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2. Matrix Integrals and Feynman Diagram Expansion

This chapter is devoted to the study of the asymptotic analysis of various matrix integrals. We investigate symmetric, Hermitian, and quaternionic self-adjoint matrices separately. These integrals can be thought of as 0-dimensional models of Quantum Field Theory. QFT produces many interesting and useful mathematical tools. In this chapter, we deal with QFT as a machinery of counting formula. QFT provides us with a clever method of counting the order of certain finite groups.

Often QFT is not well-defined mathematically, but all our models lead to finite dimensional integrals and therefore they are well-defined. We will develop two different methods for calculating some of the QFT integrals. Since the original integral is well-defined, the two methods should provide the same answer. This apparent equality turns out to be an interesting equality in mathematics.

Let us begin by reviewing asymptotic analysis of holomorphic functions.

2.1. Asymptotic Expansion of Analytic Functions. A holomorphic function admits a convergent Taylor series expansion at each point of the domain of definition. What happens if we try to expand the function into a power series at a boundary point of the domain? We investigate this question in this section. Since our goal is the asymptotic analysis of matrix integrals, we focus our study on the techniques used in matrix integrals, instead of developing the most general theory of asymptotic series.

Date: November 1, 2001.
When we are first introduced to complex analysis, perhaps the most surprising thing may have been the fact that complex differentiability implies complex analyticity. Let $h(z)$ be a continuous function defined on an open domain $U \subset \mathbb{C}$. If $h(z)$ is continuously differentiable everywhere in $U$, then it satisfies Cauchy’s Theorem of Integration:

$$\oint_{\gamma} h(z) \, dz = 0,$$

where $\gamma$ is a closed loop in $U$. One can then show that $h(z)$ satisfies the Cauchy Integral Formula

$$(2.1) \quad h(w) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\gamma} \frac{h(z)}{z-w} \, dz,$$

where $\gamma$ is a simple loop in $U$ that goes around $w \in U$ once counter-clockwise. But (2.1) immediately implies that $h(z)$ has Taylor expansion everywhere in $U$. What happens if $h(z)$ is continuously differentiable not on an entire neighborhood of a point, say 0, but only a part of the neighborhood? This motivates us to introduce the following definition.

**Definition 2.1 (Asymptotic Expansion).** Let $h$ be a holomorphic function defined on a wedge-shaped domain $\Omega$:

$$\Omega = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \alpha < \arg(z) < \beta, \quad |z| < r \}.$$

A power series $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n z^n$ is said to be an asymptotic expansion of $h$ at the origin $0 \in \partial \Omega$ if

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{z \to 0} z \in \Omega \frac{h(z) - \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} a_n z^n}{z^m} = a_m$$

holds for every $m \geq 0$. When an asymptotic expansion exists, we say $h$ has an asymptotic expansion on $\Omega$ at its boundary point 0.

![Figure 2.1. A wedge-shaped domain.](image)

Let us examine the implications of (2.2). For $m = 0$, it requires the convergence of $h(z)$ as $t \to 0$ while in $\Omega$. Thus $h(z)$ is continuous at 0 when approaching from inside $\Omega$. We can define the value of $h(z)$ at 0 by $h(0) = a_0$. For $m = 1$, the existence of

$$\lim_{z \to 0} \frac{h(z) - h(0)}{z} = a_1$$

implies that $h(z)$ is differentiable at 0 when approaching from inside $\Omega$. Let us call the situation $\Omega$-differentiable. Since $h(z)$ is holomorphic on $\Omega$, we can differentiate
the numerator and the denominator of (2.2) \((m-1)\)-times and obtain the same limit. The existence of the limit
\[ \lim_{z \to 0} \frac{h(z) - \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} a_n z^n}{z^m} = \lim_{z \to 0} \frac{h^{(m-1)}(z) - (m-1)!a_{m-1}}{m!z} = a_m \]
thus implies that \(h^{(m-1)}(z)\) is \(\Omega\)-differentiable, and that \(h^{(m)}(0) = m!a_m\). Therefore, the existence of an asymptotic expansion at \(0 \in \bar{\Omega}\) simply means the function \(h(z)\) is infinitely many times continuously \(\Omega\)-differentiable at 0.

The above consideration immediately implies

**Proposition 2.2** (Uniqueness of asymptotic expansion). *If a holomorphic function \(h\) on \(\Omega\) has an asymptotic expansion at \(0 \in \partial\Omega\) as above, then it is unique.*

The simplest example of an asymptotic expansion is the Taylor expansion when \(h\) is holomorphic at 0. Since \(h\) is infinitely many times continuously differentiable in a neighborhood of 0, \(h^{(m)}(0)\) is well-defined for all \(m \geq 0\), and the Taylor expansion
\[ h(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{h^{(n)}(0)}{n!} z^n \]
gives the asymptotic expansion of \(h(z)\) at \(z = 0\).

The technique of asymptotic expansion is developed to study the behavior of a holomorphic function at its essential singularity. When a holomorphic function \(h(z)\) has an essential singularity at 0, often we can find a wedge-shaped domain \(\Omega\) with 0 as its vertex such that the function is infinitely many times continuously differentiable on \(\Omega\). We can then expand the function into its asymptotic series and study its properties. The existence of such a domain is significant because \(h(z)\) can take arbitrary values except for up to two excluded values in any neighborhood of 0 (Picard’s Theorem). If \(h\) is defined on a larger domain \(\Omega'\) that contains \(\Omega\) and has an asymptotic expansion on \(\Omega'\), then \(h\) has an asymptotic expansion also on \(\Omega\) and the asymptotic series are exactly the same. In general, however, the existence depends on the choice of the domain \(\Omega\).

**Example 2.1.** Consider \(h(z) = e^{1/z}\). It is holomorphic on \(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}\). If we choose
\[ \Omega = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \frac{\pi}{2} + \epsilon < \arg(z) < \frac{3\pi}{2} - \epsilon \} \]
then it has an asymptotic expansion on \(\Omega\) at 0, and its asymptotic series is the zero series. However, if we choose a wedge-shaped domain contained in the right half plane \(Re(z) > 0\), then \(e^{1/z}\) does not have any asymptotic expansion.

This example also shows that two different holomorphic functions may have the same asymptotic expansion on the same domain. From this point of view, the holomorphic function \(h\) and its asymptotic series \(\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n z^n\) are not equal. We use the notation
\[ \mathcal{A}(h) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n z^n \]
to indicate that the series of the right hand side is the asymptotic expansion of \(h(z)\). We also use
\[ h(z) \equiv g(z) \]
if \(h(z)\) and \(g(z)\) have the same asymptotic expansion on the same domain. Thus \(0 \equiv e^{1/z}\) on the domain of (2.3).
Proposition 2.3 (Properties of the asymptotic expansion). Let \( f(z) \) and \( h(z) \) be holomorphic functions on a domain \( \Omega \) and have asymptotic expansions at its boundary point \( 0 \in \partial \Omega \):

\[
\mathcal{A}(f) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n z^n, \quad \mathcal{A}(h) = \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n z^n.
\]

Then

\[
\mathcal{A}(f + h) = \mathcal{A}(f) + \mathcal{A}(h) \quad (2.5)
\]
\[
\mathcal{A}(f \cdot h) = \mathcal{A}(f) \cdot \mathcal{A}(h) \quad (2.6)
\]

Proof. For every \( m \geq 0 \), we have

\[
\lim_{z \to 0} \frac{f(z) + h(z) - \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} (a_n + b_n) z^n}{z^m} = \lim_{z \to 0} \frac{f(z) - \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} a_n z^n}{z^m} + \lim_{z \to 0} \frac{h(z) - \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} b_n z^n}{z^m} = a_m + b_m.
\]

This proves (2.5).

Since we know

\[
\lim_{z \to 0} \frac{f(z)h(z) - f(z) \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} b_k z^k}{z^m} = a_0 b_m
\]

and

\[
\lim_{z \to 0} \frac{f(z) \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} b_k z^k - \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} a_n z^n \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} b_k z^k}{z^m} = a_m b_0,
\]

adding the above two equations, we have

\[
\lim_{z \to 0} \frac{f(z)h(z) - \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} a_n z^n \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} b_k z^k}{z^m} = a_0 b_m + a_m b_0.
\]

Note that

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{m-1} a_n z^n \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} b_k z^k = \sum_{n+k \leq m-1} a_n b_k z^{n+k} + (a_1 b_{m-1} + a_2 b_{m-2} + \cdots + a_{m-1} b_1) z^m + O(z^{m+1}).
\]

Therefore, we obtain

\[
\lim_{z \to 0} \frac{f(z)h(z) - \sum_{n+k \leq m-1} a_n b_k z^{n+k}}{z^m} = \sum_{n+k=m} a_n b_k.
\]

This proves (2.6).

