

Torus knots and
rational Cherednik algebras
(joint with P. Etingof and I. Losev)

Eugene Gorsky
Columbia University

Knots in Washington XXXVII
George Washington University
January 19, 2014

Colored HOMFLY-PT invariants

Reshetikhin-Turaev invariants

Given the Lie algebra \mathfrak{sl}_N and its representation V_λ , one can define the corresponding Reshetikhin-Turaev knot invariants. For a given knot K , the invariant $P_\lambda^N(K)$ is a polynomial in a single variable q .

For example, if K is the unknot, $P_\lambda^N(\text{unknot})$ equals the q -character of V_λ and can be computed as:

$$P_\lambda^N(\text{unknot}) = s_\lambda(1, q, \dots, q^{N-1}),$$

where s_λ is the Schur polynomial labeled by λ .

The uncolored \mathfrak{sl}_N invariants ($\lambda = \square$) can be defined using skein relations.

Colored HOMFLY-PT invariants

HOMFLY-PT invariants

It turns out that for a fixed Young diagram λ the N -dependence can be easily packed in a single function. Namely, there exists an invariant $P_\lambda(K)(a, q)$, called *colored HOMFLY-PT invariant*, such that

$$P_{\lambda, N}(K)(q) = P_\lambda(K)(a = q^N, q).$$

In the case of unknot, it can be computed as following. Remark that the power sums of $1, q, \dots, q^{N-1}$ are equal to

$$p_k = 1 + q^k + \dots + q^{(N-1)k} = \frac{1 - q^{Nk}}{1 - q^k} = \frac{1 - a^k}{1 - q^k}.$$

Therefore

$$P_\lambda(\text{unknot}) = s_\lambda \left(p_k = \frac{1 - a^k}{1 - q^k} \right).$$

Colored HOMFLY-PT invariants

Main theorem

Let $T(m, n)$ denote the (m, n) torus knot

Theorem (Etingof, G., Losev)

For all diagrams λ the following statements hold up to an overall scaling:

- a) The function $P_\lambda(T(m, n))$ is a polynomial in $(-a)$ and a power series in q with **nonnegative coefficients***
- b) If $d = |\lambda|$ then $P_\lambda(T(m, n))(1 - q) \dots (1 - q^d)$ is a polynomial in $(-a)$ and q with **nonnegative coefficients**.*

The statement is false for \mathfrak{sl}_N invariants, since the substitution $a = q^N$ is incompatible with the change of a to $(-a)$.

Colored HOMFLY-PT invariants

Main theorem

Let $T(m, n)$ denote the (m, n) torus knot

Theorem (Etingof, G., Losev)

For all diagrams λ the following statements hold up to an overall scaling:

- a) The function $P_\lambda(T(m, n))$ is a polynomial in $(-a)$ and a power series in q with **nonnegative coefficients***
- b) If $d = |\lambda|$ then $P_\lambda(T(m, n))(1 - q) \dots (1 - q^d)$ is a polynomial in $(-a)$ and q with **nonnegative coefficients**.*

The statement is false for \mathfrak{sl}_N invariants, since the substitution $a = q^N$ is incompatible with the change of a to $(-a)$.

Key idea: these coefficients compute the dimensions of certain pieces in certain representations of rational Cherednik algebra with parameter m/n .

Colored HOMFLY-PT invariants

Rosso-Jones formula

Colored invariants of torus knots were computed by Rosso and Jones:

- ▶ Raise all variables in the Schur polynomial s_λ to power n
- ▶ Expand the result in Schur basis:

$$s_\lambda(x_1^n, x_2^n, \dots) = \sum_{\mu} c_{\lambda, n}^{\mu} s_{\mu}.$$

- ▶ The λ -colored invariant of $T(m, n)$ equals

$$P_{\lambda}(T(m, n)) = \sum_{\mu} q^{-\frac{m}{n}\kappa(\mu)} c_{\lambda, n}^{\mu} P_{\mu}(\text{unknot}),$$

where $\kappa(\mu)$ is the sum of contents of boxes in μ .

Rational Cherednik algebras

Definition

The rational Cherednik algebra associated with S_n is generated by $\mathbb{C}[S_n]$ and $2n$ additional generators x_1, \dots, x_n and y_1, \dots, y_n with the following relations:

$$[x_i, x_j] = 0, [y_i, y_j] = 0, [y_i, x_j] = c(ij), [y_i, x_i] = 1 - c \sum_{j \neq i} (ij).$$

It depends on the parameter c , which significantly affects the representation theory.

