

# GENERALIZED STRESS AND MOTIONS

*In Memory of Paul Fillman*

CARL W. LEE\*  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Kentucky  
Lexington, KY 40506, U.S.A.

**Abstract.** In 1987 Kalai presented a new proof of the Lower Bound Theorem for simplicial convex  $d$ -polytopes by linking the problem to results in rigidity and stress. He suggested that if higher-dimensional analogues of stress and rigidity were developed, they might lead to other combinatorial results on polytopes, and in particular another proof of the  $g$ -Theorem. Here we discuss such a generalization of stress and its relationship to face rings,  $h$ -vectors, shellings, bistellar operations, spheres, and simplicial polytopes. In particular, stress plays a role in McMullen's recent new geometric proof of the  $g$ -Theorem using his polytope algebra.

**Key words:** bistellar operations, convex polytope, face ring,  $h$ -vector, infinitesimal motion,  $p$ -sphere, rigidity, shelling, stress.

## 1. Introduction

In 1987 Kalai [8] presented a new proof of the Lower Bound Theorem for simplicial convex  $d$ -polytopes by linking the problem to results in rigidity and stress. He suggested that if higher-dimensional analogues of stress and rigidity were developed, they might lead to other combinatorial results on polytopes, and in particular another proof of the  $g$ -Theorem. A proposal for such a generalization of stress was introduced in [10]. Here we provide details, discussing the relationship to face rings,  $h$ -vectors, shellings, bistellar operations, spheres, and simplicial polytopes. In particular, stress plays a role in McMullen's [11] recent new geometric proof of the  $g$ -Theorem using his polytope algebra.

## 2. Infinitesimal Rigidity and Stress

We first offer some background on rigidity and stress. See, for example, Kalai [8], Roth [14], and Whiteley [19] for more details and references. Begin by considering a graph  $G = (V, E)$ , where  $V = \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Suppose that we make a structure by choosing a point  $v_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$  for each vertex of the graph, and placing bars connecting

\* Supported in part by NSF grants DMS-8504050 and DMS-8802833, by NSA grant MDA904-89-H-2038, by the Mittag-Leffler Institute, and by DIMACS (Center for Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science), a National Science Foundation Science and Technology Center, NSF-STC88-08648.

pairs of points corresponding to edges. An infinitesimal motion of the vertices is a set of vectors  $\bar{v}_1, \dots, \bar{v}_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $d(\|v_i + t\bar{v}_i\| - (v_j + t\bar{v}_j)\|^2)/dt = 0$  when  $t = 0$  for all bars  $v_i v_j$ . Equivalently  $(v_i - v_j)^T(\bar{v}_i - \bar{v}_j) = 0$  for all edges, or the projections of  $\bar{v}_i$  and  $\bar{v}_j$  onto the affine span of  $\{v_i, v_j\}$  agree. For example, we could choose a single vector  $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and set  $\bar{v}_i = u$  for all vertices  $v_i$ . This would be a trivial motion in the sense that it could be extended to all of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . That is to say, we can define an infinitesimal motion of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  to be a choice of vector  $\bar{v}$  for each point  $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $(v - w)^T(\bar{v} - \bar{w}) = 0$  for all pairs  $v, w$  of points. Then we say that an infinitesimal motion of a structure is trivial if it is the restriction of an infinitesimal motion of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . If a structure admits only trivial infinitesimal motions, we say it is infinitesimally rigid.

Now an infinitesimal motion of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is uniquely determined by its restriction to the vertices of any geometric  $(d-1)$ -simplex, and conversely, any infinitesimal motion of a structure consisting of the vertices and edges of a geometric  $(d-1)$ -simplex can be extended to  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . So a geometric  $(d-1)$ -simplex is infinitesimally rigid, and it is not hard to see that the dimension of the space of infinitesimal motions of such a simplex is  $\binom{d+1}{2}$ . Hence we conclude that this is also the dimension of the space of trivial infinitesimal motions. Thus a structure is infinitesimally rigid if and only if the dimension of its infinitesimal motion space is  $\binom{d+1}{2}$ .

The fact that a motion of an infinitesimally rigid structure is determined by the motions on  $d$  affinely independent vertices allows us to conclude that the union of two infinitesimally rigid structures in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  sharing  $d$  affinely independent vertices is infinitesimally rigid.

The space of infinitesimally rigid motions of a structure is the nullspace of certain rigidity matrix  $R$ . The rows of  $R$  are indexed by the edges  $v_i v_j$ , and the columns of  $R$  occur in  $n$  groups of  $d$  columns, one group for each vertex of  $R$ . The row vector of length  $d$  in row  $v_i v_j$ , group  $v_k$ , will be

$$\begin{cases} 0^T & \text{if } k \neq i, j, \\ (v_i - v_j)^T & \text{if } k = i, \\ (v_j - v_i)^T & \text{if } k = j. \end{cases}$$

So a structure is infinitesimally rigid if and only if the dimension of the nullspace of  $R$  is  $\binom{d+1}{2}$ .

It is also useful to consider the left nullspace of  $R$ , elements of which are assignment of numbers  $\lambda_{ij}$  to edges  $v_i v_j$  such that

$$\sum_{\{i, j\} \in E} \lambda_{ij} (v_j - v_i) = 0$$

holds for every vertex  $v_i$ . Such a vector of numbers is called a stress, and the vector space of all stresses of a structure is its stress space.

Dehn [2] proved the following:

**Theorem 1 (Dehn)** *The edge skeleton of a simplicial convex 3-polytope  $P$  is infinitesimally rigid.*

This is proved by first showing:

**Theorem 2 (Dehn)** *A simplicial convex 3-polytope  $P$  admits only the trivial stress in which all  $\lambda_{ij} = 0$ .*

**PROOF.** The proof we give here is a slight modification of that of Roth [14], which in turn uses some techniques of Cauchy. Suppose there is a non-trivial stress. Label each edge  $v_i v_j \in E$  with the sign  $(+, -, 0)$  of  $\lambda_{ij}$ . Suppose there is a vertex  $v$  such that all edges incident to it are labeled 0. Then delete  $v$  and take the convex hull of the remaining vertices. The resulting polytope cannot be two-dimensional, because it is clear that there can be no non-trivial stress on the edges of a single polygon. So the polytope is three-dimensional. If it is not simplicial, triangulate the non-triangular faces arbitrarily, labeling the new edges 0. Repeat this procedure until you have a simplicial 3-polytope  $Q$  (possibly with some coplanar faces) such that every vertex is incident to at least one nonzero edge. Note that every nonzero edge of  $Q$  is an edge of the original polytope  $P$ .

Now in each corner of each face (which is a triangle) of  $Q$  place the label 0 if the two edges meeting there are of the same sign, 1 if they are of opposite sign, and  $1/2$  if one is zero and the other nonzero.

**Claim 1.** The sum of the corner labels at each vertex  $v$  is at least four. First, because  $v$  is a vertex of  $P$ , the nonzero edges of  $P$  incident to  $v$  cannot all have the same sign. Consider now the cyclic changes in signs of just the nonzero edges of  $P$  incident to  $v$ . If there were only two changes in sign, the positive edges could be separated from the negative edges by a plane passing through  $v$ , since no three edges incident to  $v$  in  $P$  are coplanar. So there must be at least four changes in sign. The claim for the corner labels in  $Q$  now follows easily.

**Claim 2.** the sum of the three corner labels for each face is at most two. Just check all the possibilities of the edge and corner labels for a single triangle.

