

ON THE PROJECTIONS OF A CONVEX POLYTOPE

ROLF SCHNEIDER

It is shown that in the class of all centrally symmetric convex bodies in E^d a polytope is uniquely determined, up to a translation, by its brightness (or certain similar functionals) in a suitable, though "arbitrarily small", set of directions.

It is well known that a centrally symmetric convex body (compact, convex set with interior points) in d -dimensional Euclidean space E^d ($d \geq 3$) is, up to a translation, uniquely determined by its brightness function. To formulate a more general result, let $S^{d-1} = \{x \in E^d : \|x\| = 1\}$ be the unit sphere in E^d ; for a convex body $K \subset E^d$ and a unit vector $u \in S^{d-1}$ let $K(u)$ be the convex set that arises by orthogonal projection of K on to the $(d-1)$ -dimensional linear subspace orthogonal to u . For $p \in \{0, 1, \dots, d-2\}$ let $v_p(K, u)$ denote the p -th cross-section measure (Quermassintegral; for a definition see Bonnesen-Fenchel [2, p. 49], or Hadwiger [5, p. 209]) of dimension $d-1$ of the set $K(u)$. Thus, e.g., $v_0(K, u)$ is the brightness of K in the direction u , and $v_{d-2}(K, u)$ is, up to a factor depending only on d , the mean width of $K(u)$. The following theorem has been proved by A. D. Aleksandrov [1]:

If $K, \bar{K} \subset E^d$ are centrally symmetric convex bodies satisfying $v_p(K, u) = v_p(\bar{K}, u)$ for each $u \in S^{d-1}$ and for some $p \in \{0, 1, \dots, d-2\}$, then \bar{K} is a translate of K .

For another proof and a generalization see Chakerian [3].

One might ask whether in Aleksandrov's theorem it is really necessary to assume the equality $v_p(K, u) = v_p(\bar{K}, u)$ for the set of all directions u or whether some nondense subset thereof might suffice. The latter is, however, not true in general. In fact, given a centrally symmetric convex body $K \subset E^d$ with sufficiently smooth boundary and a symmetric subset $A \subset S^{d-1}$ which is not dense in S^{d-1} , there exists a centrally symmetric convex body $\bar{K} \subset E^d$, not a translate of K , which satisfies $v_0(K, u) = v_0(\bar{K}, u)$ for each $u \in A$. Examples to this effect have been constructed in [7, §4]. The object of the present note is to exhibit a contrary situation: In case K is a centrally symmetric polytope, there exist sets $A \subset S^{d-1}$ of arbitrarily small (positive) measure such that the assumption

$$v_p(K, u) = v_p(\bar{K}, u) \quad \text{for each } u \in A$$

forces the centrally symmetric convex body \bar{K} to be a translate of K . More precisely, we shall prove the following

THEOREM. *Let $K \subset E^d$ be a centrally symmetric convex polytope. Let $p \in \{0, 1, \dots, d - 2\}$, and let $A \subset S^{d-1}$ be an open set which contains, corresponding to each $(d - 1 - p)$ -dimensional face of K , a vector which is parallel to that face. If $\bar{K} \subset E^d$ is a centrally symmetric convex body which satisfies*

$$v_p(K, u) = v_p(\bar{K}, u) \text{ for each } u \in A,$$

then \bar{K} is a translate of K .

For $p \leq d - 3$ there exist universal sets A with the properties demanded in the theorem. For instance, if A is a neighborhood of an "equator sphere" of S^{d-1} , then A contains, corresponding to any $(d - 1 - p)$ -face F of any convex polytope, a vector which is parallel to F .

The following remarks are preparatory to the proof of the theorem. For a convex body $K \subset E^d$ let $\mu_p(K, \cdot)$, $p = 1, \dots, d - 1$, be its p -th surface area function; thus μ_p is a positive Borel measure on S^{d-1} which may be characterized by the fact that

$$(1) \quad V(\bar{K}, \underbrace{K, \dots, K}_p, \underbrace{B, \dots, B}_{d-1-p}) = \frac{1}{d} \int_{S^{d-1}} \bar{h}(v) \mu_p(K, dv)$$

for every convex body $\bar{K} \subset E^d$ (see Fenchel-Jessen [4]); here the left side is a mixed volume, B is the ball bounded by S^{d-1} , and \bar{h} is the support function of \bar{K} . As a special case of (1) we have the representation

$$(2) \quad v_p(K, u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{S^{d-1}} |\langle u, v \rangle| \mu_{d-1-p}(K, dv), \quad u \in S^{d-1}.$$

For a convex polytope $P \subset E^d$ and $p \in \{1, \dots, d - 1\}$ let $\sigma_p(P) \subset S^{d-1}$ be the spherical image of the p -faces of P , thus, by definition, $u \in \sigma_p(P)$ if and only if the supporting hyperplane of P with exterior normal vector u contains a p -face of P . We assert that the measure $\mu_p(P, \cdot)$ is concentrated on $\sigma_p(P)$. In fact, if $\omega \in S^{d-1}$ is a Borel set having empty intersection with $\sigma_p(P)$, then $\mu_p(P, \omega) = 0$ as may be seen from the last formula of Fenchel-Jessen [4] and an easy estimate of the measure of the "brush set" corresponding to ω .

