

**Solutions: Problem Set 6**  
**Math 201B, Winter 2007**

**Problem 1.** Consider the Schrödinger equation on the circle,

$$\begin{aligned}iu_t &= u_{xx}, & x \in \mathbb{T}, t \in \mathbb{R}, \\u(x, 0) &= f(x), & x \in \mathbb{T},\end{aligned}$$

where  $u : \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and the derivatives are interpreted in an appropriate sense.

(a) Solve for  $u(x, t)$  by the use of Fourier series. If  $U(t) = u(\cdot, t) \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$ , show that

$$U(t) = T(t)f$$

where  $T(t) : L^2(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{T})$  is a bounded linear operator, defined for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

(b) Show that  $T(t)$  is a unitary operator.

(c) Briefly compare the qualitative properties (smoothing, reversibility, long-time behavior) of the Schrödinger equation with those of the heat equation.

**Solution.**

- Writing  $u$  in a Fourier series,

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{u}_n(t) e^{inx},$$

and taking Fourier coefficients of the equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned}i \frac{d\hat{u}_n}{dt} &= -n^2 \hat{u}_n, \\ \hat{u}_n(0) &= \hat{f}_n.\end{aligned}$$

The solution is

$$\hat{u}_n(t) = \hat{f}_n e^{in^2 t}.$$

It follows that

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}_n e^{in^2 t} e^{inx}.$$

- We may write  $u(\cdot, t) = T(t)f$  where the operator  $T(t)$  is defined by

$$(\widehat{T(t)f})_n = e^{in^2t} \hat{f}_n.$$

Note that since  $|e^{in^2t}| = 1$ , Parseval's theorem implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(t)f\|^2 &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| (\widehat{T(t)f})_n \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| e^{in^2t} \hat{f}_n \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \hat{f}_n \right|^2 \\ &= \|f\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $T(t)f \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$  for every  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$ , and  $T(t)$  is an isometry on  $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ .

- Similarly if  $g \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$ , then, since  $e^{in^2t} \neq 0$ , there exists a unique  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$  such that  $Tf = g$ , given by

$$\hat{f}_n = e^{-in^2t} \hat{g}_n.$$

Thus,  $T(t)$  is invertible, with

$$T^{-1}(t) : L^2(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{T})$$

defined by

$$(\widehat{T(t)^{-1}f})_n = e^{-in^2t} \hat{f}_n.$$

- It follows from Parseval's theorem that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, Tg \rangle &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{\hat{f}_n} \widehat{Tg}_n \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{\hat{f}_n} e^{in^2t} \hat{g}_n \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{e^{-in^2t} \hat{f}_n} \hat{g}_n \\ &= \langle T^*f, g \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(\widehat{T^*(t)f})_n = e^{-in^2t} \hat{f}_n.$$

We see that  $T^*(t) = T^{-1}(t)$ , so  $T(t)$  is unitary.

- (c) A similar argument to the one above shows that for any  $s \geq 0$

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (1 + n^2)^s \left| (\widehat{T(t)f})_n \right|^2 = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (1 + n^2)^s \left| \hat{f}_n \right|^2$$

so  $T(t)f \in H^s(\mathbb{T})$  if and only if  $f \in H^s(\mathbb{T})$ . Thus, the solutions at time  $t$  has exactly the same smoothness, as measured by the Sobolev spaces  $H^s(\mathbb{T})$ , as the initial data  $f$ , and, unlike the heat equation, the Schrödinger equation does not smooth the solution.

- The Schrödinger equation can be solved both forwards and backwards in time, unlike the heat equation which can be solved only forwards in time.
- Finally, unlike the solution of the heat equation, the solution of the Schrödinger equation does not approach a steady state as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ; instead it is an almost-periodic, oscillatory function of  $t$ .

**Remark.** The Schrödinger equation is a typical example of a dispersive wave equation. This partial differential equation describes a single non-relativistic quantum mechanical particle, which is not subject to any forces, that moves around a one-dimensional circle. The wave-function  $u(x, t)$  has the interpretation that  $|u(x, t)|^2$  is the spatial probability density of finding the particle at the spatial location  $x$  at time  $t$ .

**Problem 2.** (a) Suppose that  $P, Q$  are orthogonal projections on a Hilbert space. Prove that  $PQ = 0$  if and only if  $\text{ran } P \perp \text{ran } Q$ .

