### 14. Properties of the Determinant

Last time we showed that the determinant of a matrix is non-zero if and only if that matrix is invertible. We also showed that the determinant is a *multiplicative* function, in the sense that  $\det(MN) = \det M \det N$ . Now we will devise some methods for calculating the determinant.

Recall that:

$$\det M = \sum_{\sigma} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) m_{\sigma(1)}^{1} m_{\sigma(2)}^{2} \dots m_{\sigma(n)}^{n}.$$

A minor of an  $n \times n$  matrix M is any square matrix obtained from M by deleting rows and columns. In particular, any entry  $m_j^i$  of M is associated to a minor obtained by deleting the ith row and jth column of M.

It is possible to write the determinant of a matrix in terms of the determinants of its minors as follows:

$$\begin{split} \det M &= \sum_{\sigma} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) m_{\sigma(1)}^1 m_{\sigma(2)}^2 \dots m_{\sigma(n)}^n \\ &= m_1^1 \sum_{\hat{\sigma}} \operatorname{sgn}(\hat{\sigma}) m_{\hat{\sigma}(2)}^2 \dots m_{\hat{\sigma}(n)}^n \\ &- m_2^1 \sum_{\hat{\sigma}} \operatorname{sgn}(\hat{\sigma}) m_{\hat{\sigma}(1)}^1 m_{\hat{\sigma}(3)}^3 \dots m_{\hat{\sigma}(n)}^n \\ &+ m_3^1 \sum_{\hat{\sigma}} \operatorname{sgn}(\hat{\sigma}) m_{\hat{\sigma}(1)}^1 \dots m_{\hat{\sigma}(n)}^n \pm \dots \end{split}$$

Here the symbols  $\hat{\sigma}$  refer to permutations of n-1 objects. What we're doing here is collecting up all of the terms of the original sum that contain the term  $m_j^1$  for some fixed j. Each term in that collection is associated to a permutation sending  $1 \to j$ . The rest of any such permutation maps the set  $\{2, \ldots, n\} \to \{1, \ldots, \hat{j}, \ldots, n\}$ . We call this partial permutation  $\hat{\sigma} = \left[\sigma(2) \ldots \sigma(n)\right]$ .

The last issue is that the permutation  $\hat{\sigma}$  may not have the same sign as  $\sigma$ . From previous homework, we know that a permutation has the same parity as its inversion number. Removing  $1 \to j$  from a permutation the reduces the inversion number by the number of elements right of j that are less than j. Since j comes first in the permutation  $\begin{bmatrix} j & \sigma(2) & \dots & \sigma(n) \end{bmatrix}$ , the inversion number of  $\hat{\sigma}$  is reduced by j-1. Then the sign of  $\sigma$  differs from the sign of  $\hat{\sigma}$  if  $\sigma$  sends 1 to an even number.

Graphically, to expand by minors we pick an entry  $m_j^1$  of the first row, then add  $(-1)^{j-1}$  times the determinant of the matrix with row i and column j deleted.

**Example** Let's compute the determinant of  $M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{pmatrix}$  using expansion by minors.

$$\det M = 1 \det \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 6 \\ 8 & 9 \end{pmatrix} - 2 \det \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 6 \\ 7 & 9 \end{pmatrix} + 3 \det \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 5 \\ 7 & 8 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= 1(5 \cdot 9 - 8 \cdot 6) - 2(4 \cdot 9 - 7 \cdot 6) + 3(4 \cdot 8 - 7 \cdot 5)$$
$$= 0$$

Then  $M^{-1}$  does not exist.

**Example** Sometimes the entries of a matrix allows us to simplify the calculation of the determinant. Take  $N = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then we can switch the first and second rows of N to get:

$$\det\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{pmatrix} = -\det\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= 4 \det\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 8 & 9 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= -16$$

**Theorem.** For any square matrix M, we have:

$$\det M^T = \det M$$

*Proof.* By definition,

$$\det M = \sum_{\sigma} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) m_{\sigma(1)}^{1} m_{\sigma(2)}^{2} \dots m_{\sigma(n)}^{n}.$$

For any permutation  $\sigma$ , there is a unique inverse permutation  $\sigma^{-1}$  that undoes  $\sigma$ . If  $\sigma$  sends  $i \to j$ , then  $\sigma^{-1}$  sends  $j \to i$ . In the two-line notation for a permutation, this corresponds to just flipping the permutation over. For example, if  $\sigma = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , then we can find  $\sigma^{-1}$  by flipping the permutation and then putting the columns in order:

$$\sigma^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since any permutation can be built up by transpositions, one can also find the inverse of a permutation  $\sigma$  by undoing each of the transpositions used to build up  $\sigma$ ; this shows that one can use the same number of transpositions to build  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma^{-1}$ . In particular,  $\operatorname{sgn} \sigma = \operatorname{sgn} \sigma^{-1}$ .

