Graphs and Combinatorics

© Springer-Verlag 2004

# A Note on Convex Decompositions of a Set of Points in the Plane\*

Víctor Neumann-Lara<sup>1</sup>, Eduardo Rivera-Campo<sup>2</sup>, and Jorge Urrutia<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Instituto de Matemáticas, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Ciudad Universitaria, México, D.F. 04510

<sup>2</sup> Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana-Iztapalapa, Av. San Rafael Atlixco 186, México D.F. 09340

Abstract. For any set *P* of *n* points in general position in the plane there is a convex decomposition of *P* with at most  $\frac{10n-18}{7}$  elements. Moreover, any minimal convex decomposition of such a set *P* has at most  $\frac{3n-2k}{2}$  elements, where *k* is the number of points in the boundary of the convex hull of *P*.

Key words. Minimal convex decomposition

## 1. Introduction

Let *P* be a set of points in general position in the plane. A set  $\Pi$  of convex polygons with vertices in *P* and with pairwise disjoint interiors is a *convex decomposition* of *P* if their union is the convex hull CH(P) of *P* and no point of *P* lies in the interior of any polygon in  $\Pi$ . A convex decomposition  $\Pi$  of *P* is *minimal* if the union of any two polygons in  $\Pi$  is not a convex polygon.

J. Urrutia [3] conjectured that for any set P of  $n \ge 3$  points in general position in the plane, there is a convex decomposition of P with at most n + 1 elements. Later, O. Aichholzer and H. Krasser [1] gave a set  $P_n$  with n points, for each  $n \ge 13$ , such that any convex decomposition of  $P_n$  has at least n + 2 elements.

In this article we prove that for any set *P* of  $n \ge 3$  points in general position in the plane, there is a convex decomposition of *P* with at most  $\frac{10n-18}{7}$  elements. Moreover, we prove that if  $\Pi$  is a minimal convex decomposition of *P*, then  $\Pi$  has at most  $\frac{3n-2k}{2}$  elements, where *k* is the number of points in the boundary of *CH*(*P*).

### 2. Convex Decompositions

Let  $\Pi$  be a convex decomposition of a set *P* of points in general position in the plane. An edge *e* of  $\Pi$  is *essential* in  $\Pi$  if either *e* is contained in the boundary of

<sup>\*</sup> Partially supported by Conacyt, México

CH(P) or  $\alpha \cup \beta$  is not convex, where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are the two polygons in  $\Pi$  that share the edge *e*. If *e* is not essential in  $\Pi$ , then  $(\Pi \setminus \{\alpha, \beta\}) \cup \{\alpha \cup \beta\}$  is a convex decomposition of *P* which we denote by  $\Pi - e$ .

Let u, v and w be points in P. We say that the triangle  $\triangle uvw$  is empty (with respect to P) if there are no vertices of P in the interior of  $\triangle uvw$ .

**Theorem 1.** For each set P of  $n \ge 3$  points in general position in the plane, there is a convex decomposition  $\Pi$  of P with at most  $\frac{10n-18}{7}$  elements.

*Proof.* If n = 3, then the boundary of CH(P) is a convex decomposition of P with 1 element. We proceed by induction assuming  $n \ge 4$  and that the result holds for every proper subset of P with at least 3 points.

If possible, let x and y be two non consecutive points in the boundary of CH(P) and let L and R be the closed halfplanes defined by the line joining x and y. Let  $P_1 = P \cap L$  and  $P_2 = P \cap R$ . By induction, there is a convex decomposition  $\Pi_1$  of  $P_1$  with at most  $\frac{10n_1-18}{7}$  elements and a convex decomposition  $\Pi_2$  of  $P_2$  with at most  $\frac{10n_2-18}{7}$  elements where  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  are the number of points in  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  respectively.

Clearly  $\Pi_1 \cup \Pi_2$  is a convex decomposition of *P*. Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be the unique polygons in  $\Pi_1$  and  $\Pi_2$ , respectively, that contain the edge e = xy. Since  $\alpha \cup \beta$  is a convex polygon, *e* is not essential in  $\Pi_1 \cup \Pi_2$  and therefore  $\Pi = (\Pi_1 \cup \Pi_2) - e$  is a convex decomposition of *P* with at most  $\frac{10n_1-18}{7} + \frac{10n_2-18}{7} - 1$  elements. Since  $n_1 + n_2 = n + 2$ ,  $\Pi$  has at most  $\frac{10n_2-3}{7}$  elements.

We may now assume that the boundary of CH(P) has exactly 3 points which we denote by a, b and c.

*Case 1*. There is an internal point x of P such that none of  $\triangle axb$ ,  $\triangle axc$  and  $\triangle bxc$  is an empty triangle.

Let  $P_1 = P \cap \triangle axb$ ,  $P_2 = P \cap \triangle axc$  and  $P_3 = P \cap \triangle bxc$ . By induction, for i = 1, 2, 3, there is a convex decomposition  $\Pi_i$  of  $P_i$  with at most  $\frac{10n_i - 18}{7}$  elements, where  $n_i$  is the number of points in  $P_i$ . Clearly  $\Pi_1 \cup \Pi_2 \cup \Pi_3$  is a convex decomposition of P.

Since  $\triangle axb$  is not empty, there is a point *u* in the interior of  $\triangle axb$  which is adjacent to *x* in  $\Pi_1$ . This implies that at least one of the edges *ax* or *bx* is not essential in  $\Pi_1 \cup \Pi_2 \cup \Pi_3$  (see Fig. 1).



**Fig. 1.** Edge *ax* is not essential in  $\Pi_1 \cup \Pi_2 \cup \Pi_3$ 

Analogously, at least one of the edges cx or bx and at least one of the edges ax or cx are not essential in  $\Pi_1 \cup \Pi_2 \cup \Pi_3$ . We claim that there are two edges  $e_1, e_2 \in \{ax, bx, cx\}$  such that  $\Pi = (\Pi_1 \cup \Pi_2 \cup \Pi_3) - \{e_1, e_2\}$  is a convex decomposition of P.

Since  $n = n_1 + n_2 + n_3 - 5$ , the number of elements in  $\Pi$  is

$$\begin{aligned} |\Pi| &= |\Pi_1 \cup \Pi_2 \cup \Pi_3| - 2 \\ &= |\Pi_1| + |\Pi_2| + |\Pi_3| - 2 \\ &\leq \frac{10n_1 - 18}{7} + \frac{10n_2 - 18}{7} + \frac{10n_3 - 18}{7} - 2 \\ &= \frac{10(n_1 + n_2 + n_3) - 68}{7} \\ &= \frac{10(n + 5) - 68}{7} \\ &= \frac{10n - 18}{7} \end{aligned}$$

*Case 2.* There is an internal point x of P such that two of  $\triangle axb$ ,  $\triangle axc$  and  $\triangle bxc$  are empty triangles.

Without loss of generality we assume that  $\triangle axb$ ,  $\triangle axc$  contain no points of *P* in their interiors.

Subcase 2.1.  $\triangle bxc$  is not an empty triangle.

By induction there is a convex decomposition  $\Pi_1$  of  $P \setminus \{a\}$  with at most  $\frac{10(n-1)-18}{7}$  elements. Clearly  $\Pi_1 \cup \{\Delta axb, \Delta axc\}$  is a convex decomposition of *P*. Since  $\Delta bxc$  is not empty, there is a point in the interior of  $\Delta bxc$  which is adjacent to *x* in  $\Pi_1$ . This implies that there is an edge  $e \in \{xb, xc\}$  which is not essential in  $\Pi_1 \cup \{\Delta axb, \Delta axc\}$  and therefore  $\Pi = (\Pi_1 \cup \{\Delta axb, \Delta axc\}) - e$  is a convex decomposition of *P*.

In this case the number of elements of  $\Pi$  is

$$\begin{aligned} |\Pi| &= |\Pi_1 \cup \{\Delta axb, \Delta axc\}| - 1\\ &= |\Pi_1| + |\{\Delta axb, \Delta axc\}| - 1\\ &\leq \frac{10(n-1) - 18}{7} + 2 - 1\\ &= \frac{10n - 21}{7} \end{aligned}$$

Subcase 2.2.  $\triangle bxc$  is an empty triangle.

In this case n = 4 and  $\Pi = \{\Delta axb, \Delta axc, \Delta bxc\}$  is a convex decomposition of *P* with 3 elements.

