

# STAR-SHAPED COMPLEXES AND EHRHART POLYNOMIALS

TAKAYUKI HIBI

(Communicated by Wolmer V. Vasconcelos)

ABSTRACT. We study Ehrhart polynomials of star-shaped triangulations of balls by means of Cohen-Macaulay rings and canonical modules.

A polyhedral complex  $\Gamma$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  is a finite set of convex polytopes in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  such that

(1.1) if  $\mathcal{P} \in \Gamma$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a face of  $\mathcal{P}$ , then  $\mathcal{F} \in \Gamma$ , and

(1.2) if  $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q} \in \Gamma$ , then  $\mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{Q}$  is a face of  $\mathcal{P}$  and of  $\mathcal{Q}$ .

We are concerned with a polyhedral complex  $\Gamma$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  which satisfies the following conditions:

(2.1) every vertex  $\alpha$  of  $\mathcal{P} \in \Gamma$  has integer coordinates, i.e.,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ , and  
(2.2) the underlying space  $X := \bigcup_{\mathcal{P} \in \Gamma} \mathcal{P} (\subset \mathbb{R}^N)$  of  $\Gamma$  is homeomorphic to the  $d$ -ball.

Let  $\partial X$  denote the boundary of  $X$ ; thus  $\partial X$  is homeomorphic to the  $(d-1)$ -sphere. Given an integer  $n > 0$ , write  $nX$  for  $\{\alpha; \alpha \in X\}$  and define  $i(X, n)$  to be  $\#(nX \cap \mathbb{Z}^N)$ , the cardinality of  $nX \cap \mathbb{Z}^N$ . In other words,  $i(X, n)$  is equal to the number of rational points  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_N) \in X$  with each  $n\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . It is known that

(3.1)  $i(X, n)$  is a polynomial in  $n$  of degree  $d$ , called the *Ehrhart polynomial* of  $X$ ,

(3.2)  $i(X, 0) = 1$ , and

(3.3)  $(-1)^d i(X, -n) = \# [n(X - \partial X) \cap \mathbb{Z}^N]$  for every  $1 \leq n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Define the sequence  $\delta_0, \delta_1, \delta_2, \dots$  of integers by the formula

$$(1 - \lambda)^{d+1} \left[ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} i(X, n) \lambda^n \right] = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \delta_i \lambda^i.$$

Then

(4.1)  $\delta_0 = 1$  and  $\delta_i = \#(X \cap \mathbb{Z}^N) - (d+1)$ ,

(4.2)  $\delta_i = 0$  for each  $i > d$ , and

(4.3)  $\delta_d = \#[(X - \partial X) \cap \mathbb{Z}^N]$ .

We say that  $\delta(X) = (\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_d)$  is the  $\delta$ -vector of  $X$ . We refer the reader to, e.g., [6, Chapter IX], for geometric proofs of the above fundamental results

Received by the editors June 18, 1993; this paper was presented in the meeting "Combinatorial Convexity and Algebraic Geometry" held at Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach, March 28–April 3, 1993.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 13D40.

due to Ehrhart. Note that, even though  $X$  is not necessarily convex, the proofs in [6] are valid without modification since  $X$  is homeomorphic to the  $d$ -ball.

Some algebraic technique<sup>1</sup> is indispensable for the study of combinatorics on  $\delta$ -vectors. Fix a field  $k$ , and let  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_N, t$  be (commutative) indeterminates over  $k$ . If  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_N) \in nX \cap \mathbb{Z}^N$ , then we set  $\xi^\alpha t^\alpha = \xi_1^{\alpha_1} \xi_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots \xi_N^{\alpha_N} t^\alpha$ . We write  $[A_k(\Gamma)]_n$  for the vector space spanned by all monomials  $\xi^\alpha t^\alpha$  with  $\alpha \in nX \cap \mathbb{Z}^N$ . Thus, in particular,  $\dim_k [A_k(\Gamma)]_n = i(X, n)$ . Let  $A_k(\Gamma)$  denote  $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} [A_k(\Gamma)]_n$  with  $[A_k(\Gamma)]_0 = k$ , and define multiplication  $(\xi^\alpha t^\alpha)(\xi^\beta t^\beta) = \xi^{\alpha+\beta} t^{\alpha+\beta}$  if there exists  $\mathcal{P} \in \Gamma$  with  $\alpha \in n\mathcal{P}$  and  $\beta \in m\mathcal{P}$ ;  $(\xi^\alpha t^\alpha)(\xi^\beta t^\beta) = 0$  otherwise. Then  $A_k(\Gamma)$  is a noetherian (i.e., finitely generated) graded algebra over  $k$  and the Hilbert series  $F(A_k(\Gamma), \lambda) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \dim_k [A_k(\Gamma)]_n \lambda^n = (\delta_0 + \delta_1 \lambda + \delta_2 \lambda^2 + \cdots + \delta_d \lambda^d) / (1 - \lambda)^{d+1}$ . Let  $\Omega(A_k(\Gamma)) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} [\Omega(A_k(\Gamma))]_n$  be the graded ideal of  $A_k(\Gamma)$  which is generated by those monomials  $\xi^\alpha t^\alpha$  such that  $0 < n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\alpha \in n(X - \partial X) \cap \mathbb{Z}^N$ . Since  $X$  is homeomorphic to the  $d$ -ball,  $A_k(\Gamma)$  is Cohen-Macaulay [10, Lemma 4.6]. Thus, a well-known technique of commutative algebra enables us to obtain  $\delta(X) \geq 0$ , i.e., each  $\delta_i \geq 0$  (cf. Stanley [8]). On the other hand, the same technique as in the proof of [2, Theorem (5.6.1)] enables us to show that  $\Omega(A_k(\Gamma))$  is the canonical module of  $A_k(\Gamma)$ .

We say that  $X$  is "star-shaped" with respect to a point  $\alpha \in X - \partial X$  if  $t\alpha + (1-t)\beta \in X - \partial X$  for every point  $\beta \in X$  and for each real number  $0 < t < 1$ .

**Theorem.** *We employ the same notation as used above. Suppose that the set  $(X - \partial X) \cap \mathbb{Z}^N$  is nonempty and that the underlying space  $X$  is star-shaped with respect to some  $v_1 \in (X - \partial X) \cap \mathbb{Z}^N$ . Then the  $\delta$ -vector  $\delta(X) = (\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_d)$  of  $X$  satisfies the linear inequalities as follows:*

$$(5.1) \quad \delta_0 + \delta_1 + \cdots + \delta_i \leq \delta_d + \delta_{d-1} + \cdots + \delta_{d-i}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq [d/2];$$

$$(5.2) \quad \delta_1 \leq \delta_i, \quad 2 \leq i < d.$$

*Sketch of proof.* First, recall that a simplicial complex in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  is a polyhedral complex  $\Delta$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  such that every convex polytope belonging to  $\Delta$  is a simplex in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Fix an arbitrary simplicial complex  $\Delta(0)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with the vertex set  $\partial X \cap \mathbb{Z}^N$  whose underlying space is the boundary  $\partial X$  of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is star-shaped with respect to  $v_1 \in (X - \partial X) \cap \mathbb{Z}^N$ , we can define the cone  $\Delta(1)$  over  $\Delta(0)$  with apex  $v_1$ , i.e.,  $\Delta(1)$  is the simplicial complex in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  which consists of those simplices  $\sigma$  such that either  $\sigma \in \Delta(0)$  or  $\sigma$  is the convex hull of  $\tau \cup \{v_1\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  for some  $\tau \in \Delta(0)$ . The vertex set of  $\Delta(1)$  is  $(\partial X \cap \mathbb{Z}^N) \cup \{v_1\}$  and the underlying space of  $\Delta(1)$  is  $X$ . Let  $(X - \partial X) \cap \mathbb{Z}^N = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_\ell\}$  and, for each  $2 \leq j \leq \ell$ , construct a simplicial complex  $\Delta(j)$  with the vertex set  $(\partial X \cap \mathbb{Z}^N) \cup \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_j\}$  and with the underlying space  $X$  by the same way as in [7]. We write  $\Delta$  for  $\Delta(\ell)$ . Then the element  $\theta = \xi^{v_1} t + \xi^{v_2} t + \cdots + \xi^{v_\ell} t$  of  $[\Omega(A_k(\Delta))]_1$  is a nonzero divisor on  $A_k(\Delta)$ . Hence, it follows from a standard technique of commutative algebra [11] (see also [4]) that  $\sum_{0 \leq i \leq j} \delta_i \leq \sum_{0 \leq i \leq j} \delta_{d-i}$  for every  $0 \leq i \leq [d/2]$ . On the other hand, let  $h(\Delta) = (h_0, h_1, \dots, h_d, 0)$  be the  $h$ -vector (e.g., [9]) of the simplicial complex

$\Delta$ . Then  $h_1 \leq h_i$  for each  $2 \leq i < d$  (cf. [7]). Also,  $h_1 = \delta_1$ . Since  $h_i \leq \delta_i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq d$ , by [1], we have  $\delta_1 \leq \delta_i$  for each  $2 \leq i < d$  as desired. Q.E.D.

**Remark.** (a) In the above sketch of proof, let  $A_k(\Delta)^*$  denote the graded subalgebra of  $A_k(\Delta)$  generated by  $[A_k(\Delta)]_n$  over  $k$ . Then  $A_k(\Delta)^*$  coincides with the Stanley-Reisner ring [9] of the simplicial complex  $\Delta$ . Thus  $A_k(\Delta)^*$  is Cohen-Macaulay with the Hilbert series

$$F(A_k(\Delta)^*, \lambda) = (h_0 + h_1 \lambda + h_2 \lambda^2 + \cdots + h_d \lambda^d) / (1 - \lambda)^{d+1}.$$

Moreover,  $A_k(\Delta)$  is finitely generated as a module over  $A_k(\Delta)^*$ .

(b) By the similar method as in [3, Theorem (1.3)], without the hypothesis that  $(X - \partial X) \cap \mathbb{Z}^N$  is nonempty and  $X$  is star-shaped, we can prove that the  $\delta$ -vector  $\delta(X) = (\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_d)$  of  $X$  satisfies the linear inequality

$$\delta_d + \delta_{d-1} + \cdots + \delta_{d-i} \leq \delta_0 + \delta_1 + \cdots + \delta_i + \delta_{i+1}$$

for every  $0 \leq i \leq [(d-1)/2]$ .

**Example.** Let  $N = d = 3$  and  $X = \mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{Q}$ , where  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  (resp.  $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ ) is the tetrahedron with the vertices  $(1, 0, 0)$ ,  $(0, 1, 0)$ ,  $(0, 0, 1)$ ,  $(-1, -1, -1)$  (resp.  $(1, 0, 0)$ ,  $(0, 1, 0)$ ,  $(0, 0, 1)$ ,  $(1, 1, 0)$ ). Then  $(X - \partial X) \cap \mathbb{Z}^3 = \{(0, 0, 0)\}$  and  $X$  is not star-shaped with respect to  $(0, 0, 0)$ . However,  $X$  is star-shaped with respect to, e.g.,  $(1/3, 1/3, 1/3)$ . We have  $\delta(X) = (1, 2, 1, 1)$  which fails to satisfy (5.1) for  $i = 1$  and (5.2) for  $i = 2$ .

**Corollary** [3, 7, 11]. *Let  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be an integral convex polytope of dimension  $d$ , and suppose that  $(\mathcal{P} - \partial \mathcal{P}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^N$  is nonempty. Then the  $\delta$ -vector  $\delta(\mathcal{P}) = (\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_d)$  of  $\mathcal{P}$  satisfies the following linear inequalities:*

$$(6.1) \quad \delta_0 + \delta_1 + \cdots + \delta_i \leq \delta_d + \delta_{d-1} + \cdots + \delta_{d-i}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq [d/2];$$

$$(6.2) \quad \delta_d + \delta_{d-1} + \cdots + \delta_{d-i} \leq \delta_0 + \delta_1 + \cdots + \delta_i + \delta_{i+1}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq [(d-1)/2];$$

$$(6.3) \quad \delta_1 \leq \delta_i, \quad 2 \leq i < d.$$

We conclude the paper with a remark about the question when  $A_k(\Gamma)$  is Gorenstein. For a while, we assume that  $N = d$  and the origin of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is contained in the interior of  $X$ . We say that  $\delta(X) = (\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_d)$  is symmetric if  $\delta_i = \delta_{d-i}$  for every  $0 \leq i \leq d$ . It follows from, e.g., [5] that  $X$  is star-shaped with respect to the origin if  $\delta(X)$  is symmetric. On the other hand,  $\delta(X)$  is symmetric if and only if there exists a polyhedral complex  $\Gamma$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with the underlying space  $X$  such that  $A_k(\Gamma)$  is Gorenstein, i.e., the canonical module  $\Omega(A_k(\Gamma))$  of  $A_k(\Gamma)$  is generated by a single element of  $A_k(\Gamma)$ .

## REFERENCES

- U. Betke and P. McMullen, *Lattice points in lattice polytopes*, Monash. Math. **99** (1985), 253-265.
- W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, New York, and Sydney, 1993.
- T. Hibi, *Ehrhart polynomials of convex polytopes,  $h$ -vectors of simplicial complexes, and nonsingular projective toric varieties*, Discrete and Computational Geometry (J. E. Goodman et al., eds.), DIMACS Ser. Discrete Math. Theoret. Comput. Sci., vol. 6, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1991, pp. 165-177.
- , *Face number inequalities for matroid complexes and Cohen-Macaulay types of Stanley-Reisner rings of distributive lattices*, Pacific J. Math. **154** (1992), 253-264.

<sup>1</sup> We refer to, e.g., [6, Chapter IV] for "Commutative Algebra for Combinatorialists".

5. —, *Dual polytopes of rational convex polytopes*, *Combinatorica* 12 (1992), 237–240.
6. —, *Algebraic combinatorics on convex polytopes*, Carlsaw, Sydney, 1992.
7. —, *A lower bound theorem for Ehrhart polynomials of convex polytopes*, *Adv. Math.* 105 (1994), 162–165.
8. R. Stanley, *Decompositions of rational convex polytopes*, *Ann. Discrete Math.*, vol. 6, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1980, pp. 333–342.
9. —, *Combinatorics and commutative algebra*, Birkhäuser, Boston, Basel, and Stuttgart, 1983.
10. —, *Generalized h-vectors, intersection cohomology of toric varieties, and related results*, *Commutative Algebra and Combinatorics* (M. Nagata and H. Matsumura, eds.), *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, vol. 11, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987, pp. 187–213.
11. —, *On the Hilbert function of a graded Cohen-Macaulay domain*, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 73 (1991), 307–314.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY, KITA-KU, SAPPORO 060, JAPAN

E-mail address: hibi@math.hokudai.ac.jp

# CASTELNUOVO REGULARITY AND GRADED RINGS ASSOCIATED TO AN IDEAL

BERNARD JOHNSTON AND DANIEL KATZ

(Communicated by Wolmer V. Vasconcelos)

**ABSTRACT.** We compare the Castelnuovo regularity defined with respect to different homogeneous ideals in a graded ring and use the result we obtain to prove a generalized Goto-Shimoda theorem for ideals of positive height in a Cohen-Macaulay local ring.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $(R, m)$  be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring and  $I \subseteq R$  an ideal. A number of papers in the past ten years or so have studied the transfer of the Cohen-Macaulay property of  $R$  to various graded rings associated to  $I$ , with particular attention being paid to  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(I)$  and  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(I)$  — the associated graded ring and the Rees ring of  $R$  with respect to  $I$ . In [H], Huneke showed that  $\mathcal{G}$  is Cohen-Macaulay whenever  $\mathcal{R}$  is Cohen-Macaulay (and the ideal has positive height) and pointed out that the converse need not hold. Since then, numerous authors have studied additional conditions required for  $\mathcal{G}$  to be Cohen-Macaulay when  $\mathcal{R}$  is Cohen-Macaulay. One of the most important theorems to emerge from these endeavors is the so-called Goto-Shimoda theorem ([GS, Theorem 3.1]) which we now state.

**Theorem (Goto-Shimoda).** *Let  $(R, m)$  be a  $d$ -dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring with infinite residue field and  $I \subseteq R$  an  $m$ -primary ideal. Then  $\mathcal{R}$  is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if  $\mathcal{G}$  is Cohen-Macaulay and  $J I^{d-1} = I^d$  for every minimal reduction  $J$  of  $I$ .*

It is fair to say that [GS] has provided the impetus for a large amount of research. Notable among subsequent endeavors is [GHO], where the Goto-Shimoda theorem was extended to equimultiple ideals (i.e., ideals whose height equals their analytic spread). The theorem in [GHO] reads exactly the same as the one above, only the assumption that  $I$  is  $m$ -primary is replaced by the (more general) assumption that  $I$  is equimultiple and  $d = \dim(R)$  is replaced by  $s = s(I)$ , the analytic spread of  $I$ . Little progress was made on extending the

Received by the editors June 21, 1993.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13A20, 13D45, 13H10.  
The second author was partially supported by the General Research Fund at the University of Kansas.