Let us now consider a simple example

\[
Z_4(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2} x^2} e^{4x^4} dx.
\]

The integral \( Z_4(t) \) is a holomorphic function in \( t \) for \( Re(t) < 0 \) and continuous for \( Re(t) \leq 0 \). Let

\[
\Omega = \{ t \in \mathbb{C} \mid 2\pi/3 < \text{arg}(t) < 4\pi/3 \}.
\]
We wish to find the asymptotic expansion of $Z_4$ on $\Omega$ at $t = 0$. First we note that if $t \in \Omega$, then
\[
|e^{\frac{A(t)}{4}}| = e^{\frac{\Re(A(t))}{4}} \leq 1.
\]
We claim:
\begin{equation}
A(Z_4(t)) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{t^n}{(4!)^n n!} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} x^{4n} dx \right).
\end{equation}
Indeed, we have
\begin{align*}
\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t^{m}} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} e^{\frac{A(t)}{4}} dx - \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \frac{t^n}{(4!)^n n!} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} x^{4n} dx \right) \\
= \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t^{m}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{(4!)^n n!} x^{4n} dx \\
= \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{m!} \frac{d^m}{dt^m} Z_4(t) \\
= \frac{1}{(4!)^m m!} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} x^{4m} dx,
\end{align*}
where we have used the uniform continuity of $Z_4^{(m)}(t)$ on $\Omega$ for every $m \geq 0$.

To evaluate this last integral, let us consider
\begin{equation}
Z(J) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2 + Jx} dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x-J)^2} e^{\frac{1}{2}J^2} dx = e^{\frac{1}{2}J^2}.
\end{equation}
We can now calculate
\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} x^{4n} dx = \left. \frac{d^{4n}}{dJ^{4n}} Z(J) \right|_{J=0} \\
= \frac{d^{4n}}{dJ^{4n}} \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{1}{2^m m!} J^{2m} \left|_{J=0} \right. \\
= \frac{(4n)!}{2^{2n}(2n)!} \\
= \frac{(4n)(4n-1)(4n-2) \cdots 4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1}{(4n)(4n-2) \cdots 4 \cdot 2} \\
= (4n-1)(4n-3) \cdots 3 \cdot 1 \\
= (4n-1)!!.
\]
Thus the final result of the asymptotic expansion is given by
\begin{equation}
A \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} e^{\frac{A(t)}{4}} dx \right) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(4n-1)!!}{(4!)^n n!} t^n.
\end{equation}
The above method works for other potential terms such as $x^6$, $x^8$, etc. However, if we consider a more general potential such as
\[ V(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \frac{t_j}{j!} x^j, \]
then we immediately encounter the difficulty that the asymptotic expansion becomes too complicated to evaluate. The technique developed in the next section solves this difficulty.

2.2. **Feynman Diagram Expansion.** The key technique of the computation of the asymptotic expansion (2.10) is the introduction of the source term $J x$ in (2.8) and the fact that the integration changes into the differentiation as we have seen in (2.9). Instead of calculating the Taylor expansion of $Z(J) = e^{J^2/2}$, let us find a combinatorial interpretation of the mechanism.

Since
\[ \frac{d}{dJ} e^{J^2} \bigg|_{J=0} = J e^{J^2} \bigg|_{J=0} = 0, \]
the differentiation should occur in pairs to obtain a non-zero result. Thus the differentiation $Z^{(4n)}(0)$ gives the number of ways of making $2n$-pairs in the $4n$ objects. Indeed,
\[ Z^{(4n)}(0) = \frac{\binom{4n}{2} \binom{4n-2}{2} \cdots \binom{2}{2}}{(2n)!} \]
\[ = \frac{(4n)(4n-1)(4n-2)(4n-3)\cdots4\cdot3\cdot2\cdot1}{2^{2n}(2n)!} \]
\[ = \frac{(4n)!}{2^{2n}(2n)!} \]
\[ = (4n-1)!! \]
coincides with the calculation of (2.9). In order to visualize the situation, let us provide $n$ sets of 4 dots, and connect two dots when they form a pair (Figure 2.2). Let us call it a pairing scheme.

![Figure 2.2. A pairing scheme of $n$ sets of 4 dots.](image)

What follows is an ingenious idea of Richard Feynman. He replaces the set of four dots with a vertex of valence four. Then the paring scheme changes into a graph Figure 2.3.

The formula (2.9) counts the number of pairing schemes. Then what does the coefficient
\[ \frac{(4n-1)!!}{(4!)^n n!} \]
of the asymptotic expansion (2.10) represent? We can view the 4n dots as the total space \( \mathcal{D} \) of a fiber bundle defined over a finite set \( \mathcal{V} \) of \( n \) elements as the base space with a fiber \( F_p \) at a base point \( p \in \mathcal{V} \) consisting of 4 dots:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
F_p & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D} \\
\downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \\
\{p\} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{V}
\end{array}
\]

The symmetric group \( \mathfrak{S}_{4n} \) acts on the total space \( \mathcal{D} \) through permutation of all 4n dots. Let \( G \subset \mathfrak{S}_{4n} \) be a maximal subgroup that preserves the fiber bundle structure. In other words, \( G \) consists of those permutations that map each fiber onto another fiber. Clearly, every element of \( G \) induces a transformation of \( \mathcal{V} \), and the kernel of the homomorphism \( G \longrightarrow \mathfrak{S}_n \) is \( \mathfrak{S}_4^1 \), which acts on each fiber as a permutation of the 4 elements. Thus we have obtained an exact sequence of groups

\[
\mathfrak{S}_4^1 \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow \mathfrak{S}_n,
\]

and hence

\[
\mathfrak{S}_4^1 \ltimes \mathfrak{S}_n \cong G \subset \mathfrak{S}_{4n}.
\]

The passage from the paring scheme \( P \) as in Figure 2.2 to the graph \( \Gamma \) as in Figure 2.3 is the projection of the pairing scheme onto the base space \( \mathcal{V} \). From this point of view, let us denote

\[
\pi(P) = \Gamma.
\]
graphs with \( n \) vertices. Note that if two pairing schemes \( P \) and \( P' \) are on the same \( G \)-orbit, then their stabilizer subgroups are isomorphic:

\[
G_P \cong G_{P'}.
\]

Let \( \Gamma = \pi(P) \) denote the graph obtained from the pairing scheme \( P \), and \( \Gamma' = \pi(P') \). Then these graphs should be defined to be isomorphic, and their automorphism group can be defined by

\[
\text{Aut}(\Gamma) = G_P.
\]

Since the \( G \)-orbit \( G \cdot P \) is related to the stabilizer \( G_P \) by

\[
G \cdot P \cong G/G_P,
\]

we have the counting formula

\[
\frac{|P|}{|G|} = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\pi(P) \in \mathcal{P}/G} |G \cdot P| = \frac{1}{|G/\mathcal{P}/G|} \sum_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(\Gamma)|},
\]

where \( \Gamma \) runs all 4-valent graphs consisting of \( n \) vertices. We have thus established a desired interpretation of the coefficient:

\[
(2.11) \quad \frac{(4n - 1)!!}{(4!)^n n!} = \sum_{\Gamma \text{ 4-valent graph with } n \text{ vertices}} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(\Gamma)|}.
\]

In order to proceed further to more complicated integrals, we need to give the precise definition of graphs and their automorphisms here.

2.3. Preparation from Graph Theory.

**Definition 2.4 (Graph).** A graph is a collection

\( \Gamma = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{I}) \)

consisting of a finite set \( \mathcal{V} \) of vertices, a finite set \( \mathcal{E} \) of edges, and their incidence relation

\( \mathcal{I} : \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow (\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V})/\mathfrak{S}_2 \)

that maps the set of edges to the set of symmetric pairs of vertices. A vertex \( V \) and an edge \( E \) of a graph \( \Gamma \) is said to be incident if \( \mathcal{I}(E) = (V, V') \) for a vertex \( V' \).

**Remark.** A graph is a visual object. We place the vertices in the space, and connect a pair of vertices with a line if there is an edge incident to them. If an edge is incident to the same vertex twice, then it forms a loop starting and ending at the vertex.

Let \( V \) and \( V' \) be two vertices of a graph \( \Gamma \). The quantity

\( a_{VV'} = |\mathcal{I}^{-1}(V, V')| \)

gives the number of edges that connect these vertices. The valence, or the degree, of a vertex \( V \) is the number

\( j(V) = \sum_{V' \in \mathcal{V}} a_{VV'} + 2a_{VV} \).

This is the number of edges that are incident to \( V \). Note that when an edge is incident to \( V \) twice, forming a loop, then it contributes 2 to the valence of \( V \).
Remark. To avoid unnecessary complexity, we assume that all graphs we deal with in these lectures have no vertices of valence less than 3, unless otherwise stated.

Definition 2.5 (Graph isomorphism). Two graphs \( \Gamma = (V, E, I) \) and \( \Gamma' = (V', E', I') \) are said to be isomorphic if there are bijections \( \alpha : V \xrightarrow{\sim} V' \) and \( \beta : E \xrightarrow{\sim} E' \) that are compatible with the incidence relations:

\[
\begin{align*}
E & \xrightarrow{I} (V \times V)/S_2 \\
\beta & \downarrow \alpha \times \alpha \\
E' & \xrightarrow{I'} (V' \times V')/S_2.
\end{align*}
\]

For example, the graph of Figure 2.3 and the graph at the bottom of Figure 2.4 are isomorphic. The notion of isomorphism of graphs should naturally lead to the notion of graph automorphisms. However, we immediately see that there is a big difference between what we need in Feynman diagram expansion and the notion of graph automorphisms in a more traditional sense. Let us consider the case of \( n = 1 \) in (2.11). We have a 4-valent graph with only one vertex. There is only one such graph, which has two loops attached to the vertex. In terms of traditional graph theory, the automorphism group should be \( S_2 \), which interchanges the two loops. But the formula we have established gives

\[
\frac{3!!}{4! \times 1} = \frac{1}{8} = \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(\Gamma)|!}
\]

or \( |\text{Aut}(\Gamma)| = 8 \). This example illustrates that we have to define the graph automorphism in a quite different way from the usual graph theory. To establish the right notion of graph automorphisms for our purpose, we need to consider directed graphs and the edge refinement of a graph.

A directed edge is an edge \( E \in E \) of a graph with an arrow assigned from the vertex at one end of \( E \) to the other. There are two distinct directions for every edge. A directed graph is a graph whose edges are all directed. There are \( 2|E| \) different directed graphs for each graph. For every directed edge \( \overrightarrow{E} \) of a graph \( \Gamma \) that is incident to vertices \( V \) and \( V' \) (allowing the case \( V = V' \)), we can choose a midpoint \( V_E \) of it, and separate the edge \( E \) into two half edges \( E_- \) and \( E_+ \), such that the order \( (E_-, E_+) \) is consistent with the direction of the edge. Thus \( E_- \) is incident to \( (V, V_E) \), and \( E_+ \) is incident to \( (V', V_E) \). \( V_E \) is a new vertex of valence 2. The incidence relation of a directed graph is a map

\[
\mathcal{I} : E \ni E \mapsto (V, V') \in V \times V
\]

without taking the symmetric product, where \( V \) is the initial vertex of \( \overrightarrow{E} \) and \( V' \) is its terminal vertex.

Definition 2.6 (Edge refinement). Let \( \Gamma = (V, E, I) \) be a graph with no vertices of valence less than 3. The edge refinement of \( \Gamma \) is a graph obtained by adding a midpoint on each edge of \( \Gamma \). More precisely, choose a direction on \( \Gamma \). The edge refinement is a graph

\[
\Gamma_E = (V \cup V_E, E_- \cup E_+, I_E)
\]

consisting of the set of vertices \( V \cup V_E \), the set of edges \( E_- \cup E_+ \), and an incidence relation \( I_E : E_- \cup E_+ \rightarrow V \times V_E \) subject to the following conditions:
Figure 2.5. Creating two half edges from a directed edge.

1. \( V = E \) is the set of edges of the original graph that is identified with the set of midpoints of edges;
2. \( E_- \cup E_+ \) is the set of half edges;
3. the incidence relation \( I_E \) is consistent with the original incidence relation, namely

\[
\begin{align*}
E_- & \xrightarrow{I_E} V \times V \xrightarrow{pr_1} V, \\
E_+ & \xrightarrow{I_E} V \times V \xrightarrow{pr_2} V.
\end{align*}
\]

Remark. 1. The edge refinement is independent of the choice of a direction of \( \Gamma \). Indeed, let \( \vec{E} \) be a directed edge of \( \Gamma \) connecting the initial vertex \( V_i \) and the terminal vertex \( V_t \). Flipping the direction results in renaming the half edges \( E_- \) and \( E_+ \) and the vertices \( V_i \) and \( V_t \), without altering the actual set of vertices, half edges, and the incidence relation.
2. Since we are not allowing any vertices of valence less than 3 in \( \Gamma \), the original graph can be recovered from its edge refinement \( \Gamma_E \) uniquely. Indeed, \( \Gamma \) is obtained by throwing away all 2-valent vertices from \( \Gamma_E \), and connecting half edges together when they meet.
3. The valence of a vertex \( V \in V \) of \( \Gamma \) is the number of half edges of the edge refinement \( \Gamma_E \) that are incident to \( V \).

Definition 2.7 (Graph automorphism). Let \( \Gamma = (V, E, I) \) be a graph with no vertices of valence less than 3. A graph automorphism of \( \Gamma \) is a triple \((\alpha, \alpha_E, \beta)\) of bijections \( \alpha : V \xrightarrow{\sim} V \), \( \alpha_E : V_E \xrightarrow{\sim} V_E \), and \( \beta : E_- \cup E_+ \xrightarrow{\sim} E_- \cup E_+ \) that are compatible with the incidence relation of the edge refinement \( \Gamma_E = (V \cup V_E, E_- \cup E_+, I_E) \) of \( \Gamma \):

\[
\begin{align*}
E_- \cup E_+ & \xrightarrow{I_E} V \times V_E \\
\beta \downarrow & \quad \alpha \times \alpha_E \\
E_- \cup E_+ & \xrightarrow{I_E} V \times V_E.
\end{align*}
\]

The group of graph automorphisms of a graph \( \Gamma \) is denoted by \( \text{Aut}(\Gamma) \).

Example 2.2. There is only one \( 2j \)-valent graph \( \Gamma \) with one vertex. Since every edge is a loop, \( \Gamma \) has \( j \) edges (Figure 2.6). There are \( 2j \) half edges in the edge refinement of \( \Gamma \). Thus \( \text{Aut}(\Gamma) \) is a subgroup of \( S_{2j} \) that acts on the set of half edges \( E_- \cup E_+ \) through permutation. Since a graph automorphism induces a permutation of midpoints \( V_E \), we have an exact sequence

\[
(S_2)^j \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(\Gamma) \longrightarrow S_j.
\]
Therefore, Aut(Γ) = (S_2)^j \rtimes S_j \subset S_{2j}. In particular, it has 2^j j! elements. We note that from the point of view of traditional graph theory, there are only j! automorphisms.

**Figure 2.6.** The unique 2j-valent graph with 1 vertex.

If a graph Γ has no loops and its vertices have valance at least 3, then our Aut(Γ) is the same as the traditional automorphism group. Historically, one of the greatest motivations of graph theory came from applications to electric circuits and communication networks. In a context of electric circuits or networks, it is absolutely important to have 2-valent vertices, but loops are not welcome. In fact, a loop is a short circuit in an electrical circuit, and there is no need for a loop in a communication network. We need an alternative definition of automorphisms because our intent of application is different. Now we are ready to show that our definition is indeed the right notion for the Feynman diagram expansion we are considering.

**Definition 2.8 (Pairing scheme).** Let Γ = (V, E, I) be a graph. For each vertex V \in V, we denote by j(V) the valence of V. Note that

$$\sum_{V \in V} j(V) = 2|E|$$

is equal to the number of half edges of the edge refinement of Γ. A **pairing scheme associated with** graph Γ is a triple (D, π, I_P) consisting of a collection D of a total of 2|E| dots, a projection

$$\pi : D \longrightarrow V$$

whose fiber at V consists of j(V) dots, and a bijection

$$I_P : E_- \cup E_+ \longrightarrow D$$

satisfying the compatibility condition of incidence

$$E_- \cup E_+ \xrightarrow{I_P} V \times V$$

Two dots D, D' \in D are **connected** in the pairing scheme (D, π, I_P) if there is an edge E \in E such that D = I_P(E_-) and D' = I_P(E_+), where E_- and E_+ are the two half edges belonging to E with an appropriate choice of a direction of E.

A pairing scheme associated with a graph Γ is not unique. Indeed, an automorphism of the fibration π : D \longrightarrow V transforms one pairing scheme to another.
**Definition 2.9 (Automorphism of fibration).** An automorphism of the fibration $\pi : D \rightarrow V$ is a permutation $f$ of the dots $D$ that preserves the fibration:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
D & \xrightarrow{f} & D \\
\pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\
V & \xrightarrow{f} & V
\end{array}
\]

(2.12)

**Theorem 2.10 (Graph automorphisms and stabilizers of a pairing scheme).** Let $\Gamma = (V, E, I)$ be a graph and $(D, \pi, I_P)$ the pairing scheme associated with $\Gamma$. By $\text{St}(D, \pi, I_P)$ we denote the stabilizer subgroup of the group of automorphisms of the fibration $\pi : D \rightarrow V$ that fixes the given pairing scheme $(D, \pi, I_P)$. Then we have a natural group isomorphism $\phi : \text{St}(D, \pi, I_P) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$.