Now consider the sum  $S$  of all the corner labels of  $Q$ . By Claim 1 the sum is at least  $4f_0$ , where  $f_0$  is the number of faces of  $Q$ . By Claim 2 the sum is at most  $f_2$ , where  $f_2$  is the number of faces of  $Q$ . But Euler's relation and  $3f_2 = 2f_1$  imply that  $f_2 = 2f_0 - 4$ . So  $4f_0 \leq S \leq 4f_0 - 8$  yields a contradiction.  $\square$

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.** Because  $P$  is simplicial,  $f_1 = 3f_0 - 6$ . So  $R$  has  $f_1 = 3f_0 - 6$  rows and  $3f_0$  columns. We need to show that the dimension of the nullspace of  $R$  is six, so we need to show that  $R$  has full row rank. But this is equivalent to there being no nontrivial stresses, which we have done.  $\square$

Whiteley [19] extended Theorem 1 to arbitrary  $d > 3$ :

**Theorem 3 (Whiteley)** *For  $d > 3$ , the edge skeleton of a simplicial convex  $d$ -polytope  $P$  is infinitesimally rigid.*

Using induction on  $d$ , he explained why the edge skeleton of a star  $v$ , the closed star of  $v$ , is infinitesimally rigid for each vertex  $v$  of  $P$ . Then the rigidity of the entire edge skeleton of  $P$  results from the fact that the closed stars of two adjacent vertices share a  $(d-1)$ -simplex.

Regarding the matrix  $R$  for an arbitrary simplicial convex  $d$ -polytope,  $d \geq 3$ , the dimension of its nullspace is  $\binom{d+1}{2}$ . Hence its rank is  $df_0 - \binom{d+1}{2}$ . So the dimension of the stress space is  $f_1 - df_0 + \binom{d+1}{2}$ . In particular, this integer, usually now

denoted  $g_2(P)$  or  $g_2$ , is nonnegative. The Lower Bound Theorem follows from the nonnegativity of  $g_2$ , however, and this is Kalai's [8] striking proof. In fact, Kalai used this method to prove:

**Theorem 4** For all convex  $d$ -polytopes  $P$ ,  $d \geq 3$ ,

$$fo_2 - 3f_2 + f_1 - df_0 + \binom{d+1}{2} \geq 0,$$

where  $fo_2$  is the number of incidences of vertices with 2-faces.

### 3. McMullen's Conditions

On the other hand, the nonnegativity of  $g_2$  for simplicial convex  $d$ -polytopes ( $d \geq 3$ ) is a consequence of McMullen's conditions [17], which we will describe in this section.

Let  $\Delta$  be a simplicial  $(d-1)$ -complex on the set  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  (its vertices). The  $f$ -vector of  $\Delta$  is  $f = (f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{d-1})$ , where  $f_j$  is the number of faces of  $\Delta$  of dimension  $j$  (cardinality  $j+1$ ). Taking  $f_{-1} = 1$ , the  $h$ -vector of  $\Delta$  is  $h = (h_0, \dots, h_d)$  where

$$h_k = \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{j-k} \binom{d-j}{d-k} f_{j-1}, \quad k = 0, \dots, d. \quad (2)$$

These relations are invertible:

$$f_j = \sum_{k=0}^{j+1} \binom{d-k}{d-j-1} h_k, \quad j = -1, \dots, d-1.$$

Define also  $g_0 = h_0 = 1$  and  $g_k = h_k - h_{k-1}$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, [d/2]$ .

The Stanley-Reisner ring or face ring of  $\Delta$  over  $\mathbb{R}$  is  $A = \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I_\Delta$ , where  $I_\Delta$  is the ideal generated by all square-free monomials  $x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_j}$ , such that  $\{i_1, \dots, i_j\} \notin \Delta$ . The ring  $A$  inherits the grading by degree,  $A = A_0 \oplus A_1 \oplus A_2 \oplus \dots$ . Stanley [15, 16] observed:

**Theorem 5 (Stanley)** Let  $A$  be the face ring of a simplicial  $(d-1)$ -complex  $\Delta$ . Then  $A$  is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if there exist  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d \in A_1$  such that  $\dim B_k = h_k$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, d$ , where  $B = B_0 \oplus \dots \oplus B_d = A/(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d)$ . In this case the  $\theta_j$  can be chosen generically (that is, with algebraically independent coefficients over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ).

If the above situation holds we say that  $\Delta$  is Cohen-Macaulay. Reisner [13] derived a homological characterization of Cohen-Macaulay complexes. In particular, shellable simplicial complexes and simplicial balls and spheres (and hence boundary complexes of simplicial polytopes) are Cohen-Macaulay. To see the effect of this condition on the  $h$ -vector, we need another definition. For positive integers  $a$  and  $k$ ,  $a$  can be expressed uniquely in the form

$$a = \binom{a_k}{k} + \binom{a_{k-1}}{k-1} + \dots + \binom{a_\ell}{\ell},$$

where  $a_k > a_{k-1} > \dots > a_\ell \geq \ell \geq 1$ . Using this, set

$$a^{(k)} = \binom{a_k+1}{k+1} + \binom{a_{k-1}+1}{k} + \dots + \binom{a_\ell+1}{\ell+1}.$$

Define also  $0^{(k)} = 0$ . Stanley [15] proved:

**Theorem 6 (Stanley)** Let  $\Delta$  be a simplicial  $(d-1)$ -complex. If  $\Delta$  is Cohen-Macaulay, then the  $h$ -vector is nonnegative and  $h_{k+1} \leq h_k^{(k)}$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, d-1$ .

Using a connection between the face ring of a simplicial convex polytope and the cohomology of an associated projective toric variety, Stanley [17] showed that the Hard Lefschetz Theorem implies:

**Theorem 7 (Stanley)** Suppose that  $\Delta$  is the boundary complex of a simplicial  $d$ -polytope, and that  $A$  is its face ring. Then, for some choice of  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d \in A_1$ , there exists  $\omega \in B_1$  such that multiplication by  $\omega^{d-2k}$  is a bijection between  $B_k$  and  $B_{d-k}$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, [d/2]$ , where  $B = B_0 \oplus \dots \oplus B_d = A/(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d)$ . In particular, multiplication by  $\omega$  is an injection from  $B_k$  into  $B_{k+1}$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, [d/2] - 1$ . As a consequence,  $g_k = \dim C_k$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, [d/2]$ , where  $C = C_0 \oplus \dots \oplus C_{[d/2]} = B/(\omega)$ .

Hence

1.  $h_k = h_{d-k}$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, d$  (the Dehn-Sommerville Relations),
2.  $g_k \geq 0$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, [d/2]$  (the Generalized Lower-Bound Inequalities), and
3.  $g_{k+1} \leq g_k^{(k)}$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, [d/2] - 1$ .

The above three conditions are McMullen's conditions and characterize  $h$ -vectors of simplicial convex  $d$ -polytopes (the sufficiency was established by Billera and Lee [1]). This characterization is also known as the  $g$ -Theorem.

### 4. $k$ -Stress

In this section we offer a generalization to the classical stress space of Section 2 that is motivated by the Stanley-Reisner ring. The original idea arose when contemplating Kalai's algebraic shifting technique [7].

First we give some notation. For  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , and for  $r = (r_1, \dots, r_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ , by  $x^r$  we mean  $x_1^{r_1} \dots x_n^{r_n}$ . Define also  $\text{supp } x^r = \{i : r_i \neq 0\}$  (the support of  $x^r$ ),  $r! = r_1! \dots r_n!$ , and  $|r| = r_1 + \dots + r_n$ .

Let  $\Delta$  be a simplicial complex (not necessarily of dimension  $d-1$ ) on the set  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ , and let  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Define  $M$  to be the  $d \times n$  matrix with columns  $v_1, \dots, v_n$ , and  $\bar{M}$  to be the  $(d+1) \times n$  matrix obtained from  $M$  by appending a final row of 1's.

For each  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , a linear  $k$ -stress on  $\Delta$  (with respect to  $v_1, \dots, v_n$ ) is a polynomial of the form

$$b(x) = \sum_{r: |r|=k} b_r \frac{x^r}{r!}$$

that satisfies

$$b_r = 0 \text{ if } \text{supp } x^r \notin \Delta, \quad (3)$$

and

$$M \nabla b = O.$$

(4)

This last condition is equivalent to

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial b}{\partial x_i} \right) v_i = O,$$

where the left-hand side is to be regarded as a polynomial with vector coefficients, or

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial b}{\partial x_i} \right) v_i = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, d,$$

where  $v_i = (v_{i1}, \dots, v_{id})^T$ , or

$$\sum_{i=1}^n b_{i+e_i} v_i = O \quad (5)$$

for every  $s \in Z_+^n$  such that  $|s| = k-1$ , where  $e_i$  the  $i$ th unit vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . That is to say, we have a linear relation on the vectors  $v_i$  for every such  $s$ . The collection of all linear  $k$ -stresses forms a vector space, which we will denote  $S_k^*$ . (In [10] we used the notation  $\bar{S}_k$ .)

