

Dedekind sums: a combinatorial-geometric viewpoint ¹

MATTHIAS BECK AND SINAI ROBINS ²

Abstract: The literature on Dedekind sums is vast. In this expository paper we show that there is a common thread to many generalizations of Dedekind sums, namely through the study of lattice point enumeration of rational polytopes. In particular, there are some natural finite Fourier series which we call **Fourier-Dedekind sums**, and which form the building blocks of the number of partitions of an integer from a finite set of positive integers. This problem also goes by the name of the ‘coin exchange problem’. Dedekind sums have enjoyed a resurgence of interest recently, from such diverse fields as topology, number theory, and combinatorial geometry. The Fourier-Dedekind sums we study here include as special cases generalized Dedekind sums studied by Berndt, Carlitz, Grosswald, Knuth, Rademacher, and Zagier. Our interest in these sums stems from the appearance of Dedekind’s and Zagier’s sums in lattice point count formulas for polytopes ([Mo], [Po], [Di-Ro]). Using some simple generating functions, we show that generalized Dedekind sums are natural ingredients for such formulas. As immediate ‘geometric’ corollaries to our formulas, we obtain and generalize reciprocity laws of Dedekind ([De]), Zagier ([Za]), and Gessel ([Ge]). Finally, we prove a polynomial-time complexity result for Zagier’s higher dimensional Dedekind sums.

1 Introduction

In recent years, Dedekind sums and their various siblings have enjoyed a new renaissance. Historically, they appeared in analytic number theory (Dedekind’s η -function, [De]), algebraic number theory (class number formulae, [Me]), topology (signature defects of manifolds, [Hi-Za]), combinatorial geometry (lattice point enumeration, [Mo]), and algorithmic complexity (pseudo random number generators, [Kn]). In this expository paper, we define some broad generalizations of Dedekind sums, which are in fact finite Fourier series. We show that they appear naturally in the enumeration of lattice points in polytopes, and prove reciprocity laws for them.

In combinatorial number theory, one is interested in partitions of an integer n from a finite set. That is, one writes n as a nonnegative integer linear combination of a given finite set of positive integers. We showed in [Be-Di-Ro] that the number of such partitions of n from a finite set is a quasipolynomial in n , whose coefficients are built up from the following important generalization of Dedekind sums.

¹Preprint (submitted), April 24, 2000. *Keywords:* Dedekind sums, rational polytopes, lattice points, partition function.

²Research supported by NSF Grant # 9508965

Definition 1 For $a_0, \dots, a_d, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define the **Fourier-Dedekind sum** as

$$\sigma_n(a_1, \dots, a_d; a_0) := \frac{1}{a_0} \sum_{\lambda^{a_0}=1} \frac{\lambda^n}{(1 - \lambda^{a_1}) \cdots (1 - \lambda^{a_d})} .$$

Here the sum is taken over all a_0 'th roots of unity for which the summand is not singular.

In [Ge], Gessel systematically studied sums of the form

$$\sum_{\lambda^a=1} R(\lambda) ,$$

where R is a rational function, and the sum is taken over all a 'th roots of unity for which R is not singular. He called them 'generalized Dedekind sums', since his definition includes various generalizations of the Dedekind sum as special cases. Hence we study Gessel's sums where the poles of R are restricted to be roots of unity.

In Section 2, we give a brief history on those generalizations of the classical Dedekind sum (due to Rademacher, [Ra], and Zagier, [Za]) which can be written as Fourier-Dedekind sums. Our interest in these sums stems from the appearance of Dedekind's and Zagier's sums in lattice point enumeration formulas for polytopes ([Mo], [Po], [Br-Ve], [Di-Ro]). Using generating functions, we show in Section 3 that generalized Dedekind sums are natural ingredients for such formulas, which also apply to the theory of partition functions. In Section 4 we obtain and generalize reciprocity laws of Dedekind ([De]), Zagier ([Za]), and Gessel ([Ge]) as 'geometric' corollaries to our formulas. Finally, in Section 5, we prove that the Zagier's higher dimensional Dedekind sums are in fact polynomial-time computable in fixed dimension. For Dedekind sums in 2 dimensions, this fact follows easily from their reciprocity law; but for higher dimensional Dedekind sums the polynomial-time complexity does not seem to follow so easily, and we therefore invoke some recent work of [Di-Ro] and [Be-Po-Ro].