**Proof.** Take an element $f \in \text{St}(D, \pi, I_P)$. It induces a bijection $\tilde{f} : V \rightarrow V$ as in (2.12). Let $E \in E$ be an edge of $\Gamma$. It determines a pair of dots $(I_P(E_-), I_P(E_+))$ that are connected. Since $f$ stabilizes the pairing scheme $(D, \pi, I_P)$, the pair of dots $(f(I_P(E_-)), f(I_P(E_+)))$ are again connected in $(D, \pi, I_P)$. Therefore, it determines an edge $\tilde{f}(E)$ of $\Gamma$. More precisely, we have a bijection $\tilde{f} : E_- \cup E_+ \rightarrow E_- \cup E_+$.

The fact that $f$ is an automorphism of the fibration $\pi : D \rightarrow V$ implies that the pair of bijections $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{f})$ is an automorphism of the graph $\Gamma$. This association defines the homomorphism $\phi$. Clearly, the kernel of this homomorphism is trivial.

Conversely, let $(\alpha : V \rightarrow V, \alpha_E : V_E \rightarrow V_E, \beta : E_- \cup E_+ \rightarrow E_- \cup E_+)$ be an automorphism of $\Gamma$. Through the bijection $I_P : E_- \cup E_+ \rightarrow D$, $\beta$ induces an automorphism $f$ of the fibration $\pi$ that is compatible with the other data:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
V_E & \xrightarrow{\alpha_E} & E & \xleftarrow{\beta} & E_- \cup E_+ & \xrightarrow{I_P} & D & \xrightarrow{\pi} & V \\
\downarrow \alpha_E & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \alpha \\
V_E & \xrightarrow{\alpha_E} & E & \xleftarrow{\beta} & E_- \cup E_+ & \xrightarrow{I_P} & D & \xrightarrow{\pi} & V
\end{array}
\]

The automorphism $f$ permutes the pairs of dots in $D$, stabilizing the pairing scheme $(D, \pi, I_P)$. Thus the homomorphism $\phi$ is surjective. This completes the proof. \(\square\)

**Definition 2.11 (Connectivity of a graph).** Two vertices $V$ and $V'$ of a graph $\Gamma$ are said to be connected in $\Gamma$ if there is a sequence of vertices $V = V_0, V_1, V_2, \cdots, V_n = V'$ in $V$ such that $(V_i, V_{i+1})$ are incident to an edge $E_i \in E$ for every $i = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, n-1$. If every pair of vertices of $\Gamma$ are connected in $\Gamma$, then we say the graph itself is connected.
2.4. Asymptotic Analysis of 1 $\times$ 1 Matrix Integrals. We are now ready to calculate the asymptotic expansion of

\begin{equation}
Z(t, m) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} x^2 \right) \exp \left( \sum_{j=3}^{2m} t_j x^j \right) \, dx,
\end{equation}

where $m > 0$ is an integer and

$t = (t_3, t_4, \ldots, t_{2m-1}, t_{2m}) \in \mathbb{C}^{2m-3} \times \Omega_e$.

From now on we use the domain

\begin{equation}
\Omega_e = \{ t_{2m} \in \mathbb{C} \mid \pi - \epsilon < \arg(t_{2m}) < \pi + \epsilon \}
\end{equation}

for the asymptotic expansion in $t_{2m}$, where $\epsilon$ is a small positive real number. Since $\text{Re}(t_{2m}) < 0$, the integral (2.13) is absolutely convergent on $\mathbb{C}^{2m-3} \times \Omega_e$. Choose $t_{2m} \in \Omega_e$ and fix it. Then $Z((t_3, t_4, \ldots, t_{2m-1}, t_{2m}), m)$ is absolutely and uniformly convergent in $(t_3, t_4, \ldots, t_{2m-1})$ on any compact subset of $\mathbb{C}^{2m-3}$. In particular, it has an absolutely convergent Taylor series expansion around the origin of $\mathbb{C}^{2m-3}$,

\begin{equation}
Z(t, m) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} x^2 \right) \exp \left( \sum_{j=3}^{2m-1} t_j \frac{x^j}{j!} \right) \exp \left( \frac{t_{2m}}{(2m)!} x^{2m} \right) \, dx
= \sum_{v_3 \geq 0, v_4 \geq 0, \ldots, v_{2m-1} \geq 0} \frac{t_{2m}^v}{(2m)!^{v_{2m}}} \prod_{j=3}^{2m} t_j^{v_j} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} x^2 \right) x^{\sum_{j=3}^{2m-1} j v_j} \exp \left( \frac{t_{2m}}{(2m)!} x^{2m} \right) \, dx.
\end{equation}

For a fixed $(v_3, v_4, \ldots, v_{2m-1})$, the integral on the last line of the above and its all $t_{2m}$ derivatives are uniformly continuous on $\Omega_e$. Therefore, as $t_{2m} \to 0$ while in $\Omega_e$, we have an asymptotic expansion

\begin{equation}
A \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} x^2 \right) x^{\sum_{j=3}^{2m-1} j v_j} \exp \left( \frac{t_{2m}}{(2m)!} x^{2m} \right) \, dx \right)
= \sum_{v_{2m} \geq 0} \frac{t_{2m}^{v_{2m}}}{(2m)!^{v_{2m}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} x^2 \right) x^{\sum_{j=3}^{2m-1} j v_j} \, dx.
\end{equation}

Let us denote by $A(Z(t, m))$ the Taylor expansion in $(t_3, t_4, \ldots, t_{2m-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{2m-3}$ and the asymptotic expansion in $t_{2m} \in \Omega_e$ of $Z(t, m)$. We have thus established

\begin{equation}
A(Z(t, m)) = \sum_{v_3 \geq 0, v_4 \geq 0, \ldots, v_{2m} \geq 0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} x^2} x^{\sum_{j=3}^{2m-1} j v_j} \, dx \prod_{j=3}^{2m} t_j^{v_j} \prod_{j=3}^{2m} \frac{t_j^{v_j}}{(2m)!^{v_{2m}}}.
\end{equation}

It is worth noting that the function $Z((t_3, t_4, \ldots, t_{2m}), m)$ is not continuous as $t_{2m} \to 0$ in $\Omega_e$. This is why we have to use the above argument to establish the asymptotic expansion of $Z(t, m)$.

We still have to calculate the coefficients of the expansion. To this end, recall the function

\begin{equation}
Z(J) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} x^2 + J x} \, dx = e^{\frac{1}{2} J^2}.
\end{equation}
of (2.8). It is easy to see that
\[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} x^2} \exp \left( \sum_{j=3}^{2m} j v_j x^j \right) dx = \left( \frac{d}{dJ} \right)^{\sum_{j=3}^{2m} j v_j} Z(J) \bigg|_{J=0}. \]

Now consider the collection of dots \( D(v_3, \ldots, v_{2m}) \), consisting of \( v_j \) sets of \( j \) dots for \( j = 3, 4, \ldots, 2m \). Since only the paired differentiation contributes 1 to the answer, we have
\[ \left( \frac{d}{dJ} \right)^{\sum_{j=3}^{2m} j v_j} Z(J) \bigg|_{J=0} = \text{the number of pairing schemes on } D(v_3, \ldots, v_{2m}). \]

Let \( \mathcal{V}(v_3, \ldots, v_{2m}) \) be the set of vertices consisting of \( v_j \) vertices of valence \( j \), \( j = 3, 4, \ldots, 2m \). Then there is a natural fibration
\[ \pi : D(v_3, \ldots, v_{2m}) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}(v_3, \ldots, v_{2m}). \]

The automorphism group \( G \) of this fibration is given by
\[ G = \prod_{j=3}^{2m} S_{v_j} \rtimes \prod_{j=3}^{2m} S_{v_j} \subset S_{|D(v_3, \ldots, v_{2m})|}. \]

Let us denote by \( \mathcal{P}(v_3, \ldots, v_{2m}) \) the collection of all pairing schemes on \( D(v_3, \ldots, v_{2m}) \). Then
\[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} x^2} \sum_{j=3}^{2m} j v_j x^j \frac{1}{(j!)^{v_j!}} \prod_{j=3}^{2m} (j!)^{v_j!} = \frac{\left| \mathcal{P}(v_3, \ldots, v_{2m}) \right|}{|G|} \]
\[ = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{[P] \in \mathcal{P}(v_3, \ldots, v_{2m})/G} |G \cdot P| \]
\[ = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{[P] \in \mathcal{P}(v_3, \ldots, v_{2m})/G} |G/G_P| \]
\[ = \sum_{[P] \in \mathcal{P}(v_3, \ldots, v_{2m})/G} \frac{1}{|G_P|} \]
\[ = \sum_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(\Gamma)|}, \]

where \( \Gamma \) runs all graphs whose vertex set is equal to \( \mathcal{V}(v_3, \ldots, v_{2m}) \). We have thus proved

\[ (2.16) \quad A \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} x^2 \right) \exp \left( \sum_{j=3}^{2m} \frac{t_j}{j!} x^j \right) dx \right) \]
\[ = \sum_{\Gamma \text{ graph with vertices of valence } j=3,4,\ldots,2m} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(\Gamma)|} \prod_{j=3}^{2m} t_j^{v_j(\Gamma)} \in \mathbb{Q}[[t_3, t_4, \ldots, t_{2m}]], \]

where \( v_j(\Gamma) \) denotes the number of \( j \)-valent vertices of the graph \( \Gamma \). The expansion result is a divergent formal power series in \( \mathbb{Q}[[t_3, t_4, \ldots, t_{2m}]] \) with rational coefficients.
The number \( m \) chosen in the integral is artificial. Since the asymptotic expansion makes sense only when we have a holomorphic function, we placed it so that the integral \( Z(t, m) \) converges. As a result, we obtained an artificial constraint in (2.16) that the graph \( \Gamma \) cannot have any vertices of valence greater than \( 2m \). In the rest of this section, let us investigate the limit

\[
\lim_{m \to \infty} A(Z(t, m)).
\]

