

Markov chains and the Frobenius-Perron theorem

1. The Perron-Frobenius theory of nonnegative matrices (based on notes by Mike Boyle)

A matrix of real numbers is called nonnegative if all the entries are nonnegative. It is called positive if all the entries are positive.

A matrix is called primitive if it is ~~non~~ square, nonnegative, and some power of the matrix is positive.

Theorem 1 (Perron theorem) If  $A$  is a primitive matrix, then  $A$  has a unique eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of greatest absolute value.  $\lambda$  is real, positive, of algebraic multiplicity 1, and has an associated eigenvalue all of whose coordinates are positive. (This condition has a simple interpretation in terms of the graph  $G=(V,E)$  where  $V=\{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $E=\{(i,j) : a_{ij} > 0\}$ .)

Lemma 1 Let ~~non~~  $T: V \rightarrow V$  be a linear transformation of a finite-dimensional <sup>real</sup> vector space. Let  $E \subseteq V$  be a polytope (= bounded set which is an intersection of a finite number of closed half-spaces and has a nonempty interior). Assume that ~~for some  $k \geq 1$ ,  $T^k(E)$  is~~  $T^k(E)$  is contained in the interior of  $E$ . Then all eigenvalues of  $T$  have absolute values <sup>(strictly)</sup> less than 1.

Proof: Clearly, it's enough to prove this in the case  $k=1$ , i.e., we assume  $T(E) \subseteq E^\circ$ . Because  $T(E) \subseteq E$ , any eigenvalue  $\lambda$  satisfies  $|\lambda| \leq 1$ , so we need to ~~show~~ <sup>explain</sup> why it can't happen that  $|\lambda|=1$ . First, if  $\lambda = e^{2\pi i/k}$  is a root of unity, then 1 is an eigenvalue of  $T^k$ , so there is a ~~non~~ vector  $v$  on the boundary of  $E$  s.t.  $T^k(v) = v \in \partial E$ , in contradiction to the assumption that  $T(E) \subseteq E^\circ$ .

Second, if  $\lambda = e^{i\theta}$  (i.e.,  $\theta$  is irrational) but  $\lambda$  is not a root of unity we know from linear algebra that there are vectors  $u, v$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} T(u) &= \cos \theta u + \sin \theta v \\ T(v) &= -\sin \theta u + \cos \theta v. \end{aligned} \quad \text{i.e.}$$

$T$  acts as a rotation by  $\theta$  on  $\text{span}\{u, v\}$ . In this case, if we take  $w \in \partial E \cap \text{span}\{u, v\}$  then the powers of  $T$  acting on  $w$ ,  $(T^k(w))_{k \geq 0}$ , will have  $w$  as an accumulation point. This is impossible since these points are in the compact set  $T(E) \subseteq E^\circ$ .  $\square$

Proof of the Perron theorem. First, we show there is an eigenvector with positive coordinates. Let  $\Delta$  denote the standard simplex  $\{v = (x_1, \dots, x_n) : x_i \geq 0, \sum x_j = 1\}$ . Define a function  $F: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$  by  $F(v) = \frac{Av}{\sum_{i=1}^n (Av)_i}$ . (Note that  $Av$  is nonnegative and has at least one positive coordinate - otherwise  $A$  has a column of zeroes and  $A^k$  cannot be positive for any  $k$ .) Since  $F$  is continuous, there exists  $v \in \Delta$  s.t.  $F(v) = v$  (by the Brouwer fixed point theorem - is there a more constructive argument?), so  $v$  is an eigenvector:  $Av = \lambda v$ . By the assumption that  $A$  is primitive,  $v = \frac{1}{\lambda^k} A^k v$  so all of the coordinates of  $v$  are positive.