We shall need two lemmas concerning expressions of the type occurring in (2). Let μ be a positive Borel measure on S^{d-1} which is

symmetric (i.e., attains

(3)

is, for $x \in E^d$, a (symmet denote the directional de at x in the direction y .

LEMMA 1. *If H is*

$$H'(x; y) = \dots$$

where

S.

ω .

For the easy comp

LEMMA 2. *If μ is which satisfies*

$$\int_{S^{d-1}} |\langle u, v \rangle| \mu(dv) = 0$$

then $\mu = 0$.

Essentially, this is proving his theorem qu tion of Lemma 2 to be two $(d - 1 - p)$ -th su assumption is not nee special case, since from Aleksandrov, and Fenchel metric Borel measure area functions of two hence Lemma 2 follow earlier. For further r [6].

We proceed now to write $d - 1 - p =$ with formula (2) give

$$(4) \quad \int_{S^{d-1}} |\langle u, v \rangle| \mu(dv)$$

symmetric (i.e., attains the same value at antipodal sets). Then

$$(3) \quad H(x) = \int_{S^{d-1}} \langle x, v \rangle | \mu(dv)$$

is, for $x \in E^d$, a (symmetric) convex function. Let $H'(x; y)$ for $y \in E^d \setminus \{0\}$ denote the directional derivative (see Bonnesen-Fenchel [2, p. 19]) of H at x in the direction y .

LEMMA 1. *If H is given by (3) with symmetric μ , then*

$$H'(x; y) = 2 \int_{S_x} \langle y, v \rangle \mu(dv) + \int_{\omega_x} | \langle y, v \rangle | \mu(dv)$$

where

$$S_x = \{v \in S^{d-1} : \langle x, v \rangle > 0\},$$

$$\omega_x = \{v \in S^{d-1} : \langle x, v \rangle = 0\}.$$

For the easy computation, see [6, Lemma 6.1].

LEMMA 2. *If μ is a symmetric signed Borel measure on S^{d-1} which satisfies*

$$\int_{S^{d-1}} | \langle u, v \rangle | \mu(dv) = 0 \quad \text{for each } u \in S^{d-1},$$

then $\mu = 0$.

Essentially, this has been proved by Aleksandrov [1, §8]. In proving his theorem quoted in the introduction, he showed the assertion of Lemma 2 to be true in the case where μ is a difference of two $(d - 1 - p)$ -th surface area functions of convex bodies; but this assumption is not needed in the proof. To be sure, this is not a special case, since from the well known existence theorem of Minkowski, Aleksandrov, and Fenchel-Jessen [4, p. 16], it follows that every symmetric Borel measure on S^{d-1} is the difference of the $(d - 1)$ -st surface area functions of two appropriate centrally symmetric convex bodies; hence Lemma 2 follows also directly from Aleksandrov's theorem cited earlier. For further references and a generalization of Lemma 2, see [6].

We proceed now to the proof of the theorem. It is convenient to write $d - 1 - p = q$. The assumptions of the theorem together with formula (2) give

$$(4) \quad \int_{S^{d-1}} | \langle u, v \rangle | \mu_q(K, dv) = \int_{S^{d-1}} | \langle u, v \rangle | \mu_q(\bar{K}, dv)$$

for each $u \in A$. Let F be a q -dimensional face of the polytope K . We have assumed that the set A contains a vector f which is parallel to F . Since A is an open set it contains a neighborhood of f . If equation (4) holds for a unit vector u , it holds also for every αu , $\alpha > 0$; thus there is an open set U of E^d containing f such that (4) holds for each $u \in U$. Therefore the convex functions which are defined by the left and the right side of (4), respectively, must have equal directional derivatives at f with respect to every direction y . Then Lemma 1 yields

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \int_{S_f} \langle y, v \rangle \mu_q(K, dv) + \int_{\omega_f} |\langle y, v \rangle| \mu_q(K, dv) \\ = 2 \int_{S_f} \langle y, v \rangle \mu_q(\bar{K}, dv) + \int_{\omega_f} |\langle y, v \rangle| \mu_q(\bar{K}, dv) \end{aligned}$$

for each $y \in E^d$. If we replace y by $-y$ and add the resulting equation to the former one we see that

$$(5) \quad \int_{\omega_f} |\langle y, v \rangle| \mu_q(K, dv) = \int_{\omega_f} |\langle y, v \rangle| \mu_q(\bar{K}, dv).$$

