On the Number of Cells Defined by a Family of Polynomials on a Variety

Saugata Basu*,
Richard Pollack†,
Marie-Françoise Roy‡

12-15-94

Abstract

Let R be a real closed field and $\mathcal{V}$ a variety of real dimension k' which is the zero set of a polynomial $Q \in R[X_1, \ldots, X_k]$ of degree at most d. Given a family of s polynomials $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \ldots, P_s\} \subset R[X_1, \ldots, X_k]$ where each polynomial in $\mathcal{P}$ has degree at most d, we prove that the number of cells defined by $\mathcal{P}$ over $\mathcal{V}$ is $\binom{s}{k'}(O(d))^k$. Note that the combinatorial part of the bound depends on the dimension of the variety rather than on the dimension of the ambient space.

1 Introduction:

1.1 Notation

A *sign condition* for a set of s polynomials $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \ldots, P_s\}$ is a vector $\sigma \in \{-1, 0, +1\}^s$ and the sign condition σ is called *strict* if $\sigma \in \{-1, +1\}^s$.

*Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York University, New York, NY 10012, U.S.A. Supported in part by NSF grant CCR-9122103

†Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York University, New York, NY 10012, U.S.A. Supported in part by NSF grant CCR-9122103, and NSA grant MDA904-92-H-3075.

‡IRMAR (URA CNRS 305), Université de Rennes, Campus de Beaulieu 35042 Rennes cedex FRANCE supported in part by the project ESPRIT-BRA 6846POSSO

[0]1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14P10; Secondary 14P05, 14P25

We call the sign condition σ *non-empty over a variety* $\mathcal{V}$ with respect to $\mathcal{P}$ if there is a point $x \in \mathcal{V}$ which *realizes* the sign condition, i.e., $(\text{sign}(P_1(x)), \ldots, \text{sign}(P_s(x))) = \sigma$.

The set, $\sigma_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{V}} = \{x | x \in \mathcal{V}, (\text{sign}(P_1(x)), \ldots, \text{sign}(P_s(x))) = \sigma\}$ is the *realization* space of σ over $\mathcal{V}$ with respect to $\mathcal{P}$ and its non-empty semi-algebraically connected components are the *cells* of the sign condition σ for $\mathcal{P}$ over $\mathcal{V}$. The number of these cells is denoted by $|\sigma_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{V}}|$ and thus

$$C(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{V}) = \sum_{\sigma_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{V}} \neq \emptyset} |\sigma_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{V}}|$$

is the number of cells defined by $\mathcal{P}$ over $\mathcal{V}$.

We write $f(d, k, k', s)$ for the maximum of $C(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{V})$ over all varieties, $\mathcal{V} \subset R^k$ of dimension k', defined by polynomial equations of degree at most d and over all $\mathcal{P}$ consisting of s polynomials in k variables, each of degree at most d.

Remark 1: It is no restriction to consider only varieties defined by a single polynomial. If the variety is the zero set of a finite family of polynomial $\mathcal{Q}$ we can just as well consider the zero set of the single polynomial $Q = \sum_{q \in \mathcal{Q}} q^2$.

1.2 Background

Previous work considered only the case $k = k'$. In particular, the problem of determining the complexity of an arrangement of s hyperplanes in R^k, which is the same as determining $f(1, k, k, s)$, is well known to be $\Theta(\binom{s}{k})$ (see [8] for example). This bound has played an important role in discrete and computational geometry for many years.

For $f(d, k, k, s)$, the best bound had been $(sd)^{O(k)}$, which was based on a result of Heintz [10]. Since the set of cells of sd hyperplanes is the same as the set of cells of s polynomials, each the product of d of the given linear polynomials, a lower bound of $\Omega(\binom{sd}{k})$ follows. This lower bound was recently shown to be an upper bound as well [14].

For the case $f(1, k, k', s)$, the variety is a k'-flat and we can linearly eliminate $k - k'$ variables. This reduces the problem to that of bounding $f(1, k', k', s) = \Theta(\binom{s}{k'})$.

Our result is

Theorem 1 $f(d,k,k',s) = \binom{s}{k'}(O(d))^k$.

The main contribution of this paper is that the bound $\binom{s}{k'}$ on the combinatorial part of $f(d,k,k',s)$ depends only on k' and not at all on k. We have seen that this bound is sharp for the case $d=1$. The bound of $(O(d))^k$ on the algebraic part of $f(d,k,k',s)$ is also sharp in the case $k'=0$ and matches the known upper bounds for arbitrary k' that follow from the well known results of Milnor-Oleǐnik-Petrovsky-Thom [11,12,13,16].

The ideas that make possible the separation of this bound into a combinatorial part and an algebraic part have also played a key role in recent improvements for related algorithmic problems [1,2,3,5,6,7].

Our bound has proved useful in a recent result in geometric transversal theory [9]. There, the relevant variety $\mathcal{V}$ is the Grassmannian $G_{k,d}$ of k subspaces of R^d.

1.3 Outline of the Argument

In our argument, we perturb the polynomials using various *infinitesimals*. We then use basic properties of the field of Puiseux series in these infinitesimals. We write $R\langle\epsilon\rangle$ for the real closed field of Puiseux series in ϵ with coefficients in R [4]. This field is *uniquely* orderable in the following way: the sign of an element in this field agrees with the sign of the coefficient of its lowest degree term in ϵ. This order makes ϵ positive and smaller than any positive element of R. We also iterate this notation in the usual way so that $R\langle\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2\rangle = R\langle\epsilon_1\rangle\langle\epsilon_2\rangle$ and, thus, $1 \gg \epsilon_1 \gg \epsilon_2$ i.e., ϵ_1 is smaller than any positive element of R and ϵ_2 is positive and smaller than any positive element in $R\langle\epsilon_1\rangle$. The valuation ring, V, consists of those Puiseux series that are bounded over R i.e., the Puiseux series with no negative powers of ϵ. The map $\mathrm{eval}_\epsilon : V \to R$ maps an element of V to its constant term.

If R' is a real closed field extending R, and S is a semi-algebraic set defined over R, then we denote by $S_{R'}$ the solution set in R'^k of the same polynomial equalities and inequalities that define S. Both S and $S_{R'}$, the *extension* of S to R', have the same number of semi-algebraically connected components [4].

Throughout the paper, a *cell* of a semi-algebraic S set will be a non-empty semi-algebraic connected component of S (see [4]).

The idea of the proof of our theorem is to first observe (in Proposition 1) that the extension of every cell of a sign condition for $\mathcal{P}$ over $\mathcal{V}$ to $R\langle\epsilon\rangle$ contains a cell of an algebraic set defined by a set of equalities chosen from the extended family of polynomials $\mathcal{P}' = \cup_{P\in\mathcal{P}}\{P-\epsilon, P, P+\epsilon\}$. Thus, the cells defined by $\mathcal{P}$ on $\mathcal{V}$ are all accounted for by counting the number of cells in each algebraic set determined by Q and some subset of $\mathcal{P}'$. Recall that, by the Milnor-Oleĭnik-Petrovsky-Thom bounds [11,12,13,16], any of these algebraic sets has at most $O(d)^k$ cells. We make the observation that if the family $\mathcal{P}'$ is in *general position with respect to* $\mathcal{V}$, i.e., no more than k' polynomials of $\mathcal{P}'$ have a common zero on $\mathcal{V}$, then the number of cells defined by $\mathcal{P}$ on $\mathcal{V}$ is at most $\binom{3s}{k'}O(d)^k$ and our claimed bound would follow.

With this in mind, we perturb the set of polynomials $\mathcal{P}$ with infinitesimals $\delta_1 \gg \ldots \gg \delta_s \gg \delta$ to obtain the family of polynomials $\mathcal{P}^* = \cup_{1\leq i\leq s}\{P_i-\delta_i, P_i+\delta_i, P_i-\delta\delta_i, P_i+\delta\delta_i\}$ and show, in Proposition 2, that $\mathcal{P}^*$ is in general position with respect to $\mathcal{V}$ so that we obtain the claimed bound for the family $\mathcal{P}^*$. We then show (Proposition 4) that the extension of every cell defined by $\mathcal{P}$ over $\mathcal{V}$ to $R\langle\delta_1\ldots\delta_s\rangle$ contains the image under the eval_δ map of a cell of this perturbed family. Since we also know (Proposition 3) that the eval map takes semi-algebraically connected sets to semi-algebraically connected sets, it follows that the number of cells of this perturbed family $\mathcal{P}^*$ bounds the number of cells of the original family $\mathcal{P}$.

We wish to acknowledge many useful conversations with Michel Coste.

2 Propositions and Proofs

Proposition 1 *Let C be a cell of a semi-algebraic set of the form $P_1 = \ldots = P_\ell = 0, P_{\ell+1} > 0, \ldots, P_s > 0$, then we can find an algebraic set V in $R\langle\epsilon\rangle^k$ defined by equations $P_1 = \ldots = P_\ell = P_{i_1} - \epsilon = \ldots P_{i_m} - \epsilon = 0$, such that a cell of V, say C', is contained in $C_{R\langle\epsilon\rangle}$.*

Proof: If C is closed, it is a cell of the algebraic set defined by $P_1 = \ldots = P_\ell = 0$. If not, consider Γ, the set of all semi-algebraic paths γ in R^k going from some point $x(\gamma)$ in C to a $y(\gamma)$ in $\bar{C}\setminus C$ such that $\gamma\setminus\{y(\gamma)\}$ is entirely contained in C. For each $\gamma\in\Gamma$, there is an $i > \ell$ such that P_i vanishes at $y(\gamma)$. Then on $\gamma_{R\langle\epsilon\rangle}$ there is a point $z(\gamma,\epsilon)$ and an $i > \ell$ such that $P_i - \epsilon$

vanishes at $z(\gamma, \epsilon)$ and that on the portion of the path between x and $z(\gamma, \epsilon)$ no such $P_i - \epsilon$ with $i > \ell$ vanishes. Let $I_\gamma = \{i | i > \ell, P_i(z(\gamma, \epsilon)) - \epsilon = 0\}$. Now choose a path $\gamma \in \Gamma$ so that the set $I_\gamma = \{i_1, \ldots, i_m\}$ is maximal under set inclusion and let V be defined by $P_1 = \ldots = P_\ell = P_{i_1} - \epsilon = \ldots = P_{i_m} - \epsilon = 0$.

It is clear that at $z(\gamma, \epsilon)$, defined above, we have $P_{\ell+1} > 0, \ldots, P_s > 0$ and $P_j - \epsilon > 0$ for every $j \notin I_\gamma$ which is $> \ell$. Let C' be the cell of V containing $z(\gamma, \epsilon)$. We shall prove that no polynomial $P_{\ell+1}, \ldots, P_s$ vanishes on this cell , and thus that C' is contained in $C_{R\langle\epsilon\rangle}$. Suppose not, then some new P_i $(i > \ell, i \notin I_\gamma)$ vanishes on C', say at y_ϵ. We can suppose without loss of generality that the coordinates of y_ϵ are algebraic over $R[\epsilon]$. Take a semi-algebraic path γ_ϵ defined over $R[\epsilon]$ connecting $z(\gamma, \epsilon)$ to y_ϵ with $\gamma_\epsilon \subset C'$. Denote by $z(\gamma_\epsilon, \epsilon)$ the first point of γ_ϵ with $P_1 = \ldots = P_\ell = P_{i_1} - \epsilon = \ldots = P_{i_m} - \epsilon = P_j - \epsilon = 0$ for some new j not in I_γ.

For t in R small enough, the set γ_t (obtained by replacing ϵ by t in γ_ϵ) defines a semi-algebraic path from $z(\gamma, t)$ to $z(\gamma_\epsilon, t)$ contained in C. Replacing ϵ by t in the Puiseux series which give the coordinates of $z(\gamma_\epsilon, \epsilon)$ defines a path γ' containing $z(\gamma_\epsilon, \epsilon)$ from $z(\gamma_\epsilon, t)$ to $y = \text{eval}(z(\gamma_\epsilon, \epsilon))$ (which is a point of $\bar{C} \setminus C$). Let us consider the new path γ^* consisting of the beginning of γ (up to the point z_t for which $P_{i_1} = \ldots, P_{i_m} = t$), followed by γ_t and then followed by γ'. Now the first point in γ^* such that there exists a new j with $P_j - \epsilon = 0$ is $z(\gamma_\epsilon, \epsilon)$ and thus $\gamma^* \in \Gamma$ with I_{γ^*} strictly larger than I_γ. This is impossible by the maximality of I_γ. □

Remark 2: Somewhat more is true. It is easy to see that $\text{eval}_\epsilon(C') \neq \emptyset$. That is to say that C' contains points bounded over R. In consequence, if we know that $\mathcal{P}$ is in general position with respect to $\mathcal{V}$ we need only consider the zero sets of at most k' polynomials chosen from $\mathcal{P}'$. If more than k' polynomials in $\mathcal{P}'$ had a common zero *bounded over* R, then its eval would be a point on $\mathcal{V}$ satisfying more than k' polynomials in $\mathcal{P}$ which is impossible. This does not mean that if $\mathcal{P}$ is in general position with respect to $\mathcal{V}$ then $\mathcal{P}'$ is in general position with respect to $\mathcal{V}$. It only means that these additional zeros are not bounded over R

Proposition 2 *Given a family $\{P_1, \ldots, P_s\}$, of polynomials in $R[X_1, \ldots, X_k]$ and a variety $\mathcal{V}$ of real dimension k', let R' be a real closed field containing*

R, and let $\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_s$, be elements of R' that are algebraically independent over R. Then the perturbed family $\mathcal{P}^* = \cup_{1 \le i \le s}\{P_i - \delta_i\}$, is in general position with respect to the variety $\mathcal{V}_{R'}$.

Proof: The result follows from the following simple observations

- If $\mathcal{V}$ has real dimension k' then $\mathcal{V}$ is the union of a finite number of semi-algebraically connected semi-algebraic sets of real dimension less than or equal to k' whose Zariski closures are irreducible [4].
- If C is a semi-algebraically connected semi-algebraic set whose Zariski closure is irreducible then any polynomial is either constant on C or its zero set meets C in a semi-algebraic set of real dimension less than the dimension of C. This is immediate from the definition of irreducibility.

As a consequence, we see that the zero set of any of the perturbed polynomials meets the variety $\mathcal{V}$ in a variety of lower real dimension. The proposition is proved by repeating this argument at most k' times. □

Corollary 1 *Given a family $\{P_1, \ldots, P_s\}$, of polynomials in $R[X_1, \ldots, X_k]$ and a variety $\mathcal{V}$ of real dimension k', let R' be a real closed field containing R, and let $\delta, \delta_1, \ldots, \delta_s$, be elements of R' algebraically independent over R. Then the perturbed family $\mathcal{P}^* = \cup_{1 \le i \le s}\{P_i - \delta_i, P_i + \delta_i, P_i - \delta\delta_i, P_i + \delta\delta_i\}$ is in general position with respect to the variety $\mathcal{V}_{R'}$.*

Proposition 3 *If $S' \subset R\langle\epsilon\rangle^k$ is a semi-algebraic set defined over $R[\epsilon]$ and $S = \mathrm{eval}_\epsilon(S')$, then S is a semi-algebraic set. Moreover, if S' is semi-algebraically connected then S is semi-algebraically connected.*

Proof: Suppose that $S' \subset (R\langle\epsilon\rangle)^k$ is described by a quantifier-free formula $\Phi(\epsilon)(X_1, \ldots, X_k)$. Introduce a new variable X_{k+1} and denote by $\Phi(X_1, \ldots, X_k, X_{k+1})$ the result of substituting X_{k+1} for ϵ in $\Phi(\epsilon)(X_1, \ldots, X_k)$. Embed R^k in R^{k+1} by sending $(X_1, \ldots, X_k)$ to $(X_1, \ldots, X_k, 0)$. Thus, S is a subset of $Z(X_{k+1})$. We prove that $S = \overline{T} \cap Z(X_{k+1})$ where

$$T = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_k, x_{k+1}) \in R^{k+1} | \Phi((x_1, \ldots, x_k, x_{k+1}) \text{ and } x_{k+1} > 0\}$$

and $\overline{T}$ is the closure of T in the euclidean topology.

If $x \in S$ there is a $z \in S'$ such that $\mathrm{eval}_\epsilon(z) = x$. Let $B_x(r)$ denote the open ball of radius r centered at x. Since (z, ϵ) belongs to the extension of $B_x(r) \cap T$ to $R\langle\epsilon\rangle$ it follows that $B_x(r) \cap T$ is non-empty, and hence that $x \in \overline{T}$.

Conversely, let x be in $\overline{T} \cap Z(X_{k+1})$. The semi- algebraic curve selection lemma [4], asserts the existence of a semi-algebraic function f from $[0, 1]$ to $\bar{T}$ with $f(0) = x$ and $f((0, 1]) \subset T$. This semi-algebraic function defines a point $z = f(\epsilon)$ whose coordinates lie in $R\langle\epsilon\rangle$ and belongs to S' and moreover $\mathrm{eval}_\epsilon(z) = x$.

If S' is semi-algebraically connected then there exists a positive t in R such that $T \cap (R^k \times [0, t])$ is semi-algebraically connected. It follows easily that $S = \overline{T} \cap Z(X_{k+1})$ is semi-algebraically connected. □

Proposition 4 *Let C be a non-empty cell in $\mathcal{V} = Z(Q)$, of the semi-algebraic set defined by $P_1 = \ldots = P_\ell = 0, P_{\ell+1} > 0, \ldots, P_s > 0$, and let C' be the extension of C to $R\langle\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_s\rangle$. Then C' contains some* $\mathrm{eval}_\delta(C'')$, *where C'' is a cell of the semi-algebraic set defined by the sign conditions*

$$Q = 0, -\delta\delta_1 < P_1 < \delta\delta_1, \ldots, -\delta\delta_\ell < P_\ell < \delta\delta_\ell, P_{\ell+1} > \delta_{\ell+1}, \ldots, P_s > \delta_s$$

over $R\langle\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_s, \delta\rangle$.

Proof: If $x \in C$, then x satisfies the following equalities and inequalities

$$Q = 0, -\delta\delta_1 < P_1 < \delta\delta_1, \ldots, -\delta\delta_\ell < P_\ell < \delta\delta_\ell, P_{\ell+1} > \delta_{\ell+1}, \ldots, P_s > \delta_s,$$

in $R\langle\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_s, \delta\rangle$. Let C'' be the cell of the semi-algebraic set in $(R\langle\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_s, \delta\rangle)^k$ defined by the above equalities and inequalities, which contains x.

It is clear that $\mathrm{eval}_\delta(C'')$ is contained in the semi-algebraic set defined by the sign condition $Q = P_1 = \ldots = P_\ell = 0, P_{\ell+1} > 0, \ldots, P_s > 0$, in $(R\langle\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_s\rangle)^k$ and that it also contains $x \in C'$. Since, by Proposition 3, $\mathrm{eval}_\delta(C'')$ is also semi-algebraically connected the statement of the lemma follows. □

2.1 Proof of the Theorem

The family of polynomials, $\mathcal{P}^* = \cup_{1 \le i \le s}\{P_i - \delta_i, P_i + \delta_i, P_i - \delta\delta_i, P_i + \delta\delta_i\}$ is in general position with respect to $\mathcal{V}$ by Corollary 1. Hence, by Proposition