Next, we reduce the verification of the remaining claims to the case when  $\lambda = 1$  and  $v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Note that the eigenvalue equation  $\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} v_j = \lambda v_i$  can be rewritten as  $\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{a_{ij} v_j}{\lambda v_i} = 1$ . So, if we define a new matrix  $B = (b_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$  by  $b_{ij} = \frac{a_{ij} v_j}{\lambda v_i}$ , then  $u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is an eigenvector of  $B$  with eigenvalue 1. Furthermore, it is easy to see that  $B$  is still a primitive matrix (think of the graph paths interpretation).

Finally note that any number  $\mu$  is an eigenvalue of  $A$  if and only if  $\frac{\mu}{\lambda}$  is an eigenvalue of  $B$ : If  $w = \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ \vdots \\ w_n \end{pmatrix}$  satisfies  $\sum_j a_{ij} w_j = \mu w_i$  then we can write  $\frac{\mu}{\lambda} \frac{w_i}{v_i} = \sum_j \frac{a_{ij} v_j}{\lambda v_i} \frac{w_j}{v_j} = \sum_j b_{ij} \frac{w_j}{v_j}$ . It remains to show that in the case  $\lambda = 1, v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , all other eigenvalues

satisfy  $|\lambda| < 1$ . The trick is to now consider the action of  $A$  on row vectors, not column vectors: since  $A \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , it follows that for any  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \Delta$ ,  $uA \in \Delta$ . Since  $\sum_i (uA)_i = \langle uA, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \rangle = uA \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = (u, \mathbf{1}) = 1$ . So  $A$  acting from the left maps  $\Delta$  to itself, and by the primitivity assumption, some power  $A^k$  maps  $\Delta$  to its interior.

By the earlier part of the proof, there is a left-eigenvector  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \Delta$  with positive coordinates such that  $uA = u$ . Thus if we define

$V = \{ w = (w_1, \dots, w_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sum w_j = 0 \}$ ,  $E = \Delta - u$ , then  $V$  is an  $A$ -invariant subspace of dimension  $n-1$ , and  $E \subseteq V$  is a polytope such that

$A^k(E) \subseteq E^\circ$  for some  $k$ . Applying Lemma 1, we see that all eigenvalues of  $A|_V$  satisfy  $|\lambda| < 1$ , which is just what we needed.

□

Corollary 1: If  $A$  is a primitive matrix and  $u$  is a nonnegative eigenvector of  $A$  with eigenvalue  $\beta$ , then  $\beta$  is the eigenvalue of largest absolute value (so  $u$  is a scalar multiple of the positive eigenvector from the theorem).

Proof: By primitivity,  $A^k u$  is positive for some  $k$ , so  $u$  is positive and  $\beta > 0$ . If  $v$  is <sup>a positive</sup> ~~the~~ eigenvector corresponding ~~to~~ to the eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of largest absolute value, and we choose it such that  $v \in u$ , then for any  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\lambda^n v = A^n v \leq A^n u = \beta^n u$ . This cannot happen if  $\beta < \lambda$ , so  $\beta = \lambda$ .

□

A square matrix  $A$  is called irreducible if for any  $i, j \in n$  there is a  $k \geq 1$  s.t.  $(A^k)_{ij} > 0$ . This means the graph associated with the matrix is (strongly) connected. It is a weaker condition than primitivity.

The period of an irreducible matrix is the gcd of all  $k$  such that  $(A^k)_{ii} > 0$ .

Suppose that  $A$  is irreducible with period  $p$ . Fix some vertex  $v_0$ . For  $0 \leq i \leq p-1$  define  $C_i = \{\text{vertex } u : \text{there is a path of length } \equiv i \pmod{p} \text{ from } v_0 \text{ to } u\}$ .

The sets  $C_0, C_1, \dots, C_{p-1}$  partition the vertex set  $V$ . All outgoing edges from a vertex in  $C_i$  lead to a vertex in  $C_{i+1 \pmod{p}}$ . By relabelling the rows and column indices of  $A$ , we can bring it to the form of a block

matrix 
$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_1 & 0 & & \\ 0 & 0 & A_2 & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & A_3 & \\ & 0 & & & 0 & A_{p-1} \\ A_p & 0 & \dots & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Proposition: Let  $A$  be a square nonnegative matrix. Then  $A$  is primitive if and only if it is irreducible with period one.