Then:

$$\begin{split} \det M &=& \sum_{\sigma} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) m_{\sigma(1)}^1 m_{\sigma(2)}^2 \dots m_{\sigma(n)}^n \\ &=& \sum_{\sigma} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) m_1^{\sigma^{-1}(1)} m_2^{\sigma^{-1}(2)} \dots m_n^{\sigma^{-1}(n)} \\ &=& \sum_{\sigma} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma^{-1}) m_1^{\sigma^{-1}(1)} m_2^{\sigma^{-1}(2)} \dots m_n^{\sigma^{-1}(n)} \\ &=& \sum_{\sigma} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) m_1^{\sigma(1)} m_2^{\sigma(2)} \dots m_n^{\sigma(n)} \\ &=& \det M^T. \end{split}$$

The last equality is due to the existence of a unique inverse permutation: summing over permutations is the same as summing over all inverses of permutations.  $\Box$ 

**Example** Because of this theorem, we can see that expansion by minors also works over columns. Let  $M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 5 & 6 \\ 0 & 8 & 9 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $\det M = \det M^T = 1 \det \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 8 \\ 6 & 9 \end{pmatrix} = -3$ .

## Determinant of the Inverse

Let M and N be  $n \times n$  matrices.

We previously showed that

$$det(MN) = det M det N$$
, and  $det I = 1$ .

Then  $1 = \det I = \det(MM^{-1}) = \det M \det M^{-1}$ . As such we have:

Theorem.

$$\det M^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det M}$$

## Adjoint of a Matrix

A cofactor of M is obtained choosing any entry  $m_j^i$  of M and then deleting the ith row and jth column of M, taking the determinant of the resulting matrix, and multiplying by $(-1)^{i+j}$ . This is written cofactor $(m_i^i)$ .

**Definition** For  $M=(m^i_j)$  a square matrix, The *adjoint matrix* adj M is given by:

$$\operatorname{adj} M = (\operatorname{cofactor}(m_i^i))^T$$

#### Example

$$\operatorname{adj} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \det \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & -\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ -\det \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \det \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & -\det \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \det \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & -\det \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \det \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}^{T}$$

Let's multiply M adj M. For any matrix N, the i, j entry of MN is given by taking the dot product of the ith row of M and the jth column of N.

Notice that the dot product of the ith row of M and the ith column of adj M is just the expansion by minors of det M in the ith row.

Further, notice that the dot product of the *i*th row of M and the *j*th column of adj M with  $j \neq i$  is the same as expanding M by minors, but with the *j*th row replaced by the *i*th row. Since the determinant of any matrix with a row repeated is zero, then these dot products are zero as well.

Then:

$$M \operatorname{adj} M = (\det M)I$$

Thus, when det  $M \neq 0$ , the adjoint gives an explicit formula for  $M^{-1}$ .

Theorem.

$$M^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det M} \operatorname{adj} M$$

Example Continuing with the previous example,

$$\operatorname{adj} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 2 \\ -1 & 3 & -1 \\ 1 & -3 & 7 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now, multiply:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 2 \\ -1 & 3 & -1 \\ 1 & -3 & 7 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{6} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 2 \\ -1 & 3 & -1 \\ 1 & -3 & 7 \end{pmatrix}$$

This process for finding the inverse matrix is sometimes called Cramer's Rule.

# Application: Volume of a Parallelpiped

Given three vectors u, v, w in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , the parallelpiped determined by the three vectors is the box whose edges are parallel to u, v, and w.

From calculus, we know that the volume of this object is  $|u \cdot (v \times w)|$ . This is the same as expansion by minors of the matrix whose columns are u, v, w. Then:

$$Volume = |\det \begin{pmatrix} u & v & w \end{pmatrix}|$$

#### References

Hefferon, Chapter Four, Section I.1 and I.3 Wikipedia:

- Determinant
- Elementary Matrix
- Cramer's Rule

## **Review Questions**

1. Let  $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ . Show:

$$\det M = \frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{tr} M)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} (M^2)$$

Suppose M is a  $3 \times 3$  matrix. Find and Verify a similar formular for det M in terms of  $\operatorname{tr}(M^3)$ ,  $(\operatorname{tr} M)(\operatorname{tr}(M^2))$ , and  $(\operatorname{tr} M)^3$ .

- 2. Suppose M = LU is an LU decomposition. Explain how you would efficiently compute det M in this case.
- 3. In computer science, the *complexity* of an algorithm is computed (roughly) by counting the number of times a given operation is performed. Suppose adding or subtracting any two numbers takes a seconds, and multiplying two numbers takes m seconds. Then, for example, computing  $2 \cdot 6 5$  would take a + m seconds.
  - i. How many additions and multiplications does it take to compute the determinant of a general  $2 \times 2$  matrix?
  - ii. Write a formula for the number of additions and multiplications it takes to compute the determinant of a general  $n \times n$  matrix using the definition of the determinant. Assume that finding (and multiplying by) the sign of a permutation is free.
  - iii. How many additions and multiplications does it take to compute the determinant of a general  $3 \times 3$  matrix using expansion by minors? Assuming m = 2a, is this faster than computing the determinant from the definition?