## MAT 108 Homework 15 Solutions

Problems are from A Transition to Advanced Mathematics 8th edition by Smith, Eggen, and Andre.

Section 1.3 #10ijk Section 1.8 #12 Section 2.3 #9d Section 2.4 #5i

10. Which of the following are true in the universe of all real numbers?

(i)  $(\exists !x)(\forall y)(x = y^2).$ 

**Solution:** False. No such x exists.

(j)  $(\forall y)(\exists !x)(x = y^2).$ 

Solution: True. Square of a real number is well-defined.

(k)  $(\exists !x)(\exists !y)(\forall w)(w^2 > x - y).$ 

**Solution:** False. Choice of x and y is not unique. Just take y > x.

12. Let a be an integer and p and q be distinct primes such that p divides a and q divides a. Prove that pq divides a.

**Solution:** Let a be an integer and p and q be distinct primes both dividing a. Since p divides a, we can write ps = a for some  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since q is prime and divides a = ps, we can apply Euclid's lemma to conclude that q divides p or q divides s. p and q were assumed to be distinct, so  $q \not| p$ , from which it follows that q divides s. Therefore, we can write qt = s for some  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Together, this yields a = pqt. Since  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have shown that pq|a, as desired.

- **9.** Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  be a family of sets,  $\Delta \neq \emptyset$  and B be a set. Prove the statement is true, or give a counterexample.
  - (d)  $(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_{\alpha}) B = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} (A_{\alpha} B).$

**Solution:** Let  $\mathcal{A}, \Delta$ , and B be given as in the statement of the problem.

 $(\subseteq)$  Consider  $x \in (\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_{\alpha}) - B$ . Then  $x \in A_{\alpha}$  for some  $\alpha \in \Delta$  and  $x \notin B$ . Therefore,  $x \in A_{\alpha} - B$ . Hence,  $x \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_{\alpha} - B$ , so  $(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_{\alpha}) - B \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} (A_{\alpha} - B)$ 

 $(\supseteq)$  Let  $x \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} (A_{\alpha} - B)$ . Then  $x \in A_{\alpha} - B$  for some  $\alpha \in \Delta$ . By definition,  $x \in A_{\alpha}$  and  $x \notin B$ . Since  $x \in A_{\alpha}$ , we know  $x \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_{\alpha}$ . Since  $x \notin B$ , it follows that  $x \in (\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_{\alpha}) - B$ . Therefore,  $x \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} (A_{\alpha} - B) \subseteq (\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_{\alpha}) - B$ . Thus, since we have shown both containments, the two sets are equal.

- 5. Use the PMI to prove the following for all natural numbers:
  - (i) For every prime p, for every natural number a, if p divides  $a^n$  then p divides a.

**Solution:** Let p be a prime number and a be any natural number

(base case) For n = 1, the statement becomes 'if p divides  $a^1$ , then p divides a, which is a tautology. (induction step) Assume that  $p|a^k$  implies p|a for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . We wish to show that  $p|a^{k+1}$  implies p|a. Consider  $a^{k+1}$  and factor it as  $a^k \cdot a$ . Since p is prime and divides  $a^{k+1}$ , Euclid's lemma implies that p divides  $a^k$  or p divides a. In the first case (i.e.  $p|a^k$ ), the inductive hypothesis implies that p|a. Therefore,  $p|a^{k+1}$  implies p|a.

Thus, if the statement is true for n = k then the statement is true for n = k + 1. Hence, by the PMI, the statement is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .