The Frobenius Problem, Rational Polytopes, and Fourier-Dedekind Sums[1]

Matthias Beck
Department of Mathematical Sciences
State University of New York
Binghamton, NY 13902-6000
E-mail: matthias@math.binghamton.edu

and

Ricardo Diaz
Deptartment of Mathematics
The University of Northern Colorado
Greeley, CO 80639
E-mail: rdiaz@bentley.unco.edu

and

Sinai Robins
Deptartment of Mathematics
Temple University
Philadelphia, PA 19122
E-mail: srobins@math.temple.edu

Version: October 24, 2001

We study the number of lattice points in integer dilates of the rational polytope

$$\mathcal{P}=\left\{(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\in\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}:\sum_{k=1}^{n}x_k a_k\leq 1\right\},$$

where $a_1,\ldots,a_n$ are positive integers. This polytope is closely related to the *linear Diophantine problem of Frobenius*: given relatively prime positive integers $a_1,\ldots,a_n$, find the largest value of t (the *Frobenius number*) such that $m_1a_1+\cdots+m_na_n=t$ has no solution in positive integers $m_1,\ldots,m_n$. This is equivalent to the problem of finding the largest dilate $t\mathcal{P}$ such that the facet $\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{n}x_ka_k=t\right\}$ contains no lattice point. We present two methods for computing the Ehrhart quasipolynomials $L(\overline{\mathcal{P}},t):=\#(t\mathcal{P}\cap\mathbb{Z}^n)$ and $L(\mathcal{P}^\circ,t):=\#(t\mathcal{P}^\circ\cap\mathbb{Z}^n)$. Within the computations a Dedekind-like finite Fourier sum appears. We obtain a reciprocity law for these sums, generalizing a theorem of

[1]To appear in *Journal of Number Theory*.
Parts of this work appeared in the first author's Ph.D. thesis.

Gessel. As a corollary of our formulas, we rederive the reciprocity law for Zagier's higher-dimensional Dedekind sums. Finally, we find bounds for the Fourier-Dedekind sums and use them to give new bounds for the Frobenius number.

Key Words: rational polytopes, lattice points, the linear diophantine problem of Frobenius, Ehrhart quasipolynomial, Dedekind sums

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11D04, 05A15, 11H06

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ be positive integers, $\mathbb{Z}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be the n-dimensional integer lattice, and

$$\mathcal{P} = \left\{ (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : \ x_k \geq 0, \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k x_k \leq 1, \right\} , \tag{1}$$

a rational polytope with vertices

$$(0, \ldots, 0), \left(\tfrac{1}{a_1}, 0, \ldots 0\right), \left(0, \tfrac{1}{a_2}, 0, \ldots, 0\right), \ldots, \left(0, \ldots, 0, \tfrac{1}{a_n}\right) .$$

For a positive integer $t \in \mathbb{N}$, let $L(\overline{\mathcal{P}}, t)$ be the number of lattice points in the dilated polytope $t\mathcal{P} = \{tx : x \in \mathcal{P}\}$. Denote further the relative interior of $\mathcal{P}$ by $\mathcal{P}^\circ$ and the number of lattice points in $t\mathcal{P}^\circ$ by $L(\mathcal{P}^\circ, t)$. Then $L(\mathcal{P}^\circ, t)$ and $L(\overline{\mathcal{P}}, t)$ are quasipolynomials in t of degree n [11], i.e. expressions

$$c_n(t)\ t^n + \cdots + c_1(t)\ t + c_0(t),$$

where $c_0, \ldots, c_n$ are periodic functions in t. In fact, if the a_k's are pairwise relatively prime then $c_1, \ldots c_n$ are constants, so only c_0 will show this periodic dependency on t.

Let $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ be a set of relatively prime positive integers, and

$$p'_A(t) = \# \left\{ (m_1, \ldots, m_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n : \sum_{k=1}^{n} m_k a_k = t \right\}. \tag{2}$$

The function $p'_A(t)$ can be described as the number of *restricted partitions of t with parts in A*, where we require that each part is used at least once. (We reserve the name p_A for the enumeration function of those partitions which do not have this restriction.) Geometrically, $p'_A(t)$ enumerates the lattice points on the skewed facet of $\mathcal{P}$. Define $f(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ to be the largest value of t for which

$$p'_A(t) = 0 .$$

In the 19th century, Frobenius inaugurated the study of $f(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$. For $n = 2$, it is known (probably at least since Sylvester [28]) that $f(a_1, a_2) = a_1 a_2$. For $n > 2$, all attempts for explicit formulas have proved elusive.

Here we focus on the study of $p'_A(t)$, and show that it has an explicit representation as a quasipolynomial. Through the discussion of $p'_A(t)$, we gain new insights into Frobenius's problem.

Another motivation to study $p'_A(t)$ is the following trivial reduction formula to lower dimensions:

$$p'_{\{a_1,\dots,a_n\}}(t) = \sum_{m>0} p'_{\{a_1,\dots,a_{n-1}\}}(t - m a_n) \ . \tag{3}$$

Here we use the convention that $p'_A(t) = 0$ if $t \le 0$. This identity can be easily verified by viewing $p'_A(t)$ as

$$p'_A(t) = \# \left\{ (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n : \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} m_k a_k = t - m_n a_n \right\} .$$

Hence, precise knowledge of the values of t for which $p'_A(t) = 0$ in lower dimensions sheds additional light on the Frobenius number in higher dimensions.

The number $p'_A(t)$ appears in the lattice point count of $\mathcal{P}$. It is for this reason that we decided to focus on this particular rational polytope. We present two methods (Sections 2 and 3) for computing the terms appearing in $L(\mathcal{P}^\circ, t)$ and $L(\overline{\mathcal{P}}, t)$. Both methods are refinements of concepts that were earlier introduced by the authors [2, 9]. In contrast to the mostly algberaic-geometric and topological ways of computing $L(\mathcal{P}^\circ, t)$ and $L(\overline{\mathcal{P}}, t)$ [1, 6, 7, 14, 17, 18], our methods are analytic. In passing, we recover the Ehrhart-Macdonald reciprocity law relating $L(\mathcal{P}^\circ, t)$ and $L(\overline{\mathcal{P}}, t)$ [11, 20]. Within the computations a Dedekind-like finite Fourier sum appears, which shares some properties with its classical siblings, discussed in Section 4. In particular, we prove two reciprocity laws for these sums: a rederivation of the reciprocity law for Zagier's higher-dimensional Dedekind sums [30], and a new reciprocity law that generalizes a theorem of Gessel [13]. Finally, in Section 5 we give bounds on these generalized Dedekind sums and apply our results to give new bounds for the Frobenius number. The literature on such bounds is vast—see, for example, [4, 8, 12, 16, 25, 26, 27, 29].

2. THE RESIDUE METHOD

In [2], the first author used the residue theorem to count lattice points in a lattice polytope, that is, a polytope with integer vertices. Here we extend these methods to the case of *rational* vertices.

We are interested in the number of lattice points in the tetrahedron $\mathcal{P}$ defined by (1) and integral dilates of it. We can interpret

$$L(\overline{\mathcal{P}}, t) = \# \left\{ (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n : m_k \ge 0, \sum_{k=1}^{n} m_k a_k \le t \right\} ,$$

as the Taylor coefficient of z^t of the function

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(1+z^{a_1}+z^{2a_1}+\dots\right)\cdots\left(1+z^{a_n}+z^{2a_n}+\dots\right)\left(1+z+z^2+\dots\right)\\&\quad=\frac{1}{1-z^{a_1}}\cdots\frac{1}{1-z^{a_n}}\frac{1}{1-z}\ .\end{aligned}$$

Equivalently

$$L(\overline{\mathcal{P}},t)=\operatorname{Res}\left(\frac{z^{-t-1}}{(1-z^{a_1})\cdots(1-z^{a_n})\,(1-z)},z=0\right). \tag{4}$$

If this expression counts the number of lattice points in $\overline{t\mathcal{P}}$, then the remaining task is to compute the other residues of

$$F_{-t}(z):=\frac{z^{-t-1}}{(1-z^{a_1})\cdots(1-z^{a_n})\,(1-z)}\ ,$$

and use the residue theorem for the sphere $\mathbb{C}\cup\{\infty\}$. F_{-t} has poles at 0 and all $a_1^{\text{th}},\dots,a_n^{\text{th}}$ roots of unity. It is particularly easy to get precise formulas if the poles at the nontrivial roots of unity are simple. For this reason, assume in the following that $a_1,\dots,a_n$ are *pairwise relatively prime*. Then the residues for the $a_1^{\text{th}},\dots,a_n^{\text{th}}$ roots of unity are not hard to compute: Let $\lambda^{a_1}=1\neq\lambda$, then

$$\begin{aligned}&\operatorname{Res}\left(F_{-t}(z),z=\lambda\right)=\\&\quad=\frac{\lambda^{-t-1}}{(1-\lambda^{a_2})\cdots(1-\lambda^{a_n})\,(1-\lambda)}\operatorname{Res}\left(\frac{1}{1-z^{a_1}},z=\lambda\right)\\&\quad=\frac{\lambda^{-t-1}}{(1-\lambda^{a_2})\cdots(1-\lambda^{a_n})\,(1-\lambda)}\lim_{z\to\lambda}\frac{z-\lambda}{1-z^{a_1}}\\&\quad=-\frac{\lambda^{-t}}{a_1\,(1-\lambda^{a_2})\cdots(1-\lambda^{a_n})\,(1-\lambda)}\ .\end{aligned}$$

If we add up all the nontrivial a_1^{th} roots of unity, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\lambda^{a_1}=1\neq\lambda}\operatorname{Res}\left(F_{-t}(z),z=\lambda\right)=\\&\quad=\frac{-1}{a_1}\sum_{\lambda^{a_1}=1\neq\lambda}\frac{\lambda^{-t}}{(1-\lambda^{a_2})\cdots(1-\lambda^{a_n})\,(1-\lambda)}\\&\quad=\frac{-1}{a_1}\sum_{k=1}^{a_1-1}\frac{\xi^{-kt}}{(1-\xi^{ka_2})\cdots(1-\xi^{ka_n})\,(1-\xi^k)}\ ,\end{aligned}$$

where ξ is a primitive a_1^{th} root of unity. This motivates the following

DEFINITION 1. Let $c_1, \ldots, c_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ be relatively prime to $c \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. Define the **Fourier-Dedekind sum** as

$$\sigma_t\left(c_1, \ldots, c_n; c\right) = \frac{1}{c} \sum_{\lambda^c = 1 \neq \lambda} \frac{\lambda^t}{\left(\lambda^{c_1} - 1\right) \cdots \left(\lambda^{c_n} - 1\right)} .$$

Some properties of σ_t are discussed in Section 4. With this notation, we can now write

$$\sum_{\lambda^{a_1} = 1 \neq \lambda} \operatorname{Res}\left(F_{-t}(z), z = \lambda\right) = (-1)^{n+1} \sigma_{-t}\left(a_2, \ldots, a_n, 1; a_1\right).$$

We get similar residues for the $a_2^{\text{th}}, \ldots, a_n^{\text{th}}$ roots of unity. Finally, note that $\operatorname{Res}(F_{-t}, z = \infty) = 0$, so that the residue theorem allows us to rewrite (4):

THEOREM 1. *Let $\mathcal{P}$ be given by* (1), *with $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ pairwise relatively prime. Then*

$$L(\overline{\mathcal{P}}, t) = R_{-t}\left(a_1, \ldots, a_n\right) + (-1)^n \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_{-t}(a_1, \ldots, \hat{a}_j, \ldots, a_n, 1; a_j)$$

where $R_{-t}\left(a_1, \ldots, a_n\right) = -\operatorname{Res}\left(F_{-t}(z), z = 1\right)$, and $\hat{a}_j$ means we omit the term a_j.

