

① A) IF $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ AND $c \equiv d \pmod{m}$, THEN $a+c \equiv b+d \pmod{m}$.

PF SINCE $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ AND $c \equiv d \pmod{m}$, $m \mid (a-b)$ AND $m \mid (c-d)$.
 THEN $m \mid [(a-b) + (c-d)]$, SO $m \mid [(a+c) - (b+d)]$ AND
 THEREFORE $a+c \equiv b+d \pmod{m}$ (USING RESULT 4.3).

REMARK SEE RESULT 4.10 FOR A SLIGHTLY DIFFERENT PROOF.

B) IF $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$, THEN $qa \equiv qb \pmod{m}$ FOR EVERY $q \in \mathbb{Z}$.

PF SINCE $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$, $m \mid (a-b)$ SO $a-b = km$ FOR SOME $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
 THEN $qa - qb = q(a-b) = q(km) = (qk)m$ WHERE $qk \in \mathbb{Z}$,
 SO $m \mid (qa - qb)$ AND THEREFORE $qa \equiv qb \pmod{m}$.

REMARK SEE ALSO RESULT 4.9.

C) IF $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ AND $c \equiv d \pmod{m}$, THEN $ac \equiv bd \pmod{m}$.

PF SINCE $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$, $ac \equiv bc \pmod{m}$ BY PART B); AND
 SINCE $c \equiv d \pmod{m}$, $bc \equiv bd \pmod{m}$ BY PART B).
 THEREFORE $ac \equiv bd \pmod{m}$ BY TRANSITIVITY.

REMARK SEE RESULT 4.11 FOR A DIFFERENT PROOF.

② LET $[a] = [b]$ AND $[c] = [d]$ IN \mathbb{Z}_m ,

SO $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ AND $c \equiv d \pmod{m}$,

THEN 1) $a+c \equiv b+d \pmod{m}$ BY ①A), SO $[a+c] = [b+d]$ IN \mathbb{Z}_m AND
 THEREFORE ADDITION IS WELL-DEFINED;

AND 2) $ac \equiv bd \pmod{m}$ BY ①C), SO $[ac] = [bd]$ IN \mathbb{Z}_m AND
 THEREFORE MULTIPLICATION IS WELL-DEFINED.

REMARK SEE TH. 8.9 FOR A DIFFERENT PROOF OF 1),

③ $a_1 = 1$, $a_2 = 8$, $a_n = a_{n-1} + 2a_{n-2}$ FOR $n \geq 3$.

SHOW THAT $a_n = 3(2^{n-1}) + 2(-1)^n$ FOR ALL $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

PF 1) THIS IS TRUE FOR $n=1$, SINCE $a_1 = 3(2^0) + 2(-1) = 3 - 2 = 1$.

THIS IS TRUE FOR $n=2$, SINCE $a_2 = 3(2^1) + 2(1) = 6 + 2 = 8$.

2) ASSUME THAT $a_k = 3(2^{k-1}) + 2(-1)^k$ FOR $k=1, \dots, n$ WHERE $n \in \mathbb{N}$ WITH $n \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{THEN } a_{n+1} &= a_n + 2a_{n-1} = [3(2^{n-1}) + 2(-1)^n] + 2[3(2^{n-2}) + 2(-1)^{n-1}] \\ &= 3(2^{n-1}) + 3(2^{n-1}) + 2(-1)^n + 4(-1)^{n-1} \\ &= 2 \cdot 3(2^{n-1}) + 2(-1)^{n-1}[-1 + 2] \\ &= 3(2^n) + 2(-1)^{n-1} \\ &= 3(2^n) + 2(-1)^{n+1}, \end{aligned}$$

SO THE FORMULA IS VALID FOR $n+1$.

THEREFORE $a_n = 3(2^{n-1}) + 2(-1)^n$ FOR ALL $n \in \mathbb{N}$ BY THE P3I.