First let us recall the \textbf{Krull topology} of the formal power series ring \( K[[t]] \) with coefficients in a field \( K \). Let \( J_n = t^n K[[t]] \) be the ideal generated by \( t^n \). The Krull topology is introduced to the ring \( K[[t]] \) by defining the collection \( \{ J_n \}_{n \geq 0} \) as the basis for open neighborhoods of \( 0 \in K[[t]] \). Since \( J_{n+1} \subset J_n \), we have a \textbf{projective system}

\[
\cdots \longrightarrow K[[t]]/J_{n+1} \xrightarrow{p_{n+1}} K[[t]]/J_n \longrightarrow \cdots.
\]

Note that

\[
\bigcap_{n \geq 0} J_n = \{ 0 \}.
\]

Therefore, the natural homomorphism

\[
K[[t]] \longrightarrow \lim_{\longrightarrow} K[[t]]/J_n
\]

is injective, and hence they are canonically isomorphic.

In the same spirit, let us define the ring \( K[[t_1, t_2, t_3, \cdots]] \) of formal power series in infinitely many variables as follows. We introduce the degree of each variable by

\[
\deg(t_n) = n, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots.
\]

There is a natural inclusion

\[
(2.18) \quad K[[t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_m]] \subset K[[t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_m, t_{m+1}]].
\]

Let \( J_n^m \) denote the ideal of \( K[[t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_m]] \) generated by all polynomials of homogeneous degree \( n \). Note that if \( m \geq n \), then the natural inclusion (2.18) induces

\[
K[[t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_m]]/J_n^m = K[[t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_m, t_{m+1}]]/J_n^m.
\]

We also have a natural projection

\[
K[[t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_m, t_{m+1}]] \longrightarrow K[[t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_m, t_{m+1}]/(t_{m+1})]
\]

\[
\sim K[[t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_m]],
\]

which induces a projective system

\[
\cdots \longrightarrow K[[t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_n, t_{n+1}]]/J_{n+1}^{n+1} \longrightarrow K[[t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_n]]/J_n^n \longrightarrow \cdots.
\]

We can now define

\[
(2.19) \quad K[[t_1, t_2, t_3, \cdots]] = \lim_{\longrightarrow} K[[t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_n]]/J_n^n.
\]

Let \( J_n \) denote the ideal of \( K[[t_1, t_2, t_3, \cdots]] \) generated by polynomials of homogeneous degree \( n \). This ideal is generated by a finite number of monomials of degree \( n \). By definition,

\[
K[[t_1, t_2, t_3, \cdots]]/J_n = K[[t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_n]]/J_n^n,
\]

and we have

\[
\bigcap_{n \geq 0} J_n = \{ 0 \}.
\]
The Krull topology of $K[[t_1, t_2, t_3, \cdots]]$ is defined by identifying the collection 
$\{J_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ as the basis for open neighborhoods of $0 \in K[[t_1, t_2, t_3, \cdots]]$. Since

$$J_n \cap K[[t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_m]] = J_n^m,$$

the induced topology on the subring

$$K[[t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_m]] \subset K[[t_1, t_2, t_3, \cdots]]$$

agrees with the canonical Krull topology of $K[[t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_m]]$.

With these preparations, let us go back to the asymptotic expansion (2.16). For a graph $\Gamma = (V, E, I)$, let us denote

$$v(\Gamma) = |V| = \text{the number of vertices of } \Gamma,$$
$$e(\Gamma) = |E| = \text{the number of edges of } \Gamma.$$

As before, $v_j(\Gamma)$ denotes the number of $j$-valent vertices. It is easy to see that

$$v(\Gamma) = \sum_j v_j(\Gamma), \quad e(\Gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_j jv_j(\Gamma).$$

Therefore, the degree of the monomial in (2.16) is given by

$$\deg \left( \prod_{j=3}^{2m} t_j^{v_j(\Gamma)} \right) = 2e(\Gamma),$$

which takes only even values. Although bounding $v = v(\Gamma)$ does not bound the set of graphs with $v$ vertices, if we fix the number $e(\Gamma)$, then there are only finitely many graphs with $e(\Gamma)$ edges. Hence every coefficient of a monomial in (2.16) is a finite sum. In particular, we can rearrange the summation of the asymptotic series as

$$A\left(Z((t_3, t_4, \cdots, t_{2m}), m)\right) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{\Gamma \text{ graph with } e(\Gamma) = n \\text{ and valence } j=3, \cdots, 2m}} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(\Gamma)|} \prod_{j=3}^{2m} t_j^{v_j(\Gamma)},$$

and for every $n \geq 0$, the graph sum

$$\sum_{\substack{\Gamma \text{ graph with } e(\Gamma) = n \\text{ and valence } j=3, \cdots, 2m}} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(\Gamma)|} \prod_{j=3}^{2m} t_j^{v_j(\Gamma)}$$

is a weighted homogeneous polynomial of degree $2n$ if there is a graph $\Gamma$ with exactly $n$ edges. We also note that the maximum of $jv_j(\Gamma)$ for every given graph $\Gamma$ does not exceed $2e(\Gamma)$. Therefore, for a fixed $n$ and an arbitrary $m \geq n$, the polynomial

(2.22)

$$A\left(Z((t_3, t_4, \cdots, t_{2m}), m)\right) \mod J_{2n+1}^{2m} = \sum_{\substack{\Gamma \text{ graph with } e(\Gamma) \leq n \\text{ and valence } j=3, \cdots, 2n}} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(\Gamma)|} \prod_{j=3}^{2n} t_j^{v_j(\Gamma)}$$

$$\in \mathbb{Q}[t_3, t_4, \cdots, t_{2m}] / J_{2n+1}^{2m}$$
$$= \mathbb{Q}[t_3, t_4, t_5, \cdots] / J_{2n+1}.$$
is stable, i.e., it does not depend on $m$ as long as it is larger than $n$. In the light of this stability, let us consider a sequence of polynomials

$$A(Z((t_3, t_4, \cdots, t_{2m}), m)) \mod J_m^{2m} \in \mathbb{Q}[[t_3, t_4, t_5, \cdots]]/J_m$$

for $m \geq 0$. This defines an element of the projective system

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}[[t_3, t_4, t_5, \cdots]]/J_{m+1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}[[t_3, t_4, t_5, \cdots]]/J_m \longrightarrow \cdots.$$

**Definition 2.12.** We define the limit of $A(Z(t, m))$ as $m$ goes to $\infty$ as an element of the projective limit of the above projective system:

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} A\left(Z((t_3, t_4, \cdots, t_{2m}), m)\right) \mod J_m \in \lim_{m \to \infty} \mathbb{Q}[[t_3, t_4, t_5, \cdots]]/J_m = \mathbb{Q}[[t_3, t_4, t_5, \cdots]].$$

**Theorem 2.13** (Asymptotic expansion of the scalar integral). The asymptotic expansion $A(Z(t, m))$ of (2.16) has a well-defined limit as $m$ goes to $\infty$, and the limiting formal power series as an element of $\mathbb{Q}[[t_3, t_4, t_5, \cdots]]$ is given by

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} A\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} x^2\right) \exp\left(\sum_{j=3}^{2m} \frac{t_j}{j!} x^j\right) dx\right) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\text{graph } \Gamma \text{ with } e(\Gamma)=n} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(\Gamma)|} \prod_{j=3}^{2n} t_{j}^{v_j(\Gamma)}.$$

For every fixed $n \geq 0$, the graph sum is a finite sum, and the product $\prod_{j=3}^{2n} t_{j}^{v_j(\Gamma)}$ is a monomial of degree $2n$.

