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## Our Basic Setup

- Consider an ensemble of $N$ 1D discrete signals, $\boldsymbol{x}_{m} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, m=1, \ldots, N$; we then form the data matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times N}$ consisting those signals as column vectors.
- For the notational convenience, let $\boldsymbol{x}_{m}=\left(x_{0, m}, x_{1, m}, \ldots, x_{n-1, m}\right)^{\top}$.
- There are many tasks given $X$, such as joint compression; classifying them into a set of groups in a supervised or unsupervised manner (classification vs clustering), ...
- In order to perform such tasks efficiently, it is a good idea to use a basis that is adapted to a given task and to the signal ensemble.
- Once such a basis is selected, we can expand each $\boldsymbol{x}_{m}$ relative to the basis and analyze the coefficients/coordinates for the given task.


## A Library of Orthonormal Bases

A library of orthonormal bases consists of dictionaries of orthonormal bases: each dictionary is a binary tree whose nodes are subspaces of $\Omega_{0,0}=\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with different time-frequency localization characteristics.
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- Examples of dictionaries include:
- Wavelet Packet Bases
- Block Discrete Cosine Bases
- Local Trigonometric/Fourier Bases
- It costs $O\left(n[\log n]^{p}\right)$ to generate a dictionary for a signal of length $n$ ( $p=1$ for wavelet packets, $p=2$ for BDCT/LTB).
- Each dictionary may contain up to $n\left(1+\log _{2} n\right)$ basis vectors and more than $2^{n / 2}$ possible orthonormal bases.
- How to select the best possible basis for the problem at hand is a key issue.
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- Of course, in the above tree, we set $J=3$.
- The red part forms the wavelet basis.
- The cost of expanding an input signal $\boldsymbol{x}_{m}$ into this binary tree of subspaces is $O(n J)$, which can be easily understood by the repeated applications of the filtering operations at each level $j=0, \ldots, J-1$. Hence, the overall cost for the whole data matrix is $O(N n J)$.
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## The Block DCT Dictionary

- Again let $\Omega_{0,0}=\mathbb{R}^{n}$.
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- The total computational cost of expanding $\boldsymbol{x}_{m}$ into this BDCT dictionary is $O\left(n J \log _{2} n\right) \leq O\left(n\left[\log _{2} n\right]^{2}\right)$; hence for the whole data matrix $X$, it costs at most $O\left(N n\left[\log _{2} n\right]^{2}\right)$.
- The local cosine transform dictionary, originally developed by R. R. Coifman and Y. Meyer, uses the smoother cutoff functions instead of $\boldsymbol{\chi}_{\left[\frac{k j}{2 j}, \frac{(k+1) n}{\left.2^{j}-1\right]}\right.}$, followed by DCT type IV, not by DCT type II.
- Unfortunately, a good implementation is not straightforward, and the advantage of using the smoother cutoff functions has not been drastic. However, I would recommend you to read the nicer implementation and discussions by Lars Villemoes [6].
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- Let $J$ be the deepest level of decomposition so that the $j$ ranges $0 \leq j \leq J$ (i.e., $J+1$ levels).
- Let $A_{J}$ be the number of ONBs in the tree with $\mathrm{J}+1$ levels.
- We do induction on $J$ for $A_{J}$. Clearly $A_{0}=1, A_{1}=2$.
- Let's relate $A_{J+1}$ and $A_{J}$. Consider the binary tree of $J+2$ levels. Then, $\Omega_{1,0}$ and below forms a binary tree with $\mathrm{J}+1$ levels so as $\Omega_{1,1}$ and below. By assumption, there are $A_{J}$ ONBs for each $\Omega_{1, k}, k=0,1$. Hence, we have

$$
A_{J+1}=1+A_{J}^{2}
$$

where 1 comes from choosing the canonical basis at $\Omega_{0,0}$.
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$$

where 1 comes from choosing the canonical basis at $\Omega_{0,0}$.

- One can show that $A_{J}>2^{n / 2}$.
- This sequence is cataloged as A003095 in the On-line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences by Neil J. A. Sloane. For example, $A_{J}$ for $J=0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7 \ldots$, are: $1,2,5,26,677,458330,210066388901, \ldots$
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- Finally, if a library $\mathscr{L}$ consists of multiple dictionaries, then the overall best basis can be obtained by

$$
\Psi(X ; \mathscr{L})=\underset{\mathscr{D} \in \mathscr{L}}{\arg \max _{\mathscr{L}}} \mathscr{M}\left(\Psi(X ; \mathscr{D})^{\top} X\right) .
$$
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Step 1: Expand the columns of the data matrix $X$, into the dictionary $\mathscr{D}$ and obtain coefficients $\left\{B_{j, k}^{\top} X\right\}_{0 \leq j \leq j ; 0 \leq k \leq 2^{j}-1}$.
Step 2: Set $\Psi_{J, k}:=B_{J, k}$ for $k=0, \ldots, 2^{J}-1$ (i.e., start from the bottom)
Step 3: Determine the best subspace basis $\Psi_{j, k}$ for $j=J-1, \ldots, 0, k=0, \ldots, 2^{j}-1$ (i.e., from bottom to top) by

$$
\Psi_{j, k}= \begin{cases}B_{j, k} & \text { if } \mathscr{M}\left(B_{j, k}^{\top} X\right) \geq \mathscr{M}\left(\Psi_{j+1,2 k}^{\top} X \cup \Psi_{j+1,2 k+1}^{\top} X\right),  \tag{1}\\ \Psi_{j+1,2 k} \oplus \Psi_{j+1,2 k+1} & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

