w_2$$

so that $w_1 + w_2 \in \text{range } T$.

For closure under scalar multiplication, let $w \in \text{range } T$ and $a \in \mathbb{F}$. Then there exists a $v \in V$ such that $Tv = w$. Thus

$$T(av) = aTv = aw$$

so that $aw \in \text{range } T$. □

Definition 5. A linear map $T : V \rightarrow W$ is called **surjective** if $\text{range } T = W$. A linear map $T : V \rightarrow W$ is called **bijective** if T is injective and surjective.

Example 5. The differentiation map $T : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$ is surjective since $\text{range } T = \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$. However, if we restrict ourselves to polynomials of degree at most m , then the differentiation map $T : \mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{F})$ is not surjective since polynomials of degree m are not in the range of T .

4 Homomorphisms

It should be mentioned that linear maps between vector spaces are also called **vector space homomorphisms**. Instead of the notation $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$ one often sees the convention

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(V, W) = \{T : V \rightarrow W \mid T \text{ is linear}\}.$$

A homomorphism $T : V \rightarrow W$ is called

- **Monomorphism** iff T is injective;
- **Epimorphism** iff T is surjective;
- **Isomorphism** iff T is bijective;
- **Endomorphism** iff $V = W$;
- **Automorphism** iff $V = W$ and T is bijective.

5 The dimension formula

The next theorem is the key result of this chapter. It relates the dimension of the kernel and range of a linear map.

Theorem 5. *Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space and $T : V \rightarrow W$ a linear map. Then $\text{range } T$ is a finite-dimensional subspace of W and*

$$\dim V = \dim \text{null } T + \dim \text{range } T. \quad (4)$$

Proof. Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Since $\text{null } T$ is a subspace of V , we know that $\text{null } T$ has a basis (u_1, \dots, u_m) . This implies that $\dim \text{null } T = m$. By the Basis Extension Theorem it follows that (u_1, \dots, u_m) can be extended to a basis of V , say $(u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_n)$, so that $\dim V = m + n$.

The theorem will follow by showing that (Tv_1, \dots, Tv_n) is a basis of $\text{range } T$ since this would imply that $\text{range } T$ is finite-dimensional and $\dim \text{range } T = n$ proving (4).

Since $(u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_n)$ spans V , every $v \in V$ can be written as a linear combination of these vectors

$$v = a_1u_1 + \dots + a_mu_m + b_1v_1 + \dots + b_nv_n$$

where $a_i, b_j \in \mathbb{F}$. Applying T to v we obtain

$$Tv = b_1Tv_1 + \dots + b_nTv_n,$$

where the terms Tu_i disappeared since $u_i \in \text{null } T$. This shows that (Tv_1, \dots, Tv_n) indeed spans $\text{range } T$.

To show that (Tv_1, \dots, Tv_n) is a basis of $\text{range } T$ it remains to show that this list is linearly independent. Assume that $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{F}$ are such that

$$c_1Tv_1 + \dots + c_nTv_n = 0.$$

By linearity of T this implies that

$$T(c_1v_1 + \dots + c_nv_n) = 0,$$

so that $c_1v_1 + \cdots + c_nv_n \in \text{null } T$. Since (u_1, \dots, u_m) is a basis of $\text{null } T$ there must exist scalars $d_1, \dots, d_m \in \mathbb{F}$ such that

$$c_1v_1 + \cdots + c_nv_n = d_1u_1 + \cdots + d_mu_m.$$

However by the linear independence of $(u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_n)$ this implies that all coefficients $c_1 = \cdots = c_n = d_1 = \cdots = d_m = 0$. Thus (Tv_1, \dots, Tv_n) is linearly independent and we are done. \square

Corollary 6. *Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$.*

1. *If $\dim V > \dim W$, then T is not injective.*
2. *If $\dim V < \dim W$, then T is not surjective.*

Proof. By Theorem 5 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \text{null } T &= \dim V - \dim \text{range } T \\ &\geq \dim V - \dim W > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since T is injective if and only if $\dim \text{null } T = 0$, T cannot be injective.

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \text{range } T &= \dim V - \dim \text{null } T \\ &\leq \dim V < \dim W, \end{aligned}$$

so that $\text{range } T$ cannot be equal to W . Hence T cannot be surjective. \square

6 The matrix of a linear map

Now we will see that every linear map can be encoded by a matrix, and vice versa every matrix defines a linear map.