2 Classical Dedekind sums and generalizations

According to Riemann's will, it was his wish that Dedekind should get Riemann's unpublished notes and manuscripts ([Ra-Gr]). Among these was a discussion of the important function

$$\eta(z) = e^{\frac{\pi iz}{12}} \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - e^{2\pi i n z}) ,$$

which Dedekind took up and eventually published in Riemann's collected works ([De]).

Definition 2 Let $((x))$ be the sawtooth function defined by

$$((x)) := \begin{cases} \{x\} - \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } x \notin \mathbb{Z} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Z} . \end{cases}$$

Here $\{x\} = x - [x]$ denotes the fractional part of x . For two integers a and b , we define the **Dedekind sum** as

$$s(a, b) := \sum_{k \bmod b} \left(\left(\frac{ka}{b} \right) \right) \left(\left(\frac{k}{b} \right) \right) .$$

Through the study of the transformation properties of η under $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, Dedekind naturally arrived at $s(a, b)$. The classic introduction to the arithmetic properties of the Dedekind sum is [Ra-Gr]. The most important of these, already proved by Dedekind ([De]), is the famous reciprocity law

Theorem 1 (Dedekind) If a and b are relatively prime then

$$s(a, b) + s(b, a) = -\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{a}{b} + \frac{1}{ab} + \frac{b}{a} \right) .$$

This reciprocity law is easily seen to be equivalent to the transformation law of the η -function ([De]). Due to the periodicity of $((x))$, we can reduce a modulo b in the Dedekind sum: $s(a, b) = s(a \bmod b, b)$. Therefore, Theorem 1 allows us to compute $s(a, b)$ in polynomial time, similar in spirit to the Euclidean algorithm.

The Dedekind sum $s(a, b)$ has various generalizations, two of which we introduce here. The first one is due to Rademacher ([Ra]), who generalized sums introduced by Meyer ([Me]) and Dieter ([Di]):

Definition 3 For $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, the **Dedekind-Rademacher sum** is defined by

$$s(a, b; x, y) := \sum_{k \bmod b} \left(\left(\frac{(k+y)a}{b} + x \right) \right) \left(\left(\frac{k+y}{b} \right) \right) .$$

This sum possesses again a reciprocity law, which generalizes Theorem 1:

Theorem 2 (Rademacher) If a and b are relatively prime and x and y are not both integers, then

$$\begin{aligned} s(a, b; x, y) + s(b, a; y, x) &= \\ &= ((x))((y)) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{a}{b} B_2(y) + \frac{1}{ab} B_2(ay + bx) + \frac{b}{a} B_2(x) \right) . \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$B_2(x) := (x - [x])^2 - (x - [x]) + \frac{1}{6}$$

is the periodized second Bernoulli polynomial.

If x and y are both integers, the Dedekind-Rademacher sum is simply the classical Dedekind sum, whose reciprocity law we already stated. As with the reciprocity law for the classical Dedekind sum, Theorem 2 can be used to compute $s(a, b; x, y)$ in polynomial time.

The second generalization of the Dedekind sum we mention here is due to Zagier ([Za]). From topological considerations, he arrived naturally at expressions of the following kind:

Definition 4 Let a_1, \dots, a_d be integers relatively prime to $a_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. Define the higher-dimensional Dedekind sum as

$$s(a_0; a_1, \dots, a_d) := \frac{(-1)^{d/2}}{a_0} \sum_{k=1}^{a_0-1} \cot \frac{\pi k a_1}{a_0} \cdots \cot \frac{\pi k a_d}{a_0} .$$

This sum vanishes if d is odd. It is not hard to see that this indeed generalizes the classical Dedekind sum: the latter can be written in terms of cotangents ([Ra-Gr]), which yields

$$s(a, b) = \frac{1}{4b} \sum_{k \bmod b} \cot \frac{\pi k a}{b} \cot \frac{\pi k}{b} = -\frac{1}{4} s(b, a, 1) .$$

Again, there exists a reciprocity law for Zagier's sums:

Theorem 3 (Zagier) If $a_0, \dots, a_d \in \mathbb{N}$ are pairwise relatively prime then

$$\sum_{j=0}^d s(a_j; a_0, \dots, \hat{a}_j, \dots, a_d) = \phi(a_0, \dots, a_d) .$$

Here ϕ is a rational function in a_0, \dots, a_d , which can be expressed in terms of Hirzebruch L -functions ([Za]).