Remarks. 1. R_{-t} can be easily calculated via

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Res}\left(F_{-t}(z), z = 1\right) &= \operatorname{Res}\left(e^z F_{-t}(e^z), z = 0\right) \\ &= \operatorname{Res}\left(\frac{e^{-tz}}{\left(1 - e^{a_1 z}\right) \cdots \left(1 - e^{a_n z}\right)\left(1 - e^z\right)}, z = 0\right). \end{aligned}$$

To facilitate the computation in higher dimensions, one can use mathematics software such as `Maple` or `Mathematica`. It is easy to see that $R_{-t}\left(a_1, \ldots, a_n\right)$ is a polynomial in t whose coefficients are rational expressions in $a_1, \ldots, a_n$. The first values for R_{-t} are

$$\begin{aligned} R_{-t}(a) &= \frac{t}{a} + \frac{1}{2a} + \frac{1}{2} \\ R_{-t}(a, b) &= \frac{t^2}{2ab} + \frac{t}{2}\left(\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{ab}\right) + \frac{1}{4}\left(1 + \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b}\right) + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{12}\left(\frac{a}{b} + \frac{b}{a} + \frac{1}{ab}\right) \\ R_{-t}(a, b, c) &= \frac{t^3}{6abc} + \frac{t^2}{4}\left(\frac{1}{ab} + \frac{1}{ac} + \frac{1}{bc} + \frac{1}{abc}\right) + \\ &\quad + \frac{t}{12}\left(\frac{3}{a} + \frac{3}{b} + \frac{3}{c} + \frac{3}{ab} + \frac{3}{ac} + \frac{3}{bc} + \frac{a}{bc} + \frac{b}{ac} + \frac{c}{ab} + \frac{1}{abc}\right) + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{24}\left(3 + \frac{3}{a} + \frac{3}{b} + \frac{3}{c} + \frac{a}{b} + \frac{a}{c} + \frac{b}{a} + \frac{b}{c} + \frac{c}{a} + \frac{c}{b} + \frac{1}{ab} + \frac{1}{ac} + \frac{1}{bc} + \frac{a}{bc} + \frac{b}{ac} + \frac{c}{ab}\right). \end{aligned}$$

2. If $a_1, \dots, a_n$ are *not* pairwise relatively prime, we can get similar formulas for $L(\overline{\mathcal{P}}, t)$. In this case we do not have only simple poles, so that the computation of the residues gets slightly more complicated.

For the computation of $L(\mathcal{P}^\circ, t)$ (the number of lattice points in the *interior* of our tetrahedron $t\mathcal{P}$), we similarly write

$$L(\mathcal{P}^\circ, t) = \# \left\{ (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n : m_k > 0, \sum_{k=1}^n m_k a_k < t \right\} .$$

So now we can interpret $L(\mathcal{P}^\circ, t)$ as the Taylor coefficient of z^t of the function

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(z^{a_1} + z^{2a_1} + \dots\right) \cdots \left(z^{a_n} + z^{2a_n} + \dots\right) \left(z + z^2 + \dots\right) \\ &= \frac{z^{a_1}}{1 - z^{a_1}} \cdots \frac{z^{a_n}}{1 - z^{a_n}} \ \frac{z}{1-z} \ , \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently as

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{Res}\left(\frac{z^{a_1}}{1 - z^{a_1}} \cdots \frac{z^{a_n}}{1 - z^{a_n}} \ \frac{z}{1-z} \ z^{-t-1}, z = 0 \right) \\ &= \operatorname{Res}\left(\frac{-1}{z^2} \ \frac{1}{z^{a_1} - 1} \cdots \frac{1}{z^{a_n} - 1} \ \frac{1}{z-1} \ z^{t+1}, z = \infty \right) . \end{aligned}$$

To be able to use the residue theorem, this time we have to consider the function

$$-\frac{1}{z^{a_1} - 1} \cdots \frac{1}{z^{a_n} - 1} \ \frac{1}{z-1} \ z^{t-1} = (-1)^n F_t(z)$$

The residues at the finite poles of F_t can be computed as before, with t replaced by $-t$, and the proof of the following theorem is completely analogous to Theorem 1:

Theorem 2. *Let $\mathcal{P}$ be given by* (1)*, with $a_1, \dots, a_n$ pairwise relatively prime. Then*

$$L(\mathcal{P}^\circ, t) = (-1)^n R_t\left(a_1, \dots, a_n\right) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_t(a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_j, \dots, a_n, 1; a_j)$$

As an immediate consequence we get the remarkable

Corollary 1 (Ehrhart-Macdonald Reciprocity Law).

$$L(\mathcal{P}^\circ, -t) = (-1)^n L(\overline{\mathcal{P}}, t) \ .$$

This result was conjectured for convex rational polytopes by Ehrhart [11], and first proved by Macdonald [20].

Of particular interest is the number of lattice points on the boundary of $t\mathcal{P}$. Besides computing $L(\mathcal{P}^\circ, t)$ and $L(\overline{\mathcal{P}}, t)$ and taking differences, we can also adjust our method to this situation, especially if we are interested in only *parts* of the boundary. As an example, we will compute $p'_A(t)$ as defined in the introduction (2), which appears in the context of the Frobenius problem. Again, for reasons of simplicity we assume in the following that $a_1, \ldots a_n$ are *pairwise coprime* positive integers.

This time we interpret

$$p'_A(t) = \# \left\{ (m_1, \ldots, m_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n : \sum_{k=1}^{n} m_k a_k = t \right\}$$

as the Taylor coefficient of z^t of the function

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(z^{a_1} + z^{2a_1} + \ldots\right) \cdots \left(z^{a_n} + z^{2a_n} + \ldots\right) \\ &= \frac{z^{a_1}}{1 - z^{a_1}} \cdots \frac{z^{a_n}}{1 - z^{a_n}} \ . \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\begin{aligned} p'_A(t) &= \operatorname{Res}\left(\frac{z^{a_1}}{1 - z^{a_1}} \cdots \frac{z^{a_n}}{1 - z^{a_n}} \ z^{-t-1}, z = 0 \right) \\ &= \operatorname{Res}\left(\frac{-1}{z^2} \ \frac{1}{z^{a_1} - 1} \cdots \frac{1}{z^{a_n} - 1} \ z^{t+1}, z = \infty \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have to find the other residues of

$$G_t(z) := \frac{z^{t-1}}{(z^{a_1} - 1) \cdots (z^{a_n} - 1)} = (z - 1) \, F_t(z) \ ,$$

since

$$p'_A(t) = - \operatorname{Res}\left(G_t(z), z = \infty\right). \qquad (5)$$

G_t has its other poles at all $a_1^{\text{th}}, \ldots, a_n^{\text{th}}$ roots of unity. Again, note that G_t has *simple* poles at all the nontrivial roots of unity. Let λ be a nontrivial a_1^{th} root of unity, then

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{Res}\left(G_t(z), z = \lambda\right) = \frac{\lambda^{t-1}}{(\lambda^{a_2} - 1) \cdots (\lambda^{a_n} - 1)} \operatorname{Res}\left(\frac{1}{z^{a_1} - 1}, z = \lambda \right) \\ &= \frac{\lambda^t}{a_1 \, (\lambda^{a_2} - 1) \cdots (\lambda^{a_n} - 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Adding up all the nontrivial a_1^{th} roots of unity, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\lambda^{a_1} = 1 \neq \lambda} \operatorname{Res}\left(G_t(z), z = \lambda\right) = \frac{1}{a_1} \sum_{\lambda^{a_1} = 1 \neq \lambda} \frac{\lambda^t}{(\lambda^{a_2} - 1) \cdots (\lambda^{a_n} - 1)} \\ &= \sigma_t \left(a_2, \ldots, a_n; a_1\right). \end{aligned}$$

Together with the similar residues at the other roots of unity, (5) gives us

THEOREM 3.

$$p'_A(t) = R'_t(a_1, \ldots, a_n) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_t(a_1, \ldots, \hat{a}_j, \ldots, a_n; a_j) \ ,$$

where $R'_t(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = \mathrm{Res}\,(G_t(z), z = 1)$.

R' is as easily computed as before, the first values are

$$R'_t(a,b) = \frac{t}{ab} - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b}\right)$$

$$R'_t(a,b,c) = \frac{t^2}{2abc} - \frac{t}{2}\left(\frac{1}{ab} + \frac{1}{ac} + \frac{1}{bc}\right) + $$
$$+\frac{1}{12}\left(\frac{3}{a} + \frac{3}{b} + \frac{3}{c} + \frac{a}{bc} + \frac{b}{ac} + \frac{c}{ab}\right)$$

$$R'_t(a,b,c,d) = \frac{t^3}{6abcd} - \frac{t^2}{4}\left(\frac{1}{abc} + \frac{1}{abd} + \frac{1}{acd} + \frac{1}{bcd}\right)$$
$$+\frac{t}{12}\left(\frac{3}{ab} + \frac{3}{ac} + \frac{3}{ad} + \frac{3}{bc} + \frac{3}{bd} + \frac{3}{cd} + \frac{a}{bcd} + \frac{b}{acd} + \frac{c}{abd} + \frac{d}{abc}\right)$$
$$-\frac{1}{24}\left(\frac{a}{bc} + \frac{a}{bd} + \frac{a}{cd} + \frac{b}{ad} + \frac{b}{ac} + \frac{b}{cd} + \frac{c}{ab} + \frac{c}{ad} + \frac{c}{bd} + \frac{d}{ab} + \frac{d}{ac} + \frac{d}{bc}\right)$$
$$-\frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} + \frac{1}{d}\right) \ .$$

A general formula for $R'_t(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ was recently discovered in [3].

For generalizations, note that we can apply our method to any tetrahedron given in the form (1), with the a_k's replaced by any rational numbers. Moreover, any convex rational polytope (that is, a convex polytope whose vertices have rational coordinates) can be described by a finite number of inequalities over the rationals. In other words, a convex lattice polytope $\mathcal{P}$ is an intersection of finitely many half-spaces. This description of the polytope leads to an integral in several complex variables, as discussed in [2, Theorem 8] for lattice polytopes.

3. THE FOURIER METHOD

In this section we outline a Fourier-analytic method that achieves the same results. Although the theory is a little harder, the method is of independent interest. It draws connections to Brion's theorem on generating functions [5] and to the basic results of [9].

To be concrete, we illustrate the general case with the 2-dimensional rational triangle $\mathcal{P}$ whose vertices are $v_0 = (0,0)$, $v_1 = \left(\frac{t}{a}, 0\right)$, and $v_2 = \left(0, \frac{t}{b}\right)$. As before, the number of lattice points in the 1-dimensional hypotenuse of this right triangle is

$$p'_{\{a,b\}}(t) = \#\left\{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : am + bn = t\right\}.$$

We denote the tangent cone to $\mathcal{P}$ at the vertex v_i by K_i. We recall that the exponential sum attached to the cone K (with vertex v) is by definition

$$\sigma_K(s) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n \cap K} e^{-2\pi \langle s,m \rangle} \ , \tag{6}$$

where s is any complex vector that makes the infinite sum (6) converge. An equivalent formulation of (6) which appears more combinatorial is

$$\sigma_K(x) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n \cap K} x^m \ , \tag{7}$$

where $x^m = x_1^{m_1} \cdots x_n^{m_n}$ and $x_j = e^{-2\pi s_j}$.

In general dimension, let the vertices of the rational polytope $\mathcal{P}$ be $v_1, \ldots, v_l$. Let the corresponding tangent cone at v_j be K_j. Finally, let the *finite* exponential sum over $\mathcal{P}$ be

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{P}}(s) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n \cap \mathcal{P}} e^{-2\pi \langle s,m \rangle} \ . \tag{8}$$

Then there is the basic result that each exponential sum (7) is a rational function of x, and the following theorem relates these rational functions [5]:

THEOREM 4 (Brion). *For a generic value of* $s \in \mathbb{C}^n$*,*

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{P}}(s) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \sigma_{K_i}(s) \ . \tag{9}$$

This result allows us to transfer the enumeration of lattice points in $\mathcal{P}$ to the enumeration of lattice points in the tangent cones K_i at the vertices of $\mathcal{P}$, an easier task. In the theorem above, 'generic value of s' means any $s \in \mathbb{C}^n$ for which these rational functions do not blow up to infinity.

To apply these results to our given rational triangle $\mathcal{P}$, we first employ the methods of [9] to get an explicit formula for the exponential sum for each tangent cone of $\mathcal{P}$. Then, by Brion's theorem on tangent cones, the sum of the three exponential sums attached to the tangent cones equals the exponential sum over $\mathcal{P}$. Canceling the singularities arising from each tangent cone, and letting $s \to 1$, we get the explicit formula of the previous section for the number of lattice points in the rational triangle $\mathcal{P}$.

In our case, K_1 is generated by the two rational vectors $-v_1$ and $v_2 - v_1$. We form the matrix

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{t}{a} & -\frac{t}{a} \\ 0 & \frac{t}{b} \end{pmatrix} ,$$

whose columns are the vectors that generate the cone K_1. Once we compute $\sigma_{K_1}(s)$, $\sigma_{K_2}(s)$ will follow by symmetry. The easiest exponential sum to compute is

$$\sigma_{K_0}(s) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \cap K_0} e^{-2\pi \langle s,m \rangle} = \sum_{\substack{m_1 \geq 0 \\ m_2 \geq 0}} e^{-2\pi (m_1 s_1 + m_2 s_2)}$$
$$= \frac{1}{(1 - e^{-2\pi s_1})(1 - e^{-2\pi s_2})} .$$

To compute $\sigma_{K_i}(s)$ $(i \neq 0)$, we first translate the cone K_i by the vector $-v_i$ so that its new vertex is the origin. We therefore let $K = K_i - v_i$, and the following elementary lemma illustrates how a translation affects the Fourier transform. Let

$$\chi_K(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in K, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin K \end{cases}$$

denote the characteristic function of K.

Lemma 1. *Let*

$$F_v(x) = \chi_{K+v}(x)\ e^{-2\pi \langle s,m \rangle}$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $s \in \mathbb{C}^n$. *Then*

$$\hat{F}_v(\xi) = \hat{\chi}_K(\xi + is)\ e^{-2\pi i \langle \xi + is, v \rangle}$$

Proof.

$$\hat{F}_v(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_{K+v}(x)\ e^{-2\pi \langle s,m \rangle}\ e^{2\pi i \langle \xi, x \rangle}\ dx$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2\pi i \langle \xi + is, x \rangle}\ \chi_{K+v}(x)\ dx$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2\pi i \langle \xi + is, y - v \rangle}\ \chi_K(y)\ dy$$
$$= e^{-2\pi i \langle \xi + is, v \rangle} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{2\pi i \langle \xi + is, y \rangle}\ \chi_K(y)\ dy$$
$$= e^{-2\pi i \langle \xi + is, v \rangle}\ \hat{\chi}_K(\xi + is)$$

∎

This lemma also shows why it is useful to study the Fourier transform of K at *complex* values of the variable; that is, at $\xi + is$. We study $F(x)$ because (6) can be rewritten as

$$\sigma_{K_0+v}(s) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \chi_{K_0+v}\ e^{-2\pi \langle s,m \rangle} = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} F_v(m) .$$

All of the lemmas of [9] remain true in this rational polytope context. The idea is to apply Poisson summation to $\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}^n} F_v(m)$ and write formally

$$\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}^n} F_v(m) = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \hat{F}_v(m)$$

The right-hand side diverges, though, and some smoothing completes the picture. Because the steps are identical to those in [9], we omit the ensuing details. Let $\xi_a = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{a}}$. We get

$$\sigma_{K_1}(s_1, s_2) = \frac{\xi_a^{ts_1}}{4a} \sum_{r=0}^{a-1} \xi_a^{rt} \left(\coth \frac{\pi b}{t} \left(s_{1,2} + \frac{irt}{a} \right) - 1 \right) \cdot \left(\coth \frac{\pi}{t} \left(s_{1,1} + \frac{irt}{a} \right) + 1 \right) , \tag{10}$$

where

$$s_{1,1} = \langle s, \text{ generator 1 of } K_1 \rangle = \left\langle (s_1, s_2), \left(-\frac{t}{a}, 0 \right) \right\rangle = -\frac{ts_1}{a}$$

and

$$s_{1,2} = \langle s, \text{ generator 2 of } K_1 \rangle = \left\langle (s_1, s_2), \left(-\frac{t}{a}, \frac{t}{b} \right) \right\rangle = -\frac{ts_1}{a} + \frac{ts_2}{b} .$$

By (9), we have

$$\#\left\{\mathbb{Z}^2 \cap t\mathcal{P}\right\} = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}^2\cap t\mathcal{P}} 1 = \lim_{s\to 0} \left(\sigma_{K_0}(s) + \sigma_{K_1}(s) + \sigma_{K_2}(s) \right) .$$

Using the explicit description of $\sigma_{K_i}(s)$ in terms of cotangent functions, we can cancel their singularities at $s = 0$ and simply add the holomorphic contributions to $\sigma_{K_i}(s)$ at $s = 0$. The left-hand side of (9) is holomorphic in s, so that we are guaranteed that the singularities on the right-hand side cancel each other.

The only term in the finite sum (10) that contributes a singularity at $s = 0$ is the $r = 0$ term. We expand the three exponential sums $\sigma_{K_i}(s)$ into their Laurent expansions about $s = 0$. Here we only require the first 3 terms of their Laurent expansions. In dimension n we would require the first $n+1$ terms; otherwise every step is the same in general dimension n.

We make use of the Laurent series

$$\frac{1}{1 - e^{-\alpha s}} = \frac{1}{\alpha s} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha s}{12} + O\left(s^2\right)$$

near $s = 0$, as well as the Laurent series for $\cot \pi s$ near $s = 0$. After expanding each cotangent in (10) for $\sigma_{K_0}(s)$, $\sigma_{K_1}(s)$ and $\sigma_{K_2}(s)$ and letting

$s \to 0$, we obtain Theorem 1 above as

$$\begin{aligned} L(\overline{\mathcal{P}}, t) = &\frac{t^2}{2ab} + \frac{t}{2}\left(\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{ab}\right) \\ &+\frac{1}{4}\left(1 + \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b}\right) + \frac{1}{12}\left(\frac{a}{b} + \frac{b}{a} + \frac{1}{ab}\right) \\ &+\frac{1}{a}\sum_{r=1}^{a-1} \frac{\xi_a^{rt}}{(1-\xi_a^{rb})(1-\xi_a^{r})} + \frac{1}{b}\sum_{r=1}^{b-1} \frac{\xi_b^{rt}}{(1-\xi_b^{ra})(1-\xi_b^{r})} \; . \end{aligned}$$

Note that, as before, the periodic portion of $L(\overline{\mathcal{P}}, t)$ is entirely contained in the "constant" t term. By Ehrhart's reciprocity law (Corollary 1, [11]), there is a similar expression for $L(\mathcal{P}, t)$, and taking

$$L(\overline{\mathcal{P}}, t) - L(\mathcal{P}, t) - \left[\frac{t}{a}\right] - \left[\frac{t}{b}\right] - 1$$

gives us $p_{\{a,b\}}(t)$. The same analysis gives us Theorem 1 in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

4. THE FOURIER-DEDEKIND SUM

In the derivation of the various lattice count formulas, we naturally arrived at the Fourier-Dedekind sum

$$\sigma_t\left(c_1, \ldots, c_n; c\right) = \frac{1}{c} \sum_{\lambda^c = 1 \neq \lambda} \frac{\lambda^t}{(\lambda^{c_1} - 1) \cdots (\lambda^{c_n} - 1)} \; .$$

This expression is a generalization of the classical Dedekind sum $\mathfrak{s}(h, k)$ [23] and its various generalizations [10, 13, 21, 22, 30]. In fact, an easy calculation shows

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_0\left(a, 1; c\right) &= \frac{1}{c} \sum_{\lambda^c = 1 \neq \lambda} \frac{1}{(\lambda^a - 1)(\lambda - 1)} = \\ &= \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4c} - \frac{1}{4c}\sum_{k=1}^{c-1} \cot\frac{\pi k a}{c} \cot\frac{\pi k}{c} = \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4c} - \mathfrak{s}(a, c) \; . \end{aligned}$$

In general, note that $\sigma_t\left(c_1, \ldots, c_n; c\right)$ is a rational number: It is an element of the cyclotomic field of c^{th} roots of unity, and invariant under all Galois transformations of this field.

Some obvious properties are

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma_t\left(c_1, \ldots, c_n; c\right) = \sigma_t\left(c_{\pi(1)}, \ldots, c_{\pi(n)}; c\right) \qquad \text{for any } \pi \in S_n \\ &\sigma_t\left(c_1, \ldots, c_n; c\right) = \sigma_{(t \bmod c)}\left(c_1 \bmod c, \ldots, c_n \bmod c; c\right) \qquad (11) \\ &\sigma_t\left(c_1, \ldots, c_n; c\right) = \sigma_{bt}\left(bc_1, \ldots, bc_n; c\right) \qquad \text{for any } b \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } (b, c) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

We can get more familiar-looking formulas for σ_t in certain dimensions. For example, counting points in dimension 1, we find that

$$L(\overline{\mathcal{P}}, t) = \#\{m \in \mathbb{Z} : m \geq 0, mc \leq t\} = \left\lfloor \frac{t}{c} \right\rfloor + 1 ,$$

so that Theorem 1 implies

$$\sigma_{-t}(1; c) = \frac{1}{c} \sum_{\lambda^c = 1 \neq \lambda} \frac{\lambda^{-t}}{(\lambda - 1)} = \frac{t}{c} - \left\lfloor \frac{t}{c} \right\rfloor - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2c} = \left(\left(\frac{t}{c}\right)\right) + \frac{1}{2c} . \tag{12}$$

Here, $((x)) = x - \lfloor x \rfloor - 1/2$ is a sawtooth function (differing slightly from the one appearing in the classical Dedekind sums). This restates the well-known finite Fourier expansion of the sawtooth function (see, e.g., [23]).

As another example, we reformulate

$$\sigma_t(a, b; c) = \frac{1}{c} \sum_{\lambda^c = 1 \neq \lambda} \frac{\lambda^t}{(\lambda^a - 1)(\lambda^b - 1)}$$

by means of finite Fourier series. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_t(a; c) &= \frac{1}{c} \sum_{\lambda^c = 1 \neq \lambda} \frac{\lambda^{-t}}{(\lambda^a - 1)} = \frac{1}{c} \sum_{k=1}^{c-1} \frac{\xi^{kt}}{(\xi^{ka} - 1)} = \frac{1}{c} \sum_{k=1}^{c-1} \frac{\xi^{ka^{-1}t}}{(\xi^k - 1)} \\ &= \left(\left(\frac{-a^{-1}t}{c}\right)\right) + \frac{1}{2c} , \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where ξ is a primitive c^{th} root of unity and $aa^{-1} \equiv 1 \bmod c$; here, the last equality follows from (12). We use the well-known convolution theorem for finite Fourier series:

THEOREM 5. *Let* $f(t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a_k \xi^{kt}$ *and* $g(t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} b_k \xi^{kt}$, *where* ξ *is a primitive* N^{th} *root of unity. Then*

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a_k b_k \xi^{kt} = \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} f(t - m) g(m) .$$

Hence by (13),

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_t(a, b; c) &= \sum_{m=0}^{c-1} \sigma_{t-m}(a; c) \sigma_m(b; c) \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{c-1} \left[\left(\left(\frac{-a^{-1}(t - m)}{c}\right)\right) + \frac{1}{2c}\right] \left[\left(\left(\frac{-b^{-1}m}{c}\right)\right) + \frac{1}{2c}\right] \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{c-1} \left(\left(\frac{a^{-1}(m - t)}{c}\right)\right) \left(\left(\frac{-b^{-1}m}{c}\right)\right) - \frac{1}{4c} . \end{aligned}$$

Here, $aa^{-1} \equiv bb^{-1} \equiv 1 \bmod c$. The last equality follows from

$$\sum_{m=0}^{c-1} \left(\left(\frac{m}{c}\right)\right) = -\frac{1}{2} \, .$$

Furthermore, by the periodicity of $((x))$,

$$\sigma_t(a, b; c) = \sum_{m=0}^{c-1} \left(\left(\frac{-a^{-1}(bm+t)}{c}\right)\right) \left(\left(\frac{m}{c}\right)\right) - \frac{1}{4c} \, . \tag{14}$$

The expression on the right is, up to a trivial term, a special case of a *Dedekind-Rademacher sum* [10, 19, 21, 22]. It is a curious fact that the function $\sigma_t(a, b; c)$ is the nontrivial part of a multiplier system of a weight-0 modular form [24, p. 121].

We conlude this section by proving two reciprocity laws for Fourier-Dedekind sums. The first one is equivalent to Zagier's reciprocity law for his *higher dimensional Dedekind sums* [30]. They are essentially Fourier-Dedekind sums with $t = 0$, that is, trivial numerators.

THEOREM 6. *For pairwise relatively prime integers $a_1, \ldots, a_n$,*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_0(a_1, \ldots, \hat{a}_j, \ldots, a_n; a_j) = 1 - R_0'(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \ ,$$

where R_t' is the rational function given in Theorem 3.