Let V, W be finite-dimensional vector spaces, and let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear map. Suppose that (v_1, \dots, v_n) is a basis of V and (w_1, \dots, w_m) is a basis for W . We have seen in Theorem 1 that T is uniquely determined by specifying the vectors $Tv_1, \dots, Tv_n \in W$. Since (w_1, \dots, w_m) is a basis of W there exist unique scalars $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}$ such that

$$Tv_j = a_{1j}w_1 + \cdots + a_{mj}w_m \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq n. \quad (5)$$

We can arrange these scalars in an $m \times n$ matrix as follows

$$M(T) = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{bmatrix}$$

with m rows and n columns. Often this is also written as $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n}$. The set of all $m \times n$ matrices with entries in \mathbb{F} is denoted by $\mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$.

Remark 7. It is important to remember that $M(T)$ not only depends on the linear map T , but also on the choice of the basis (v_1, \dots, v_n) for V and (w_1, \dots, w_m) for W . The j -th column of $M(T)$ contains the coefficients of the j -th basis vector v_j expanded in terms of the basis (w_1, \dots, w_m) as in (5).

Example 6. Let $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be the linear map given by $T(x, y) = (ax + by, cx + dy)$ for some $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$. Then with respect to the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^2 given by $((1, 0), (0, 1))$ the corresponding matrix is

$$M(T) = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$$

since $T(1, 0) = (a, c)$ gives the first column and $T(0, 1) = (b, d)$ gives the second column.

More generally, if $V = \mathbb{F}^n$ and $W = \mathbb{F}^m$ with the standard basis (e_1, \dots, e_n) for V and (f_1, \dots, f_m) for W , where e_i (resp. f_i) is the n -tuple (resp. m -tuple) with a one in position i and zeroes everywhere else, then the matrix $M(T) = (a_{ij})$ is given by

$$a_{ij} = (Te_j)_i.$$

Example 7. Let $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be the linear map defined as $T(x, y) = (y, x + 2y, x + y)$. Then with respect to the standard basis we have $T(1, 0) = (0, 1, 1)$ and $T(0, 1) = (1, 2, 1)$ so that

$$M(T) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

However, if alternatively we take the basis $((1, 2), (0, 1))$ of \mathbb{R}^2 and $((1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1))$ of \mathbb{R}^3 , then $T(1, 2) = (2, 5, 3)$ and $T(0, 1) = (1, 2, 1)$ so that

$$M(T) = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 5 & 2 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Example 8. Let $S : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be the linear map $S(x, y) = (y, x)$. With respect to the basis

$((1, 2), (0, 1))$ of \mathbb{R}^2 we have

$$S(1, 2) = (2, 1) = 2(1, 2) - 3(0, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad S(0, 1) = (1, 0) = 1(1, 2) - 2(0, 1),$$

so that

$$M(S) = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ -3 & -2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that given the vector spaces V and W of dimensions n and m , respectively, and a fixed choice of bases, there is a one-to-one correspondence between linear maps in $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$ and matrices in $\mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$. Given the linear map T , the matrix $M(T) = A = (a_{ij})$ is defined via (5). Conversely, given the matrix $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$ we can define a linear map $T : V \rightarrow W$ by setting

$$Tv_j = \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} w_i.$$

Recall that we saw that the set of linear maps $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$ is a vector space. Since we have a one-to-one correspondence between linear maps and matrices we can also make the set of matrices $\mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$ into a vector space. Given two matrices $A = (a_{ij})$ and $B = (b_{ij})$ in $\mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$ and a scalar $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ we define the **sum** of matrices and **scalar multiplication** componentwise:

$$\begin{aligned} A + B &= (a_{ij} + b_{ij}) \\ \alpha A &= (\alpha a_{ij}). \end{aligned}$$

Next we show that the **composition** of linear maps imposes a product on matrices, also called **matrix multiplication**. Suppose U, V, W are vector spaces over \mathbb{F} with bases (u_1, \dots, u_p) , (v_1, \dots, v_n) and (w_1, \dots, w_m) , respectively. Let $S : U \rightarrow V$ and $T : V \rightarrow W$ be linear maps. Then the product is a linear map $T \circ S : U \rightarrow W$.

