

Recap $\mathfrak{g}$ = Lie algebra

$$(X, Y) = \text{Tr}(\text{ad}_X \text{ad}_Y) \sim \text{Tr}(XY) \quad \text{Killing form}$$

$\mathfrak{g}$ semisimple if $(,)$ ^{in nice examples} nondegenerate

$\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ Cartan subalgebra maximal subalgebra such that

1) $[X_1, X_2] = 0$ for $X_1, X_2 \in \mathfrak{h}$ commutative

2) ad_X diagonalizable for $X \in \mathfrak{h}$

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} \quad \underline{\alpha = \text{roots}} \in \mathfrak{h}$$

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} = \{ Y : \text{ad}_X(Y) = [X, Y] = (\alpha, X)Y \text{ for } \underline{\text{all}} X \in \mathfrak{h} \}$$

root subspace = eigenspace for ad_X

common eigenspace for all $X \in \mathfrak{h}$

Ex $\mathfrak{sl}_n$

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & 0 & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \leftarrow X = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & 0 & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & x_n \end{pmatrix}$$

$X = \text{arbitrary diagonal matrix}$

$$[X, Y] = (x_i - x_j)Y$$

Note $x_i - x_j = (X, \alpha_{ij})$ where $\alpha_{ij} =$

$$\alpha_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Y spans a root subspace for the root α_{ij}

$$\boxed{\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_{ij}} = \text{Span}(Y)}$$

In fact, α_{ij} for all $i \neq j$ are roots of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$.

Summary We encode the info about $\mathfrak{g}$ using a finite collection of roots $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}$.

Lemma 1 $[\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_\beta] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta}$

Pf $X \in \mathfrak{h}, Y \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, Z \in \mathfrak{g}_\beta$

$$[X, [Y, Z]] = \underbrace{[[X, Y], Z] + [Y, [X, Z]]}_{\text{Jacobi identity}} =$$

$$= (\alpha, X) [Y, Z] + (\beta, X) [Y, Z]$$

$$= (\alpha + \beta, X) [Y, Z]$$

So $[Y, Z] \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta}$.

Lemma 2 If $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$ then $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha + \mathfrak{g}_\beta$ wrt Killing form.

Proof $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, Z \in \mathfrak{g}_\beta \quad (Y, Z) = \text{Tr}(\text{ad}_Y \text{ad}_Z) \iff \text{want to show } = 0$

$$\text{ad}_Y \text{ad}_Z (\mathfrak{g}_\gamma) \subset \underbrace{\text{ad}_Y (\mathfrak{g}_{\beta+\gamma})}_{\text{Lemma 1}} \subset \underbrace{\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma}}_{\text{Lemma 1}}$$

$$\text{ad}_Y \text{ad}_Z : \mathfrak{g}_\gamma \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta+\gamma}$$

if $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$ then

$$\gamma \neq \alpha + \beta + \gamma$$

Corollary

Therefore $\text{Tr}(\text{ad}_Y \text{ad}_Z) = 0$.

$\eta \perp \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ for all α

Lemma 3 If α is a root then $-\alpha$ is a root.

Proof Assume $-\alpha$ is not a root, then $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$ for all roots β

By Lemma 2, this means $(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_\beta) = 0$ for all β

$\Rightarrow$ the form is degenerate. Contradiction

Lemma 4 The roots span $\mathfrak{h}$.

Proof Assume not, pick $X \in \mathfrak{h}$ such that $(X, \alpha) = 0$ for all α .

For $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ we get $[X, Y] = (X, \alpha) Y = 0$

For $X' \in \mathfrak{h}$ we have $[X, X'] = 0$

so X commutes with any element of $\mathfrak{g} \Rightarrow \text{ad}_X = 0$
 $\Rightarrow (,)$ degenerate. Contradiction.

Thm For all α there exist an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple

$(E_\alpha, F_\alpha, H_\alpha)$ where $E_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, F_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}, H_\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}$

In fact, starting from any $E \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ we can complete it to an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple with $E = E_\alpha$.

And $(E_\alpha, F_\alpha, H_\alpha) \cong \mathfrak{sl}_2$ as Lie algebra.

Proof Pick $E \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. Since $(,)$ is nondegenerate

and $E \perp \mathfrak{g}_\beta$ for $\beta \neq -\alpha$, we can choose a vector $F \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$ such that $(E, F) = 1$.

Observe that $[E, F] \in [\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}] = \mathfrak{h}$ since $\alpha + (-\alpha) = 0$

We want to identify $[E, F]$ in the Cartan. Pick $X \in \mathfrak{h}$

$$([E, F], X) = \text{Tr}(\text{ad}_{[E, F]} \text{ad}_X) = \text{Tr}([\text{ad}_E, \text{ad}_F] \text{ad}_X)$$

$$= \text{Tr}(\text{ad}_E \text{ad}_F \text{ad}_X - \text{ad}_F \text{ad}_E \text{ad}_X) =$$

$$= \text{Tr}(\text{ad}_E \text{ad}_F \text{ad}_X - \text{ad}_E \text{ad}_X \text{ad}_F) = \text{Tr}(\text{ad}_E [\text{ad}_F, \text{ad}_X])$$

$$= (E, [F, X])$$

$$\boxed{([E, F], X) = (E, [F, X])}$$

$$\text{Now } (E, [F, X]) = -(E, [X, F]) = -(E, (-\alpha, X) F) =$$

since $F \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$

$$= (\alpha, X) \cdot (E, F) = (\alpha, X) \text{ since } (E, F) = 1.$$

Conclusion: $([E, F], X) = (\alpha, X)$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{h}$

Since $(,)$ is nondegenerate, we get $[E, F] = \alpha$ on Cartan

Define $E_\alpha = E$, $F_\alpha = \frac{2}{(\alpha, \alpha)} F$, $H_\alpha = \frac{2\alpha}{(\alpha, \alpha)}$

Let us prove that this is an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple:

$$\underbrace{[H_\alpha, E_\alpha]}_{\in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha} = (H_\alpha, \alpha) E_\alpha = \frac{2(\alpha, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)} E_\alpha = 2E_\alpha$$

$$[H_\alpha, F_\alpha] = (H_\alpha, -\alpha) F_\alpha = -\frac{2(\alpha, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)} F_\alpha = -2F_\alpha$$

$$[E_\alpha, F_\alpha] = [E, \frac{2}{(\alpha, \alpha)} F] = \frac{2}{(\alpha, \alpha)} [E, F] = \frac{2\alpha}{(\alpha, \alpha)} = H_\alpha.$$

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \text{ for } \alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (E, F) = \text{Tr}(EF) = 1.$$

$$[E, F] = \alpha \quad \text{In this case } (\alpha, \alpha) = 2$$

$$\frac{2}{(\alpha, \alpha)} = 1 \Rightarrow E, F, \alpha \text{ form an } \mathfrak{sl}_2\text{-triple}.$$