An affine  $k$ -stress on  $\Delta$  (with respect to  $v_1, \dots, v_n$ ) is a linear  $k$ -stress that satisfies the additional condition

$$e^T \nabla b = 0,$$

where  $e$  denotes the vector  $(1, \dots, 1)^T$ . Equivalently,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial b}{\partial x_i} = 0,$$

or

$$\sum_{i=1}^n b_{i+e_i} = 0 \quad (6)$$

for every  $s \in Z_+^n$  such that  $|s| = k-1$ ; that is, we have an affine relation on the vectors  $v_i$  for every such  $s$ . Thus

$$\bar{M} \nabla b = O.$$

Clearly  $b(x)$  is an affine  $k$ -stress with respect to  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  if and only if it is a linear  $k$ -stress with respect to  $\bar{v}_1, \dots, \bar{v}_n$ , where

$$\bar{v}_i = \begin{bmatrix} v_i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

The collection of all affine  $k$ -stresses forms a subspace of  $S_k^*$ , which we will denote  $S_k^*$ . (In [10] we used the notation  $\bar{S}_k$ .)

For  $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , define the function  $\sigma_c$  on the space of linear  $k$ -stresses by

$$\sigma_c(b) = c^T \nabla b = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \frac{\partial b}{\partial x_i}$$

for any linear  $k$ -stress  $b(x)$ . In particular, define

$$\omega(b) = \sigma_c(b) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial b}{\partial x_i}.$$

**Theorem 8** Let  $\Delta$  be any simplicial complex with  $n$  vertices, and let  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Then for  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , the function  $\sigma_c$  maps  $S_k^*$  into  $S_{k-1}^*$ , and for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , the kernel of  $\omega$  restricted to  $S_k^*$  is  $S_k^*$ .

**PROOF.** The statement about the kernel of  $\omega$  follows immediately from the definition of  $S_k^*$ . Suppose that  $b \in S_k^*$  for some  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . For  $r \in Z_+^n$  such that  $|r| = k-1$ , the coefficient of  $x^r$  in  $\sigma_c(b)$  is  $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i b_{r+e_i}$ . Suppose that  $\text{supp } x^r \notin \Delta$ . Then  $\text{supp } x^{r+e_i} \notin \Delta$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Hence  $b_{r+e_i} = 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and so  $\sigma_c(b)$  satisfies condition (3). Also,  $M \nabla (c^T \nabla b) = M[(\nabla^2 b)c] = [\nabla(M \nabla b)]c = O$  since  $M \nabla b = O$ , so  $\sigma_c(b)$  satisfies condition (4).  $\square$

**Theorem 9** Let  $\Delta$  be any simplicial complex with  $n$  vertices, and let  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Then

1.  $S_k^* = S_0^* = \mathbb{R}$ .
2.  $S_k^*$  is isomorphic to the space of all linear relations on the vectors  $v_i$ .
3.  $S_k^*$  is isomorphic to the space of all affine relations on the vectors  $v_i$ .
4.  $S_k^*$  is isomorphic to the classical stress space, under the correspondence  $\lambda_{ij} = b_{e_i+e_j}$ .

**PROOF.** The first three parts are trivial. For the fourth, assume  $b \in S_k^*$ . Let  $\lambda_{ij} = b_{e_i+e_j}$  for all  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ . Note that  $\lambda_{ij} = \lambda_{ji}$  and that  $\lambda_{ij} = 0$  if  $\{i, j\}$  is not an edge. From conditions (5) and (6) we see that for all  $j = 1, \dots, n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{ij} v_i \\ &= \sum_{i \neq j} \lambda_{ij} v_i + \lambda_{jj} v_j \\ &= \sum_{i \neq j} \lambda_{ij} v_i + \sum_{i \neq j} (-\lambda_{ij}) v_j \\ &= \sum_{i, \{i, j\} \in E} \lambda_{ij} (v_i - v_j), \end{aligned}$$

where  $E$  denotes the edges of  $\Delta$ . Hence the  $\lambda_{ij}$  satisfy condition (1).

Conversely, suppose we are given  $\lambda_{ij}$  for  $\{i, j\} \in E$  that satisfy condition (1). For  $j = 1, \dots, n$  define

$$b_{2e_j} = - \sum_{i: \{i, j\} \in E} \lambda_{ij},$$

and for  $i \neq j$  define

$$b_{e_i + e_j} = \begin{cases} \lambda_{ij} & \text{if } \{i, j\} \in E, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The above argument then reverses to show that these coefficients determine an affine 2-stress.  $\square$

**Example 1** Let  $\Delta$  be the boundary complex of a  $d$ -simplex in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and take  $v_1, \dots, v_{d+1} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  to be the vertices of the simplex. Assume that no proper subset of the vertices is linearly dependent. Then there exist nonzero  $c_i$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d+1} c_i v_i = 0,$$

and all linear relations on the  $v_i$  are multiples of this one. Then for all  $k = 0, \dots, d$ ,  $S_k^d$  is one-dimensional and is spanned by

$$\sum_{r: |r|=k} c^r \frac{x^r}{r!}.$$

For we can see that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d+1} c^s + e_i v_i = c^s \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} c_i v_i = 0$$

for all  $s \in \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$  such that  $|s| = k - 1$ . Note that  $c^s$  is nonzero for all  $r$ . On the other hand,  $\dim S_k^d = 0$  for all  $k > d$ ,  $\dim S_0^d = 1$ , and  $\dim S_k^d = 0$  for all  $k \geq 1$ , since the  $v_i$  are affinely independent and so  $\sum_{i=1}^{d+1} c_i \neq 0$ .

**Example 2** Let  $\Delta$  be the boundary complex of the standard octahedron in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , with

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= (+1, 0, 0)^T \\ v_2 &= (-1, 0, 0)^T \\ v_3 &= (0, +1, 0)^T \\ v_4 &= (0, -1, 0)^T \\ v_5 &= (0, 0, +1)^T \\ v_6 &= (0, 0, -1)^T \end{aligned}$$

Then it can be checked that

1.  $S_0^3 = \mathbb{R}$ .
2.  $S_1^3$  is three dimensional and has a basis  $\{x_1 + x_2, x_3 + x_4, x_5 + x_6\}$ .
3.  $S_2^3$  is three dimensional and has a basis  $\{x_1 x_3 + x_1 x_4 + x_2 x_3 + x_2 x_4, x_1 x_5 + x_1 x_6 + x_2 x_5 + x_2 x_6, x_3 x_5 + x_3 x_6 + x_4 x_5 + x_4 x_6\}$ .
4.  $S_3^3$  is one-dimensional and has a basis  $\{x_1 x_3 x_5 + x_1 x_3 x_6 + x_1 x_4 x_5 + x_1 x_4 x_6 + x_2 x_3 x_5 + x_2 x_3 x_6 + x_2 x_4 x_5 + x_2 x_4 x_6\}$ .

5.  $S_k^4 = \{0\}$  if  $k > 3$ .
6.  $S_0^4 = \mathbb{R}$ .
7.  $S_1^4$  is two-dimensional and has a basis  $\{x_1 + x_2 - x_3 - x_4, x_1 + x_2 - x_5 - x_6\}$ .
8.  $S_2^4 = \{0\}$  if  $k > 1$ .