Each linear map has its corresponding matrix $M(T) = A$, $M(S) = B$ and $M(TS) = C$. The question is whether C is determined by A and B . We have for $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$

$$\begin{aligned} (T \circ S)u_j &= T(b_{1j}v_1 + \dots + b_{nj}v_n) = b_{1j}Tv_1 + \dots + b_{nj}Tv_n \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n b_{kj}Tv_k = \sum_{k=1}^n b_{kj} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m a_{ik}w_i \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik}b_{kj} \right) w_i. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the matrix $C = (c_{ij})$ is given by

$$c_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik}b_{kj}. \quad (6)$$

Equation (6) can be used as the definition of the $m \times p$ matrix C defined as the product of a $m \times n$ matrix A and a $n \times p$ matrix B

$$C = AB. \quad (7)$$

Our derivation implies that the correspondence between linear maps and matrices respects the product structure.

Proposition 8. *Let $S : U \rightarrow V$ and $T : V \rightarrow W$ be linear maps. Then*

$$M(TS) = M(T)M(S).$$

Example 9. Take the matrices of the linear maps $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ with bases $((1, 2), (0, 1))$ for \mathbb{R}^2 and the standard basis for \mathbb{R}^3 and $S : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ with basis $((1, 2), (0, 1))$ for \mathbb{R}^2 of Examples 7 and 8. Then

$$M(TS) = M(T)M(S) = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 5 & 2 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ -3 & -2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Given a vector $v \in V$ we can also associate a matrix $M(v)$ to v as follows. Let (v_1, \dots, v_n) be a basis of V . Then there are unique scalars b_1, \dots, b_n such that

$$v = b_1v_1 + \dots + b_nv_n.$$

The matrix of v is the $n \times 1$ matrix defined as

$$M(v) = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Example 10. The matrix of a vector $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}^n$ in the standard basis (e_1, \dots, e_n) is the column vector or $n \times 1$ matrix

$$M(x) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}$$

since $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1e_1 + \dots + x_ne_n$.

The next result shows how the notion of a matrix of a linear map $T : V \rightarrow W$ and the matrix of a vector $v \in V$ fit together.

Proposition 9. *Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear map. Then for every $v \in V$*

$$M(Tv) = M(T)M(v).$$

Proof. Let (v_1, \dots, v_n) be a basis of V and (w_1, \dots, w_m) a basis for W . Suppose that with respect to these bases the matrix of T is $M(T) = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n}$. Recall that this means that for all $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$

$$Tv_j = \sum_{k=1}^m a_{kj} w_k.$$

The vector $v \in V$ can be written uniquely as a linear combination of the basis vectors as

$$v = b_1 v_1 + \dots + b_n v_n.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} Tv &= b_1 Tv_1 + \dots + b_n Tv_n \\ &= b_1 \sum_{k=1}^m a_{k1} w_k + \dots + b_n \sum_{k=1}^m a_{kn} w_k \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m (a_{k1} b_1 + \dots + a_{kn} b_n) w_k. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $M(Tv)$ is the $m \times 1$ matrix

$$M(Tv) = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} b_1 + \dots + a_{1n} b_n \\ \vdots \\ a_{m1} b_1 + \dots + a_{mn} b_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is not hard to check using the formula for matrix multiplication that $M(T)M(v)$ gives the same result. \square

Example 11. Take the linear map S from Example 8 with basis $((1, 2), (0, 1))$ of \mathbb{R}^2 . To determine the action on the vector $v = (1, 4) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ note that $v = (1, 4) = 1(1, 2) + 2(0, 1)$. Hence

$$M(Sv) = M(S)M(v) = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ -3 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ -7 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This means

$$Sv = 4(1, 2) - 7(0, 1) = (4, 1)$$

which is indeed true.

7 Invertibility

Definition 6. A linear map $T : V \rightarrow W$ is called **invertible** if there exists a linear map $S : W \rightarrow V$ such that

$$TS = I_W \quad \text{and} \quad ST = I_V,$$

where $I_V : V \rightarrow V$ is the identity map on V and $I_W : W \rightarrow W$ is the identity map on W . We say that S is an **inverse** of T .

Note that if the linear map T is invertible, then the inverse is unique. Suppose S and R are inverses of T . Then

$$\begin{aligned} ST &= I_V = RT \\ TS &= I_W = TR. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$S = S(TR) = (ST)R = R.$$

We denote the unique inverse of an invertible linear map T by T^{-1} .

Proposition 10. A linear map $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ is invertible if and only if T is injective and surjective.