**Remark.** If we set $t_j = 0$ for all $j \geq 3$, then the integral has value 1. This corresponds to the homogeneous degree 0 term of the formal power series in the right hand side. Since it means $e(\Gamma) = 0$, and since we are not allowing any vertex to have valence less than 3, the graph $\Gamma = (V, E, I)$ is an empty object. Therefore, we define

$$\sum_{\Gamma \text{ graph } \text{ with } e(\Gamma)=n} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(\Gamma)|} \prod_{j=3}^{0} t_{j}^{v_j(\Gamma)} = 1$$

to make the equality hold for all cases.

**Proof.** The only remaining thing we have to check is that for every $n \geq 0$, the weighted homogeneous polynomial

$$(2.23) \sum_{\Gamma \text{ with } e(\Gamma)=n} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(\Gamma)|} \prod_{j=3}^{2n} t_{j}^{v_j(\Gamma)}$$
of degree $2n$ appears in the element
\begin{equation}
\left\{ A \left( Z((t_3, t_4, \cdots, t_{2m}), m) \right) \mod J_m \right\}_{m \geq 0}
\end{equation}
of the projective limit, and that it is stable as $m$ tends to $\infty$. From (2.22), if we choose $m \geq 2n + 1$, then the homogeneous polynomial (2.23) appears in the sequence (2.24) and is stable for sufficiently large $m$. This completes the proof. \( \square \)

2.5. The Logarithm and the Connectivity of Graphs. For our purpose of using graph theory in the study of the moduli spaces of Riemann surfaces, we need to consider connected graphs. In the asymptotic expansion of Theorem 2.13, all graphs, connected or non-connected, appear in the right hand side. How can we restrict the sequence to have only connected graphs?

As we have seen, the power series in an infinite number of variables
\begin{equation}
f(t) = f(t_3, t_4, t_5, \cdots) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\Gamma \text{ graph with } e(\Gamma) = n} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(\Gamma)|} \prod_{j=3}^{2n} t_j^{v_j(\Gamma)} \in \mathbb{Q}[t_3, t_4, t_5, \cdots]
\end{equation}
is a well-defined element. Therefore, its subseries
\begin{equation}
h(t) = h(t_3, t_4, t_5, \cdots) = \sum_{n > 0} \sum_{\Gamma \text{ connected graph with } e(\Gamma) = n} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(\Gamma)|} \prod_{j=3}^{2n} t_j^{v_j(\Gamma)}
\end{equation}
is also well-defined.

Remark. We considered the case when the graph $\Gamma$ was an empty object in the last section, and gave the value 1 to the leading term of (2.25). This means that an empty set is counted as a graph. However, we do not consider an empty graph to be connected. This is consistent with the definition of a connected topological space, which dictates that an empty set is not connected. This is the reason our series $h(t)$ of (2.26) does not have the constant term.

**Theorem 2.14** (Sequence of connected graphs). Let $f(t)$ and $h(t)$ be as above. Then
\begin{equation}
f(t) = e^{h(t)} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} (h(t))^m.
\end{equation}

Proof. The order of a formal power series in $\mathbb{Q}[[t_3, t_4, t_5, \cdots]]$ is the degree of the lowest degree non-zero homogeneous polynomial (called the leading term) that appears in the series. Thus $h(t)$ has order 4, and the leading term corresponds to the unique graph with one vertex and two edges. In particular,
\begin{equation}
(h(t))^m \in J_k
\end{equation}
if $4m \geq k$. Therefore,
\begin{equation}
\left( e^{h(t)} \mod J_k \right) \in \mathbb{Q}[t_3, t_4, \cdots, t_{k-1}]
\end{equation}
is a polynomial with rational coefficients for every $k \geq 0$. 

Now consider the graph expansion
\[
\frac{1}{m!} (h(t))^m \equiv \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\Gamma, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \ldots, \Gamma_m} \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(\Gamma_i)|} \prod_{j \geq 3} t_j^{v_j(\Gamma_i)} \mod J_{2k}. \tag{2.27}
\]
Let
\[
\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2 \cup \cdots \cup \Gamma_m
\]
be the graph with \(m\) connected components \(\Gamma_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m\). Obviously, we have
\[
\text{Aut}(\Gamma) = \left( \prod_{i=1}^m \text{Aut}(\Gamma_i) \right) \rtimes S_m.
\]
In particular,
\[
\frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(\Gamma)|} = \frac{1}{m!} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(\Gamma_i)|}. \tag{2.28}
\]
Because of the construction of \(\Gamma\), we also have
\[
\prod_{j \geq 3} t_j^{v_j(\Gamma)} = \prod_{j \geq 3} t_j^{v_j(\Gamma_1 \cup \cdots \cup \Gamma_m)} = \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{j \geq 3} t_j^{v_j(\Gamma_i)}. \tag{2.29}
\]
From (2.27), (2.28) and (2.29), we obtain
\[
\frac{1}{m!} (h(t))^m \equiv \sum_{\Gamma \text{ graph with } m \text{ connected components and } e(\Gamma) < k} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(\Gamma)|} \prod_{j \geq 3} t_j^{v_j(\Gamma)} \mod J_{2k}.
\]
Since the bound on \(e(\Gamma)\) also bounds the number of connected components in \(\Gamma\), we have
\[
e^{h(t)} \equiv \sum_{\Gamma \text{ graph with } e(\Gamma) < k} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(\Gamma)|} \prod_{j \geq 3} t_j^{v_j(\Gamma)} \mod J_{2k}
\]
\[
eq f(t) \mod J_{2k}
\]
for every \(k \geq 0\). This establishes \(f(t) = e^{h(t)}\).

The formal power series
\[
f_{>0}(t) = f(t) - f(0) = f(t) - 1
\]
has a positive order. Therefore,
\[
\log f(t) = \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{m} \left( f_{>0}(t) \right)^m \in \mathbb{Q}[\lbrack t_5, t_4, t_5, \cdots \rbrack]
\]
is well-defined. Of course it is \(h(t)\):
\[
\log f(t) = h(t).
\]
In the same way as in the previous section, we can establish the equality for the asymptotic series that contains only connected graphs:
Theorem 2.15 (Asymptotic expansion with connected graphs). As an element of \( Q[[t_3, t_4, t_5, \cdots]] \), we have an equality

\[
\lim_{m \to \infty} \log A \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} x^2 \right) \exp \left( \sum_{j=3}^{2m} \frac{t_j}{j!} x^j \right) \, dx \right) = \sum_{n>0} \sum_{\Gamma \text{ connected graph with } e(\Gamma)=n} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(\Gamma)|} \prod_{j=3}^{2n} t_j^{v_j(\Gamma)}.
\]

Remark. The factor \( 1/j! \) accompanying \( t_j \) in the integral is introduced so that the asymptotic expansion has a natural interpretation as the generating function of the reciprocal of the orders of graph automorphism groups.

2.6. Ribbon Graphs and Oriented Surfaces. We have found in Theorem 2.15 the generating function of the orders of the automorphism groups of connected graphs. Our next challenge is to restrict the graphs to be drawn on a surface, in particular a Riemann surface. The shape of the right hand side of the asymptotic formula inevitably becomes more complicated, because it should contain information of the genus of the surface on which a connected graph is drawn, while the left hand side has amazingly simple generalizations. In the next two sections we develop the extensions of the left hand side of the formula to deal with graphs on surfaces. In this section, we identify the conditions we have to impose on the graphs so that they are placed on a surface. For historical remarks on the research on graphs embedded in surfaces, we refer to Ringel [?].

Suppose we have a graph drawn on an oriented surface. The orientation of the surface determines a cyclic ordering of the edges incident to each vertex. This consideration motivates our definition of ribbon graphs.

![Figure 2.7. A graph drawn on an oriented surface. At each vertex, the orientation of the surface determines a cyclic ordering of the edges incident to the vertex.](image)

Definition 2.16 (Cyclic ordering). Consider a set \( X \) of \( j \) labeled objects, and let \( G \) be a subgroup of the symmetric group \( \mathfrak{S}_j \). A \( G \)-ordering on \( X \) is a coset of the quotient space \( \mathfrak{S}_j/G \). When \( G = \mathbb{Z}/j\mathbb{Z} \) is the cyclic group of order \( j \), we simply say the \( G \)-ordering a cyclic ordering.

Remark. An element of \( \mathfrak{S}_j/G \) gives an ordering of the \( j \) elements of \( X \) that is invariant under the \( G \)-action. Therefore, when \( G = \mathfrak{S}_j \), the \( G \)-ordering means no ordering. The \( \{1\} \)-ordering is thus the same as the ordering in the usual sense, and there are \( j! \) different ways of ordering the elements of \( X \).
Definition 2.17 (Ribbongraphs). Let $\Gamma = (V, E, I)$ be a graph, and $\Gamma_E$ its edge refinement. A cyclic ordering of edges at a vertex $V \in V$ means a cyclic ordering of the set of half edges incident to $V$. A ribbon graph structure $C$ on $\Gamma$ is the collection of cyclic ordering at every vertex of $\Gamma$. A ribbon graph is a graph with a ribbon graph structure. We use the notation $\Gamma^R = (\Gamma, C)$ to indicate a ribbon graph. The graph $\Gamma$ is the underlying graph of a ribbon graph $\Gamma^R$.