*Case 3.* For each interior point *u* of *P*, exactly one of  $\Delta aub$ ,  $\Delta auc$  and  $\Delta buc$  is an empty triangle.

Let z be an interior point of P. Without loss of generality we assume that  $\Delta azb$  is an empty triangle.

Subcase 3.1. There is an interior point x of P such that either  $\Box axzb$  or  $\Box azxb$  is a convex quadrilateral that contains no points of P in its interior. Without loss of generality we assume the former.

Let  $P_1 = P \cap \Delta axc$ ,  $P_2 = P \cap \Delta xcz$  and  $P_3 = \Delta bzc$ . By induction, for i = 1, 2, 3, there is a convex decomposition  $\Pi_i$  of  $P_i$  with at most  $\frac{10n_i - 18}{7}$  elements, where  $n_i$  is the number of points in  $P_i$ . Clearly  $\Pi_1 \cup \Pi_2 \cup \Pi_3 \cup \{\Box axyb\}$  is a convex decomposition of P.

Since  $\Delta axb$  is empty,  $\Delta axc$  cannot be empty. Therefore there is a point in the interior of  $\Delta axc$  which is adjacent to x in  $\Pi_1$ . This implies that there is an edge  $e_1 \in \{xa, xc\}$  which is not essential in  $\Pi_1 \cup \Pi_2 \cup \Pi_3 \cup \{\Box axzb\}$ . Analogously there is an edge  $e_2 \in \{zc, zb\}$  which is not essential in  $\Pi_1 \cup \Pi_2 \cup \Pi_3 \cup \{\Box axzb\}$ . We claim that  $\Pi = (\Pi_1 \cup \Pi_2 \cup \Pi_3 \cup \{\Box axzb\}) - \{e_1, e_2\}$  is a convex decomposition of *P*.

Since  $n = n_1 + n_2 + n_3 - 4$ , the number of elements in  $\Pi$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi &|= |\Pi_1 \cup \Pi_2 \cup \Pi_3 \cup \{ \Box axzb \} | -2 \\ &= |\Pi_1| + |\Pi_2| + |\Pi_3| + |\{ \Box axzb \} | -2 \\ &\leq \frac{10n_1 - 18}{7} + \frac{10n_2 - 18}{7} + \frac{10n_3 - 18}{7} + 1 -2 \\ &= \frac{10(n_1 + n_2 + n_3) - 61}{7} \\ &= \frac{10(n + 4) - 61}{7} \\ &= \frac{10n - 21}{7} \end{aligned}$$

Subcase 3.2. For each other interior point u of P, z is an interior point of  $\Delta aub$ .

Since  $\Delta azb$  is an empty triangle,  $\Delta azc$  must contain at least one point of *P* in its interior. Let *x* be a point of *P* in the interior of  $\Delta azc$  such that  $\Delta xza$  is an empty triangle. Analogously, there is a point *y* of *P* in the interior of  $\Delta czb$  such that  $\Delta yzb$  is an empty triangle.

Subcase 3.2.1.  $\Delta cxb$  is an empty triangle.

Let  $P_1 = P \cap \Delta axc$  and  $P_2 = P \cap \Delta xzb$ . By induction, there is a convex decomposition  $\Pi_1$  of  $P_1$  with at most  $\frac{10n_1-18}{7}$  elements and a convex decomposition  $\Pi_2$  of  $P_2$  with at most  $\frac{10n_2-18}{7}$  elements where  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  are the number of points in  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ , respectively. Clearly  $\Pi_1 \cup \Pi_2 \cup \{\Delta azb, \Delta axz, \Delta cxb\}$  is a convex decomposition of P.

Since  $\Delta cxb$  is an empty triangle,  $\Delta axc$  cannot be empty, therefore there is a point in the interior of  $\Delta axc$  which is adjacent to x in  $\Pi_1$ . This implies that there is an edge  $e_1 \in \{xa, xc\}$  which is not essential in  $\Pi_1 \cup \Pi_2 \cup \{\Delta axb, \Delta axz, \Delta cxb\}$ .