Proof.

" \implies " Suppose T is invertible.

To show that T is injective, suppose that $u, v \in V$ are such that $Tu = Tv$. Apply the inverse T^{-1} of T to obtain $T^{-1}Tu = T^{-1}Tv$ so that $u = v$. Hence T is injective.

To show that T is surjective, we need to show that for every $w \in W$ there is a $v \in V$ such that $Tv = w$. Take $v = T^{-1}w \in V$. Then $T(T^{-1}w) = w$. Hence T is surjective.

" \impliedby " Suppose that T is injective and surjective. We need to show that T is invertible. We define a map $S \in \mathcal{L}(W, V)$ as follows. Since T is surjective, we know that for every $w \in W$ there exists a $v \in V$ such that $Tv = w$. Moreover, since T is injective, this v is uniquely determined. Hence define $Sw = v$.

We claim that S is the inverse of T . Note that for all $w \in W$ we have $TSw = Tv = w$ so that $TS = I_W$. Similarly for all $v \in V$ we have $STv = Sw = v$ so that $ST = I_V$.

It remains to show that S is a linear map. For all $w_1, w_2 \in W$ we have

$$T(Sw_1 + Sw_2) = TS w_1 + TS w_2 = w_1 + w_2,$$

so that $Sw_1 + Sw_2$ is the unique vector v in V such that $Tv = w_1 + w_2 = w$. Hence

$$Sw_1 + Sw_2 = v = Sw = S(w_1 + w_2).$$

The proof that $S(aw) = aSw$ is similar. For $w \in W$ and $a \in \mathbb{F}$ we have

$$T(aSw) = aT(Sw) = aw$$

so that aSw is the unique vector in V that maps to aw . Hence $S(aw) = aSw$. \square

Definition 7. Two vector spaces V and W are called **isomorphic** if there exists an invertible linear map $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$.

Theorem 11. *Two finite-dimensional vector spaces V and W over \mathbb{F} are isomorphic if and only if $\dim V = \dim W$.*

Proof.

" \implies " Suppose V and W are isomorphic. Then there exists an invertible linear map $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Since T is invertible, it is injective and surjective, so that $\text{null } T = \{0\}$ and $\text{range } T = W$. From the dimension formula this implies that $\dim V = \dim \text{null } T + \dim \text{range } T = \dim W$.

" \impliedby " Suppose that $\dim V = \dim W$. Let (v_1, \dots, v_n) be a basis of V and (w_1, \dots, w_n) a basis of W . Define the linear map $T : V \rightarrow W$ as

$$T(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n) = a_1w_1 + \dots + a_nw_n.$$

Since the scalars $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{F}$ are arbitrary and (w_1, \dots, w_n) spans W , this means that $\text{range } T = W$ and T is surjective. Also, since (w_1, \dots, w_n) is linearly independent, T is injective (since $a_1w_1 + \dots + a_nw_n = 0$ implies that all $a_1 = \dots = a_n = 0$ and hence only the zero vector is mapped to zero). Hence T is injective and surjective and by Proposition 10 invertible. Therefore, V and W are isomorphic. \square

Next we consider the case of linear maps from a vector space V to itself. These linear maps are also called **operators**. The next remarkable theorem shows that the notion of injectivity, surjectivity and invertibility of T are the same, as long as V is finite-dimensional. For infinite-dimensional vector spaces this is not true. For example the set of all polynomials $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$ is an infinite-dimensional vector space and we saw that the differentiation map is surjective, but not injective!

Theorem 12. *Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space and $T : V \rightarrow V$ a linear map. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. T is invertible.
2. T is injective.
3. T is surjective.

Proof. By Proposition 10 1 implies 2.

Next we show that 2 implies 3. If T is injective, then we know that $\text{null } T = \{0\}$. Hence by the dimension formula we have

$$\dim \text{range } T = \dim V - \dim \text{null } T = \dim V.$$

Since $\text{range } T \subset V$ is a subspace of V , this implies that $\text{range } T = V$ and hence T is surjective.

Finally we show that 3 implies 1. Since by assumption T is surjective, we have $\text{range } T = V$. Hence again by the dimension formula

$$\dim \text{null } T = \dim V - \dim \text{range } T = 0,$$

so that $\text{null } T = \{0\}$, and hence T is injective. By Proposition 10 an injective and surjective linear map is invertible. \square