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Introduction

In the last two decades, several link homology theories were introduced. Some (like *Heegaard Floer homology*) have rather complicated definition, but can be computed explicitly for many knots and links. Others (like *Khovanov and Khovanov-Rozansky homology*) have easier combinatorial or algebraic definition, but are very hard to compute.

I will talk about the project of computing Khovanov-Rozansky homology by means of algebraic geometry. Roughly speaking:

- To a link $L$ one can associate a vector bundle (or a coherent sheaf) $\mathcal{F}_L$ on the *Hilbert scheme of points on the plane*.
- The space of sections of $\mathcal{F}_L$ (or sheaf cohomology) matches Khovanov-Rozansky homology of $L$. 
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Hilbert scheme of points

The symmetric power $S^n \mathbb{C}^2$ is the space of unordered $n$-tuples of points on $\mathbb{C}^2$.

The Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}^n \mathbb{C}^2$ is the moduli space of ideals $I \subset \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ such that $\dim \mathbb{C}[x, y]/I = n$. Such an ideal is supported on a finite subset of $n$ points in $\mathbb{C}^2$ (with multiplicities), this defines the Hilbert-Chow morphism:

$$HC : \text{Hilb}^n \mathbb{C}^2 \to S^n \mathbb{C}^2.$$ 

Theorem (Fogarty)
$\text{Hilb}^n \mathbb{C}^2$ is a smooth manifold of dimension $2n$. 
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Torus action

The natural scaling action of \((\mathbb{C}^*)^2\) lifts to an action on \(S^n \mathbb{C}^2\) and on \(\text{Hilb}^n \mathbb{C}^2\). It has a finite number of fixed points corresponding to monomial ideals.

Example:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(y^3)</th>
<th>(xy^3)</th>
<th>(x^2y^3)</th>
<th>(x^3y^3)</th>
<th>(x^4y^3)</th>
<th>(x^5y^3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(y^2)</td>
<td>(xy^2)</td>
<td>(x^2y^2)</td>
<td>(x^3y^2)</td>
<td>(x^4y^2)</td>
<td>(x^5y^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(y)</td>
<td>(xy)</td>
<td>(x^2y)</td>
<td>(x^3y)</td>
<td>(x^4y)</td>
<td>(x^5y)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(x)</td>
<td>(x^2)</td>
<td>(x^3)</td>
<td>(x^4)</td>
<td>(x^5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The ideal is generated by \(y^3, xy^2, x^3y, x^4\).
The isospectral Hilbert scheme $X_n$ is defined as the reduced fiber product

\[
X_n \longrightarrow \text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2) \\
\downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
(\mathbb{C}^2)^n \longrightarrow S^n\mathbb{C}^2
\]

It is singular, but has a lot of nice algebro-geometric properties which were used by Haiman in his proof of $n!$ theorem for Macdonald polynomials.
Consider the diagonal action of $S_n$ on $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n]$.
Let $A$ be the subspace of antisymmetric polynomials, and let $J$ be the ideal generated by $A$.

**Theorem (Haiman)**

*Both* $\text{Hilb}^n \mathbb{C}^2$ *and* $X_n$ *carry natural line bundles* $\mathcal{O}(k)$. *For* $k > 0$, *one has*

$$H^0(\text{Hilb}^n \mathbb{C}^2, \mathcal{O}(k)) = A^k, \ H^0(X_n, \mathcal{O}(k)) = J^k.$$

*In fact, this completely determines* $\text{Hilb}^n \mathbb{C}^2$ *and* $X_n$ *as algebraic varieties.*
Knot invariants

A link is a closed 1-dimensional submanifold in the sphere $S^3$. If it has one connected component, it is called a knot. Using stereographic projection, one can place knots and links in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

Two knots are equivalent if one can be continuously deformed into another without tearing their strands.
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Examples of knots\(^1\)

\(^1\)Rolfsen’s knot table, www.katlas.org
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HOMFLY polynomial

HOMFLY-PT polynomial was discovered by Hoste, Ocneanu, Millett, Freyd, Lickorish, and Yetter, and independently by Przytycki and Traczyk. It is closely related to representation theory of quantum groups and Hecke algebras. It is a rational function in $a$ and $q$ defined by the following skein relation:

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{array}{c}
\begin{array}{c}
 a \\
 -a^{-1}
\end{array}
\end{array} = (q - q^{-1}) \\
\begin{array}{c}
 k
\end{array} = (a - a^{-1})^{k-1},
\end{align*}
\]

\[
= 1
\]
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Khovanov-Rozansky homology

Khovanov and Rozansky defined a categorification of HOMFLY polynomial using so-called Soergel bimodules.

