Sunflower Theorems and Convex Codes

Amzi Jeffs University of Washington, Seattle

UC Davis Algebra & Discrete Mathematics Seminar April 6th 2020

Part I: Place Cells and Convex Codes





Place Cells

1971: O'Keefe and Dostrovsky describe *place cells* in the hippocampus of rats.

2019 video: https://youtu.be/puCV1grkdJA



Main idea: Each place cell fires in a particular region. They "know" where the rat is. How much do they know?

Mathematical Model of Place Cells

2013: Curto et al introduce convex neural codes.

- Index your place cells (neurons) by $[n] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{1, 2, \dots, n\}.$
- Each neuron $i \in [n]$ fires when rat is in convex open U_i in \mathbb{R}^d .
- As rat moves, multiple neurons may fire at same time. Write down all the sets of neurons that fire together and get a convex neural code $\mathcal{C} \subseteq 2^{[n]}$.

Example with 3 neurons in \mathbb{R}^2 :



Formal Definitions

Definition

A code is any subset of $2^{[n]}$. Elements of a code are codewords.

Definition

Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, \ldots, U_n\}$ be a collection of convex open sets in \mathbb{R}^d . The *code* of \mathcal{U} is

$$\operatorname{code}(\mathcal{U}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ \sigma \subseteq [n] \, \middle| \, \text{There is } p \in \mathbb{R}^d \text{ with } p \in U_i \Leftrightarrow i \in \sigma \right\}.$$

The collection \mathcal{U} is called a *convex realization*. Codes that have convex realizations are called *convex*.

Notice! If the U_i correspond to place cells, we can compute $\operatorname{code}(\mathcal{U})$ from the brain directly (if the rat explores sufficiently...)

Terminology in Practice

Below, $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, U_2, U_3\}$ is a convex realization of $\mathcal{C} = \{123, 12, 23, 2, 3, \emptyset\}$. Therefore \mathcal{C} is a convex code.



Can you realize $\{123, 1, 2, 3, \emptyset\}$ in \mathbb{R}^2 ? How about \mathbb{R}^1 ?



Cannot be realized in \mathbb{R}^1 !

The Big Picture

Question: When can we find a convex realization of a given C? How much does C tell us about this realization?

Motivation: How much can place cells "know" about a rat's environment?

This Talk: How much can n place cells tell us about the *dimension* of the space that the rat is perceiving?

Motivation: Higher dimensional stimulus like smell, sound, etc. Also, interesting discrete geometry theory!

Part II: Open Embedding Dimension and Past Results





Formalizing the Dimension Question

Definition

Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq 2^{[n]}$ be a code. The open embedding dimension of \mathcal{C} is

 $\operatorname{odim}(\mathcal{C}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \min\{d \mid \mathcal{C} \text{ has a convex realization in } \mathbb{R}^d\}.$

When no realization exists $\operatorname{odim}(\mathcal{C}) = \infty$.

Example: Recall we can realize $C = \{123, 12, 23, 2, 3, \emptyset\}$ in \mathbb{R}^2 . In fact, odim(C) = 1 since we can "flatten" our realization.



Formalizing the Dimension Question

Definition

Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq 2^{[n]}$ be a code. The open embedding dimension of \mathcal{C} is

 $\operatorname{odim}(\mathcal{C}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \min\{d \mid \mathcal{C} \text{ has a convex realization in } \mathbb{R}^d\}.$

When no realization exists $\operatorname{odim}(\mathcal{C}) = \infty$.

Example: Recall that $C = \{123, 1, 2, 3, \emptyset\}$ has a realization in \mathbb{R}^2 but not \mathbb{R}^1 . Thus odim(C) = 2 in this case.



Formalizing the Dimension Question

Definition

Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq 2^{[n]}$ be a code. The open embedding dimension of \mathcal{C} is

 $\operatorname{odim}(\mathcal{C}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \min\{d \mid \mathcal{C} \text{ has a convex realization in } \mathbb{R}^d\}.$

When no realization exists $\operatorname{odim}(\mathcal{C}) = \infty$.

Example: Let $C = \{12, 23, \emptyset\}$. In any realization, U_2 is the disjoint union of U_1 and U_3 . This is impossible with open sets. Thus $\operatorname{odim}(C) = \infty$.

Past Results

- [Curto et al. 17] Many examples with $\operatorname{odim}(\mathcal{C}) = \infty$ based on topological arguments.
- [CGIK16] Upper bound: If C is convex then $odim(C) \leq$ number of inclusion-maximal codewords.
- Lower bounds: None larger than *n*. For example, [CV16] looks for nonzero Betti numbers.

Definition

 \mathcal{C} is intersection complete if $c_1, c_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ implies $c_1 \cap c_2 \in \mathcal{C}$.

• [CGIK16] If C is intersection complete, $\operatorname{odim}(C) < \infty$.

This talk: Among all intersection complete codes $C \subseteq 2^{[n]}$, what is the largest embedding dimension (in terms of n)?

Topological intuition and "general position" reasoning indicate the answer should be n...

Part III: Sunflowers of Convex Open Sets



Sunflowers of Convex Open Sets

Notation: If $\sigma \subseteq [n]$, then $U_{\sigma} = \bigcap_{i \in \sigma} U_i$.

Definition

 \mathcal{U} is a sunflower if $\operatorname{code}(\mathcal{U}) = \{[n], \operatorname{singletons}, \emptyset\}$. The U_i are called *petals* and $U_{[n]}$ is called the *center*.



Rigidity in Sunflowers

Lemma (LSW15)

If $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, U_2, U_3\}$ is a sunflower in \mathbb{R}^2 , and $p_i \in U_i$, then conv $\{p_1, p_2, p_3\}$ contains a point in the center of \mathcal{U} . This fails in \mathbb{R}^3 .



Consequence: C with $odim(C) = \infty$ for *non-topological* reasons.

Rigidity in General

Theorem (J18)

If $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_{d+1}\}$ is a sunflower in \mathbb{R}^d , and $p_i \in U_i$, then $\operatorname{conv}\{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_{d+1}\}$ contains a point in the center of \mathcal{U} . This fails in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} .

A word on the proof: Original proof quite messy. A nicer proof uses Radon's theorem, as suggested by Zvi Rosen.

Note: Result fails badly when the sets are not open.



Application to Codes

Theorem (J19)

Let $S_n \subseteq 2^{[n+1]}$ be the code whose codewords are every singleton, every 2-set containing n + 1, the empty set, and [n]. Then $\operatorname{odim}(S_n) = n$.

Proof.

The sets U_1, \ldots, U_n form a sunflower. The set U_{n+1} touches every petal but not the center. Impossible when d < n. On the other hand, can get a realization in \mathbb{R}^n by thickening coordinate axes and hyperplane with normal vector **1**.

Example: S_3 in \mathbb{R}^3

Still smaller than number of neurons. Where's the surprise?

Part IV: Code Minors and Exponential Embedding Dimension



Morphisms of Codes

Definition (J18)

Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq 2^{[n]}$ and $\sigma \subseteq [n]$. The *trunk* of σ in \mathcal{C} is

$$\operatorname{Tk}_{\mathcal{C}}(\sigma) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ c \in \mathcal{C} \mid \sigma \subseteq c \}.$$

Geometric note: Codewords in $\operatorname{Tk}_{\mathcal{C}}(\sigma)$ come from points in U_{σ} .

Definition (J18)

A function $f : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ is called a *morphism* if the preimage of every trunk in \mathcal{D} is a trunk in \mathcal{C} .

Morphism Example



Note: Morphisms should not be thought of as simply "continuous," the trunks here generate the same topology.

Minors of Codes

Definition (J18)

We say C is a *minor* of D if there is a surjective morphism $f: D \to C$. Codes can be partially ordered by "is a minor of" in a poset $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Code}}$.

Note: We are really partially ordering *isomorphism classes*.

Theorem (J18)

If \mathcal{C} is a minor of \mathcal{D} , then $\operatorname{odim}(\mathcal{C}) \leq \operatorname{odim}(\mathcal{D})$.

Proof idea: Every morphism $f : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{C}$ corresponds to a collection of trunks in \mathcal{D} . These correspond geometrically to various U_{σ} , and it turns out \mathcal{C} is the code of these various U_{σ} .

Main point: P_{Code} is totally combinatorial, but its structure can inform us about geometry, namely $odim(\mathcal{C})$.

P_{Code} Visually



Back to Sunflowers

Definition (J19)

Let $\Delta \subseteq 2^{[n]}$ be a simplicial complex. Define a code $\mathcal{S}_{\Delta} \subseteq 2^{[n+1]}$ by

$$\mathcal{S}_{\Delta} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\Delta * (n+1)) \cup \{[n]\}.$$



Theorem (J19)

If Δ has m facets, then S_m is a minor of S_{Δ} . Moreover, S_{Δ} is intersection complete.