(b) Suppose that  $\{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n\}$  is a family of orthogonal projections on a Hilbert space, and  $P_j P_k = 0$  for  $j \neq k$ . Prove that  $P_1 + P_2 + \dots + P_n$  is an orthogonal projection.

(c) Suppose that  $\{P_k \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a countably-infinite family of orthogonal projections on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  such that

$$\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ran } P_k = \mathcal{H}, \quad P_j P_k = 0 \quad \text{for } j \neq k.$$

Prove that for every  $x \in \mathcal{H}$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_k x = x,$$

where the series converges strongly (i.e. with respect to the norm) in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Is it true or false that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_k = I,$$

where the series converges with respect to the operator norm on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ ?

**Solution.**

- (a) If  $PQ = 0$ , then  $\text{ran } Q \subset \ker P$ , so  $(\ker P)^\perp \subset (\text{ran } Q)^\perp$ . Since  $(\ker P)^\perp = \text{ran } P$ , we see that  $\text{ran } P \perp \text{ran } Q$ .
- Conversely, if  $\text{ran } P \perp \text{ran } Q$ , then  $\text{ran } P \subset (\text{ran } Q)^\perp$ , which implies that  $(\text{ran } Q)^{\perp\perp} \subset (\text{ran } P)^\perp$ . Since  $\text{ran } Q$  is closed,  $(\text{ran } Q)^{\perp\perp} = \text{ran } Q$ , and since  $P$  is an orthogonal projection  $(\text{ran } P)^\perp = \ker P$ . Hence  $\text{ran } Q \subset \ker P$ , and  $PQ = 0$ .
- (b) Let  $E = P_1 + \dots + P_n$ . Since  $P_j^* = P_j$ ,  $P_j^2 = P_j$ , and  $P_j P_k = 0$  for  $j \neq k$ , we have

$$E^* = (P_1 + \dots + P_n)^* = P_1^* + \dots + P_n^* = P_1 + \dots + P_n = E,$$

and

$$E^2 = \left( \sum_{j=1}^n P_j \right) \left( \sum_{k=1}^n P_k \right) = \sum_{j,k=1}^n P_j P_k = \sum_{j=1}^n P_j^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n P_j = E,$$

so  $E$  is an orthogonal projection.

- (c) Let

$$E_n = \sum_{k=1}^n P_k.$$

Then  $E_n$  is an orthogonal projection, so  $\langle x, E_n x \rangle$  is real, and

$$\|E_n x\|^2 = \langle E_n x, E_n x \rangle = \langle x, E_n^2 x \rangle = \langle x, E_n x \rangle.$$

As in the proof of Bessel's inequality, we compute that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \|E_n x - x\|^2 \\ &\leq \langle E_n x - x, E_n x - x \rangle \\ &\leq \|E_n x\|^2 - 2\langle x, E_n x \rangle + \|x\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x\|^2 - \|E_n x\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

so for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\|E_n x\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2.$$

- Since the  $P_k$  are mutually orthogonal projections, the sequence  $(P_k x)$  is orthogonal, and by the Pythagorean theorem

$$\|E_n x\|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n \|P_k x\|^2.$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \|P_k x\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2,$$

which implies that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_k x$  converges, to  $y \in \mathcal{H}$ , say.

- Suppose that  $z \in \text{ran } P_k$ . Then  $P_k z = z$  and  $z \in (\text{ran } P_j)^\perp$  for  $j \neq k$ , so

$$\langle z, y \rangle = \left\langle z, \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P_j x \right\rangle = \langle z, P_k x \rangle = \langle P_k z, x \rangle = \langle z, x \rangle.$$

It follows that  $(x - y) \perp \text{ran } P_k$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , which implies that

$$(x - y) \perp \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ran } P_k.$$

Hence  $x - y = 0$ , and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_k x = x.$$

**Problem 3.** (a) Suppose that  $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$  are Hilbert spaces. Define  $\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$  as the linear space of ordered pairs

$$\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2 = \{(x_1, x_2) \mid x_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2\},$$

with the inner product of  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$ , with  $x = (x_1, x_2), y = (y_1, y_2)$ , defined by

$$\langle x, y \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2} = \langle x_1, y_1 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_1} + \langle x_2, y_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_2}.$$

Prove that  $\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$  is a Hilbert space.