Since  $\Delta czb$  is not empty and  $\Delta cxb$  is an empty triangle,  $\Delta xzb$  is not empty. Therefore there is a point in the interior of  $\Delta xzb$  which is adjacent to z in  $\Pi_2$ . This implies that there is an edge  $e_2 \in \{zx, zb\}$  which is not essential in  $\Pi_1 \cup \Pi_2 \cup \{\Delta azb, \Delta axz, \Delta cxb\}$ .

We claim that  $\Pi = (\Pi_1 \cup \Pi_2 \cup \{\Delta azb, \Delta axz, \Delta cxb\}) - \{e_1, e_2\}$  is a convex decomposition of *P*.

Since  $n = n_1 + n_2 - 1$ , the number of elements in  $\Pi$  is

$$\begin{aligned} |\Pi| &= |\Pi_1 \cup \Pi_2 \cup \{\Delta azb, \Delta ayz, \Delta cyb\}| - 2 \\ &= |\Pi_1| + |\Pi_2| + |\{\Delta azb, \Delta ayz, \Delta cyb\}| - 2 \\ &\leq \frac{10n_1 - 18}{7} + \frac{10n_2 - 18}{7} + 3 - 2 \\ &= \frac{10(n_1 + n_2) - 29}{7} \\ &= \frac{10(n + 1) - 29}{7} \\ &= \frac{10n - 19}{7} \end{aligned}$$

Subcase 3.2.2.  $\Delta cya$  is an empty triangle.

Interchange y and x and a and b in Case 3.2.1.

Subcase 3.2.3. Both  $\Delta cxb$  and  $\Delta cya$  contain at least one point of P in their interiors.

Since z lies in the interior of  $\Delta axb$ , both triangles  $\Delta cxb$  and  $\Delta axb$  are not empty and therefore  $\Delta axc$  is empty. Analogously  $\Delta byc$  is also empty.

Subcase 3.2.3.1. The quadrilateral  $\Box cyzx$  contains at least one point *u* of *P* in its interior.

Without loss of generality we assume that u lies in the interior of  $\Delta cxz$ . Let  $P_1 = P \cap \Delta cxz$  and  $P_2 = P \cap \Delta czb$ . By induction there is a convex decomposition  $\Pi_1$  of  $P_1$  with at most  $\frac{10n_1-18}{7}$  elements and a convex decomposition  $\Pi_2$  of  $P_2$  with at most  $\frac{10n_2-18}{7}$  elements, where  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  are the number of points in  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ , respectively. Clearly  $\Pi_1 \cup \Pi_2 \cup \{\Delta axc, \Delta axz, \Delta azb\}$  is a convex decomposition of P.

Since  $\Delta cxz$  is not empty then there is a point in the interior of  $\Delta cxz$  which is adjacent to x in  $\Pi_1$ . This implies that there is an edge  $e_1 \in \{xc, xz\}$  which is not essential in  $\Pi_1 \cup \Pi_2 \cup \{\Delta axc, \Delta axz, \Delta azb\}$ . Analogously, there is an edge  $e_2 \in \{zc, zb\}$  which is no essential in  $\Pi_1 \cup \Pi_2 \cup \{\Delta axc, \Delta axz, \Delta azb\}$ . We claim that  $\Pi = (\Pi_1 \cup \Pi_2 \cup \{\Delta ayc, \Delta ayz, \Delta azb\}) - \{e_1, e_2\}$  is a convex decomposition of P.

Since  $n = n_1 + n_2 - 1$  as in Subcase 3.2.1, the number of elements in  $\Pi$  is at most  $\frac{10n-19}{7}$ .

Subcase 3.2.3.2. The quadrilateral  $\Box cyzx$  contains no points of P in its interior.

In this case  $P = \{a, b, c, z, y, x\}$  and  $\{\Delta ayc, \Delta ayz, \Delta azb, \Delta bzx, \Delta bxc, \Box cyzx\}$  is a convex decomposition of P with  $6 = \frac{10(6)-18}{7}$  elements. Since cases 1, 2 and 3 cover all possibilities, the result follows.

#### 3. Minimal Convex Decompositions

Let *P* be a set of *n* points in general position in the plane and *T* be a triangulation of *P*. An edge *e* of *T* is flippable if *e* is contained in the boundary of two triangles *r* and *s* of *T* such that  $r \cup s$  is a convex quadrilateral. F. Hurtado *et al* proved in [2] that *T* has at least  $\frac{n-4}{2}$  flippable edges.

In this section we use similar technics to show that T has a set  $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_t\}$  with at least  $\frac{n-4}{2}$  edges such that the faces of  $T - \{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_t\}$  form a convex decomposition of P.

For every convex decomposition  $\Pi$  of *P* let  $G(\Pi)$  denote the *skeleton graph* of  $\Pi$ . That is the plane geometric graph with vertex set *P* in which the edges are the sides of all polygons in  $\Pi$ .

If  $\Pi$  is a minimal convex decomposition of *P*, then for every internal edge *e* of  $G(\Pi)$ , the graph  $G(\Pi) - e$  has an internal face  $Q_e$  which is not convex and at least one end of *e* is a reflex vertex of  $Q_e$ .

Therefore we can orient the edges of  $G(\Pi)$  as follows: The edges lying in the boundary of CH(P) are oriented clockwise, and every internal edge e is oriented towards a reflex vertex of  $Q_e$ . If both ends of e are reflex vertices of  $Q_e$ , the orientation of e is arbitrary. Let  $\overline{G(\Pi)}$  denote the corresponding oriented geometric graph.

The following lemma is presented here without proof.

**Lemma 1.** If  $\Pi$  is a minimal convex decomposition of P then:

- a) The indegree  $d^{-}(u)$  of every vertex u of  $\overrightarrow{G(\Pi)}$  is at most 3.
- b) If  $\vec{uz}, \vec{vz}$  are arcs of  $G(\Pi)$ , then uz and vz lie in a common face of  $G(\Pi)$ .
- c) If  $\vec{uz}$ ,  $\vec{vz}$  and  $\vec{wz}$  are arcs of  $G(\Pi)$ , then z has degree 3 in  $G(\Pi)$  and lies in the interior of the triangle uvw.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\Pi$  be a minimal convex decomposition of P. If k is the number of vertices in the boundary of  $CH(\underline{P})$ , then  $|V_3| \leq 2|V_0| + 2|V_1| + |V_2| - (k+2)$ , where  $V_i$  denotes the set of vertices of  $G(\Pi)$  with indegree i.

*Proof.* By Lemma 1b, the graph  $G(\Pi)$  can be extended to plane geometric graph  $F_1$  in which all internal faces are triangles such that if  $\vec{uz}$  and  $\vec{vz}$  are arcs of  $\vec{G(\Pi)}$ , then  $F_1$  contains the edge uv. For each vertex  $z \in V_2$ , let T(z) denote the triangular face of  $F_1$  bounded by the edges uz, vz and uv, where  $\vec{uz}$  and  $\vec{vz}$  are the two arcs of  $\vec{G(\Pi)}$  with head in z.

Let  $F_2$  be the plane geometric graph with vertex set  $V_0 \cup V_1 \cup V_2$ , obtained from  $G(\Pi)$  by deleting all vertices in  $V_3$ . Notice that each internal face of  $F_2$  is a triangle and that T(z) is a face of  $F_2$  for each  $z \in V_2$ . By Euler's formula, the number of internal faces of  $F_2$  is  $2(|P_0| + |P_1| + |P_2|) - (k + 2)$ . Since each vertex  $u \in V_3$  must lie in the interior of a face of  $F_2$  which is not a face of  $F_1$ , there are at most as many vertices in  $V_3$  as faces in  $F_2$  which are not faces of  $F_1$ . That is  $|V_3| \leq (2(|V_0| + |V_1| + |V_2|) - (k + 2)) - |V_2| = 2|V_0| + 2|V_1| + |V_2| - (k + 2)$ .

**Theorem 2.** If  $\Pi$  is a minimal convex decomposition of P, then  $\Pi$  has at most  $\frac{3n-2k}{2}$  elements, where k is the number of points in the boundary of CH(P).