Remark. The terminology ribbon graph was first used by Kontsevich in [?]. Earlier, the same object was called a fatgraph by Penner [?], but the notion was well-known to the graph theory community for long time and called by different names, such as a map of a surface. A cyclic ordering is commonly referred to as a rotation or a rotation system in the literature ([?], [?]). We adopt the new terminology due to Kontsevich, that best represents the nature of the object.

Definition 2.18 (Ribbongraph isomorphism). Let $(\Gamma, C)$ and $(\Gamma', C')$ be two ribbon graphs. A graph isomorphism of the edge refinement $\phi : \Gamma_E \sim \longrightarrow \Gamma'_E$, induces the pull-back ribbon graph structure $\phi^*(C')$. The ribbon graphs $(\Gamma, C)$ and $(\Gamma', C')$ are said to be isomorphic if $C = \phi^*(C')$.

To visualize a ribbon graph $\Gamma^R$, let us provide an oriented plane (with the orientation represented by a counter clockwise rotation), and place every vertex on the plane so that the cyclic ordering of half edges at each vertex is drawn in the order of clockwise rotation. Since the half edges incident to a $j$-valent vertex $V$ are cyclically ordered, let us prepare indices $i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_j$ to name each half edge. But instead of using a single index to name a half edge, we use double indices $i_1j_2, i_2j_3, \cdots, i_jj_1$ for the $j$ half edges incident to $V$. In this way we can keep track of the cyclic ordering better. Since we use double indices, we can also use double lines to represent half edges. Now a half edge looks like a ribbon. This ribbon is a subset of the oriented plane, and hence it inherits the natural orientation. The orientation of each ribbon can be presented by an arrow on its boundary that is consistent with the orientation of the ribbon. Thus a $j$-valent vertex $V$ looks like one in Figure 2.8.

When two vertices $V$ and $V'$ are connected by an edge, it is done in a way that the orientation of the half edges are consistent (Figure 2.8). Thus we have an oriented topological surface with boundary as a visualization of a ribbon graph $\Gamma^R$.

Definition 2.19 (Boundary circuit of a ribbongraph). Let $\Gamma^R = (\Gamma, C)$ be a ribbongraph, and $\overrightarrow{E}_1$ and $\overrightarrow{E}_2$ be two directed edges of $\Gamma$. The edge $\overrightarrow{E}_2$ is said to be the successor of the edge $\overrightarrow{E}_1$ at vertex $V$ if the half edges $E_1^+$ and $E_2^-$ are incident to $V$, and $E_2^-$ is right after $E_1^+$ with respect to the cyclic ordering at the vertex $V$. A sequence $(\overrightarrow{E}_0, V_0, \overrightarrow{E}_1, V_1, \cdots, \overrightarrow{E}_n, V_n)$ of directed edges and vertices is said to be a boundary circuit of $\Gamma^R$ if

1. the directed edge $\overrightarrow{E}_{i+1}$ is the successor of $\overrightarrow{E}_i$ at the vertex $V_i$ for $i = 0, 1, \cdots, n - 1$;
2. $(\overrightarrow{E}_n, V_n) = (\overrightarrow{E}_0, V_0)$; and
3. $\overrightarrow{E}_0, \overrightarrow{E}_1, \cdots, \overrightarrow{E}_{n-1}$ are distinct as directed edges (i.e., the same edge can appear in a sequence up to twice with opposite directions).
Figure 2.8. Two vertices with cyclic ordering connected to one another with a consistent orientation. A half edge labeled by $i_1i_2$ is connected to a half edge labeled by $a_1a_2$. In this example, the outward line $i_1$ from $V$ is connected with the inward line $a_2$ going into $V'$, and the outward line $a_1$ from $V'$ with the inward line $i_2$ going to $V$.

In the topological visualization of the ribbon graph $\Gamma^R$, the boundary circuit $(\overrightarrow{E}_0, V_0, \overrightarrow{E}_1, V_1, \cdots, \overrightarrow{E}_n, V_n)$ is an oriented circle with $n$ segments. We denote by $b(\Gamma^R)$ the number of boundary circuits of a ribbon graph $\Gamma^R$.

Figure 2.9. An example of a ribbon graph with two vertices, three edges and one boundary circuit.

As we have observed in Figure 2.7, a graph drawn on an oriented surface is naturally a ribbon graph. Conversely, a connected ribbon graph has a canonical embedding into an oriented surface. Let $\Gamma^R$ be a ribbon graph. Since its boundary circuit is an oriented circle, we can glue an oriented disk to each boundary circuit with consistent orientation. Then we obtain a compact oriented topological surface on which the underlying graph $\Gamma$ is drawn. Let us denote by $\Sigma_{\Gamma^R}$ the compact oriented topological surface thus obtained. The topological type of $\Sigma_{\Gamma^R}$ minus $b(\Gamma^R)$ points is the same as the graph $\Gamma$. The genus of the surface is determined by the equation

$$2 - 2g(\Sigma_{\Gamma^R}) = \chi(\Sigma_{\Gamma^R}) = v(\Gamma) - e(\Gamma) + b(\Gamma^R).$$

By comparing Figure 2.7 and Figure 2.9, we see that the compact surface $\Sigma_{\Gamma^R}$ for the ribbon graph Figure 2.9 is a torus. Indeed, we have

$$2 - 2g = 2 - 3 + 1 = 0,$$

hence $g = 0$. 
Definition 2.20 (Ribbon graph automorphism). A **ribbon graph automorphism** is an automorphism of a graph that preserves the cyclic ordering at each vertex.

The group of automorphisms of a ribbon graph \( \Gamma^R \) is denoted by \( \text{Aut}(\Gamma^R) \). The group of ribbon graph automorphisms that fix each boundary circuit is denoted by \( \text{Aut}_b(\Gamma^R) \).

Remark. We have a natural subgroup inclusion relation

\[ \text{Aut}_b(\Gamma^R) \subset \text{Aut}(\Gamma^R) \subset \text{Aut}(\Gamma), \]

where \( \Gamma \) is the underlying graph of a ribbon graph \( \Gamma^R \). When we study the orbifold structure of moduli spaces of Riemann surfaces, we use the more restricted automorphism group \( \text{Aut}_b(\Gamma^R) \). On the other hand, in the Feynman diagram expansion of Hermitian matrix integrals, it is the group \( \text{Aut}(\Gamma^R) \) that naturally occurs.

We have thus established an **intrinsic** condition for the graph to be drawn on an oriented surface. We can write down a generating function of the ribbon graph automorphism groups. Our next attention is the analysis counterpart of this generating function.

### 2.7. Hermitian Matrix Integrals.

The goal of this section is to identify the asymptotic expansion of a Hermitian matrix integral

\[
Z_H(t, N; m) = \frac{1}{C^N} \int_{\mathcal{H}_N} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \text{trace}(X^2) \right) \exp \left( \sum_{j=3}^{2m} t_j \text{trace}(X^j) \right) dX
\]

in terms of ribbon graphs. Here \( \mathcal{H}_N \) denotes the space of all \( N \times N \) hermitian matrices, and for \( X = [x_{ij}] \in \mathcal{H}_N \), \( dX \) is the standard Lebesgue measure on \( \mathcal{H}_N = \mathbb{R}^{N^2} \):

\[
dX = \bigwedge_{i=1}^N dx_{ii} \wedge \bigwedge_{i<j} \left( d\text{Re}(x_{ij}) \wedge d\text{Im}(x_{ij}) \right).
\]

We note that

\[
\text{trace}(X^2) = \text{trace}(X^1 X) = \sum_i (x_{ii})^2 + 2 \sum_{i<j} (\text{Re}(x_{ij}))^2 + 2 \sum_{i<j} (\text{Im}(x_{ij}))^2
\]

is a positive definite quadratic form. The overall normalization constant is chosen to be

\[
C^N = \int_{\mathcal{H}_N} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \text{trace}(X^2) \right) dX
\]

\[
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N^2}} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} x_{ij} x_{ij} \right) \prod_{i=1}^{N} dx_{ii} \prod_{i<j} d\text{Re}(x_{ij}) \wedge d\text{Im}(x_{ij})
\]

\[
= (\sqrt{2\pi})^N \pi^{N(N-1)/2} = (\sqrt{2})^N (\sqrt{\pi})^{N^2}.
\]

The integral \( Z_H(t, N; m) \) is absolutely convergent for \( \text{Re}(t_{2m}) < 0 \) and arbitrary \( t_3, t_4, \cdots, t_{2m-1} \). Therefore, \( Z_H(t, N; m) \) is a holomorphic function in

\[
t = (t_3, t_4, \cdots, t_{2m-1}, t_{2m}) \in \mathbb{C}^{2m-3} \times \Omega_t,
\]

where \( \Omega_t \) is the same domain as in (2.14). In exactly the same way as in the case of the scalar integral (2.15), we obtain the expansion of \( Z_H(t, N; m) \) as a Taylor series in \( t_3, t_4, \cdots, t_{2m-1} \) and an asymptotic series in \( t_{2m} \):
\[ (2.33) \quad A_Z(t, N; m) \]
\[
= \frac{1}{C_N} \sum_{v_3 \geq 0, v_4 \geq 0, \ldots, v_{2m} \geq 0} \prod_{j=3}^{2m} \frac{t^{v_j}}{v_j!} \int_{\mathcal{H}_N} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \text{trace}(X^2) \right) \prod_{j=3}^{2m} \text{trace}(X^j)^{v_j} dX.
\]

We use the following lemmas to calculate this last integral.