## 5. Relationship to the Face Ring

The definition of generalized stress follows somewhat naturally from the face ring. For suppose that  $\Delta$  is a simplicial complex (not necessarily of dimension  $d-1$ ) with  $n$  vertices  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ , and let  $R = \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n] = R_0 \oplus R_1 \oplus R_2 \oplus \dots$  be the ring of polynomials, graded by degree. If we are given  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d \in R_1$ , we are interested in the dimension of  $B_k$  (as a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ ), where  $B = B_0 \oplus B_1 \oplus B_2 \oplus \dots$  equals  $R$  factored out by the ideal  $J = J_0 \oplus J_1 \oplus J_2 \oplus \dots$  generated by  $I_d$  and  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d$ . Using the inner product  $\langle \sum_{r: |r|=k} a_r x^r, \sum_{r: |r|=k} b_r x^r \rangle = \sum_{r: |r|=k} a_r b_r$  on  $R_k$ , write  $R_k = J_k \oplus J_k^\perp$ . Now  $\sum_{r: |r|=k} b_r x^r$  is in  $J_k^\perp$  if and only if it is orthogonal to 1. all monomials of the form  $x^s x^t$  where  $x^t$  is square-free,  $\text{supp } x^t \notin \Delta$ , and  $|s| + |t| = k$ ; and

2. all polynomials of the form  $x^s \theta_i$ , where  $|s| = k - 1$ .
- Writing  $\theta_j = \sum_{i=1}^n v_j x_i$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, d$  and defining  $v_i = (v_1, \dots, v_d)^T$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , the first condition above is equivalent to condition (3) and the second condition is equivalent to condition (5). Hence  $\sum_{r: |r|=k} b_r x^r \in J_k^\perp$  if and only if  $\sum_{r: |r|=k} b_r \frac{x^r}{r!} \in S_k^d$ . Recalling that an affine stress with respect to  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  is a linear stress with respect to  $\bar{v}_1, \dots, \bar{v}_n$ , we have:

**Theorem 10** Suppose that  $\Delta$  is a simplicial complex (not necessarily of dimension  $d-1$ ) with  $n$  vertices. Let  $A$  be its face ring, and assume that we have  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d \in A_1$  and  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\theta_j = \sum_{i=1}^n v_j x_i$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, d$  and  $v_i = (v_{i1}, \dots, v_{id})^T$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Let  $A = A_0 \oplus A_1 \oplus A_2 \oplus \dots = R/I_d$ ,  $B = B_0 \oplus B_1 \oplus B_2 \oplus \dots = A/(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d)$ , and  $C = C_0 \oplus C_1 \oplus C_2 \oplus \dots = B/(x_1 + \dots + x_n)$ . Then

$$\sum_{r: |r|=k} a_r b_r = 0$$

for all  $a(x) = \sum_{r: |r|=k} a_r x^r$  in  $J_k$  (respectively,  $J_k^\perp$ ).

**Corollary 11** Let  $\Delta$  be any simplicial  $(d-1)$ -complex with  $n$  vertices.

1.  $\Delta$  is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if there exist  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\dim S_k^d = h_k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, d$ . In this case, the  $v_i$  can be chosen generically (that is, with algebraically independent components).
2. Suppose that  $\Delta$  is in fact a simplicial  $(d-1)$ -sphere. If  $\dim S_k^d = g_k$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, [d/2]$ , then its  $h$ -vector satisfies McMullen's conditions.

**PROOF.** This follows from the above result and Theorems 5 and 7.  $\square$

## 6. Formulas for Coefficients

In this section we explain why, under suitable conditions on the  $v_i$ , the coefficients of the square-free monomials of a linear stress uniquely determine the coefficients of the non-square-free monomials. We then characterize the former coefficients. For a simplicial complex  $\Delta$  with  $n$  vertices, and for  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we say that the  $v_i$  are in linearly general position with respect to  $\Delta$  if  $\{v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_\ell}\}$  is linearly independent for every face  $\{i_1, \dots, i_\ell\}$  of  $\Delta$ .

**Theorem 12** *Let  $\Delta$  be any simplicial complex with  $n$  vertices, and let  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be in linearly general position with respect to  $\Delta$ . If  $b(x)$  is a linear stress, then the coefficients of the non-square-free monomials in  $b(x)$  are linear combinations of the coefficients of the square-free monomials and hence are uniquely determined by them.*

**PROOF.** Let  $b(x) \in S_\ell^d$ . We will use reverse induction on  $\ell = \text{card}(\text{supp } x')$ . The result is trivially true if  $\ell = k$ , so assume that the result is true for some  $\ell$  such that  $1 \leq \ell \leq k$ , and suppose that  $\text{card}(\text{supp } x') = \ell - 1$  where  $\text{supp } x' \in \Delta$ . Choose  $j$  such that  $r_j > 1$  and let  $s = r - e_j$ . Condition (5) implies

$$\sum_{i=1}^n b_{s+e_i} v_i = 0.$$

But, by the induction hypothesis, the coefficients  $b_{s+e_i}$  are linear combinations of the coefficients of the square-free monomials when  $r_i = 0$ , since  $\text{card}(\text{supp } x'' + e_i) = \ell$  in this case. This leaves the  $\ell - 1$  coefficients  $b_{s+e_i}$  for  $i \in \text{supp } x'$  to be uniquely determined, since the corresponding  $v_i$  are linearly independent by assumption. In particular,  $b_{s+e_j} = b_s$  is a linear combination of the coefficients of the square-free monomials.  $\square$

Therefore, if you are given the coefficients of the square-free coefficients of a  $k$ -stress, you can use conditions (3) and (5) to find the other coefficients systematically.

**Corollary 13** *Let  $\Delta$  be any simplicial complex with  $n$  vertices, and let  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be chosen in linearly general position with respect to  $\Delta$ . Then  $\dim S_k^d = 0$  for all  $k > \dim \Delta + 1$ .*

**PROOF.** If  $k > \dim \Delta + 1$  then there are no faces of cardinality  $k$ , so all coefficients of square-free monomials of a linear  $k$ -stress must be zero.  $\square$

The next theorem provides an explicit formula for the coefficients of the non-square-free monomials in terms of the coefficients of the square-free monomials. For  $G = \{i_1, \dots, i_\ell\} \in \Delta$ , define  $\text{conv } G$  (with respect to  $v_1, \dots, v_n$ ) to be  $\text{conv}\{v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_\ell}\}$ . We similarly define  $\text{aff } G$  and  $\text{span } G$ . We will sometimes abuse notation and write  $b_G$  and  $x^G$  for  $b_s$  and  $x^s$ , respectively, where  $r_i = 1$  if  $i \in G$  and  $r_i = 0$  if  $i \notin G$ . We will also use the notation  $G+i$  for  $G \cup \{i\}$  and  $G-i$  for  $G \setminus \{i\}$ . Fixing an ordering of the elements of  $G$  and assuming that  $s \leq d$ , define

$$[G] = \det \begin{bmatrix} v_{i_1,1} & v_{i_2,1} & \dots & v_{i_\ell,1} \\ v_{i_1,2} & v_{i_2,2} & \dots & v_{i_\ell,2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_{i_1,s} & v_{i_2,s} & \dots & v_{i_\ell,s} \end{bmatrix}.$$

a subdeterminant of  $M$ . Note that only the first  $s$  rows of  $M$  are used. If  $i \in G$ , we compute  $[G-i]$  using the ordering induced by  $G$  and multiply by  $+1$  (respectively,  $-1$ ) if  $i$  is in an odd (respectively, even) position with respect to this ordering, and we compute  $[G-i+j]$  by replacing the column corresponding to  $v_i$  with the column corresponding to  $v_j$ .