*Proof.* Let  $G(\Pi)$  be the skeleton graph of  $\Pi$  and  $\overrightarrow{G(\Pi)}$  be the corresponding oriented graph. By Lemma 2,  $d^{-}(u) \leq 3$  for each  $u \in V(\overrightarrow{G(\Pi)})$  and therefore  $\left|E\left(\overrightarrow{G(\Pi)}\right)\right| = |V_1| + 2|V_2| + 3|V_3|$ , where  $V_i$  is the set of vertices of  $\overrightarrow{G(\Pi)}$  with indegree *i*. It follows that

$$2\left|E\left(\overline{G(\Pi)}\right)\right| = 2|V_1| + 4|V_2| + 6|V_3|$$
  
= 5(|V\_0| + |V\_1| + |V\_2| + |V\_3|) - 5|V\_0| - 3|V\_1| - |V\_2| + |V\_3|

Since  $n = \left| V(\overrightarrow{G(\Pi)}) \right| = |V_0| + |V_1| + |V_2| + |V_3|$  and, by Lemma 2,  $|V_3| \le 2|V_0| + 2|V_1| + |V_2| - (k+2)$ ,

$$2\left|E\left(\overline{G(\Pi)}\right)\right| \leq 5n - 5|V_0| - 3|V_1| - |V_2| + (2|V_0| + 2|V_1| + |V_2| - (k+2))$$
  
=  $5n - 3|V_0| - |V_1| - k - 2$ 

Since all vertices in the boundary of CH(P) have indegree 1 in  $\overrightarrow{G(\Pi)}$ ,  $|V_1| \ge k$  and therefore

$$2\left|E\left(\overline{G(\Pi)}\right)\right| \leq 5n - 3|V_0| - 2k - 2$$
$$\leq 5n - 2k - 2$$

By Euler's formula, the number of internal faces of  $\overrightarrow{G(\Pi)}$  is

$$1 - \left| V\left(\overline{G(\Pi)}\right) \right| + \left| E\left(\overline{G(\Pi)}\right) \right| \le 1 - n + \frac{5n - 2k - 2}{2} = \frac{3n - 2k}{2}$$

<u>The</u> result follows since the elements of  $\Pi$  correspond to the internal faces of  $\overline{G(\Pi)}$ .

Let  $G_1$  be the geometric graph in Fig. 2, and for  $i \ge 1$  let  $G_{i+1}$  be geometric graph obtained from  $G_i$  as in Fig. 3, where  $\overline{G_i}$  is a copy of  $G_i$  with the 3 convex hull edges removed and placed upside down.

For  $i \ge 1$ ,  $G_i$  is the skeleton graph of a minimal convex decomposition  $\Pi_i$  of a set  $P_i$  with  $n_i = 6i - 2$  points and. Since  $\Pi_i$  has  $r_i = 9i - 6 = \frac{3n_i - 6}{2}$  elements, this shows that the bound in Theorem 2 is tight for k = 3. An analogous family of convex decompositions can be constructed for any  $k \ge 3$ .



**Fig. 2.** The geometric graph  $G_1$ 



**Fig. 3.** The geometric graph  $G_{i+1}$ 

**Corollary 1.** If T is a triangulation of a set P of n points in convex position in the plane, then T it contains a set  $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_t\}$  with at least  $\frac{n-4}{2}$  flippable edges such that the faces of  $T - \{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_t\}$  form a convex decomposition of P.

*Proof.* Let  $\Pi$  be a minimal convex decomposition of P such that all edges of  $G(\Pi)$  are edges of T. By the proof of Theorem 2, the graph  $G(\Pi)$  has at most  $\frac{5n-2k-2}{2}$  edges, where k is the number of points in the boundary of CH(P). Since T has 3n - k - 3 edges, there are at least  $3n - k - 3 - \frac{5n-2k-2}{2} = \frac{n-4}{2}$  edges in T which are not edges of  $G(\Pi)$ . Clearly each of these edges is flippable in T.

## 4. Final Remark

It remains as an unsolved problem to decide whether there exists a constant c such that for any set P of n points in general position in the plane, there is a convex decomposition of P with at most n + c elements.

## References

 Aichholzer, O., Krasser, H.: The point set order type data base, A collection of applications and results. Proc. 13th Canadian Conference on Computational Geometry, pp. 17–20, University of Waterloo: Waterloo 2001

- 2. Hurtado, F., Noy, M., Urrutia, J.: Flipping edges in triangulations. Discrete Comput. Geom. 22, 333–346 (1999)
- 3. Urrutia, J.: Open problem session, 10th Canadian Conference on Computational Geometry. Montreal: McGill University 1998

Received: July 4, 2002 Final version received: November 10, 2003