Proof. It is well known [11] that the constant term of a *lattice* polytope (that is, a polytope with integral vertices) equals the Euler characteristic of the polytope. Consider the polytope

$$\left\{ (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n_{>0} : \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k a_k = 1 \right\},$$

whose dilates correspond to the quantor $p_A'(t)$ of Theorem 3. If we dilate this polytope only by multiples of $a_1 \cdots a_n$, say $t = a_1 \cdots a_n w$, we obtain the dilates of a lattice polytope. Theorem 3 simplifies for these t to

$$p_A'(a_1 \cdots a_n w) = R_{a_1 \cdots a_n w}'(a_1, \ldots, a_n) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_0(a_1, \ldots, \hat{a}_j, \ldots, a_n; a_j) \ ,$$

using the periodicity of σ_t (11). On the other hand, we know that the constant term (in terms of w) is the Euler characteristic of the polytope and hence equals 1, which yields the identity

$$1 = R_0'(a_1, \ldots, a_n) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_0(a_1, \ldots, \hat{a}_j, \ldots, a_n; a_j) \, .$$

∎

The second one is a new reciprocity law, which generalizes the following [13]

THEOREM 7 (Gessel). *Let m and n be relatively prime and suppose that $0 \le r < m+n$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{m} \sum_{\lambda^m=1\neq\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{r+1}}{(\lambda^n-1)(\lambda-1)} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\lambda^n=1\neq\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{r+1}}{(\lambda^m-1)(\lambda-1)}$$
$$= -\frac{1}{12}\left(\frac{m}{n}+\frac{n}{m}+\frac{1}{mn}\right) + \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{m}+\frac{1}{n}-1\right)$$
$$+\frac{r}{2}\left(\frac{1}{m}+\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{mn}\right) - \frac{r^2}{2mn} \ .$$

It is not hard to see that Gessel's theorem follows as the two-dimensional case of

THEOREM 8. *Let $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ be pairwise relatively prime integers and $0 < t < a_1 + \cdots + a_n$. Then*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_t(a_1, \ldots, \hat{a}_j, \ldots, a_n; a_j) = -R_t'(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \ ,$$

where R_t' is the rational function given in Theorem 3.

Proof. By definition, $p_A'(t) = 0$ if $0 < t < a_1 + \cdots + a_n$. Hence Theorem 3 yields an identiy for these values of t:

$$0 = R_t'(a_1, \ldots, a_n) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_t(a_1, \ldots, \hat{a}_j, \ldots, a_n; a_j) \ .$$

∎

It is worth noticing that both Theorems 6 and 7 imply the reciprocity law for the classical Dedekind sum $\mathfrak{s}(a,b)$. It should be finally mentioned that in special cases there are other reciprocity laws, for example, for the sum appearing on the right-hand side in (14) [10, 22]. We note that, as a consequence, we can compute $\sigma_t(a,b;c)$ in polynomial time.

5. THE FROBENIUS PROBLEM

In this last section we apply Theorem 3 (the explicit formula for $p_A'(t)$) to Frobenius's original problem. As an example, we will discuss the 3-dimensional case. Note that a bound for dimension 3 yields a bound for the general case: It can be easily verified that

$$f(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \le f(a_1, a_2, a_3) + a_4 + \cdots + a_n \tag{15}$$

Furthermore, in dimension 3 it suffices to assume that a_1, a_2, a_3 are pairwise coprime, due to Johnson's formula [15]: If $g = (a_1, a_2)$, then

$$f(a_1, a_2, a_3) = g \cdot f\left(\frac{a_1}{g}, \frac{a_2}{g}, a_3\right) . \tag{16}$$

Now assume a, b, c pairwise relatively prime, and recall (14):

$$\sigma_t(a, b; c) = \sum_{m=0}^{c-1} \left(\left(\frac{-a^{-1}(bm+t)}{c}\right)\right) \left(\left(\frac{m}{c}\right)\right) - \frac{1}{4c} ,$$

where $aa^{-1} \equiv 1 \bmod c$. We will use the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality

$$\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k a_{\pi(k)}\right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k^2 . \tag{17}$$

Here $a_k \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\pi \in S_n$ is a permutation. Since $(a^{-1}b, c) = 1$, we can use (17) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_t(a, b; c) &\geq -\sum_{m=0}^{c-1} \left(\left(\frac{m}{c}\right)\right)^2 - \frac{1}{4c} = \sum_{m=0}^{c-1} \left(\frac{m}{c} - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{4c} \\ &= -\frac{1}{c^2}\frac{(2c-1)(c-1)c}{6} + \frac{1}{c}\frac{c(c-1)}{2} - \frac{c}{4} - \frac{1}{4c} \\ &= -\frac{c}{12} - \frac{1}{12c} . \end{aligned}$$

This also restates Rademacher's bound on the classical Dedekind sums [23]. Using this in the formula for dimension 3 (remark after Theorem 3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} p'_{\{a,b,c\}}(t) &\geq \frac{t^2}{2abc} - \frac{t}{2}\left(\frac{1}{ab} + \frac{1}{ac} + \frac{1}{bc}\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{12}\left(\frac{3}{a} + \frac{3}{b} + \frac{3}{c} + \frac{a}{bc} + \frac{b}{ac} + \frac{c}{ab}\right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{12}(a+b+c) - \frac{1}{12}\left(\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c}\right) \\ &= \frac{t^2}{2abc} - \frac{t}{2}\left(\frac{1}{ab} + \frac{1}{ac} + \frac{1}{bc}\right) + \frac{1}{12}\left(\frac{a}{bc} + \frac{b}{ac} + \frac{c}{ab}\right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{12}(a+b+c) + \frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c}\right) . \end{aligned}$$

The larger zero of the right-hand side is an upper bound for the solution of the Frobenius problem:

$$f(a,b,c) \leq abc\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{ab}+\frac{1}{bc}+\frac{1}{ac}\right)+\left[\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{ab}+\frac{1}{bc}+\frac{1}{ac}\right)^2\right.\right.$$
$$\left.\left.-\frac{2}{abc}\left(\frac{1}{12}\left(\frac{a}{bc}+\frac{b}{ac}+\frac{c}{ab}\right)-\frac{1}{12}(a+b+c)+\frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{b}+\frac{1}{c}\right)\right)\right]^{1/2}\right)$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{2}(a+b+c)+abc\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{ab}+\frac{1}{bc}+\frac{1}{ac}\right)^2+\frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{1}{ab}+\frac{1}{bc}+\frac{1}{ac}\right)}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}(a+b+c)+abc\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{ab}+\frac{1}{bc}+\frac{1}{ac}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{ab}+\frac{1}{bc}+\frac{1}{ac}\right)+\frac{1}{3}\right)}$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{2}(a+b+c)+abc\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{ab}+\frac{1}{bc}+\frac{1}{ac}\right)}\ .$$

For the last inequality, we used the fact that $\frac{1}{ab}+\frac{1}{bc}+\frac{1}{ac} \leq \frac{1}{6}+\frac{1}{10}+\frac{1}{15}=\frac{1}{3}$. This proves, using (15) and (16),