**Theorem 14** *Let  $\Delta$  be a simplicial complex on  $n$  vertices of dimension at most  $d-1$ , and let  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  be chosen generically in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Suppose that  $b(x)$  is a linear  $k$ -stress for some  $1 \leq k \leq d$ . Suppose that  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$  such that  $|r| = k$  and  $S = \text{supp } x' \in \Delta$ . Then*

$$b_r = \sum_{(k-1)\text{-faces } F \text{ containing } S} b_F \frac{\prod_{i \in S} [F-i]^{r_i-1}}{\prod_{i \in F \setminus S} [F-i]}.$$

**PROOF.** We will use reverse induction on  $\ell = \text{card } S$ . The formula is trivially true when  $\ell = k$ , so assume that the formula for  $b_r$  is true whenever  $\text{card}(\text{supp } x'') = \ell + 1$ , for some  $\ell$  such that  $1 \leq \ell < d$ . Suppose that the support  $S$  of  $x'$  has cardinality  $\ell$ . Write  $S = \{i_1, \dots, i_\ell\}$  where  $i_1 < \dots < i_\ell$ . Since  $x'$  is not square-free, there must be some  $m$  for which  $r_m > 1$ . Let  $M_\ell$  be the submatrix of  $M$  consisting of the first  $\ell$  rows of  $M$ , and let  $B$  be the submatrix of  $M_\ell$  determined by the members of  $S$ . Multiplying the  $m$ th row of  $B^{-1}M_\ell(x_1, \dots, x_n)^T$  by  $x''^{-e_m}$  yields a member of  $J_r$ , namely

$$x'' + \sum_{j \in k \setminus S} \frac{[S-m+j]}{[S]} x''^{-e_m+e_j},$$

Note that each monomial on the right-hand side has support of cardinality  $\ell + 1$ . By the induction hypothesis, the orthogonality condition (2) of Theorem 10, and some

Grassman-Plücker relations, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
 b_r &= - \sum_{j \in \text{lk } S} \frac{[S-m+j]}{[S]} b_{r-\epsilon_m+\epsilon_j} \\
 &= - \sum_{j \in \text{lk } S} \frac{[S-m+j]}{[S]} \sum_{(k-1)\text{-faces } F \text{ containing } S+j} b_F \frac{\prod_{i \in S+j} [F-i]^{(r-\epsilon_m+\epsilon_j)_i-1}}{\prod_{i \in F \setminus (S+j)} [F-i]} \\
 &= - \sum_{(k-1)\text{-faces } F \text{ containing } S} \sum_{j \in F \setminus S} b_F \frac{\prod_{i \in S} [F-i]^{(r-\epsilon_m)_i-1}}{\prod_{i \in F \setminus (S+j)} [F-i]} \\
 &= - \sum_{(k-1)\text{-faces } F \text{ containing } S} b_F \frac{\prod_{i \in S} [F-i]^{(r-\epsilon_m)_i-1}}{[S]} \sum_{j \in F \setminus S} \frac{[S-m+j]}{\prod_{i \in F \setminus (S+j)} [F-i]} \\
 &= - \sum_{(k-1)\text{-faces } F \text{ containing } S} b_F \frac{\prod_{i \in S} [F-i]^{(r-\epsilon_m)_i-1}}{[S]} \sum_{j \in F \setminus S} [S-m+j][F-j] \\
 &= - \sum_{(k-1)\text{-faces } F \text{ containing } S} b_F \frac{\prod_{i \in S} [F-i]^{(r-\epsilon_m)_i-1}}{[S]} \prod_{i \in F \setminus S} [F-i] \\
 &= - \sum_{(k-1)\text{-faces } F \text{ containing } S} b_F \frac{\prod_{i \in S} [F-i]^{(r-\epsilon_m)_i-1}}{[S]} [S][F-m] \\
 &= - \sum_{(k-1)\text{-faces } F \text{ containing } S} b_F \frac{\prod_{i \in S} [F-i]^{(r-\epsilon_m)_i-1}}{\prod_{i \in F \setminus S} [F-i]} \cdot \square \\
 &= - \sum_{(k-1)\text{-faces } F \text{ containing } S} b_F \frac{\prod_{i \in S} [F-i]^{r_i-1}}{\prod_{i \in F \setminus S} [F-i]} \cdot \square
 \end{aligned}$$

The formula is not symmetric with respect to permutations of the coordinates of the  $v_i$ , but can be made so by averaging over all permutations, for example. Since we know that the coefficients of the square-free monomials determine all of the others, it would be nice to characterize them somehow geometrically.

**Theorem 15** Let  $\Delta$  be any simplicial complex with  $n$  vertices, and let  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Let  $b(x)$  be a linear (respectively, affine)  $k$ -stress,  $k \geq 1$ . Choose any face  $F$  of  $\Delta$  of cardinality  $k-1$  and any point  $v$  in span  $F$  (respectively aff  $F$ ). Then

$$v + \sum_{i \in \text{lk } F} b_{F+i}(v_i - v)$$

lies in span  $F$  (respectively, aff  $F$ ). Equivalently, if  $w_i$  is the vector joining the projection of  $v_i$  onto span  $F$  (respectively, aff  $F$ ) to  $v_i$ , then

$$\sum_{i \in \text{lk } F} b_{F+i} w_i = 0.$$

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $v \in \text{span } F$ . Then, using condition (5),

$$\begin{aligned}
 v + \sum_{i \in \text{lk } F} b_{F+i}(v_i - v) &= v + \sum_{i \in \text{lk } F} b_{F+i} v_i - \sum_{i \in \text{lk } F} b_{F+i} v \\
 &= v - \sum_{i \in F} b_{F+i} v_i - \sum_{i \in \text{lk } F} b_{F+i} v
 \end{aligned}$$

which is in span  $F$  (abusing notation slightly in the penultimate sum). If  $b$  is an affine stress, then by condition (6) the sum of the coefficients in the above expression is

$$1 - \sum_{i \in F} b_{F+i} - \sum_{i \in \text{lk } F} b_{F+i} = 1.$$

So we have an element of aff  $F$ .  $\square$

Note that, for a linear  $k$ -stress,  $w_i$  is the altitude vector for the point  $v_i$  in the simplex  $\text{conv}(\{O\} \cup F)$ , and, for an affine  $k$ -stress,  $w_i$  is the altitude vector for the point  $v_i$  in the simplex  $\text{conv } F$ . So affine  $k$ -stress is a natural generalization of classical stress (affine 2-stress), and is equivalent to the proposed generalization of Kalai (personal communication).

**Example 3** Let  $\Delta$  be the boundary complex of a simplicial  $d$ -polytope in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d \geq 1$ , and take the  $v_i$  to be its vertices. Then the above theorem implies that  $\dim S_d^0 = 0$ . For take any  $b(x) \in S_d^0$  and consider any subfacet  $F$  (i.e., of cardinality  $d-1$ ). Then there are precisely two facets containing  $F$ , and hence only two altitude vectors  $w_i$  with respect to aff  $F$ , where  $i \in \text{lk } F$ . By convexity these two vectors are not collinear and we must have

$$\sum_{i \in \text{lk } F} b_{F+i} w_i = 0,$$

from which it follows that  $b_{F+i} = 0$  for  $i \in \text{lk } F$ . Thus all the coefficients of the square-free monomials of  $b(x)$  are zero, and hence all of the coefficients of  $b(x)$  must also be zero.

The previous theorem provides necessary conditions for the coefficients of the square-free terms. But Fillman [3] and Tay-White-Whiteley [18] have shown that they are also sufficient. So we could just as well define linear or affine  $k$ -stress using the conditions provided by the previous theorem, and perhaps this would be more natural.

7. Infinitesimal  $k$ -Motions

What is the generalization of infinitesimal motions? Consider an affine  $k$ -stress on simplicial complex  $\Delta$  with respect to  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ . That is, for each  $(k-1)$ -face  $F$ , we have a number  $b_F$  such that for every  $(k-2)$ -face  $G$ ,

$$\sum_{i \in kG} b_G + w_i = 0,$$

where  $w_i$  is the altitude vector of  $v_i$  in the simplex  $\text{conv}(G + i)$ . Consider the matrix  $R$  with rows indexed by  $(k-1)$ -faces  $F$  and columns occurring in groups of  $d$  columns, one group for each  $(k-2)$ -face  $G$ . The row vector of length  $d$  in row  $F$  of group  $G$ , is

$$\begin{cases} 0^d & \text{if } G \not\subset F, \\ w_{(G,F)}^T & \text{if } G \subset F, \end{cases}$$

where  $w_{(G,F)}$  is the altitude vector of the simplex  $\text{conv } F$  with respect to  $\text{conv } G$ . So the left nullspace of  $R$  is  $S_k^*$ . The other nullspace of  $R$  suggests a definition of infinitesimal  $(k-1)$ -motion, namely, an assignment of a vector  $\bar{v}_G \in \mathbb{R}^d$  to each  $(k-2)$ -face  $G$ , such that for every  $(k-1)$ -face  $F$ ,

$$\sum_{i \in F} w_i \cdot \bar{v}_i = 0.$$

In the above expression, we use the notation  $w_i$  for  $w_{(F,i),F}$  and  $\bar{v}_i$  for  $\bar{v}_{F,i}(0)$ . Write  $G_i$  for  $F \setminus \{i\}$  and let  $u_i$  be the unit outer normal vector of  $\text{conv } G_i$  with respect to  $\text{conv } F$  in  $\text{aff } F$ . Then

$$\sum_{i \in F} u_i \|w_i\| \cdot \bar{v}_i = 0.$$

Dividing by  $\text{vol}_{k-2}(G_i)$  implies

$$\sum_{i \in F} u_i \cdot \frac{\bar{v}_i}{\text{vol}_{k-2}(G_i)} = 0$$

and hence

$$\sum_{i \in F} u_i \text{vol}_{k-2}(G_i) \cdot \bar{m}_i = 0,$$

where  $\bar{m}_i = \bar{v}_i / \text{vol}_{k-2}(G_i)$ .

A better definition of infinitesimal  $(k-1)$ -motion might be a choice of vector  $\bar{m}_G \in \mathbb{R}^d$  for each  $(k-2)$ -face  $G$ , such that the above expression is satisfied for each  $(k-1)$ -face  $F$ . Since  $u_i \cdot m_i = u_i \cdot \bar{m}_i$  for the projection  $m_i$  of  $\bar{m}_i$  onto  $\text{aff } F$ , we also have

$$\sum_{i \in F} u_i \text{vol}_{k-2}(G_i) \cdot m_i = 0.$$

It can be shown that this is equivalent to the existence of a vector  $m_F \in \mathbb{R}^d$  for each  $(k-1)$ -face  $F$  such that  $m_F \cdot u_i = m_i \cdot u_i$  for each  $i \in F$ . Notice in this case

$$\sum_{i \in F} u_i \text{vol}_{k-2}(G_i) \cdot m_i$$

$$= \sum_{i \in F} u_i \text{vol}_{k-2}(G_i) \cdot m_F$$

$$= \left( \sum_{i \in F} u_i \text{vol}_{k-2}(G_i) \right) \cdot m_F$$

$$= 0 \cdot m_F$$

$$= 0$$

Minkowski's theorem.

For a real number  $t$ , let  $F(t)$  be the  $(k-1)$  simplex determined by translating  $G_i$  by the vector  $tm_i$ . Then our definition is also equivalent to

$$\frac{d}{dt} \text{vol}_{k-1}^2(F(t)) = 0$$

when  $t = 0$ . This was also observed by Fillman [3]. Equivalently,  $F(t)$  is congruent to  $F$  for all  $t$ . So generalized stress leads to a fairly natural generalization of infinitesimal motions (2-motions). See Tay, White and Whitaley [18] for a deeper study of the relationship between generalized stress and skeletal rigidity of cell complexes.

## Simplicial Spheres

Let  $\Delta$  be a simplicial  $(d-1)$ -sphere (or connected  $(d-1)$ -pseudo-manifold) with  $n$  vertices, and choose  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$  in generically. As noted before,  $\Delta$  is Cohen-Macaulay. Also, Euler's relation implies that  $h_d = 1$ . So  $\dim S_k^* = 1$ , hence up to scalar multiple there is only one linear  $d$ -stress  $b(x)$ . By Theorem 12, it suffices to determine the square-free coefficients of  $b$ . Choose a consistent orientation of all the facets of  $\Delta$  and use this to induce an ordering of the elements of each facet. Let  $G$  be a facet of  $\Delta$  and  $F_1, F_2$  the two facets containing  $G$ . Examining the conditions  $b_{F_1} = b_{F_2}$  and  $b_{F_2} = b_{F_1}$  in terms of their altitudes  $w_1, w_2$  with respect to  $\text{span } G$ , one can readily see that  $[F_1]b_{F_1} = [F_2]b_{F_2}$ . So we may without loss of generality assume that  $b = [F] \cdot 1$  for every facet  $F$ . The coefficients of the non-square-free monomials can then be determined.

In Section 10 we will see the geometrical significance of the canonical linear  $d$ -stress in the case that  $\Delta$  is the boundary complex of a simplicial  $d$ -polytope.

For a subset  $S = \{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ , define the function  $\tau_S$  on the space of linear stresses by

$$\tau_S(b) = \frac{\partial^r b}{\partial x_{i_1} \cdots \partial x_{i_r}},$$

In particular, write

$$\tau_i(b) = \frac{\partial b}{\partial x_i}.$$



Suppose that  $\Delta$  is a simplicial  $(d-1)$ -sphere on  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $G \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$  be a face of  $\Delta$  of cardinality  $s$ . Then  $\text{clstar } G$ , the closed star of  $G$  in  $\Delta$ , is a simplicial  $(d-1)$ -ball (hence Cohen-Macaulay), that is the join of a simplicial  $(d-s-1)$ -sphere to  $G$ . In such a case it is known that  $h_{d-s}(\text{clstar } G) = 1$ . The next theorem shows how to obtain a canonical linear  $(d-s)$ -stress for  $\text{clstar } G$  from the canonical linear  $d$ -stress of  $\Delta$ .

**Theorem 16** Let  $\Delta$  be a simplicial  $(d-1)$ -complex with  $n$  vertices, and let  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  be chosen arbitrarily. Suppose that  $b(x)$  is a linear  $d$ -stress and that  $G$  is a face of  $\Delta$  of cardinality  $s$ . Then  $\tau_G(b)$  is a linear  $(d-s)$ -stress supported on  $\text{clstar } G$ . In particular, if  $\Delta$  is a simplicial  $(d-1)$ -sphere,  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$  are chosen generically, and  $b(x)$  is the nonzero canonical linear  $d$ -stress, then  $\tau_G(b)$  is a nonzero linear  $(d-s)$ -stress supported on  $\text{clstar } G$ .

**PROOF.** That  $\tau_G(b)$  is a linear  $(d-s)$ -stress follows from the fact that  $\tau_1 = 0$  and  $\tau_G = \tau_1, \dots, \tau_s$ , where  $G = \{i_1, \dots, i_s\}$ . If the coefficient of  $x^r$  is nonzero in  $\tau_G(b)$ , then the coefficient of  $x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_s}, x^r$  must be nonzero in  $b(x)$ , and hence  $\text{supp } x^r \in \text{clstar } G$ . Now suppose that  $\Delta$  is a simplicial sphere, the  $v_i$  are chosen generically, and  $b(x)$  is the canonical linear  $d$ -stress. Let  $F$  be a facet of  $\Delta$  that contains  $G$ . Then the coefficient of  $x^r$  in  $\tau_G(b)$  equals the coefficient of  $x^r$  in  $b(x)$  which is nonzero. So  $\tau_G(b)$  is not the zero polynomial.  $\square$

Let us consider the case that  $\Delta$  is a shellable simplicial  $(d-1)$ -sphere  $\Delta$ . Then  $F_1, \dots, F_m$  constitutes a shelling order of the facets of  $\Delta$ , known that as each  $F_i$  is added, precisely one component, say  $h_i$  of the vector increases by one, the remaining components remaining unchanged. For generic  $v_1, \dots, v_n$ , this implies that the dimension of  $S_i^*$  increases by one, while the dimensions of the other linear stress spaces remain unchanged. When  $F_i$  is added, the closed star of precisely one face  $G_i$  of cardinality  $d-s$  is completed. The linear  $s$ -stress  $\tau_{G_i}(b)$  now becomes a member of  $S_i^*$ , where  $b(x)$  is the canonical linear  $d$ -stress. Thus if  $\Delta$  is shellable, we can use the shelling to derive a basis for  $S_i^*$  (in particular a basis for  $S_i^*$ ).

**Theorem 17** If  $\Delta$  is a shellable simplicial  $(d-1)$ -sphere with  $n$  vertices,  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$  are chosen generically, and  $F_1, \dots, F_m, G_1, \dots, G_m$  are as above, then  $\{\tau_{G_i}(b) : G_i \text{ is a face of } \Delta \text{ of cardinality } d-s\}$  spans  $S_i^*$ .