Embedding Dimension for \mathcal{S}_{Δ}

Corollary (J19)

If Δ has m facets, then $\operatorname{odim}(\mathcal{S}_{\Delta}) = m$.

Proof.

Results of [CGIK16] let us construct a realization of S_{Δ} in \mathbb{R}^m . On the other hand, $\operatorname{odim}(S_{\Delta}) \geq \operatorname{odim}(S_m) = m$.



Exponential Embedding Dimension

Corollary (J19)

Open embedding dimension may grow **exponentially** in terms of number of neurons n, as large as $\binom{n-1}{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor}$. Surprising!

What's behind this? Number of neurons varies wildly throughout layers of $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Code}}$.



Part V: A Generalization and an Open Problem





Beyond Sunflowers

Definition

$$\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, \ldots, U_n\}$$
 is called a *k*-flexible sunflower if

 $\operatorname{code}(\mathcal{U}) = \{[n], \operatorname{codewords containing} \leq k \text{ neurons}, \emptyset\}.$

Note: When k = 1, these are usual sunflowers.

Example: A 2-flexible sunflower with 5 petals in \mathbb{R}^2 .



Flexible Sunflower Theorem

Theorem (J19)

Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, \ldots, U_n\}$ be a k-flexible sunflower in \mathbb{R}^d . For $i \in [n]$, let $p_i \in U_i$. Then $\operatorname{conv}\{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$ contains a point in the center of \mathcal{U} as long as $n \geq dk + 1$.

About the proof: Uses Tverberg's theorem. When k = 1Tverberg's theorem becomes Radon's theorem, and we have the original sunflower result.

Example:



What's Special About Open Sets?

Key observation: Supporting hyperplanes on the center of a k-flexible sunflower "cut off" at most k petals. Below k = 3.



Tangled Sunflower Codes

Definition (J19)

Let $\mathcal{T}_n \subseteq 2^{[2n]}$ be the code

 $\mathcal{T}_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\{\text{odds}\}, \{\text{evens}\}, 12, 34, \dots, (2n-1)(2n), \text{all singletons}, \emptyset\}.$

That is, \mathcal{T}_n describes two *n*-petal sunflowers with touching petals. Define $t_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{odim}(\mathcal{T}_n)$.

Examples:

• $\mathcal{T}_1 = \{12, 1, 2, \emptyset\}.$ • $\mathcal{T}_2 = \{13, 24, 12, 34, 1, 2, 3, 4, \emptyset\}.$ • $\mathcal{T}_3 = \{135, 246, 12, 34, 56, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, \emptyset\}.$

What can we say about t_n ?

For small n, we can find t_n by brute force:



Moreover, $t_5 = 4$. If you can figure out t_6 , let me know!

Theorem (J19)

For all n:

• $t_n \leq t_{n+1} \leq t_n + 1$, and • $\left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil \leq t_n \leq n$.

References

This talk is based on [J19]:

"Embedding Dimension Phenomena in Intersection Complete Codes" https://arxiv.org/abs/1909.13406

Other papers referenced:

- [CGIK16] "On open and closed convex codes" https://arxiv.org/abs/1609.03502
- [Curto et al 13] "The neural ring: an algebraic tool for analyzing the intrinsic structure of neural codes" https://arxiv.org/abs/1212.4201
- [Curto et al 17] "What makes a neural code convex?" https://arxiv.org/abs/1508.00150
- [LSW15] "Obstructions to convexity in neural codes" https://arxiv.org/abs/1509.03328



Part VI (Bonus): Closed Convexity and More

Closed Convexity

Definition

We may consider realizations using closed convex sets, and define closed embedding dimension $\operatorname{cdim}(\mathcal{C})$ for codes.

Theorem (J19)

For all examples in this talk $\mathcal{C} \subseteq 2^{[n]}$, we have $\operatorname{cdim}(\mathcal{C}) \leq n$.

Open question: Is $\operatorname{cdim}(\mathcal{C})$ ever larger than n?

Theorem (J19)

For intersection complete codes, $\operatorname{cdim}(\mathcal{C}) \leq \operatorname{odim}(\mathcal{C})$.

Open question: Can you find C with $odim(C) < cdim(C) < \infty$?

The Terrain for Future Work

Theorem

If \mathcal{C} is a minor of \mathcal{D} , then $\operatorname{cdim}(\mathcal{C}) \leq \operatorname{cdim}(\mathcal{D})$.