Proof: If  $A$  is primitive then it is irreducible, and the period must be 1 (otherwise  $A^k$  will have blocks of 0's). Conversely, if  $A$  is irreducible with period 1, using the exercise below it is not difficult to see that for large enough  $k$  there will be a path <sup>of length  $k$</sup>  in the ~~adjacency~~ graph associated with  $A$  between any two vertices.  $\square$





The matrix  $N = vu$  is such a matrix:  $uN = u(vu) = (uv)u = u$ ,  
 $Nv = (vu)v = v(uv) = v$ . If  $w$  is as before a generalized  
 eigenvalue for an eigenvalue  $\alpha$ ,  $|\alpha| < \lambda$ , then

$$M^k w = v u w = v (u B^k) w = v u (B^k w) \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0, \text{ and similarly}$$

$$w' M^k = w' v u = w' (B^k v) u = (w' B^k) v u \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0 \text{ for a generalized}$$

row eigenvector  $w'$ .

□

## 2. Finite state Markov chains

Let  $\Sigma$  be a finite set. A Markov chain with state space  $\Sigma$   
 is a sequence of random variables  $X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots$  taking values in  $\Sigma$   
 such that

$$P(X_n = j \mid X_0 = i_0, X_1 = i_1, \dots, X_{n-1} = i_{n-1}) = P_{i_{n-1}j}$$

where  $A = (P_{ij})_{i,j \in \Sigma}$  is a fixed matrix of numbers called the  
transition matrix of the chain. Since  $P_{ij} \geq 0$  represent transition  
 probabilities, we have  $\sum_j P_{ij} = 1$  for all  $i$  - the sums of all rows  
 are 1. A matrix of nonnegative numbers satisfying this property is  
 called stochastic. Note that this means that  $A \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , i.e.,  
 $\mathbf{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is a right-eigenvector of  $A$  with eigenvalue 1.

The vector  $\pi = (P(X_0 = i))_{i \in \Sigma}$  is called the initial state distribution.

The following lemma shows how to get the distribution of the  $n$ -th  
 state  $X_n$  from  $\pi$ .

Lemma 2:  $(P(X_n=j))_{j \in \Sigma} = \pi A^n$  (matrix multiplication).

Proof: Induction:

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_n=j) &= E P(X_n=j | X_{n-1}) = \sum_{i \in \Sigma} P(X_n=j | X_{n-1}=i) P(X_{n-1}=i) \\ &= \sum_{i \in \Sigma} (\pi A^{n-1})_i \cdot P_{ij} = (\pi A^n)_j. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

~~The Markov chain is called irreducible if for any  $i, j \in \Sigma$ ,  $P_{ij}^{(n)} > 0$~~

Denote  $P_{ij}^{(n)} = (A^n)_{ij}$ .  $P_{ij}^{(n)}$  represents the probability for a chain with transition matrix  $A$  started from state  $i \in \Sigma$  to be at state  $j \in \Sigma$  after  $n$  steps. For a general initial state distribution  $\pi$ , we have  $P(X_n=j) = \sum_i \pi_i P_{ij}^{(n)}$ .

The chain is called irreducible if for any  $i, j \in \Sigma$  there exists an  $n \geq 1$  s.t.  $P_{ij}^{(n)} > 0$ , i.e., if the transition matrix is irreducible. The chain is called aperiodic if the transition matrix is irreducible with period 1. (Equivalently,  $A$  is primitive).

~~This is the case where  $P_{ij}^{(n)} > 0$~~

A state distribution vector  $\pi = (\pi_i)_{i \in \Sigma}$  is called a stationary vector for the chain if  $\pi A = \pi$  (i.e.  $\pi$  is a left eigenvector with eigenvalue 1). A chain started with this state distribution will satisfy

$(P(X_n=j))_{j \in \Sigma} = (\pi A^n)_j = \pi_j$  for all  $n$ . That is, the variables  $X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots$  will be identically distributed.