- To make this algorithm fast, the measure of efficacy $\mathscr{M}$ should be additive:
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- This implies that a simple addition suffices instead of computing the efficacy of the union of the nodes.
- In fact, the cost of selecting the best basis $\Psi$ for an additive measure $\mathscr{M}$ given all the expansion coefficients of $X$ in $\mathscr{D}$ is $O(n)$ while the cost of expanding all the columns of $X$ into $\mathscr{D}$ costs at most $O\left(N n\left[\log _{2} n\right]^{p}\right), p=1$ for a wavelet packet dictionary and $p=2$ for the BDCT/LCT dictionaries.
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- For example, in the case of efficient approximation, one may want to find a basis among $\mathscr{D}$ that most sparsifies the data matrix $X$ on average.
- In that case, a possible choice of $\mathscr{M}$ is:

$$
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- Now, the name of the game is how to define $\mathscr{M}$ for a given task.
- For example, in the case of efficient approximation, one may want to find a basis among $\mathscr{D}$ that most sparsifies the data matrix $X$ on average.
- In that case, a possible choice of $\mathscr{M}$ is:

$$
\mathscr{M}\left(B_{j, k}^{\top} X\right)=-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=1}^{N}\left\|B_{j, k}^{\top} \boldsymbol{x}_{m}\right\|_{p}^{p}, \quad 0<p \leq 1
$$

negative of the average sparsity of $X$ measured by the $\ell^{p}$-norm.

- There are many many possibilities of $\mathscr{M}$ depending on the task such as clustering, classification, regression, .... See [4], [5] for more examples.
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## An Example: Efficient Approximation of Geophysical Acoustic Waveforms

- Objective: Efficiently approximate the acoustic waveforms recorded in a borehole propagated through sandstone layers in the subsurface.
- We have 201 such waveforms each of which has $n=256$ time samples.
- First, randomly split this set of waveforms into the training and test datasets. The training dataset consists of $N=101$ waveforms while the test dataset contains the remaining 100 waveforms.
- Compare the performance of the global DCT, KLB, and the JBB (Joint Best Basis for the whole training dataset) using the local cosine dictionary.


(a) Sandstone Waveforms

(b) 10 Random Picks

(c) Mean Waveform


Fig. 2. The acoustic waveforms propagated through sandstone layers: (a) Original 201 waveforms displayed as gray scale images. The horizontal axis represents time samples (with sampling rate $10 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ ). (b) Ten waveforms randomly selected from the 201 waveforms are displayed as wiggles (the positive parts are painted in black). (c) The mean waveform of the training dataset consisting of 101 randomly picked waveforms.
(a) KLB vectors

(b) JBB/LSDB vectors


Fig. 3. (a) Top 20 KLB vectors. (b) Top $20 \mathrm{JBB} / \mathrm{LSDB}$ vectors. The basis vectors are sorted in the energy-decreasing order.
(a) Training Dataset Errors

(b) Test Dataset Errors


Fig. 4. Relative $\ell^{2}$ approximation errors of the geophysical acoustic waveforms using DCT, KLB, LSDB plotted as functions of the number of terms used for approximation: (a) average errors over all the training signals; (b) average errors over all the test signals.
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- For the training dataset, the KLB approximation was perfect. In fact, the KLB approximation with 86 terms already reached the relative $\ell^{2}$ error of $2.425 \times 10^{-13}$ on average.
- The same KLB approximates the test dataset better than the JBB only up to 89 terms. If we try to have more accuracy by increasing the number of terms, it got worse than the JBB approximation.
- This implies that these geophysical acoustic waveforms do not obey the multivariate Gaussian distribution, and the sample mean and the covariance matrices computed from the training dataset were not enough to capture the statistics of the test dataset.
- On the other hand, the JBB and global DCT approximations are quite consistent for both the training and the test datasets.
- The locality of the basis functions of the JBB clearly gave a better performance than the global DCT basis functions.
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- Hence, many new dictionaries have been developed for images based on mathematical modeling of images, e.g., curvelets (Candès-Donoho); contourlets (Do-Vetterli); bandlets (LePennec-Mallat-Peyré); ... dual-tree CWT (Selesnick-Baraniuk-Kingsbury); shearlets (Kutyniok-Labate);
- On the other hand, data-driven dictionaries have become quite popular, e.g., Sparse coding (Olshausen-Field); K-SVD (Aharon-Elad-Bruckstein); ...
- See [3] for their nice review on all of the above dictionaries.
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For more information about the library and dictionaries of ONBs, the best-basis algorithm and their variants, and many applications, see, e.g., [1]; [2, Chap. 8]; [4];[5]; [6]; [7, Chap. 4, 7, 8]; For the recent review on many more dictionaries, see [3].
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