

## 25. Least Squares

Consider the linear system  $L(x) = v$ , where  $L : U \xrightarrow{\text{linear}} W$ , and  $v \in W$  is given. As we have seen, this system may have no solutions, a unique solution, or a space of solutions. But if  $v$  is not in the range of  $L$  then there will never be any solutions for  $L(x) = v$ .

However, for many applications we do not need an exact solution of the system; instead, we try to find the best approximation possible. To do this, we try to find  $x$  that minimizes  $\|L(x) - v\|$ .

“My work always tried to unite the Truth with the Beautiful, but when I had to choose one or the other, I usually chose the Beautiful.” – Herman Weyl.

This method has many applications, such as when trying to fit a (perhaps linear) function to a ‘noisy’ set of observations. For example, suppose we measured the position of a bicycle on a racetrack once every five seconds. Our observations won’t be exact, but so long as the observations are right on average, we can figure out a best-possible linear function of position of the bicycle in terms of time.

Suppose  $M$  is the matrix for  $L$  in some bases for  $U$  and  $W$ , and  $v$  and  $x$  are given by column vectors  $V$  and  $X$  in these bases. Then we need to approximate

$$MX - V \sim 0$$

Note that if  $\dim U = n$  and  $\dim W = m$  then  $M$  can be represented by an  $m \times n$  matrix. We can write  $W = L(U) \oplus L(U)^\perp$ . Then we can uniquely write  $V = V^\parallel + V^\perp$ , with  $V^\parallel \in L(U)$  and  $V^\perp \in L(U)^\perp$ .

Then we should solve  $L(u) = V^\parallel$ . In components,  $V^\perp$  is just  $V - MX$ , and is the part we will eventually wish to minimize.

In terms of  $M$ , recall that  $L(U)$  is spanned by the columns of  $M$ . (In the natural basis, the columns of  $M$  are  $Me_1, \dots, Me_n$ .) Then  $V^\perp$  must be perpendicular to the columns of  $M$ . *i.e.*,  $M^T(V - MX) = 0$ , or

$$M^T MX = M^T V.$$

Solutions  $X$  to  $M^T MX = M^T V$  are called *least squares* solutions to  $MX = V$ .

Notice that any solution  $X$  to  $MX = V$  is a least squares solution. However, the converse is often false. In fact, the equation  $MX = V$  may have no solutions at all, but still have least squares solutions to  $M^T MX = M^T V$ .

Observe that since  $M$  is an  $m \times n$  matrix, then  $M^T$  is an  $n \times m$  matrix. Then  $M^T M$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix, and is symmetric, since  $(M^T M)^T = M^T M$ . Then, for any vector  $X$ , we can evaluate  $X^T M^T M X$  to obtain a number. This is a very nice number, though! It is just the length  $|MX|^2 = (MX)^T(MX) = X^T M^T M X$ .

Now suppose that  $\ker L = \{0\}$ , so that the only solution to  $MX = 0$  is  $X = 0$ ; in particular,  $M$  is invertible. But if  $M$  is invertible, then so is  $M^T M$ , since  $(M^T M)^{-1} = M^{-1} M^{-T}$ . Then the only solution to  $M^T M X = 0$  is  $X = 0$ .

In this case, the least squares solution (the  $X$  that solves  $M^T M X = M^T V$ ) is unique, and is equal to

$$X = (M^T M)^{-1} M^T V.$$

In a nutshell, this is the least square method.

- Compute  $M^T M$  and  $M^T V$ .
- Solve  $(M^T M)X = M^T V$  by Gaussian elimination.

**Example** Captain Conundrum falls off of the leaning tower of Pisa and makes three rather shaky measurements of his velocity at three different times.

$t$	$\frac{m}{s}$
1	11
2	19
3	31

Having taken some calculus, he believes that his data are best approximated by a straight line

$$v = at + b.$$

Then we should find  $a$  and  $b$  to best fit the data.

$$\begin{aligned} 11 &= a \cdot 1 + b \\ 19 &= a \cdot 2 + b \\ 31 &= a \cdot 3 + b. \end{aligned}$$

As a system of linear equations, this becomes:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{?}{=} \begin{pmatrix} 11 \\ 19 \\ 31 \end{pmatrix}.$$

There is likely no actual straightline solution, so instead solve  $M^T M X = M V$ .

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 11 \\ 19 \\ 31 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This simplifies to the system:

$$\left( \begin{array}{cc|c} 14 & 6 & 142 \\ 6 & 3 & 61 \end{array} \right) \sim \left( \begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 0 & 10 \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{3} \end{array} \right).$$

Then the least-squares fit is the line

$$v = 10t + \frac{1}{3}.$$

## References

- Hefferon, Chapter Three, Section VI.2: Gram-Schmidt Orthogonalization

Wikipedia:

- Linear Least Squares
- Least Squares

## Review Questions

1. Let  $L : U \rightarrow V$  be a linear transformation. Suppose  $v \in L(U)$  and you have found a vector  $u_{ps}$  that obeys  $L(u_{ps}) = v$ .

Explain why you need to compute  $\ker L$  to describe the solution space of the linear system  $L(u) = v$ .

2. Suppose that  $M$  is an  $m \times n$  matrix with trivial kernel. Show that for any vectors  $u$  and  $v$  in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ :

- $u^T M^T M v = v^T M^T M u$
- $v^T M^T M v \geq 0$ .
- If  $v^T M^T M v = 0$ , then  $v = 0$ .

(Hint: Think about the dot product in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .)