

We constructed irreducible representations of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$:

$$L(n) \xleftarrow{E} \underset{\substack{\downarrow F \\ v_0}}{\cdot} \xleftarrow{E} \underset{\substack{\downarrow F \\ v_1}}{\cdot} \xleftarrow{E} \underset{\substack{\downarrow F \\ v_2}}{\cdot} \cdots \cdots \underset{\substack{\downarrow F \\ v_n}}{\cdot} \xrightarrow{E} \underset{\substack{\downarrow F \\ 0}}{\cdot}$$

and for the Lie group $SU(2, \mathbb{C})$:

$$V_n = \left\{ \text{degree } n \text{ homogeneous polynomials in } x, y \right\}$$

$$= \langle x^n, x^{n-1}y, x^{n-2}y^2, \dots, y^n \rangle$$

This is the same basis up to scaling, $V_n \cong L(n)$.

Any ^{complex} representation of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})/SU(2, \mathbb{C})$ is a direct sum of such representations.

Remark $SU_2 \otimes \mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$, so all irreducible ^{complex} representations of SU_2 and $SU(2)$ are isomorphic to V_n for some n .

Fact ① Irreducible representations of $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ are isomorphic to $L(n)$

② A representation $L(n)$ lifts to a representation of Lie group $SO(3)$ if and only if n is even.

Proof ① $\mathfrak{so}(3) \cong \mathfrak{su}(2)$ as Lie algebras, they have same representations.

② $SO(3) \cong SU(2)/\pm I$, in particular

we have a homomorphism $\Phi: SU(2) \rightarrow SO(3)$

Any representation $\rho: SO(3) \rightarrow GL(V)$ yields a

representation of $SU(2)$: $SU(2) \xrightarrow{\Phi} SO(3) \xrightarrow{f} GL(V)$

Conversely, given a representation of $SO(3)$, we get a representation of $SU(2)$ iff $\pm I$ acts trivially.

$$V_n = \langle x^n, x^{n-1}y, \dots, y^n \rangle$$

$-I \in SU(2)$ acts on V_n diagonally: $x \rightarrow -x, y \rightarrow -y$

$$x^n \rightarrow (-1)^n x^n$$

$$x^{n-1}y \rightarrow (-1)^n x^{n-1}y$$

$$-I \text{ acts by } (-1)^n I.$$

$\Rightarrow -I$ acts trivially iff n even. □

Cor Irred. representations of $SO(3; \mathbb{R})$ are

$V_0 = \langle 1 \rangle$ trivial rep. $V_2 = \langle x^2, xy, y^2 \rangle =$ defining representation of $SO(3)$.

$$\dim V_4 = 5, \dim V_6 = 7, \dots$$

Rmk Related to spectrum of hydrogen/quantum mechanics.

Operations on representations

$G =$ Lie group $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ Lie algebra

$U, V =$ representations

• Direct sum: $U \oplus V$, $\dim U \oplus V = \dim U + \dim V$

• Tensor product: $U \otimes V$ tensor product of underlying vector spaces

$$\dim U \otimes V = \dim U \cdot \dim V$$

$A \in G$ acts on $u \otimes v \in U \otimes V$

$$\text{by } A(u \otimes v) = (Au) \otimes (Av).$$

— blunder t

by $A(u \otimes v) = (Au) \otimes (Av)$.

$X \in \mathfrak{g}$ acts on $u \otimes v$:

$$X(u \otimes v) = (Xu) \otimes v + u \otimes (Xv)$$

product rule for derivatives.

In particular, if $Xu = \lambda u$, $Xv = \mu v$ then

$$X(u \otimes v) = (\lambda + \mu)u \otimes v.$$

Characters $\mathbb{T} =$ complex representation of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$

Recall: H diagonalizes, eigenvalues of H are integers,
 $a_i =$ dimension of eigenspace for H with eigenvalue i

$$\text{ch } \mathbb{T} = \sum_i a_i q^i \leftarrow \text{Laurent polynomial in } q$$

$$\text{ch } L(\mathfrak{n}) = q^n + q^{n-2} + \dots + q^{-n}$$

Fact $\text{ch}(U \oplus V) = \text{ch}(U) + \text{ch}(V)$

$$\text{ch}(U \otimes V) = \text{ch}(U) \cdot \text{ch}(V)$$

From Lie group perspective, we can consider

$$e^{tH} = \begin{pmatrix} e^t & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-t} \end{pmatrix}$$

On an H -eigenspace with eigenvalue k , e^{tH} acts by e^{tk}

Define $q = e^t$

$$e^{tH} = \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

acts on H -eigenspace with eigenvalue k by q^k

Conclusion

$$\text{ch}(V) = \text{Tr}_V \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

element of $SL(2; \mathbb{C})$

$g \rightarrow \text{Tr}_V(g)$ is a function on G

We just restrict this function to $\{\text{diagonal}, \dots\} \subset G$.

... matrices

$$V_2 = L(2) = \langle x^2, xy, y^2 \rangle \quad \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = (qx, q^{-1}y)$$

$$\text{ch } V_2 = q^2 + 1 + q^{-2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch } V_2 \otimes V_2 &= (q^2 + 1 + q^{-2})(q^2 + 1 + q^{-2}) = \\ &= q^4 + 2q^2 + 3 + 2q^{-2} + q^{-4} \quad (*) \end{aligned}$$

How to decompose $V_2 \otimes V_2$ into irreducibles?

$$(*) = \underbrace{(q^4 + q^2 + 1 + q^{-2} + q^{-4})}_{\text{ch } V_4} + \underbrace{(q^2 + 1 + q^{-2})}_{\text{ch } V_2} + \underbrace{1}_{\text{ch } V_0}$$

$$V_2 \otimes V_2 \cong V_4 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_0$$

HW 6: $V_0 \otimes V_0 = ?$
 $V_1 \otimes V_1 \otimes V_1 = ?$

Rank $V_2 \otimes V_2 = \text{Sym}^2(V_2) \oplus \wedge^2(V_2)$

Sym^2 3×3 matrices \uparrow $\text{SO}(3)$	\downarrow symmetric 3×3 matrices $\dim = 6$	Skew 3×3 matrices $\dim = 3$
---	---	---

By decomposition above, we see that $\wedge^2 V_2 \cong V_2$
 $\text{Sym}^2(V_2) \cong V_4 \oplus V_0$

$\text{SO}(3)$ acts on the space of symmetric 3×3 matrices
 presenting the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ which spans a trivial representation in $\text{Sym}^2(V_2)$.