Let $R = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, and

$$B_i = \frac{\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n, x'_1, \ldots, x'_n]}{x_i + x_{i+1} = x'_i + x'_{i+1}, x_ix_{i+1} = x'_ix'_{i+1}, x_j = x'_j \ (j \neq i, i+1)}$$

We can regard $B_i$ as a $R - R$-bimodule, where the left action is given by $x_i$ and the right action by $x'_i$.

Fact: There are bimodule maps $B_i \rightarrow R$, $R \rightarrow B_i$. 
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Khovanov-Rozansky homology

Consider the complexes of bimodules:

\[ T_i = [B_i \rightarrow R], \quad T_i^{-1} = [R \rightarrow B_i]. \]

**Theorem (Rouquier)**

The complexes \( T_i, T_i^{-1} \) satisfy braid relations up to homotopy. In particular, \( T_i \otimes T_i^{-1} \simeq R \).

**Corollary**

For any braid \( \beta \) on \( n \) strands, one can define a complex \( T(\beta) \):

\[
\begin{align*}
T_i & \leftrightarrow \cdots \big/ \big/ \cdots \big/ \big/ \cdots \big/ \big/ \cdots \big/ \big/ \cdots \big/ \big/ \cdots \big/ \big/ \cdots \\
T_i^{-1} & \leftrightarrow \cdots \big/ \big/ \cdots \big/ \big/ \cdots \big/ \big/ \cdots \big/ \big/ \cdots \big/ \big/ \cdots \big/ \big/ \cdots
\end{align*}
\]
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Khovanov-Rozansky homology

We are ready to define Khovanov-Rozansky homology\(^2\):

\[
\text{KhR}(\beta) = H^*\left(\text{Hom}(R, T(\beta))\right).
\]

**Theorem (Khovanov-Rozansky)**

\(\text{KhR}(\beta)\) is a topological invariant of the closure of \(\beta\).

\(^2\)For experts, we focus on the \(a = 0\) part only
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Properties

Khovanov-Rozansky homology has lots of nice properties:

- \( \text{KhR}(\beta) \) is a triply graded vector space
- In fact, it is a graded module over \( R = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \)
  (more precisely, the variables correspond to link components)
- \( \text{KhR} \) is functorial: a braid cobordism between \( \beta \) and \( \beta' \)
  corresponds to a linear map \( \text{KhR}(\beta) \to \text{KhR}(\beta') \).
- There is a natural multiplication:

\[
\text{KhR}(\alpha) \otimes \text{KhR}(\beta) \to \text{KhR}(\alpha\beta)
\]

But it is very hard to compute! Indeed, \( T(\beta) \) has \( 2^c \) terms for a braid with \( c \) crossings...
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Main result

Let $ft$ denote the full twist braid. The closure of $ft^k$ is the $(n, kn)$ torus link with $n$ components.

Theorem (G., Hogancamp)

- For all $k \geq 0$ one has $\text{KhR}(ft^k) \cong J^k / (y) J^k$
- This isomorphism agrees with the multiplication $ft^k \times ft^l = ft^{k+l}$ and the $R$-module structure.
- We define a deformation ("y-ification") of Khovanov-Rozansky homology and show that the deformed homology of $ft^k$ recovers $J^k$.

Here $J$ is the Haiman’s ideal.
Given a braid $\beta$, consider the space

$$M_\beta = \bigoplus_k \text{KhR}(\beta \cdot ft^k).$$

It is a graded module over the graded algebra

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_k \text{KhR}(ft^k) = \bigoplus_k J^k / (y) J^k,$$

and therefore defines a sheaf on $\text{Proj} \mathcal{A} = X_n$. 
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Example: Torus knots

In many examples, we know the sheaf $\mathcal{F}_\beta$ explicitly. For torus knots:

- (Elias, Hogancamp, Mellit) Khovanov-Rozansky homology of $T(m, n)$ has explicit combinatorial description
- (G., Neguț) It matches the refined Chern-Simons invariants of Aganagic-Shakirov and Cherednik
- (G., Mazin, Vazirani) It matches generalized $q, t$-Catalan numbers of Garsia-Haiman
- Closely related to the Rational Shuffle Conjecture in algebraic combinatorics recently proved by Carlsson and Mellit.
- (G., Oblomkov, Rasmussen, Shende, Yun) Related to the geometry of compactified Jacobians and affine Springer fibres
Future directions/work in progress

- Identify the spectral sequences to $sl(N)$ homology
- Oblomkov and Rozansky recently defined another link invariant using sheaves on the Hilbert scheme. Does it agree with $\mathcal{F}_\beta$?
- Soergel bimodules categorify the Hecke algebra. Can we see the algebraic structures (Kazhdan-Lusztig cells, center...) from Hilbert scheme perspective?
- Can we visualize geometric structures on Hilbert schemes (affine charts, monomial ideals, Nakajima correspondences...) using braids?
Thank you