Furthermore, because of the Markov property, it is easy to see that  $X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots$  is actually a stationary sequence.

Applying the Perron-Frobenius theory to the case of a Markov chain, we get the following result.

Theorem 3: Let  $A$  be the transition matrix for an irreducible Markov chain. There exists a unique <sup>stationary</sup> state distribution vector

$\pi = (\pi(i))_{i \in \Sigma}$ . If the chain is aperiodic, then we have

for all  $i, j \in \Sigma$ ,  $P_{ij}^{(n)} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi_j$  exponentially fast as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

### 3. Recurrence and ergodicity of Markov chains

Theorem 4: Let  $X_0, X_1, \dots$  be an irreducible Markov chain.

Then all states  $i \in \Sigma$  are recurrent, i.e.,

$$P(X_n = i \text{ i.o.}) = 1.$$

Theorem 5: Let  $X_0, X_1, \dots$  be a stationary irreducible Markov chain. Then the sequence  $(X_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is ergodic.

Proof: ~~Let  $A$  be a shift-invariant event.~~ Let  $\pi$  be the stationary vector. The probability  $P(E) = P_{\pi}(E)$  of any event can be written as  $P_{\pi}(E) = \sum_{j \in \Sigma} \pi_j P_j(E)$ , where  $P_j(\cdot)$  represents the distribution measure of the chain with the same transition matrix that is started from state  $j \in \Sigma$ .

Thus we have  ~~$P(A) = \sum_j \pi_j P_j(A)$~~   $P(A) = \sum_j \pi_j P_j(A) = \sum_j \pi_j h(j)$ ,

where we denote  $h(j) = P_j(A) = E_j \mathbb{1}_A$ .

Let  $S$  denote the shift transformation on  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}/\mathbb{I}03}$ , and denote  $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(X_0, \dots, X_n)$ .

~~Let~~ We have  $1_A = 1_A \circ S^n$  a.s., so

$$E(1_A | \mathcal{F}_n) = E(1_A \circ S^n | \mathcal{F}_n) = \sum_{j \in \Sigma} 1_{\{X_n=j\}} E(1_A \circ S^n | X_n=j)$$

$$= \sum_{j \in \Sigma} 1_{\{X_n=j\}} E(1_A | X_0=j) = \sum_j h(j) 1_{\{X_n=j\}} = h(X_n).$$

By Lévy's 0-1 law we have  $E(1_A | \mathcal{F}_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 1_A$  a.s. So we have

show that  $h(X_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 1_A$  a.s. Since by Theorem 4 all

states are recurrent, it follows that  $h \equiv \text{const}$  and

$h(X_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{const} = 1_A$  a.s., so  $P(A) = 0$  or  $1$ , which proves ergodicity.  $\square$

Proof of Theorem 4. We assume the period is 1 - the general case is left as an exercise. In that case the transition matrix  $A$  is primitive. Let  $N_0$

be such that all entries of  $A^{N_0}$  are positive. Let  $c =$  the minimal entry of  $A^{N_0}$ . ~~Fix~~ Fix  $i \in \Sigma$  and consider the sequence of events  $E_m = \{X_{N_0 m} = i\}$ .

We have  $P(E_m | E_1, \dots, E_{m-1}) = E[P(E_m | X_1, \dots, X_{N_0(m-1)}) | E_1, \dots, E_{m-1}] \geq c$ ,

so by a coupling argument, the number  $S_m = \sum_{k=1}^m 1_{E_k}$  of occurrences of  $E_1, \dots, E_m$  stochastically dominates a  $\text{Binom}(m, c)$  r.v.; in fact  $(S_1, S_2, S_3, \dots)$  simultaneously dominate the process  $(\sum_{k=1}^m Y_k)_{m \geq 1}$  where  $(Y_m)$  are i.i.d. Bernoulli( $c$ ).

It follows that  $P(E_m \text{ i.o.}) \geq P(Y_k = 1 \text{ i.o.}) = 1$ .  $\square$