It has a polynomial representation $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$:

- ▶ x_i act by multiplication
- ▶ S_n acts as usual
- ▶ y_i act by *Dunkl operators*:

$$y_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - c \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1 - (ij)}{x_i - x_j}.$$

Rational Cherednik algebras

Representations

More generally, if U_μ is a representation of S_n , then one can define

- ▶ “Highest weight” representation
 $M_c(\mu) = U_\mu \otimes \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$
- ▶ Its irreducible quotient $L_c(\mu)$

Each of them is a graded representation of S_n . We are ready to formulate more precise result:

Theorem

Let λ be a Young diagram of side d . The following identity holds:

$$P_\lambda(T(m, n)) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-a)^i \dim_q \operatorname{Hom}_{S_{nd}} (\wedge^i W, L_{\frac{m}{n}}(n\lambda)),$$

where W is the reflection representation of S_{nd} .

Rational Cherednik algebras

Idea of proof

The proof is essentially a categorification of the Rosso-Jones formula and follows from two lemmas:

Lemma

The following identity holds in the Grothendieck group of representations:

$$[L_{\frac{m}{n}}(n\lambda)] = \sum_{\mu} c_{\lambda,n}^{\mu} [M_{\frac{m}{n}}(\mu)]$$

Lemma

The character of $M_{\frac{m}{n}}(\mu)$ can be computed by the formula:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-a)^i \dim_q \operatorname{Hom}_{S_{nd}} (\wedge^i W, M_{\frac{m}{n}}(\mu)) = q^{-\frac{m}{n}\kappa(\mu)} P_{\mu}(\text{unknot})$$

Rational Cherednik algebras

Example

Consider the rational Cherednik algebra of type S_2 , without the loss of generality assume $x_1 + x_2 = y_1 + y_2 = 0$, and let $x = x_1 - x_2$. The polynomial representation is just $\mathbb{C}[x]$, and

$$y(f) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - c \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{x}.$$

Note that

$$y(x^{2k}) = 2kx^{2k-1}, \quad y(x^{2k+1}) = (2k + 1 - 2c)x^{2k}.$$

Therefore for $c = 3/2$ we have $y(x^3) = 0$, so our algebra has a subrepresentation $x^3\mathbb{C}[x]$.

The corresponding irreducible quotient is 3-dimensional:

$$L_{\frac{3}{2}}(\square\square) = \langle 1, x, x^2 \rangle.$$

This matches

$$P_{\square}(T(2, 3)) = 1 - aq + q^2$$

Rational Cherednik algebras

Example cont'd

In the Rosso-Jones formula we start from $S_{\square} = \sum x_i$ and change it to

$$\sum x_i^2 = S_{\square\square} - S_{\begin{smallmatrix} \square \\ \square \end{smallmatrix}}.$$

Therefore the invariant of $T(2, 3)$ equals

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\square}(T(2, 3)) &= q^{-3/2} P_{\square\square}(\text{unknot}) - q^{3/2} P_{\begin{smallmatrix} \square \\ \square \end{smallmatrix}}(\text{unknot}) = \\ &= q^{-3/2} \left(P_{\square\square}(\text{unknot}) - q^3 P_{\begin{smallmatrix} \square \\ \square \end{smallmatrix}}(\text{unknot}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

$P_{\square\square}(\text{unknot})$ computes the character of $\mathbb{C}[x]$, and $P_{\begin{smallmatrix} \square \\ \square \end{smallmatrix}}(\text{unknot})$ computes the character of $x^3\mathbb{C}[x]$.

Towards knot homology

Conjecture

Khovanov and Rozansky defined knot homology theories categorifying $P_{\square}(a, q)$ and $P_{\square, N}(q)$ for all N .

Theorem (Rasmussen)

There exists a spectral sequence starting at HOMFLY-PT homology and converging to \mathfrak{sl}_N homology.

Conjecture (G., Oblomkov, Rasmussen, Shende)

- There exists a filtration on $L_{\frac{m}{n}}(n)$ such that the associated graded space is isomorphic to HOMFLY-PT homology of $T(m, n)$.*
- The differentials in the Rasmussen's spectral sequence can be explicitly expressed in terms of x_i and y_i .*

Towards knot homology

Evidence for the conjecture

- ▶ The filtration is symmetric in m and n . From representation theory viewpoint, the isomorphism between certain components of $L_{\frac{m}{n}}(n)$ and $L_{\frac{n}{m}}(m)$ is very nontrivial.
- ▶ The filtration is symmetric in q and t . This symmetry corresponds to the involution on the Cherednik algebra, exchanging x_i and y_i .
- ▶ In “stable limit” $m \rightarrow \infty$ the filtration matches HOMFLY-PT homology.
- ▶ The answers match all known data for HOMFLY-PT and \mathfrak{sl}_2 homology.

Towards knot homology

Example: $(n, n + 1)$ knots

For $m = n + 1$ the filtration was constructed by Gordon, who proved that the associated graded is isomorphic to the space of *diagonal harmonics*:

$$grL_{\frac{n+1}{n}}(n) \simeq \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n] / (\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n]_{+}^{S_n}).$$

The character of this space was explicitly computed by Haiman in terms of Macdonald polynomials.

Thank you