It should be mentioned that a version of the higher-dimensional Dedekind sums was already introduced in 1954 by Carlitz ([Ca]):

$$\sum_{k_1, \dots, k_d \bmod a_0} \left(\left(\frac{a_1 k_1 + \dots + a_d k_d}{a_0} \right) \right) \left(\left(\frac{a_1}{a_0} \right) \right) \left(\left(\frac{a_d}{a_0} \right) \right) .$$

Berndt ([Be]) noticed that these sums are, up to constant factor, Zagier's higher-dimensional Dedekind sums. If we write the higher-dimensional Dedekind sum as a sum over roots of unity,

$$\mathfrak{s}(a_0; a_1, \dots, a_d) = \frac{1}{a_0} \sum_{\lambda^{a_0}=1 \neq \lambda} \frac{\lambda^{a_1} + 1}{\lambda^{a_1} - 1} \cdots \frac{\lambda^{a_d} + 1}{\lambda^{a_d} - 1},$$

it becomes clear that it suffices to study sums of the form

$$\frac{1}{a_0} \sum_{\lambda^{a_0}=1 \neq \lambda} \frac{1}{(\lambda^{a_1} - 1) \cdots (\lambda^{a_d} - 1)}.$$

Zagier's Dedekind sum can be expressed as a sum of expressions of this kind. On the other hand, we consider special cases of the Dedekind-Rademacher sum, namely, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\mathfrak{s}(a, b; \frac{n}{b}, 0) = \sum_{k \bmod b} \left(\left(\frac{ka + n}{b} \right) \right) \left(\left(\frac{k}{b} \right) \right).$$

Knuth ([Kn]) discovered that these generalized Dedekind sums describe the statistics of pseudo random number generators. In [Be-Ro], we used the convolution theorem for finite Fourier series to show that, if a and b are relatively prime,

$$\mathfrak{s}(a, b; \frac{n}{b}, 0) = -\frac{1}{b} \sum_{\lambda^b=1 \neq \lambda} \frac{\lambda^{-n}}{(1 - \lambda^a)(1 - \lambda)} - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{n}{b} \right\} + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4b}. \quad (1)$$

Recall that $\{x\} = x - [x]$ denotes the fractional part of x . Comparing this with the representation we obtained for Zagier's Dedekind sums motivates the study of the Fourier-Dedekind sum

$$\sigma_n(a_1, \dots, a_d; a_0) = \frac{1}{a_0} \sum_{\lambda^{a_0}=1} \frac{\lambda^n}{(1 - \lambda^{a_1}) \cdots (1 - \lambda^{a_d})},$$

a finite Fourier series in n . Gessel ([Ge]) gave a new reciprocity law for a special case of Fourier-Dedekind sums:

Theorem 4 (Gessel) *Let p and q be relatively prime and suppose that $1 \leq n \leq p + q$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\lambda^p=1 \neq \lambda} \frac{\lambda^n}{(1 - \lambda^q)(1 - \lambda)} + \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\lambda^q=1 \neq \lambda} \frac{\lambda^n}{(1 - \lambda^p)(1 - \lambda)} \\ &= -\frac{n^2}{2pq} + \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{pq} \right) - \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + 1 \right) - \frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{p}{q} + \frac{1}{pq} + \frac{q}{p} \right). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that the reciprocity law for classical Dedekind sums (Theorem 1) is a special case of Gessel's theorem. We can rephrase the statement of Gessel's theorem in terms of Dedekind-Rademacher sums by means of (1): for p and q relatively prime, and $1 \leq n \leq p + q$,