1, the extension of every cell of a *strict* sign condition for $\mathcal{P}^*$ over $\mathcal{V}$ to $R\langle\delta_1,\ldots,\delta_s,\delta,\epsilon\rangle$ contains a cell of an algebraic variety defined by $\{Q\}\cup\overline{\mathcal{P}}^*$ where $\overline{\mathcal{P}}^*$ is a subset of $\cup_{P\in\mathcal{P}^*}\{P-\epsilon,P,P+\epsilon\}$. As noted in Remark 2, we can assume that the cardinality of $\overline{\mathcal{P}}^*$ is at most k'. There are $\sum_{1\le\ell\le k'}\binom{12s}{\ell}=\binom{O(s)}{k'}$ of these varieties and each has at most $O(d)^k$ cells by the well-known bounds of Milnor-Oleĭnik-Petrovsky-Thom [11,12,13,16]. Hence the number of cells of strict sign conditions for $\mathcal{P}^*$ over $\mathcal{V}$ is $\binom{s}{k'}O(d)^k$. Finally, by Proposition 4, the extension of each cell of a sign condition for $\mathcal{P}$ over $\mathcal{V}$ to $R\langle\delta_1,\ldots,\delta_s\rangle$ contains the eval_δ of one of these $\binom{s}{k'}O(d)^k$ cells of strict sign conditions for $\mathcal{P}^*$ over $\mathcal{V}$. Since these are semi-algebraically connected by Proposition 3 it follows that there are no more than $\binom{s}{k'}O(d)^k$ cells defined by $\mathcal{P}$ over $\mathcal{V}$. □

References

[1] S. BASU, R. POLLACK, M.-F. ROY. A new algorithm to find a point in every cell defined by a family of polynomials. In *Quantifier Elimination and Cylindrical Algebraic Decomposition*, B. Caviness and J. Johnson Eds., Springer–Verlag, to appear.

[2] S. BASU, R. POLLACK, M.-F. ROY. Computing points meeting every cell on a variety. In *The Algorithmic Foundations of Robotics*, K. Goldberg, D. Halperin, J.C. Latombe and R. Wilson Eds., A. K. Peters, Boston, MA, to appear (1995).

[3] S. BASU, R. POLLACK, M.-F. ROY. On the combinatorial and algebraic complexity of Quantifier Elimination. In *Proc. 35th Annual IEEE Sympos. on the Foundations of Computer Science*, 632–641, (1994).

[4] J. BOCHNAK, M. COSTE, M.-F. ROY. *Géométrie algébrique réelle.* Springer-Verlag (1987).

[5] J. CANNY Some Practical Tools for Algebraic Geometry, In *Technical report in Spring school on robot motion planning*, PROMOTION ESPRIT, (1993).

[6] J. CANNY, Computing road maps in general semi-algebraic sets, *the Computer Journal*, 36: 504–514, (1993).

[7] J. CANNY, Improved algorithms for sign determination and existential quantifier elimination, *the Computer Journal*, 36:409–418, (1993).

[8] H. EDELSBRUNNER. *Algorithms in Combinatorial Geometry.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.

[9] J.E. GOODMAN, R. POLLACK, R. WENGER. Bounding the number of geometric permutations induced by k-transversals. In *Proc. 10th Ann. ACM Sympos. Comput. Geom.* (1994), pp. 192–197.

[10] J. HEINTZ, M.-F. ROY, AND P. SOLERNÓ. On the complexity of semi-algebraic sets. In *Proc. IFIP San Francisco. North-Holland*, pp. 293–298, 1989.

[11] J. MILNOR. On the Betti numbers of real varieties. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 15:275–280, 1964.

[12] O. A. OLEĬNIK.,Estimates of the Betti numbers of real algebraic hypersurfaces. *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)*, 28 (70): 635–640, (Russian) 1951.

[13] I. G. PETROVSKY, O. A. OLEĬNIK. On the topology of real algebraic surfaces. *Izvestiaya Akademii Nauk SSSR. Serija Matematičeskaya* 13: 389–402, 1949.

[14] R. POLLACK, M.-F. ROY. On the number of cells defined by a set of polynomials. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 316:573–577, (1993).

[15] J. RENEGAR. On the computational complexity and geometry of the first order theory of the reals. J. of Symbolic Comput., 13: 255–352 (1992).

[16] R. THOM. Sur l'homologie des variétés algébriques réelles. In *Differential and Combinatorial Topology*, pages 255–265. Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1965.