Since K and \bar{K} are centrally symmetric, the measures $\mu_q(K, \cdot)$ and $\mu_q(\bar{K}, \cdot)$ are symmetric. We can now apply Lemma 2 with the dimension d replaced by $d-1$, with S^{d-1} replaced by ω_f , and with μ replaced by the restriction of $\mu_q(K, \cdot) - \mu_q(\bar{K}, \cdot)$ to ω_f . We deduce that

$$(6) \quad \mu_q(K, \omega \cap \omega_f) = \mu_q(\bar{K}, \omega \cap \omega_f)$$

for every Borel set ω of S^{d-1} . Now observe that the vector f has been chosen parallel to the q -face F . Thus every unit vector which is orthogonal to F is contained in ω_f , hence ω_f contains the spherical image of the face F . Therefore equation (6) is especially true if ω_f is replaced by the spherical image of F . Now F is an arbitrary q -face of K , hence the additivity of the measures allows us to further replace the spherical image of F by the union of the spherical images of the q -faces of K :

$$(7) \quad \mu_q(K, \omega \cap \sigma_q(K)) = \mu_q(\bar{K}, \omega \cap \sigma_q(K)).$$

It has already been noticed that the measure $\mu_q(K, \cdot)$ is concentrated on $\sigma_q(K)$, therefore to intersect ω with $\sigma_q(K)$ on the left side of (7) is indeed superfluous; we have

$$(8) \quad \mu_q(K, \omega) = \mu_q(\bar{K}, \omega \cap \sigma_q(K))$$

for every Borel set ω on S^{d-1} . Write

ON THE PRO

$\nu(\omega)$

then (8) gives

$\nu(\omega)$

so that ν is still a positive

$H(x)$

defined for $x \in E^d$, is the
By (4) we have $H(u) = 0$
 S^{d-1} , and since H is even
set antipodal to A . Thus
This gives $H(x) = 0$ for each
2 shows that ν , being symmetric,
proved that the convex
area function, hence they
[1]. Fenchel-Jessen [4]).

1. A. D. Aleksandrov, *Zur Theorie der konvexen Körper* (Russian), Mat. Sbornik N.S. II (1937).
2. T. Bonnesen and W. Fenchel, *Math. Ann.* **134** (1958).
3. G. D. Chakerian, *Sets of constant width*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **129** (1968).
4. W. Fenchel and B. Jessen, *Math. Ann.* **143** (1961).
5. H. Hadwiger, *Vorlesungen über Inhalt*, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1957.
6. R. Schneider, *Über eine Integralformel*, Math. Nachr. (to appear).
7. R. Schneider and W. Weil, *Über die Inhalte seiner Projektionen* (to appear).

Received July 14, 1969.

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT DER UNIVERSITÄT
463 BOCHUM, GERMANY

$$\nu(\omega) = \mu_q(\bar{K}, \omega) - \mu_q(K, \omega),$$

then (8) gives

$$\nu(\omega) = \mu_q(\bar{K}, \omega \cap [S^{d-1}, \sigma_q(K)])$$

so that ν is still a positive measure. Hence the function

$$H(x) = \int_{S^{d-1}} |\langle x, v \rangle| \nu(dv),$$

defined for $x \in E^d$, is the support function of a compact convex set C . By (4) we have $H(u) = 0$ for each $u \in A$, where A is an open set on S^{d-1} , and since H is even, we have also $H(u) = 0$ for each u in the set antipodal to A . Thus C cannot contain a point different from 0. This gives $H(x) = 0$ for each $x \in E^d$, and another application of Lemma 2 shows that ν , being symmetric, must vanish identically. We have proved that the convex bodies K and \bar{K} have the same q -th surface area function, hence they differ at most by a translation (Aleksandrov [1], Fenchel-Jessen [4]).

REFERENCES

1. A. D. Aleksandrov, *Zur Theorie der gemischten Volumina von konvexen Körpern*, II (Russian), Mat. Sbornik N.S. **2** (1937), 1205-1238.
2. T. Bonnesen and W. Fenchel, *Theorie der konvexen Körper*, Springer, Berlin, 1934.
3. G. D. Chakerian, *Sets of constant relative width and constant relative brightness*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **129** (1967), 26-37.
4. W. Fenchel and B. Jessen, *Mengenfunktionen und konvexe Körper*, Danske Vid. Selsk. Mat.-Fys. Medd. **16** (1938).
5. H. Hadwiger, *Vorlesungen über Inhalt, Oberfläche und Isoperimetrie*, Springer, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1957.
6. R. Schneider, *Über eine Integralgleichung in der Theorie der konvexen Körper*, Math. Nachr. (to appear).
7. R. Schneider and W. Weil, *Über die Bestimmung eines konvexen Körpers durch die Inhalte seiner Projektionen* (to appear).

Received July 14, 1969.

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT DER RUHR-UNIVERSITÄT
463 BOCHUM, GERMANY