(b) Suppose that  $\{\mathcal{H}_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\}$  is an arbitrary indexed family of Hilbert spaces. Define

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} \mathcal{H}_\alpha = \left\{ (x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A} \mid x_\alpha \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha, \sum_{\alpha \in A} \|x_\alpha\|^2 < \infty \right\},$$

with the inner product of

$$x = (x_\alpha) \in \bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} \mathcal{H}_\alpha, \quad y = (y_\alpha) \in \bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} \mathcal{H}_\alpha$$

defined by

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{\alpha \in A} \langle x_\alpha, y_\alpha \rangle.$$

Prove that  $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} \mathcal{H}_\alpha$  is a Hilbert space.

**Solution.**

- (a) This is straightforward to verify.
- (b) First, we prove that

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} \mathcal{H}_\alpha$$

is a linear space. If  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $x = (x_\alpha) \in \mathcal{H}$ , then

$$\sum_{\alpha \in A} \|\lambda x_\alpha\|^2 = |\lambda|^2 \sum_{\alpha \in A} \|x_\alpha\|^2 < \infty,$$

so  $\lambda x \in \mathcal{H}$ . If  $x = (x_\alpha) \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $y = (y_\alpha) \in \mathcal{H}$ , and  $I \subset A$  is a finite subset, then using the triangle inequality in  $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$  and the triangle inequality in  $\ell^2(I)$ ,

$$\left( \sum_{\alpha \in I} [a_\alpha + b_\alpha]^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \left( \sum_{\alpha \in I} |a_\alpha|^2 \right)^{1/2} + \left( \sum_{\alpha \in I} |b_\alpha|^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{\alpha \in I} \|x_\alpha + y_\alpha\|^2 \right)^{1/2} &\leq \left( \sum_{\alpha \in A} [\|x_\alpha\| + \|y_\alpha\|]^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{\alpha \in I} \|x_\alpha\|^2 \right)^{1/2} + \left( \sum_{\alpha \in I} \|y_\alpha\|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\sum_{\alpha \in A} \|x_\alpha + y_\alpha\|^2 < \infty$ , so  $(x + y) \in \mathcal{H}$ .

- The series defining the inner product is absolutely convergent and well-defined on  $\mathcal{H}$  as an unordered sum since, by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, for any finite subset  $I \subset A$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha \in I} |\langle x_\alpha, y_\alpha \rangle| &\leq \sum_{\alpha \in I} \|x_\alpha\| \|y_\alpha\| \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{\alpha \in I} \|x_\alpha\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{\alpha \in I} \|y_\alpha\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \|x\| \|y\|. \end{aligned}$$

It is straightforward to verify that  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  has the properties of an inner product.

- The main thing we need to prove is that  $\mathcal{H}$  is complete. Suppose that  $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathcal{H}$ , with  $x_n = (x_{n,\alpha})_{\alpha \in A}$ , where  $x_{n,\alpha} \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$ . Then, since

$$\|x_{n,\alpha} - x_{m,\alpha}\| \leq \|x_n - x_m\|,$$

$(x_{n,\alpha})_{n=1}^\infty$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$  for each  $\alpha \in A$ . Since  $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$  is complete, there exists  $x_\alpha \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$  such that  $x_{n,\alpha} \rightarrow x_\alpha$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $x = (x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ . We claim that  $\|x - x_n\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ , meaning that  $\mathcal{H}$  is complete.

- If  $I \subset A$  is any finite subset, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha \in I} \|x_\alpha - x_{n,\alpha}\|^2 &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\alpha \in I} \|x_{m,\alpha} - x_{n,\alpha}\|^2 \\ &\leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\alpha \in A} \|x_{m,\alpha} - x_{n,\alpha}\|^2 \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|x_m - x_n\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since the sequence  $(x_n)$  is Cauchy, given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\|x_m - x_n\| < \epsilon$  for all  $n, m \geq N$ . It follows that if  $n \geq N$ , then  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|x_m - x_n\|^2 \leq \epsilon^2$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - x_n\| &= \left( \sum_{\alpha \in A} \|x_\alpha - x_{n,\alpha}\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \left( \sum_{\alpha \in I} \|x_\alpha - x_{n,\alpha}\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \mid I \subset A \text{ finite} \right\} \\ &\leq \epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

meaning that  $\|x - x_n\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

- By the previous proof, we can pick  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\|x - x_n\| \leq 1$ , meaning that  $x - x_n \in \mathcal{H}$ . Then  $x = (x - x_n) + x_n \in \mathcal{H}$  since  $\mathcal{H}$  is closed under addition.

**Remark.** A special case of this proof is the fact that

$$\ell^2(\mathbb{N}) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{C}$$

is a Hilbert space.