

## LECTURE 25: AFFINE STANLEY SYMMETRIC FUNCTIONS

ALEX WAAGEN, IGOR RUMANOV

### 1. REVIEW OF PREVIOUS MATERIAL:

Recall that we defined the Stanley symmetric functions in a previous lecture as:

$$F_\omega(x) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{1^s \times \omega} = \langle H(x_1) H(x_2) \dots \cdot 1, \omega \rangle$$

where  $H(x) = (1 + xu_{n-1})(1 + xu_{n-2}) \dots (1 + xu_1)$ . This can be rewritten as

$$F_\omega(x) = \sum_{\substack{a=(a_1, \dots, a_t) \\ \text{compositions}}} \langle A_{a_t}(u), \dots, A_{a_1}(u) \cdot 1, \omega \rangle \cdot x_1^{a_1} \dots x_t^{a_t}$$

where  $A_k(u) = \sum_{b_1 > b_2 > \dots > b_k} u_{b_1} \dots u_{b_k}$ .

### 2. AFFINE STANLEY SYMMETRIC FUNCTIONS.

The affine nil-Coxeter algebra  $U_n$  is generated by  $u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}$  with the relations:

$$u^2 = 0$$

$$u_i u_j = u_j u_i \text{ if } |i - j| \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod n$$

$$u_i u_{i+1} u_i = u_{i+1} u_i u_{i+1} \text{ with indices reduced mod } n.$$

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\underline{a} = a_1 \dots a_k$  be a word such that  $a_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$  and  $a_i \neq a_j$  when  $i \neq j$ . We say that  $\underline{a}$  is cyclically decreasing if for all  $i$  such that  $i$  and  $i+1 \pmod n$  appear in  $\underline{a}$ ,  $i+1$  appears before  $i$  in  $\underline{a}$ . In particular,  $\underline{a}$  cannot be cyclically decreasing if no indices are missing.

$u \in U_n$  is cyclically decreasing if  $u = u_{a_1} \dots u_{a_k}$  with  $\underline{a} = a_1 \dots a_k$  cyclically decreasing.

**Example 2.2.** Take  $n = 6$ . Then 32105 is cyclically decreasing since  $2 < 3$ ,  $1 < 2$ ,  $0 < 1$  and  $5 < 6$ . But 432105 is not cyclically decreasing, since every index is included.

**Remark 2.3.** A cyclically decreasing element is completely determined by the set  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_k\} \subset [0, n-1]$ , and we can write  $u_A$  for this  $u$ .

**Definition 2.4.**  $A_k(u) \in U_n$  for  $0 \leq k \leq n-1$  is defined as follows:

$$A_k(u) = \sum_A u_A$$

where the sum is over all  $A \in \binom{[0, n-1]}{k}$ , that is, all  $k$ -subsets of  $[0, n-1]$ .

---

*Date:* March 6, 2009.

**Definition 2.5.** Given  $\omega \in \tilde{S}_n$ , the affine Stanley symmetric functions are defined as:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\omega(x) = \sum_{\substack{a=(a_1, \dots, a_\ell) \\ \text{compositions of } \ell(\omega)}} \langle A_{a_k}(u) \cdots A_{a_1}(u) \cdot 1, \omega \rangle \cdot x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_\ell^{a_\ell}$$

**Proposition 2.6.**

- (1)  $[x_1 \cdots x_{\ell(\omega)}] \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\omega = \#$  reduced words for  $w$
- (2) for  $\omega \in S_n \subset \tilde{S}_n$  :

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\omega = \mathcal{F}_\omega$$

**Theorem 2.7.**  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\omega(x)$  are symmetric functions.

*Sketch of proof.* One needs to prove that the  $A_k(u)$  commute (see T.Lam [1]).  $\square$

(Another case,  $u_i^2 = u_i$  instead of  $u_i^2 = 0$ , leads to affine stable Grothendieck polynomials related to the  $K$ -theory for the affine Grassmannian, see [3]. They are nonhomogenous symmetric functions).

**Definition 2.8.** Define the affine Schur functions (or dual  $k$ -Schur functions)

$$\tilde{F}_\lambda(x) = \tilde{F}_{c^{-1}(b^{-1}(\lambda))}(x),$$

where  $\lambda$  is a  $k$ -bounded partition; (use bijection from cores to affine Grassmannian elements).

**Theorem 2.9.** The affine Schur functions  $\{\tilde{F}_\mu | \mu \in \mathcal{P}, \mu_1 \leq k\}$  form a basis for  $\Lambda^{(n)} = \mathbb{C}\langle m_\lambda | \lambda \in \mathcal{P}, \lambda_1 \leq k \rangle$ .

**Conjecture 2.10.** The expansion

$$\tilde{F}_w(x) = \sum_{\lambda} a_{w\lambda} \tilde{F}_\lambda(x), \quad a_{w\lambda} \in \mathbb{N}$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] T. Lam, *Affine Stanley Symmetric Functions*, arXiv:math/0501335v1 [math.CO].
- [2] T. Lam, *Schubert Polynomials for the Affine Grassmannian*, arXiv:math/0603125v2 [math.CO].
- [3] T. Lam, A. Schilling, M. Shimozono, *K-theory Schubert Calculus of the Affine Grassmannian*, arXiv:0901.1506v1 [math.CO]