THEOREM 9. *Let $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \cdots \leq a_n$ be relatively prime. Then*

$$f(a_1,\ldots,a_n) \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{a_1a_2a_3\,(a_1+a_2+a_3)}+a_1+a_2+a_3\right)+a_4+\cdots+a_n\ .$$

Remarks. 1. Sometimes the Frobenius problem is stated in a slightly different form: Given relatively prime positive integers $a_1,\ldots,a_n$, find the largest value of t such that $\sum_{k=1}^n m_k a_k = t$ has no solution in *nonnegative* integers $m_1,\ldots,m_n$. This number is denoted by $g(a_1,\ldots,a_n)$. It is, however, easy to see that

$$g(a_1,\ldots,a_n) = f(a_1,\ldots,a_n) - a_1 - \cdots - a_n\ .$$

So we can restate Theorem 9 in a more compact form as

$$g(a_1,\ldots,a_n) \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{a_1a_2a_3\,(a_1+a_2+a_3)}-a_1-a_2-a_3\right)\ .$$

2. Bounds on the Frobenius number in the literature include results by Erdős and Graham [12]

$$g(a_1,\ldots,a_n) \leq 2a_n\left\lfloor\frac{a_1}{n}\right\rfloor - a_1\ ,$$

Selmer [27]

$$g(a_1,\ldots,a_n) \leq 2a_{n-1}\left\lfloor\frac{a_n}{n}\right\rfloor - a_n\ ,$$

and Vitek [29]

$$g(a_1,\ldots,a_n) \leq \left\lfloor\frac{1}{2}(a_2-1)(a_n-2)\right\rfloor - 1\ .$$

Theorem 9 is certainly of the same order. What might be more interesting, however, is the fact that the bound in Theorem 9 is of a different nature than the bounds stated above: namely, it involves three variables, and is thus—especially in terms of estimating $g(a_1, a_2, a_3)$—more symmetric.

REFERENCES

[1] A. I. Barvinok, Computing the Ehrhart polynomial of a convex lattice polytope, *Dicrete Comput. Geom.* **12** (1994), 35–48.

[2] M. Beck, Counting lattice points by means of the residue theorem, *Ramanujan J.* **4**, no. 3 (2000), 299–310.

[3] M. Beck, I. M. Gessel, T. Komatsu, The polynomial part of a restricted partition function related to the Frobenius problem, *Electronic J. Combin.* **8**, no. 1 (2001), N 7.

[4] A. Brauer, J. E. Shockley, On a problem of Frobenius, *J. reine angew. Math.* **211** (1962), 215–220.

[5] M. Brion, Points entiers dans les polyèdres convexes, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup* (4) **21**, no. 4 (1988), 653–663.

[6] M. Brion, M. Vergne, Residue formulae, vector partition functions and lattice points in rational polytopes, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **10**, no. 4 (1997), 797-833.

[7] S. E. Cappell, J. L. Shaneson, Euler-Maclaurin expansions for lattices above dimension one, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I Math.* **321**, no. 7 (1995), 885–890.

[8] J. L. Davison, On the linear diophantine problem of Frobenius, *J. Number Th.* **48** (1994), 353–363.

[9] R. Diaz, S. Robins, The Erhart polynomial of a lattice polytope, *Ann. Math.* **145** (1997), 503–518.

[10] U. Dieter, Das Verhalten der Kleinschen Funktionen $\log \sigma_{g,h}(w_1, w_2)$ gegenüber Modultransformationen und verallgemeinerte Dedekindsche Summen, *J. reine angew. Math.* **201** (1959), 37–70.

[11] E. Ehrhart, Sur un problème de géométrie diophantienne linéaire II, *J. reine angew. Math.* **227** (1967), 25–49.

[12] P. Erdös, R. L. Graham, On a linear diophantine problem of Frobenius, *Acta Arithm.* **21** (1972), 399–408.

[13] I. Gessel, Generating functions and generalized Dedekind sums, *Electronic J. Combin.* **4** (no. 2) (1997), R 11.

[14] V. GUILLEMIN, Riemann-Roch for toric orbifolds, *J. Differential Geom.* **45**, no. 1 (1997), 53–73.

[15] S. M. JOHNSON, A linear diophantine problem, *Canad. J. Math.* **12** (1960), 390–398.

[16] R. KANNAN, Lattice translates of a polytope and the Frobenius problem, *Combinatorica* **12** (1992), 161–177.

[17] J.–M. KANTOR, A. G. KHOVANSKII, Une application du Théorème de Riemann-Roch combinatoire au polynôme d'Ehrhart des polytopes entier de $\mathbb{R}^n$, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Series I* **317** (1993), 501–507.

[18] A. G. KHOVANSKII, A. V. PUKHLIKOV, The Riemann-Roch theorem for integrals and sums of quasipolynomials on virtual polytopes, *St. Petersburg Math. J.* **4**, no. 4 (1993), 789–812.

[19] D. E. KNUTH, Notes on generalized Dedekind sums, *Acta Aritm.* **33** (1977), 297–325.

[20] I. G. MACDONALD, Polynomials associated with finite cell complexes, *J. London Math. Soc.* **4** (1971), 181–192.

[21] C. MEYER, Über einige Anwendungen Dedekindscher Summen, *J. reine angewandte Math.* **198** (1957), 143–203.

[22] H. RADEMACHER, Some remarks on certain generalized Dedekind sums, *Acta Aritm.* **9** (1964), 97–105.

[23] H. RADEMACHER, E. GROSSWALD, Dedekind sums, Carus Mathematical Monographs, The Mathematical Association of America, 1972.

[24] S. ROBINS, Generalized Dedekind η-products, *Cont. Math.* **166** (1994), 119–128.

[25] O. J. RODSETH, On a linear problem of Frobenius, *J. reine angew. Math.* **301** (1978), 171–178.

[26] O. J. RODSETH, On a linear problem of Frobenius II, *J. reine angew. Math.* **307/308** (1979), 431–440.

[27] E. S. SELMER, On the linear diophantine problem of Frobenius, *J. reine angew. Math.* **293/294** (1977), 1–17.

[28] J. J. SYLVESTER, Mathematical questions with their solutions, *Educational Times* **41** (1884), 171–178.

[29] Y. VITEK, Bounds for a linear diophantine problem of Frobenius, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **10** (1975), 390–398.

[30] D. ZAGIER, Higher dimensional Dedekind sums, *Math. Ann.* **202** (1973), 149–172.