④ IF $a_1, \dots, a_n > 0$ WITH $a_1 \dots a_n = 1$, THEN $a_1 + \dots + a_n \geq n$.

PF (BY INDUCTION)

1) THIS IS TRUE FOR $n=1$, SINCE $a_1 = 1 \Rightarrow a_1 \geq 1$.

2) ASSUME THAT THIS STATEMENT IS TRUE FOR AN ARBITRARY $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

AND LET $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} > 0$ WITH $a_1 \dots a_{n+1} = 1$.

A) IF $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_{n+1}$, THEN $a_1 \dots a_{n+1} = 1 \Rightarrow a_1^{n+1} = 1 \Rightarrow a_1 = 1 \Rightarrow a_1 + \dots + a_{n+1} = n+1$.

B) IF THE a_i ARE NOT ALL EQUAL, WE CAN ASSUME WLOG THAT

$a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_{n+1}$ WITH $a_1 < a_{n+1}$.

THEN $a_1 < 1$, SINCE OTHERWISE $1 = a_1 \dots a_{n+1} > a_1^{n+1} \geq 1$, WHICH GIVES A CONTRADICTION.

SIMILARLY, $a_{n+1} > 1$, SINCE OTHERWISE $1 = a_1 \dots a_{n+1} < a_{n+1}^{n+1} \leq 1$, WHICH GIVES A CONTRADICTION.

THEREFORE $(1-a_1)(a_{n+1}-1) > 0$, SO $a_1 + a_{n+1} - a_1 a_{n+1} > 1$.

IF WE APPLY THE INDUCTION HYPOTHESIS TO $a_1, a_{n+1}, a_2, \dots, a_n$,

WE HAVE $(a_1 a_{n+1}) a_2 \dots a_n = 1$ SO $a_1 a_{n+1} + a_2 + \dots + a_n \geq n$.

THEREFORE $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n + a_{n+1} = (a_1 a_{n+1} + a_2 + \dots + a_n) + (a_1 + a_{n+1} - a_1 a_{n+1})$
 $\geq n + 1$,

SO THE ASSERTION IS VALID FOR $n+1$.

THEREFORE THE ASSERTION IS VALID FOR ALL $n \in \mathbb{N}$ BY THE PMI.

⑤ SHOW THAT IF $a_1, \dots, a_n > 0$, THEN $\frac{a_1 + \dots + a_n}{n} \geq \sqrt[n]{a_1 \dots a_n}$.

PF LET $a_1, \dots, a_n > 0$, AND LET $P = a_1 \dots a_n$ AND

LET $b_i = \frac{a_i}{\sqrt[n]{P}}$ FOR $1 \leq i \leq n$.

THEN $b_1, \dots, b_n > 0$ AND $b_1 \dots b_n = \frac{a_1 \dots a_n}{P} = 1$, SO $b_1 + \dots + b_n \geq n$ BY #4

AND THEREFORE $\frac{a_1}{\sqrt[n]{P}} + \dots + \frac{a_n}{\sqrt[n]{P}} \geq n$.

THUS $\frac{a_1 + \dots + a_n}{n} \geq \sqrt[n]{P} = \sqrt[n]{a_1 \dots a_n}$.

⑥ $A_\Gamma = \{(x, y) : y = 2x + \Gamma\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$.

(x, y) AND (x', y') ARE IN A_Γ IFF $y = 2x + \Gamma$ AND $y' = 2x' + \Gamma$

IFF $y - 2x = \Gamma = y' - 2x'$,

SO WE CAN DEFINE

$$(x, y) \sim (x', y') \text{ IFF } y - 2x = y' - 2x'$$

OR $(x, y) \sim (x', y') \text{ IFF } y - y' = 2(x - x')$

(NOTICE THAT THIS GIVES AN EQUIVALENCE RELATION,

SINCE $(x, y) \sim (x', y') \text{ IFF } f(x, y) = f(x', y')$

WHERE $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ IS DEFINED BY $f(x, y) = y - 2x$.)