$$\begin{aligned} s\left(q, p; \frac{-n}{p}, 0\right) + s\left(p, q; \frac{-n}{q}, 0\right) \\ \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \left(\left(\frac{qk-n}{p} \right) \right) \left(\left(\frac{k}{p} \right) \right) + \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \left(\left(\frac{pk-n}{q} \right) \right) \left(\left(\frac{k}{q} \right) \right) \\ = \frac{n^2}{2pq} - \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{pq} \right) + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{p}{q} + \frac{1}{pq} + \frac{q}{p} \right) \\ - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{-n}{p} \right\} - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{-n}{q} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We will now view the Fourier-Dedekind sum from a generating-function point of view, which will allow us to obtain and extend geometric proofs of Dedekind's, Zagier's and Gessel's reciprocity laws.

3 A new combinatorial identity for partitions from a finite set

The form of the Fourier-Dedekind sum

$$\sigma_{-n}(a_1, \dots, a_d; a_0) = \frac{1}{a_0} \sum_{\lambda^{a_0}=1 \neq \lambda} \frac{\lambda^{-n}}{(1-\lambda^{a_1}) \cdots (1-\lambda^{a_d})}$$

suggests the use of a generating function

$$f(z) := \frac{1}{1-z^{a_0}} \frac{z^{-n}}{(1-z^{a_1}) \cdots (1-z^{a_d})}.$$

In fact, let's expand this generating function into partial fractions: suppose, for simplicity, that $n > 0$, and a_0, \dots, a_d are pairwise relatively prime. Then we can write

$$f(z) = \sum_{\lambda^{a_0}=1 \neq \lambda} \frac{A_\lambda}{z-\lambda} + \dots + \sum_{\lambda^{a_d}=1 \neq \lambda} \frac{A_\lambda}{z-\lambda} + \sum_{k=1}^{d+1} \frac{B_k}{(z-1)^k} + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{C_k}{z^k}.$$

The coefficient A_λ for, say, a nontrivial a_0 'th root of unity λ can be derived easily:

$$A_\lambda = \lim_{z \rightarrow \lambda} (z-\lambda)f(z) = -\frac{\lambda}{a_0} \frac{\lambda^{-n}}{(1-\lambda^{a_1}) \cdots (1-\lambda^{a_n})}.$$

Hence we obtain the Fourier-Dedekind sums if we consider the constant coefficient of f (in the Laurent series about $z = 0$):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{const}(f) &= \sum_{\lambda^{a_0}=1 \neq \lambda} \frac{A_\lambda}{-\lambda} + \dots + \sum_{\lambda^{a_d}=1 \neq \lambda} \frac{A_\lambda}{-\lambda} + \sum_{k=1}^{d+1} (-1)^k B_k \\ &= \sigma_{-n}(a_1, \dots, a_d; a_0) + \dots + \sigma_{-n}(a_0, \dots, a_{d-1}; a_n) + \sum_{k=1}^{d+1} (-1)^k B_k . \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

The coefficients B_k are simply the coefficients of the Laurent series of f about $z = 1$, and are easily computed, by hand or using mathematics software such as `Maple` or `Mathematica`. It is not hard to see that they are polynomials in n whose coefficients are rational functions of the a_0, \dots, a_d . To simplify notation, define

$$q(a_0, \dots, a_d, n) := \sum_{k=1}^{d+1} (-1)^k B_k . \quad (3)$$

On the other hand, we can compute the constant coefficient of f by brute force: writing

$$f(z) = \left(\sum_{k_0 \geq 0} z^{k_0 a_0} \right) \dots \left(\sum_{k_d \geq 0} z^{k_d a_d} \right) z^{-n} ,$$

we can see that $\text{const}(f)$ enumerates the ways of writing n as a linear combination of the a_0, \dots, a_d with nonnegative coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{const}(f) &= \# \{ (k_0, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d+1} : k_j \geq 0, k_0 a_0 + \dots + k_d a_d = n \} \\ &= p_{\{a_0, \dots, a_d\}}(n) . \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

This defines the partition function with parts in the finite set $A := \{a_0, \dots, a_n\}$. Geometrically, $p_A(n)$ enumerates the integer points on n -dilates of the rational polytope

$$\mathcal{P} := \{ (x_0, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} : x_j \geq 0, x_0 a_0 + \dots + x_d a_d = 1 \} .$$

