

Low Rank Approximations

Note Title

5/10/2012

Recall Outer product in Lecture 3.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } U &\in \mathbb{R}^m = \mathbb{R}^{m \times 1} \\ V &\in \mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1} \end{aligned}$$

Then, the outer product between U and V is:

$$UV^T = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ \vdots \\ u_m \end{bmatrix} [v_1 \cdots v_n] = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 v_1 & \cdots & u_1 v_n \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ u_m v_1 & \cdots & u_m v_n \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$$

This matrix has rank 1 because

$$UV^T = [v_1 U, \dots, v_n U]$$

i.e., each column is just a scalar multiple of the same vector U .

Now SVD can be viewed as a sum of rank 1 matrices:

Then $A = \sum_{j=1}^r \sigma_j u_j v_j^T$, $r = \text{rank}(A)$

(Proof) just obvious!

$$[u_1 \cdots u_m] \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & \sigma_r & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1^T \\ \vdots \\ v_n^T \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{///}$$

Among all possible $m \times n$ matrices of rank k ($k \leq r$),

$\sum_{j=1}^k \sigma_j u_j v_j^T$ is the best approximation of A in the following sense:

Thm For any k with $0 \leq k \leq r$,
let $A_k := \sum_{j=1}^k \sigma_j u_j v_j^T$

If $k = p = \min(m, n)$, then define $\sigma_{k+1} = 0$. Then,

$$\|A - A_k\|_2 = \inf_{\substack{B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \\ \text{rank}(B) \leq k}} \|A - B\|_2 = \sigma_{k+1}$$

(Proof)

$$\|A - A_k\|_2 = \left\| \sum_{j=k+1}^p \sigma_j u_j v_j^T \right\|_2$$

$$= \left\| U \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & \sigma_{k+1} & & 0 \\ & & & & \dots & \\ 0 & & & & & \sigma_p \\ \hline & & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix} V^T \right\|_2$$

$$= \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & \sigma_{k+1} & & 0 \\ & & & & \dots & \\ 0 & & & & & \sigma_p \\ \hline & & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2$$

since U, V :
orthogonal!

$$= \sigma_{k+1} \quad \text{by definition of the matrix norm}$$

Prove this \Rightarrow
as an exercise!

Note: If $D = \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_m) = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 & & 0 \\ & \dots & \\ 0 & & d_m \end{bmatrix}$

then $\|D\|_p = \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} |d_j| \quad \forall p \geq 1$

Now, let $B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ be any rank k matrix. Then $\dim(\text{null}(B)) = n - k$ why? Because of the following Thm

For any $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$,
 $\text{rank}(A) + \dim(\text{null}(A)) = n$

Let $W := \text{null}(B) \cap \langle v_1, \dots, v_{k+1} \rangle$

We know $W \neq \{0\}$ because

$$\dim(\text{null}(B)) = n - k$$

$$\dim(\langle v_1, \dots, v_{k+1} \rangle) = k + 1$$

So, if these two do not intersect, \mathbb{R}^n 's dimension would become $n - k + k + 1 = n + 1$

This cannot happen! #

So let $h \in W$, $h \neq 0$.

We can always normalize h , so can assume $\|h\|_2 = 1$.

Then,

$$\|A - B\|_2 \geq \|(A - B)h\|_2 \text{ by def.}$$

$$\equiv \|Ah\|_2 \text{ since } h \in \text{null}(B)$$

$$= \|U \Sigma V^T h\|_2$$

Since $h \in \langle v_1, \dots, v_{k+1} \rangle$

$$V^T h = \begin{bmatrix} * \\ \vdots \\ * \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} k+1 \\ n-k-1 \end{matrix}$$

$$= \|\Sigma V^T h\|_2 \text{ since } U: \text{ortho.}$$

$$\geq \sigma_{k+1} \|V^T h\|_2$$

$$= \sigma_{k+1} \|h\|_2 = \sigma_{k+1}$$

Thm For any k with $0 \leq k \leq r$,



$$\|A - A_k\|_F = \inf_{\substack{B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \\ \text{rank}(B) \leq k}} \|A - B\|_F$$

$$= \sqrt{\sigma_{k+1}^2 + \dots + \sigma_r^2}$$

(Proof) Exercise!

So, for a given matrix, say, A
 how to determine a good "rank k "
 so that we can efficiently (i.e.,
 compress) A without losing too much
 info of A ?

⇒ Check the distribution of the
 singular values!



rank k approximation of A only uses $k_1 k$ portions!

★ Condition Number and SVD

Recall the condition number for a square nonsingular matrix A :

$$\kappa(A) = \text{cond}(A) := \|A\|_2 \|A^{-1}\|_2$$

$\kappa(A)$: small $\Rightarrow A$: well-conditioned.

$\kappa(A)$: large $\Rightarrow A$: ill-conditioned,
lose $\approx \log_{10} \kappa(A)$ digits
to solve $Ax = b$.

If A : singular, $\kappa(A) = +\infty$.

Using SVD of A , we can nicely compute $\kappa(A)$ as follows.

$$\|A\|_2 = \sigma_1 \quad \rightarrow \text{by definition}$$

$$\|A^{-1}\|_2 = 1/\sigma_m \quad \text{why? } A^{-1} = (U \Sigma V^T)^{-1} = V \Sigma^{-1} U^T \\ = V \text{diag}(1/\sigma_1, \dots, 1/\sigma_m) U^T$$

largest

$$\text{So, } \kappa(A) = \sigma_1 / \sigma_m$$

We can generalize the definition of the condition number for a rectangular matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ using the pseudo-inverse A^\dagger and SVDs as

$$\kappa(A) := \|A\|_2 \cdot \|A^\dagger\|_2$$

$$= \sigma_1 / \sigma_r$$

$$r = \text{rank}(A) \\ \leq \min(m, n)$$