By working directly with the face ring, Kind and Kleinschmidt [9] found an inductive proof that arbitrary shellable simplicial complexes are Cohen-Macaulay. From the above ideas one can construct another inductive proof of this result using linear stress.

## 9. Bistellar Operations and P.L.-Spheres

Suppose that  $\Delta$  is a simplicial  $(d-1)$ -complex whose vertices are contained in  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . Assume that  $F$  and  $G$  are disjoint subsets of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  of cardinality  $k$  and  $\ell$ , respectively,  $(1 \leq k, \ell \leq d)$  such that  $k + \ell = d + 1$ ,  $F \in \Delta$ ,  $G \notin \Delta$ , and  $lk \neq \partial G = \{G' : G' \text{ is a proper subset of } G\}$ , the boundary of  $G$ . Then we say that

the simplicial complex  $\Delta' = (\Delta \setminus F) \cup (G \cdot \partial F)$  is the result of a bistellar operation on  $\Delta$ . That is, we remove from  $\Delta$  all faces containing  $F$ , and then introduce all sets of the form  $G \cup F'$  where  $F' \in \partial F$ . Notice that the faces of  $\Delta'$  which are not in  $\Delta$  are precisely those faces of  $\Delta'$  which contain  $G$ , and the faces of  $\Delta'$  which are not in  $\Delta$  are precisely the faces of  $\Delta$  which contain  $F$ .

**Theorem 18** If  $\Delta$  and  $\Delta'$  are as above and  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$  are generic, then

$$\dim S_i^*(\Delta') = \begin{cases} \dim S_i^*(\Delta) + 1 & \text{if } k > \ell \text{ and } \ell \leq s \leq d - \ell, \\ \dim S_i^*(\Delta) - 1 & \text{if } k < \ell \text{ and } k \leq s \leq d - k, \\ \dim S_i^*(\Delta) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

**PROOF.** Let  $\Delta'' = \Delta \cup (G \cdot \partial F)$ . Note that  $\Delta''$  also equals  $\Delta' \cup (F \cdot \partial G)$ . It suffices to show that

$$\dim S_i^*(\Delta'') = \begin{cases} \dim S_i^*(\Delta) & \text{if } s = 0, \dots, \ell - 1, \\ \dim S_i^*(\Delta) + 1 & \text{if } s = \ell, \dots, d. \end{cases}$$

$$\dim S_i^*(\Delta'') = \begin{cases} \dim S_i^*(\Delta) & \text{if } s = 0, \dots, k - 1, \\ \dim S_i^*(\Delta) + 1 & \text{if } s = k, \dots, d. \end{cases}$$

Since  $\Delta$  and  $\Delta''$  share the same faces of cardinality  $s$  when  $s = 0, \dots, \ell - 1$ , it follows that  $S_i^*(\Delta) = S_i^*(\Delta'')$  for these values of  $s$ . So assume that  $\ell \leq s \leq d$ . Define  $\Delta' = F \cup G$ , a subset of cardinality  $d+1$ , all of whose proper faces are in  $\Delta''$ . Let  $c(x) = F \cup G$ , a subset of cardinality  $d+1$ , all of whose proper faces are in  $\Delta''$ . Let  $c(x)$  be the nonzero canonical linear  $s$ -stress obtained from the essentially unique linear stress on the set  $\{v_i : i \in S\}$ , as in Example 1. Since all faces of  $\Delta$  are also in  $\Delta''$ , we see that every linear  $s$ -stress on  $\Delta$  is also a linear  $s$ -stress on  $\Delta''$ . Now let  $b(x)$  be any linear  $s$ -stress on  $\Delta''$  that is not a linear  $s$ -stress on  $\Delta$ . Thus  $b_i$  is nonzero for some  $i$  such that  $\text{supp } x^i \in \text{opentstar } G$ , where by  $\text{opentstar } G$  we mean the set of all faces of  $\Delta''$  that contain  $G$ . We will show that there is a nonzero  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $b_i = tc^i$  whenever  $\text{supp } x^i \in \text{opentstar } G$ , and hence that  $b(x) = tc(x)$  is a linear stress on  $\Delta$ . This is clearly true if  $s = \ell$  since  $G$  is the only face of cardinality  $\ell$  in  $\text{opentstar } G$ , so assume that  $\ell + 1 \leq s \leq d$ . Choose any  $r$  such that  $b_r$  is nonzero and  $\text{supp } x^r \in \text{opentstar } G$ . Since  $s > \ell$ , there exists  $j$  such that  $\text{supp } x^{r-e_j} \in \text{opentstar } G$ . Condition 5 implies that  $\sum_{i=1}^n b_{r-e_j+e_i} v_i = 0$ . But this sum involves only the  $d+1$  vertices  $v_i$  such that  $i \in S$ , so the coefficients must be multiples of the coefficients  $c_i(x)$ . Repeating this procedure and using induction on the cardinality of  $\text{supp } b_r$  establishes the desired result. Therefore  $\dim S_i^*(\Delta'') = \dim S_i^*(\Delta) + 1$  for  $s = \ell, \dots, d$ . The proof relating  $\dim S_i^*(\Delta')$  and  $\dim S_i^*(\Delta')$  is analogous.  $\square$

We remark that the changes in the dimensions of the linear stress spaces under a bistellar operation remain valid whether or not  $\Delta$  is Cohen-Macaulay. But the case in which  $\Delta$  is Cohen-Macaulay, and in particular a p.l.-sphere, is of special interest. Each [12] showed that every (simplicial) p.l.-sphere of dimension  $d-1$  can be obtained from the boundary of a  $d$ -simplex by a sequence of bistellar operations. This fact, together with the above result, can be used to obtain a new proof that p.l.-spheres are Cohen-Macaulay.

**Corollary 19** If  $\Delta$  is a simplicial piecewise linear  $(d-1)$ -sphere, then  $\Delta$  is Cohen-Macaulay.

**PROOF.** Choose  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$  generically. The boundary of a simplex is Cohen-Macaulay by part (1) of Corollary 11 and Example 1, since the dimensions of the linear stress spaces agree with the components of the  $h$ -vector. It is easy to see that for any simplicial complex, the components  $h_i$  of the  $h$ -vector increase and decrease in exactly the same manner as the dimensions  $\dim S_i^*$  change in (7). So if  $\Delta$  is obtained from the boundary of a simplex by a sequence of bistellar operations, it follows that  $h_i(\Delta) = \dim S_i^*(\Delta)$  for all  $i$ . The result now follows from Corollary 1 and Pachner's theorem.  $\square$

### 10. Simplicial Convex Polytopes

In this section, we will assume that  $\Delta$  is the boundary complex of some simplicial  $d$ -polytope  $P$  containing the origin in its interior. In discussing the stress spaces  $\Delta$ , take  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$  to be the actual vertices of  $P$ . Kind and Kleinschmidt's shelling proof shows that this suffices to ensure that  $\dim S_i^* = h_i$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, d$ .

For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , consider the polytope  $Q(x) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : y^T v_i \leq x_i, i = 1, \dots, n\}$ . Of course,  $Q(x)$  is the polar  $P^*$  of  $P$ . Since  $P^*$  is simple, for values of  $x_i$  near 1 the combinatorial structure of  $Q(x)$  agrees with that of  $P^*$ . It is known that the volume of  $Q(x)$  as a function of the  $x_i$  is a homogeneous polynomial  $V(x) = \sum_{i=0}^d \frac{b_i}{i!} x^i$  of degree  $d$  and  $b_i = 0$  whenever  $\sup \{x_i : x \in P^*\} < 1$ .

**Theorem 20.** Let  $P$  be as above. Then the canonical  $d$ -stress  $b(x)$  described in Theorem 14 is precisely  $V(x)$ .