This geometric interpretation allows us to use the machinery of Ehrhart theory, which will be advantageous in the following section. We next give an explicit formula for the famous ‘coin-exchange problem’ - that is, the number of ways to form n cents from a finite set of coins with given denominations: comparing (2) with (4) yields our central ([Be-Di-Ro])

Theorem 5 *Suppose a_0, \dots, a_d are pairwise relatively prime, non-negative integers. We recall that the number of partitions of an integer n from the finite set of a_i 's is defined by*

$$p_{\{a_0, \dots, a_d\}}(n) := \# \{ (k_0, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d+1} : k_j \geq 0, k_0 a_0 + \dots + k_d a_d = n \} .$$

Then

$$p_{\{a_0, \dots, a_d\}}(n) = q(a_0, \dots, a_d, n) + \sum_{j=0}^d \sigma_{-n}(a_0, \dots, \hat{a}_j, \dots, a_d; a_j) ,$$

where $q(a_0, \dots, a_d, n)$ is given by (3). □

The first few expressions for $q(a_0, \dots, a_d, n)$ are

$$\begin{aligned} q(a_0, n) &= \frac{1}{a_0} \\ q(a_0, a_1, n) &= \frac{n}{a_0 a_1} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{a_0} + \frac{1}{a_1} \right) \\ q(a_0, a_1, a_2, n) &= \frac{n^2}{2a_0 a_1 a_2} + \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{a_0 a_1} + \frac{1}{a_0 a_2} + \frac{1}{a_1 a_2} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{3}{a_0} + \frac{3}{a_1} + \frac{3}{a_2} + \frac{a_0}{a_1 a_2} + \frac{a_1}{a_0 a_2} + \frac{a_2}{a_0 a_1} \right) \\ q(a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, n) &= \frac{n^3}{6a_0 a_1 a_2 a_3} \\ &\quad + \frac{n^2}{4} \left(\frac{1}{a_0 a_1 a_2} + \frac{1}{a_0 a_1 a_3} + \frac{1}{a_0 a_2 a_3} + \frac{1}{a_1 a_2 a_3} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{n}{4} \left(\frac{1}{a_0 a_1} + \frac{1}{a_0 a_2} + \frac{1}{a_0 a_3} + \frac{1}{a_1 a_2} + \frac{1}{a_1 a_3} + \frac{1}{a_2 a_3} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{n}{12} \left(\frac{a_0}{a_1 a_2 a_3} + \frac{a_1}{a_0 a_2 a_3} + \frac{a_2}{a_0 a_1 a_3} + \frac{a_3}{a_0 a_1 a_2} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{24} \left(\frac{a_0}{a_1 a_2} + \frac{a_0}{a_1 a_3} + \frac{a_0}{a_2 a_3} + \frac{a_1}{a_0 a_2} + \frac{a_1}{a_0 a_3} + \frac{a_1}{a_2 a_3} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{a_2}{a_0 a_1} + \frac{a_2}{a_0 a_3} + \frac{a_2}{a_1 a_3} + \frac{a_3}{a_0 a_1} + \frac{a_3}{a_0 a_2} + \frac{a_3}{a_1 a_2} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{1}{a_0} + \frac{1}{a_1} + \frac{1}{a_2} + \frac{1}{a_3} \right) . \end{aligned}$$

4 Reciprocity laws

We will now use Theorem 5 to prove and extend some of the reciprocity theorems stated earlier. We will make use of two results due to Ehrhart for **rational polytopes**, that is, polytopes whose vertices are rational. Ehrhart ([Eh]) initiated the study of the number of integer points ("lattice points") in integer dilates of such polytopes:

Definition 5 Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a rational polytope, and n a positive integer. We denote the number of lattice points in the dilates of the closure of \mathcal{P} and its interior by

$$L(\overline{\mathcal{P}}, n) := \#(n\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d) \quad \text{and} \quad L(\mathcal{P}^\circ, n) := \#(n\mathcal{P}^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^d) ,$$

respectively.

