

MAT 108 Homework 19 Solutions

Problems are from A Transition to Advanced Mathematics 8th edition by Smith, Eggen, and Andre.

Section 3.4 #1hjkl, 2hjkl, 3, 4, 5, 12abc

1. Perform each of these calculations in \mathbb{Z}_7

(h) $(4 + 5) \cdot (5 + 6)$

Solution: $(4 + 5) \cdot (5 + 6) = 2 \cdot 4 = 1 \pmod{7}$

(j) 5^{23}

Solution: $5^{23} = 5^{18} \cdot 5^5 = 1 \cdot (-2^5) = -32 = 3 \pmod{7}$

Note: you can check and verify that $5^{18} = 5^{6^3} = 1 \pmod{7}$.

(k) 4^{44}

Solution: $4^{44} = 4^2 = 2 \pmod{7}$

(l) 2^{26}

Solution: $2^{26} = 2^2 = 4 \pmod{7}$

2. Repeat Exercise 1 with calculations in \mathbb{Z}_9 .

(h) $(4 + 5) \cdot (5 + 6)$

Solution: $(4 + 5) \cdot (5 + 6) = 0 \cdot 2 = 0 \pmod{9}$

(j) 5^{23}

Solution: We compute

- $5^2 = 7 \pmod{9}$
- $5^3 = 8 \pmod{9}$
- $5^4 = 4 \pmod{9}$
- $5^5 = 2 \pmod{9}$
- $5^6 = 1 \pmod{9}$

So we have $5^{23} = 5^{18} \cdot 5^5 = 2 \pmod{9}$.

(k) 4^{44}

Solution: We compute

- $4^2 = 7 \pmod{9}$
- $4^3 = 1 \pmod{9}$

So $4^{44} = 4^{42} \cdot 4^2 = 7 \pmod{9}$

(1) 2^{26}

Solution: We compute We compute

- $2^2 = 4 \pmod 9$
- $2^3 = 8 \pmod 9$
- $2^4 = 7 \pmod 9$
- $2^5 = 5 \pmod 9$
- $2^6 = 1 \pmod 9$

So $2^{26} = 2^{24} \cdot 2^2 = 4 \pmod 9$.

3. Prove Theorem 3.4.2: If a, b, c , and d are integers, $a = c \pmod m$, and $b = d \pmod m$, then $a \cdot b = c \cdot d \pmod m$.

Solution: Let $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ and suppose that $a = c \pmod m$, and $b = d \pmod m$. Then, by definition, we have $m|a - c$ and $m|b - d$. In order to conclude $a \cdot b = c \cdot d \pmod m$, we must show $m|ab - cd$. We first rewrite $ab - cd$ as

$$ab - cd = ab - bc + bc - cd = b(a - c) + c(b - d).$$

Since m divides both $a - c$ and $b - d$, it follows that m divides $b(a - c) + c(b - d) = ab - cd$. Thus, $ab = cd \pmod m$.

4. (a) For \bar{x}, \bar{y} in \mathbb{Z}_m , give a definition of subtraction: $\bar{x} - \bar{y}$.

Solution: For \bar{x}, \bar{y} in \mathbb{Z}_m we define $\bar{x} - \bar{y} := \overline{x - y}$. Note that this matches our definition of addition of negative representatives of equivalence classes.

- (b) Prove that subtraction is well defined.

Solution: Let $\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{c}, \bar{d} \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ with $\bar{x} = \bar{c}, \bar{y} = \bar{d} \pmod m$. We wish to show that $\bar{x} - \bar{y} = \bar{c} - \bar{d}$. Since \bar{x} is congruent to \bar{c} and \bar{y} is congruent to \bar{d} in \mathbb{Z}_m , we know that $m|x - c$ and $m|y - d$. Therefore, $m|(x - c) - (y - d)$. But

$$(x - c) - (y - d) = (x - y) - (c - d),$$

so $m|(x - y) - (c - d)$, which tells us that $\bar{x} - \bar{y} = \bar{c} - \bar{d} \pmod m$.

- (c) Find the results of

- (i) $8 - 6$ in \mathbb{Z}_9
- (ii) $5 - 8$ in \mathbb{Z}_9
- (iii) $3 - 5$ in \mathbb{Z}_7
- (iv) $1 - 4$ in \mathbb{Z}_7 .

Solution:

- (i) $8 - 6 = 2 \pmod 9$
- (ii) $5 - 8 = 6 \pmod 9$
- (iii) $3 - 5 = 5 \pmod 7$
- (iv) $1 - 4 = 4 \pmod 7$

5. Suppose we try to define \leq on \mathbb{Z}_m by saying that for \bar{x}, \bar{y} in \mathbb{Z}_m , $\bar{x} \leq \bar{y}$ if $x \leq y$. What is wrong with this definition.

Solution: This relation is not well defined on \mathbb{Z}_m . For example, we can take $m = 4$. Then $2, -2 \in \bar{2}$ but we have $-2 < 1$ and $2 > 1$.

12. 'Grade' the following proofs:

(a) (see textbook for proof)

Solution: C. The claim is true, but the proof has a lot of gaps and does not use the definitions of congruence to justify their assertions.

(b) (see textbook for proof)

Solution: F. The claim is false and the last step in the proof is not true in \mathbb{Z}_8 .

(c) (see textbook for proof)

Solution: A. Proof is good