**PROOF.** For every  $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $Q(x_1, \dots, x_n) + u = Q(x_1 + u^T v_1, \dots, x_n + u^T v_n)$ . Fix  $r$  such that  $|r| = d-1$ . Then  $V(x_1, \dots, x_n) - V(x_1 + u^T v_1, \dots, x_n + u^T v_n) = 0$ . Fix  $r$  such that  $|r| = d-1$ . Then  $O = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} [V(x_1, \dots, x_n) - V(x_1 + u^T v_1, \dots, x_n + u^T v_n)]$ . 
$$= \sum_{i=1}^n b_{r+i, i} x_i - \sum_{i=1}^n b_{r+i, i} (x_i + u^T v_i)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n b_{r+i, i} u^T v_i$$

$$= u^T \left( \sum_{i=1}^n b_{r+i, i} v_i \right).$$

But this is true for every  $u$ , so that  $\sum_{i=1}^n b_{r+i, i} v_i = 0$  and  $V(x)$  is a linear  $d$ -stress. That  $V(x)$  is the same as the canonical linear  $d$ -stress follows from the fact that  $b_F = \frac{1}{|F|}$  for every facet  $F$  of  $P$ .  $\square$

Note that the above proof is analogous to the proof of Minkowski's Theorem [6] that  $\sum_{i=1}^n \text{vol}_{d-1}(F_i) \|v_i\| = 0$  where  $\text{vol}_{d-1}(F_i)$  is the  $(d-1)$ -volume of the facet  $F_i$  of  $P^*$  corresponding to  $v_i$ . In fact, the relationship is much closer, as we shall soon see.

McMullen's conditions would be a consequence of the bijectivity of  $\omega^{d-2i} : S_{d-1}^{d-1} \rightarrow S_i^*$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, [d/2]$ , or more weakly, of the surjectivity of  $\omega : S_1^* \rightarrow S_{d-1}^{d-1}$ .

What is the geometrical interpretation of the canonical linear  $i$ -stresses  $S_i^*$ ? Let  $W(x) = V(x_1 + 1, \dots, x_n + 1)$ . Then for small  $x_i$ ,  $W(x)$  is the volume of a polytope near  $P^*$ . Write  $W(x) = \sum_{i=0}^d \frac{W_i(x)}{i!} x^i$ , where each  $W_i(x)$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $i$ . Of course,  $W_0(x)$  is the volume of  $P^*$ ,  $W_d(x) = V(x)$ , and it is easy to see that  $W_1(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\text{vol}_{d-1}(F_i)}{|F_i|} x_i$ .

**Theorem 21.** Let  $P$  be as above. Then for  $i = 0, \dots, d$ ,  $\omega^{d-1}(V(x)) = (d-i)! W_i(x)$ .

**PROOF.** We calculate the contribution of  $b_F$  to the coefficient of  $x^i$  in  $V(x)$ , where  $x^i = x^i$ . Expanding

$$b_F \frac{(x_1 + 1)^{r_1} \dots (x_n + 1)^{r_n}}{r_1! \dots r_n!} = \frac{b_F}{r_1! \dots r_n!} \sum_{i=0}^d \frac{x^i}{i!} \dots$$

we see that the contribution is  $\frac{b_F}{r_1! \dots r_n!} \frac{(r_1 - s_1)! \dots (r_n - s_n)!}{(d-i)!} x^i$ . On the other hand, the contribution of

$$b_F \frac{x^i}{i!} = \frac{b_F}{i!} \frac{x^i}{r_1! \dots r_n!} \dots$$

to the coefficient of  $x^i$  in  $\omega^{d-1}(V(x))$ , where  $i = d - |s|$ , is  $\frac{b_F}{i!} \frac{(d-i)!}{(d-i)!} x^i$ . 
$$b_F \frac{(r_1 - s_1)! \dots (r_n - s_n)!}{r_1! \dots r_n!} = \frac{b_F (r_1 - s_1)! \dots (r_n - s_n)!}{(d-i)!} x^i$$

**Corollary 22.** Let  $P$  be as above. The canonical linear  $d$ -stress  $\omega^d(V(x))$  equals  $d! \text{vol}(P^*)$ .

The canonical linear  $i$ -stress  $\omega^i(V(x))$  equals  $(d-i)! \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\text{vol}_{d-1}(F_i)}{|F_i|} x_i$ . That is, the canonical linear combination of the  $v_i$  induced by  $\omega$  is (up to scalar multiple) the same as that induced by Minkowski's Theorem.

We remark that the coefficient of the square-free term of  $W_i$  corresponding to the  $(i-1)$ -face  $F$  of  $P$  equals

$$\frac{\text{vol}_{d-1}(F^*)}{\text{vol}_{d-1}(\text{conv}(\{O\} \cup \{v_i : v_i \in F^*\}))}, \quad (8)$$

where  $F^*$  is the face of  $P^*$  corresponding to  $F$ . See also Fillman [5].

**Theorem 23.** Let  $P$  be as above. Then  $\omega^d : S_1^* \rightarrow S_0^*$  is a bijection. Further, if  $d \geq 3$ , then  $\omega^{d-2} : S_1^* \rightarrow S_1^*$  is a bijection.



in some calculations begun during the author's stay at the Mathematical Institute in Bochum, 1984-85, which was supported by a fellowship from the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation. Jonathan Fine's suggestion in Oberwolfach, 1989 that the volumes of the faces of the dual simple polytope should play an important role led to the material in Sections 10 and 11, mostly discovered during the author's stay at DIMACS, Rutgers University in 1989-90. The definition of finitesimal motions (Section 7) resulted from conversations with White during a visit to the Mittag-Leffler Institute in early 1992, and has subsequently been evolving in dialogue with Sue Foege, Robert Hebble, Stewart Tung, Neil White, and Whiteley.

The author is grateful for stimulating conversations with a number of individuals including Louis Billera, Bob Connelly, Paul Fillman, Jonathan Fine, Sue Foege, Robert Hebble, A. Khovanov, Peter Kleinschmidt, Peter McMullen, Rolf Schneider, Stewart Tung, Neil White, and Walter Whiteley.

# References

1. Billera, E. J. and Lee, C. W. (1981), 'A proof of the sufficiency of McMullen's conditions for  $f$ -vectors of simplicial-convex polytopes', *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **31**, 237-255.
2. Dehn, M. (1916), 'Über die Starrheit konvexer Polyeder', *Math. Ann.* **77**, 473-479.
3. Fillman, P. (1991), 'Face numbers of polytopes', manuscript.
4. Fillman, P. (1992), 'Rigidity and the Alexandrov-Fenchel inequality', *Math. 113*, 1-22.
5. Fillman, P. (1992), 'The volume of duals and sections of polytopes', *Math. 113*, 67-80.
6. Grünbaum, B. (1967), *Convex Polytopes*, Interscience Publishers, New York.
7. Kalai, G. (1984), 'Characterization of  $f$ -vectors of families of convex sets in Part I: Necessity of Eckhoff's conditions', *Israel J. Math.* **48**, 175-195.
8. Kalai, G. (1987), 'Rigidity and the lower bound theorem. I', *Invent. Math.* **125**, 125-151.
9. Kind, B. and Kleinschmidt, P. (1979), 'Schäbbare: Cohen-Macaulay-Komplexe und ihre Parametrisierung', *Math. Z.* **167**, 173-179.
10. Lee, C. W. (1990), 'Some recent results on convex polytopes', *Contemp. Math.* **114**, 3-19.
11. McMullen, P. (1993), 'On simple polytopes', *Invent. Math.* **113**, 419-444.
12. Pachner, U. (1990), 'Shellings of simplicial balls and p.l. manifolds with boundary', *Discrete Math.* **81**, 37-47.
13. Reisner, G. (1976), 'Cohen-Macaulay quotients of polynomial rings', *Adv. Math.* **21**, 30-49.
14. Roth, B. (1981), 'Rigid and flexible frameworks', *Amer. Math. Monthly* **88**, 6-21.
15. Stanley, R. P. (1975), 'The upper bound conjecture and Cohen-Macaulay ring

16. Stanley, R. P. (1978), 'Hilbert functions of graded algebras', *Adv. in Math.* **28**, 57-83.
17. Stanley, R. P. (1980), 'The number of faces of a simplicial convex polytope', *Adv. in Math.* **35**, 236-238.
18. Tay, T.-S., White, N., and Whiteley, W. (1992), 'Skeletal rigidity of cell complexes', to appear.
19. Whiteley, W. (1984), 'Infinitesimally rigid polyhedra. I. Statics of frameworks', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **285**, 431-465.