Ehrhart proved that $L(\overline{\mathcal{P}}, n)$ and $L(\mathcal{P}^\circ, n)$ are **quasipolynomials** in the integer variable n , that is, expressions of the form

$$c_d(n) n^d + \dots + c_1(n) n + c_0(n) ,$$

where c_0, \dots, c_d are periodic functions in n . Ehrhart conjectured the following fundamental theorem, which establishes an algebraic connection between our two lattice point count operators. Its original proof is due to Macdonald ([Ma]).

Theorem 6 (Ehrhart-Macdonald reciprocity law) Suppose the rational polytope \mathcal{P} is homeomorphic to a d -manifold. Then

$$L(\mathcal{P}^\circ, -n) = (-1)^d L(\overline{\mathcal{P}}, n) .$$

This enables us to rephrase Theorem 5 for the quantity

$$p_{\{a_0, \dots, a_d\}}^\circ(n) := \# \{ (k_0, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d+1} : k_j > 0, k_0 a_0 + \dots + k_d a_d = n \} .$$

By Theorem 6, we have

Corollary 7 Suppose $a_0, \dots, a_d \in \mathbb{N}$ are pairwise relatively prime. Then

$$p_{\{a_0, \dots, a_d\}}^\circ(n) = (-1)^d \left(q(a_0, \dots, a_d, -n) + \sum_{j=0}^d \sigma_n(a_0, \dots, \hat{a}_j, \dots, a_d; a_j) \right) ,$$

where $q(a_0, \dots, a_d, n)$ is given by (3). □

We note that we could have derived this identity from scratch in a similar way as Theorem 5, without using Ehrhart-Macdonald reciprocity.

The reason for switching to $p_{\{a_0, \dots, a_d\}}^\circ(n)$ is that

$$p_{\{a_0, \dots, a_d\}}^\circ(n) = 0$$

for $0 < n < a_0 + \dots + a_d$, by the very definition of $p_{\{a_0, \dots, a_d\}}^\circ(n)$. This yields a reciprocity law:

Theorem 8 *Let a_0, \dots, a_d be pairwise relatively prime integers and $0 < n < a_0 + \dots + a_d$. Then*

$$\sum_{j=0}^d \sigma_n(a_0, \dots, \hat{a}_j, \dots, a_d; a_j) = -q(a_0, \dots, a_d, -n) ,$$

where $q(a_0, \dots, a_d, n)$ is given by (3). \square

For $d = 2, a_0 = p, a_1 = q, a_2 = 1$, this is the statement of Gessel's Theorem 4, which, in turn, implies Dedekind's reciprocity law Theorem 1.

To prove Zagier's Theorem 3 in terms of Fourier-Dedekind sums, we make use of another result of Ehrhart ([Eh]) on **lattice polytopes**, that is, polytopes whose vertices have integer coordinates. Recall that the **reduced Euler characteristic** of a polytope \mathcal{P} can be defined as

$$\chi(\mathcal{P}) := \sum_{\sigma} (-1)^{\dim \sigma} ,$$

where the sum is over all sub-simplices of \mathcal{P} .

Theorem 9 (Ehrhart) *Let \mathcal{P} be a lattice polytope. Then $L(\overline{\mathcal{P}}, n)$ is a polynomial in n whose constant term is $\chi(\mathcal{P})$.*

We note that the polytope \mathcal{P} corresponding to $p_{\{a_0, \dots, a_d\}}(n)$ is convex and hence has Euler characteristic 1. If we now dilate \mathcal{P} only by multiples of $a_0 \cdots a_d$, say $n = a_0 \cdots a_d m$, we obtain the dilates of a lattice polytope. Theorem 5 simplifies for these n to

$$p_{\{a_0, \dots, a_d\}}(a_0 \cdots a_d m) = q(a_0, \dots, a_d, a_0 \cdots a_d m) + \sum_{j=0}^d \sigma_0(a_0, \dots, \hat{a}_j, \dots, a_d; a_j) ,$$

by the periodicity of the Fourier-Dedekind sums. However, $\chi(\mathcal{P}) = 1$, and Theorem 9 yields a result equivalent to Zagier's reciprocity law for his higher dimensional Dedekind sums, Theorem 3:

Theorem 10 *For pairwise relatively prime integers a_1, \dots, a_d ,*

$$\sum_{j=0}^d \sigma_0(a_0, \dots, \hat{a}_j, \dots, a_d; a_j) = 1 - q(a_0, \dots, a_d, 0) ,$$

where $q(a_0, \dots, a_d, n)$ is given by (3). \square

5 The computational complexity of Zagier's higher dimensional Dedekind sums

In this section we give a proof of the polynomial-time complexity of Zagier's higher dimensional Dedekind sums, in fixed dimension d . In [Ba-Po], there is a nice theorem due to Barvinok which guarantees the polynomial-time computability of the generating function attached to a rational polyhedron. We will use his theorem for a cone. First, we mention that a common way to enumerate lattice points in a cone \mathcal{K} (and in polytopes) is to use the generating function

$$f(\mathcal{K}, \mathbf{x}) := \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{K} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{m}},$$

where we use the standard multinomial notation $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{m}} := x_1^{m_1} \dots x_d^{m_d}$. It is an elementary fact that for rational cones these generating functions are always rational functions of the variable \mathbf{x} . Barvinok's theorem reads as follows:

Theorem 11 (Barvinok) *Let us fix the dimension d . There exists a polynomial-time algorithm, which for a given rational polyhedron $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$\mathcal{K} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle \mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{x} \rangle \leq \beta_i, i = 1 \dots m\}, \text{ where } \mathbf{c}_i \in \mathbb{Z}^d \text{ and } \beta_i \in \mathbb{Q}$$

computes the generating function

$$f(\mathcal{K}, \mathbf{x}) := \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{K} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{m}}$$

in the form (a virtual decomposition)

$$f(\mathcal{K}, \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i \in I} \epsilon_i \frac{\mathbf{x}^{a_i}}{(1 - \mathbf{x}^{b_{i1}}) \dots (1 - \mathbf{x}^{b_{id}})},$$

where $\epsilon_i \in \{-1, 1\}$, $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, and b_{i1}, \dots, b_{id} is a basis of \mathbb{Z}^d for each i . The computational complexity of the algorithm for finding this virtual decomposition is $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{O}(\Gamma)}$, where \mathcal{L} is the input size of \mathcal{K} . In particular, the number I of terms in the summand is $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{O}(\Gamma)}$.

Thus Barvinok's algorithm finds the coefficients of the rational function $f(\mathcal{K}, \mathbf{x})$ in polynomial time. In [Di-Ro], on the other hand, the generating function $f(\mathcal{K}, \mathbf{x})$ is given in terms of an average over a finite abelian group of a product of d cotangent functions, whose arguments are in terms of the coordinates of the vertices which generate the cone \mathcal{K} (these are the extreme points of \mathcal{K} whose convex hull is \mathcal{K}). This is the main theorem in [Di-Ro] and we apply it below to a special lattice cone which will give us the Zagier-Dedekind sums we want to study.

The following theorem is part of a bigger project ([Be-Po-Ro]) on the computability of generalized Dedekind sums in all dimensions. A slightly different proof is sketched in [Ba-Po].

Theorem 12 *For fixed dimension d , the higher dimensional Dedekind sums*

$$\mathfrak{s}(a_0; a_1, \dots, a_d) = \frac{(-1)^{d/2}}{a_0} \sum_{k=1}^{a_0-1} \cot \frac{\pi k a_1}{a_0} \dots \cot \frac{\pi k a_d}{a_0}.$$

are polynomial-time computable.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ be the cone generated by the positive real span of the vectors

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= (1, 0, \dots, 0, a_1) \\ v_2 &= (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0, a_2) \\ &\vdots \\ v_d &= (0, \dots, 0, 1, a_d) \\ v_{d+1} &= (0, \dots, 0, a_0) \end{aligned}$$

Then the right-hand side of the main theorem of [Di-Ro] is in this case

$$\frac{1}{2^{d+1}a_0} \sum_{k=1}^{a_0-1} \prod_{j=0}^d \left(1 + \coth \frac{\pi}{a_0} (s + ia_j k) \right).$$

