Eugene Gorsky University of California, Davis

Faculty Research Seminar January 21, 2020

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Why?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Why?

► Why not?

Why?

- Why not?
- Knots are complicated, algebraic geometry allows explicit computations

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Why?

- Why not?
- Knots are complicated, algebraic geometry allows explicit computations
- Ideas from algebraic geometry motivate new, more rigid structures in knot invariants

Why?

- Why not?
- Knots are complicated, algebraic geometry allows explicit computations
- Ideas from algebraic geometry motivate new, more rigid structures in knot invariants

 Ideas from topology provide new perspective to algebro-geometric problems

Why?

- Why not?
- Knots are complicated, algebraic geometry allows explicit computations
- Ideas from algebraic geometry motivate new, more rigid structures in knot invariants
- Ideas from topology provide new perspective to algebro-geometric problems
- Both topology and algebraic geometry have deep relations to mathematical physics

A link is a closed 1-dimensional submanifold in the sphere  $S^3$ . If it has one connected component, it is called a knot. Using stereographic projection, one can place knots and links in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

Two knots are equivalent if one can be continuously deformed into another without tearing their strands.



## Examples of knots<sup>1</sup>



 $^1 {\sf Rolfsen's}$  knot table,www.katlas.org

Knot invariants:

- Alexander polynomial
- Jones polynomial
- HOMFLY-PT polynomial
- Reshetikhin-Turaev invariants

They are closely related to representation theory of quantum groups and Hecke algebras. Applications:

- To distinguish knots
- Alexander polynomial gives bounds for the genus of a surface bounding knot in S<sup>3</sup> (Seifert genus)
- HOMFLY-PT polynomial gives bounds for the braid index

### HOMFLY polynomial

HOMFLY-PT polynomial was discovered by Hoste, Ocneanu, Millett, Freyd, Lickorish, and Yetter, and independently by Przytycki and Traczyk. It is It is a rational function in *a* and *q* defined by the following *skein relation*:



### Link homology

In the last two decades, several link homology theories were introduced. Some (like *Heegaard Floer homology*) have rather complicated definition, but can be computed explicitly for many knots and links. Others (like *Khovanov and Khovanov-Rozansky homology*) have easier combinatorial or algebraic definition, but are very hard to compute.

Applications:

- Exact formulas for Seifert genus
- Bounds for the genus of a surface bounding knot in B<sup>4</sup> (slice genus)

 Bounds for unknotting number, splitting number for links...

### Where's algebraic geometry?

I will talk about two different connections between algebraic geometry and knot theory:

- 1. Algebraic links and their invariants
- 2. Hilbert schemes and Khovanov-Rozansky homology

### Algebraic links

Let  $\{f(x, y) = 0\}$  be a plane curve singularity in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Its intersection with a small sphere is a knot or link, such links are called *algebraic*.

 $\{xy = 0\}$  corresponds to Hopf link



 $\{x^3 = y^2\}$  corresponds to trefoil





・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

### Algebraic links

Theorem (Milnor, A'Campo, . . ., Campillo, Delgado, Gusein-Zade)

Alexander polynomial of an algebraic link can be computed in terms of algebraic geometry of  $\{f = 0\}$ .

### Theorem (G., Némethi)

Heegaard Floer homology of an algebraic link can be computed in terms of algebraic geometry of  $\{f = 0\}$ .

The answer uses certain strata in the space of (algebraic) functions on  $\{f = 0\}$ . It is related to multi-dimensional semigroups, hyperplane arrangements,...

#### Remark

Suppose that you have coins worth 3 and 5 cents. For which n you can change n cents using these coins? The answer is related to the Alexander polynomial of (3,5) torus knot.

### Algebraic links

Theorem (Maulik, Oblomkov, Shende) The HOMFLY-PT polynomial of an algebraic link can be computed in terms of algebraic geometry of  $\{f = 0\}$ .

Conjecture (Oblomkov, Rasmussen, Shende)

Khovanov-Rozansky homology of an algebraic link can be computed in terms of algebraic geometry of  $\{f = 0\}$ . The answer uses Hilbert scheme of points on  $\{f = 0\}$ . The conjecture is proved recently for torus knots, but wide open in general. It is related to deep algebraic geometry, geometric representation theory and combinatorics, and even mathematical physics: compactified Jacobians, Hitchin fibration, affine Springer fibres, Cherednik algebras, Coulomb branches of QFT, q, t-Catalan numbers...

### Hilbert schemes

The symmetric power of a space X is the space of unordered n-tuples of points on X, denoted by  $S^n X$ .

For  $X = \mathbb{C}$ , by Fundamental Theorem of Algebra we have  $S^n \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}^n$ .

For  $X = \mathbb{C}^2$ , the space  $S^n \mathbb{C}^2$  is very singular. However, it has a nice resolution of singularities called Hilb<sup>*n*</sup>  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , the Hilbert scheme of *n* points on  $\mathbb{C}^2$ .

The Hilbert scheme plays a central role in modern algebraic geometry and geometric representation theory.

### Conjecture (G., Neguț, Rasmussen)

The categorical (co)center of the braid group on n strands agrees with the derived category of  $Hilb^n \mathbb{C}^2$ .

More concretely, we expect that for any braid  $\beta$  there is a vector bundle (or a sheaf, or a complex of sheaves...)  $\mathcal{F}_{\beta}$  on Hilb<sup>n</sup>  $\mathbb{C}^2$  such that the space of sections of  $\mathcal{F}_{\beta}$  agrees with Khovanov-Rozansky homology of  $\beta$ .

For many braids, we know  $\mathcal{F}_{\beta}$  explicitly.

### Hilbert schemes

Even more concretely, recall that the center of the braid group is generated by the *full twist* **ft**. The closure of **ft**<sup>k</sup> is the (n, kn) torus link with n components.

Consider the diagonal action of  $S_n$  on  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n]$ . Let A be the subspace of antisymmetric polynomials, and let J be the ideal generated by A.

The ideal J is closely related to the homogeneous coordinate ring of  $\operatorname{Hilb}^n \mathbb{C}^2$ .

### Theorem (G., Hogancamp)

- For all  $k \ge 0$  one has  $KhR(\mathbf{ft}^k) \simeq J^k/(y)J^k$
- This isomorphism agrees with the muliplication
  ft<sup>k</sup> × ft<sup>l</sup> = ft<sup>k+l</sup>
- We define a deformation ("y-ification") of Khovanov-Rozansky homology and show that the deformed homology of ft<sup>k</sup> recovers J<sup>k</sup>.

### Open questions

There are lots of open questions, and very active recent developments. Here are just a few directions:

- Heegaard Floer homology for non-algebraic links with several components
- Computing Khovanov-Rozansky homology and Hilb({f = 0}) beyond torus knots
- Algebro-geometric models for Jones polynomial and Khovanov homology

- Invariants of 3-manifolds
- Categorified skein algebras

### Students





Oscar Kivinen (Caltech/U Toronto) is working on affine Springer theory and Hilbert schemes on singular curves

Beibei Liu (Max Planck/Georgia Tech) is working on Heegaard Floer homology and geometric group theory

・ロト ・雪ト ・ヨト

### Advice

- Start going to research seminars as early as possible
- Start reading groups with faculty and postdocs
- It takes 2-3 years to learn the context before you start working on your own problem
- Go to summer schools and conferences. Meet and talk to grad students at other universities!

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Go to Anna Beliakova's talk today!

# Thank you