

Open positroid variety $\overset{\circ}{\Pi}_f \subset \text{Gr}(k, n)$

defined by equivalent data:

- ① $f = k$ -bounded affine permutation
- ② (r_{ij}) = cyclic rank matrix
- ③ $u \leq w$, $u, w \in S_n$; $w - k$ -Grass.
↑ in Bruhat order

Last quarter: how to get between these descriptions.

$$k \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & 1 \\ v_1 & \dots & v_n \\ 1 & & 1 \end{pmatrix}}_n \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{rank } k, k \times n \text{ matrix} \\ v_1, \dots, v_n = \text{columns} \end{array}$$

Extend periodically
 $v_{i+n} = v_i$

$$\overset{\circ}{\Pi}_f = \left\{ V : \text{rank}(v_i, \dots, v_j) = r_{ij} \right\}$$

fixed cyclic rank
 matrix corresponds to f .

↗ row operations

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_f &= \text{closure of } \overset{\circ}{\Pi}_f \text{ in } \text{Gr}(k, n) \\ &= \{ V : \text{rank}(v_i, \dots, v_j) \leq r_{ij} \} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \{ \Gamma : \text{rank}(v_{i,-} - v_j) \leq r_j \} / \text{row span}.$$

Π_f = closed positroid variety

Q: Compute the class of $[\Pi_f]$ in
(equivariant) cohomology of $\text{Gr}(k, n)$.

A: (next time?) In non-equivariant
cohomology, $[\Pi_f]$ = affine Stanley
symmetric fn.

To day An algorithm for computing
 $[\Pi_f]$ in equivariant cohomology \Rightarrow
by forgetting equivariant parameters,
 $[\Pi_f]$ in non-equivar.

Main tool = Richardson varieties

$\overset{\circ}{X}_w^u$ = open Richardson variety

$\text{Flag}(n) = X^w \cap X_u$

\uparrow Schubert cell \uparrow opposite Schubert cell.

Smooth with respect to $\alpha \in \Gamma_n$.

Smooth, non-empty if $u \leq w$ in

Bruhat order, $\Delta u = l(w) - l(u)$

X_w^u = closed Richardson variety
= closure of $\overset{\circ}{X}_w^u$

$\pi : \text{Flag}(n) \longrightarrow \text{Gr}(k, n)$

$\{F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \dots \subset F_n\} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_k$

Last quarter: $u \leq w$, w is k -Grassmannian

Thm $\pi(\overset{\circ}{X}_w^u) \cong \prod_f^{\circ}$ where f
corresponds to the pair (u, w)

$\pi(X_w^u) \cong \prod_f^{\circ}$, similarly.

π is an isomorphism on X_w^u .

$T = (\mathbb{G}^*)^n$ acts on $\text{Flag}(n)$, $\text{Gr}(k, n)$, $\overset{\circ}{X}_w^u$

$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & 1 \\ v_1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & v_n \end{pmatrix} \quad t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in T$

$(v_1, \dots, v_n) \rightarrow (t_1 v_1, \dots, t_n v_n)$

preserves all rank conditions

Consider T -equivariant cohomology

Whitney T-equivariant Cohomology

$$H_T^*(Gr(k,n)) \Rightarrow [\pi_f].$$

Prop 7.2 $X_\square \cdot [\pi_f] =$

$$(X_\square|_{\sigma(u)}) \cdot \pi_f + \sum_{u \lessdot u' \leq w} [\pi_{f_{u',w}}]$$

poly in
 equiv
 parameters.

where X_\square = class of Schubert

variety corresponding to \square ($= p_1$
as symmetric
fn)

$$\sigma(u) = u(\{1, \dots, k\})$$

\lessdot = cover relation in Bruhat order

$$u' = u \cdot t \leftarrow \text{transposition}$$

$$\ell(u') = \ell(u) + 1.$$

Proof: $X_\square \cdot [\pi_f] = X_\square \cdot \pi_*(\{x_w^u\}) =$

$$= \pi_*(\pi^*(X_\square) \cdot [x^w] \cdot [x_u])$$

class in $H_T^*(\text{Flag})$

projection formula.

$$= \pi_*(\{X_{S_K}\} \cdot [x^w] \cdot [x_u]) \quad (*)$$

Class of Schubert variety for simple reflection $S_K = (k \ k+1)$

Class A

Schubert variety for simple reflection $s_k = (k \ k+1)$

Monk's formula:

$$[X_{s_k}] \cdot [X_u] = ([X_{s_k}]|_u) \cdot [X_u] + \sum_{u \leq u'} [X_{u'}]$$

poly in equivariant

Using Monk's formula, can rewrite (π):

$$\begin{aligned} & \pi_*([X_{s_k}]|_u \cdot [X_u] \cdot [X^w]) + \\ & + \sum_{u' \geq u} \pi_*([X_{u'}] \cdot [X^w]) \\ &= \pi_*([X_{s_k}]|_u \cdot [X_u^w]) \quad \text{class \& Richardson} \\ & + \sum_{u' \geq u} \pi_*([X_{u'}^w]) \quad \text{vanishes unless } u' \leq w \\ &= ([X_\eta]|_{\sigma(u)}) \cdot [\Pi_f] + \sum_{u \leq u' \leq w} [\Pi_{u', w}]. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 7.4 $[\Pi_f] \in H_T^*(Gr(k; n))$ is

uniquely determined by the following

(1) $P[\Pi_f]$ is homogeneous of degree

(1) $[\cap_f]$ is homogeneous of degree

$$= \text{codim } \Pi_f = k(u-k) - l(w) + l(u)$$

(Recall $\dim \Pi_f = l(w) - l(u)$).

(2) Prop. 7.2 above (\approx "Pieri rule")

(3) Point classes: if $w=u$

is a point, and it is $\sigma(u) = \sigma(w)$
 $= w(\{1 \dots k\})$.

fixed point of T -action \Leftrightarrow k -element
in $\text{Gr}(k, u)$ subset in $\{1 \dots u\}$

$$[\cap_{w,w}] = [\sigma(w)].$$

Proof: Induction by $l(w) - l(u)$

If $l(w) = l(u) \Rightarrow$ know

Lemma 7.2:

$$(X_0 - X_0|_{\text{loc}(u)}) [\cap_{u,w}] =$$

$$= \sum_{u' \leq u \leq w} [\cap_{u',w}]$$

Know by
induction
assumption

this restrict as $\neq 0$
poly in equiv. parameters

poly in equiv. parameters
to all fixed points but $\sigma(u)$

\Rightarrow the difference is supported
at $\sigma(u)$, by degree reasons it is 0.

April 5: Anne on affine Stanley /k-Schur

April 12: Tiago on <http://arxiv.org/abs/2103.09551>

Apr 19: Erik.

Apr 26: Josh Petrack + Emi Borawley
Combinatorics of k-Schur.