When we compute the coefficient of s^{-1} in this meromorphic function of s , we arrive at the following higher dimensional Dedekind sum:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{a_0-1} \cot \frac{\pi k a_1}{a_0} \dots \cot \frac{\pi k a_d}{a_0}$$

plus other products of lower-dimensional Zagier-Dedekind sums. By induction on the dimension, all of the lower-dimensional Zagier-Dedekind sums are polynomial-time computable, and since the left-hand side of the main theorem is polynomial-time computable by Barvinok's theorem, the above Zagier-Dedekind sums in dimension d is now also polynomial-time computable. \square

References

- [Ba-Po] A. I. BARVINOK, J. E. POMMERSHEIM, An algorithmic theory of lattice points in polyhedra, in *New perspectives in algebraic combinatorics, Berkeley, CA, (1996-97)*, *Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ.* **38**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1999), 91-147.
- [Be-Di-Ro] M. BECK, R. DIAZ, S. ROBINS, The Frobenius problem, rational polytopes, and Fourier-Dedekind sums, *submitted*.
- [Be-Po-Ro] M. BECK, J. E. POMMERSHEIM, S. ROBINS, Higher-dimensional Dedekind sums: reciprocity laws, computational complexity, and geometry, *in preparation*.
- [Be-Ro] M. BECK, S. ROBINS, Explicit and efficient formulas for the lattice point count inside rational polygons, *submitted*.
- [Be] B. BERNDT Reciprocity theorems for Dedekind sums and generalizations, *Adv. in Math.* **23**, no. 3 (1977), 285-316.
- [Br-Ve] M. BRION, M. VERGNE An equivariant Riemann-Roch theorem for simplicial toric varieties, *J. reine angew. Math.* **482** (1997), 67-92.
- [Ca] L. CARLITZ, A note on generalized Dedekind sums, *Duke Math. J.* **21** (1954), 399-404.
- [De] R. DEDEKIND, Erläuterungen zu den Fragmenten XXVIII, in *Collected works of Bernhard Riemann*, Dover Publ., New York (1953), 466-478.
- [Di-Ro] R. DIAZ, S. ROBINS, The Erhart polynomial of a lattice polytope, *Annals of Math.* **145** (1997), 503-518.
- [Di] U. DIETER, Das Verhalten der Kleinschen Funktionen $\log \sigma_{g,h}(w_1, w_2)$ gegenüber Modultransformationen und verallgemeinerte Dedekindsche Summen, *J. reine angew. Math.* **201** (1959), 37-70.
- [Eh] E. EHRHART, Sur un problème de géométrie diophantienne linéaire II, *J. reine angewandte Math.* **227** (1967), 25-49.
- [Hi-Za] F. HIRZEBRUCH, D. ZAGIER, *The Atiyah-Singer theorem and elementary number theory*, Publish or Perish, Boston (1974).
- [Ge] I. GESSEL, Generating functions and generalized Dedekind sums, *Electronic J. Comb.* **4**, no. 2 (1997), R 11.
- [Kn] D. KNUTH, *The art of computer programming, vol. 2*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., (1981).
- [Ma] I. G. MACDONALD, Polynomials associated with finite cell complexes, *J. London Math. Soc.* **4** (1971), 181-192.

- [Me] C. MEYER, Über einige Anwendungen Dedekindscher Summen, *J. reine angewandte Math.* **198** (1957), 143-203.
- [Mo] L. J. MORDELL, Lattice points in a tetrahedron and generalized Dedekind sums, *J. Indian Math.* **15** (1951), 41-46.
- [Po] J. POMMERSHEIM, Toric varieties, lattice points, and Dedekind sums, *Math. Ann.* **295** (1993), 1-24.
- [Ra] H. RADEMACHER, Some remarks on certain generalized Dedekind sums, *Acta Arithm.* **9** (1964), 97-105.
- [Ra-Gr] H. RADEMACHER, E. GROSSWALD, *Dedekind sums*, Carus Mathematical Monographs, The Mathematical Association of America (1972).
- [Za] D. ZAGIER, Higher dimensional Dedekind sums, *Math. Ann.* **202** (1973), 149-172.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
BINGHAMTON UNIVERSITY
BINGHAMTON, NY 13902-6000
matthias@math.binghamton.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
TEMPLE UNIVERSITY
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19122
srobins@math.temple.edu