**Lemma 2.21 (Hermitian matrix differentiation).** Let \( J = [y_{ij}]_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} \) be a Hermitian matrix valued variable, and let

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial J} = \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{ij}} \right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}.
\]

Then

\[
\left( \text{trace} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial J} \right)^j \right)^n \exp \left( \text{trace}(X^t J) \right) \bigg|_{J=0} = \left( \text{trace}(X^j) \right)^n.
\]

**Proof.** A simple calculation shows

\[
(2.34) \quad \left. \text{trace} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial J} \right)^j \exp \left( \text{trace}(X^t J) \right) \right|_{J=0}
\]
\[
= \left( \sum_{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{a_1 a_2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{a_2 a_3}} \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{a_j a_1}} \right) \exp \left( \sum_{a,b} x_{a b} y_{a b} \right) \bigg|_{J=0}
\]
\[
= x_{a_1 a_2} x_{a_2 a_3} \cdots x_{a_j a_1} = \text{trace}(X^j).
\]

Here we have used the fact that

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{ij}} y_{ab} = \delta_{ia} \delta_{jb},
\]

and in particular, if \( i \neq j \),

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{ij}} y_{ji} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{ij}} y_{ij} = 0.
\]

The desired formula follows from repeating (2.34) \( n \)-times.

**Lemma 2.22 (Source term for Hermitian matrix integral).** Let \( J = [y_{ij}]_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} \) and \( \frac{\partial}{\partial J} = \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{ij}} \right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} \) be as above. Then

\[
\frac{1}{C_N} \int_{\mathcal{H}_N} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \text{trace}(X^2) \right) \left( \text{trace}(X^j) \right)^n dX
\]
\[
= \left( \text{trace} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial J} \right)^j \right)^n \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \text{trace}(J^2) \right) \bigg|_{J=0}.
\]

**Proof.** Since the integral is absolutely convergent, we can interchange the integration and the differentiation with respect to a parameter, and we obtain

\[
\frac{1}{C_N} \int_{\mathcal{H}_N} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \text{trace}(X^2) \right) \left( \text{trace}(X^j) \right)^n dX
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{C_N} \int_{\mathcal{H}_N} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \text{trace}(X^2) \right) \left( \text{trace} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial J} \right)^j \right)^n \exp \left( \text{trace}(X^t J) \right) \bigg|_{J=0} dX.
\]
\[
\left( \text{trace} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial J} \right)^j \right)^n \frac{1}{C_N} \int_{\mathcal{H}_N} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \text{trace}(X^2) \right) \exp \left( \text{trace}(X^t J) \right) dX \bigg|_{J=0}
\]
\[
= \left( \text{trace} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial J} \right)^j \right)^n \frac{1}{C_N} \int_{\mathcal{H}_N} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \text{trace}(X^t - J)^2 \right) \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \text{trace}(J^2) \right) dX \bigg|_{J=0}
\]
\[
= \left( \text{trace} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial J} \right)^j \right)^n \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \text{trace}(J^2) \right) \bigg|_{J=0},
\]
where we used the translational invariance of the Lebesgue measure \( dX \) on \( \mathcal{H}_N \) and the fact that \( \text{trace}(X^2) = \text{trace}((X^t)^2) \) and \( \text{trace}(X^t J) = \text{trace}(J X^t) \).

As in the case of scalar integral, the quantity
\[
\prod_{j=3}^{2m} \left( \text{trace} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial J} \right)^j \right)^{v_j} \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \text{trace}(J^2) \right) \bigg|_{J=0}
\]
is non-zero only when a pair of \( \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{ij}} \)'s in the differential operator operates on the exponential function \( \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \text{trace}(J^2) \right) \). Note that
\[
\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{ij}} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{k\ell}} \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \text{trace}(J^2) \right) \right|_{J=0} = \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{ij}} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{k\ell}} \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b} y_{ab} y_{ba} \right) \right|_{J=0}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b} (\delta_{ia} \delta_{jb} \delta_{kb} \delta_{la} + \delta_{ib} \delta_{ja} \delta_{ka} \delta_{lb})
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} (\delta_{il} \delta_{jk} + \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl})
\]
\[
= \delta_{il} \delta_{jk}.
\]

As before, let us introduce a set of dots \( D \) grouped into \( v_j \) sets of \( j \) dots for given indices \( v_3, v_4, \ldots, v_{2n} \). Thus
\[
|D| = \sum_{j=3}^{2m} j v_j.
\]

Since we are dealing with the differentiation by a matrix variable, a group of \( j \) dots are labeled with double indices like
\[
\bullet_{a_1 a_2} \quad \bullet_{a_2 a_3} \quad \cdots \quad \bullet_{a_j a_1}
\]
and these labels introduce a cyclic ordering of the dots. From (2.35), we know that each pair of dots \( (\bullet_{ij}, \bullet_{k\ell}) \) in the differential operator contributes \( \delta_{il} \delta_{jk} \) in the computation of the derivative. Thus we have
\[
\prod_{j=3}^{2m} \left( \text{trace} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial J} \right)^j \right)^{v_j} \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \text{trace}(J^2) \right) \bigg|_{J=0}
\]
\[
= \sum_{(P \text{ pairing scheme}) (\text{all indices})} \sum_{\bullet_{ij} \text{ and } \bullet_{k\ell} \text{ are paired in } P} \prod_{i,j} \delta_{il} \delta_{jk}.
\]

As before, the fibration \( \pi : D \to \mathcal{V} \) is defined by mapping a group of \( j \) dots to a \( j \)-valent vertex. The projection changes a pairing scheme into a ribbon graph \( \Gamma^R \).
To visualize the transition, consider the case that $\bullet_{i_1i_2}$ is paired, or connected, with $\bullet_{a_1a_2}$. The dot $\bullet_{i_1i_2}$ is one of the $j$ dots cyclically ordered. So it can be identified with a half edge of a $j$-valent vertex $V$ that is placed on an oriented plane. The other dot $\bullet_{i_1i_2}$ belongs to another set of cyclically ordered dots, so we can identify it with a half edge of another vertex $V'$. (Of course it is possible that $V = V'$.) The contribution from this pair, $\delta_{i_1a_2}\delta_{i_2a_1}$, can be visualized by connecting the outgoing line labeled by $i_1$ from $V$ with the incoming line $a_2$ at $V'$, and $i_2$ with $a_1$. On the ribbon graph level, the connection is exactly the same as in Figure 2.8. Thus the quantity $\delta_{i_1a_2}\delta_{i_2a_1}$, called a propagator in QFT, is attached to an edge $E$, and the factor $\delta_{i_1a_2}$ represents one of the oriented boundaries of the ribbon and the other factor, $\delta_{i_2a_1}$, the other oriented boundary.

![Figure 2.10. A propagator around a boundary circuit that is an $n$-gon.](image)

What happens if we follow a boundary circuit starting with, say $\delta_{i\ell}$? The next dot $\bullet_{\ell m}$ represents a half edge incident to vertex $V'$ that follows $\bullet_{k\ell}$ in the cyclic ordering at $V'$. It is connected to another dot, say $\bullet_{hp}$. Then the factor of the next propagator following $\delta_{i\ell}$ is $\delta_{\ell p}$. In this way, we have a sequence of factors of propagators

$$\delta_{i\ell}\delta_{\ell p}\delta_{pq}\cdots\delta_{st}\delta_{ti}$$

along a boundary circuit of the ribbon graph $\Gamma^R$. Note that

$$\sum_{i,\ell,p,q,\cdots,s,t} \delta_{i\ell}\delta_{\ell p}\delta_{pq}\cdots\delta_{st}\delta_{ti} = \text{trace}(I^n) = N,$$

when the boundary circuit is an $n$-gon (Figure 2.10). Therefore, the product of all propagators for all edges, after taking summation over every index involved, gives $N^b(\Gamma^R)$, where $b(\Gamma^R)$ is the number of boundary circuits of $\Gamma^R$.

We have noted that the fibration $\pi: D \rightarrow V$ has an extra structure for the case of Hermitian matrix integral. For every vertex $V \in \mathcal{V}$, the fiber has a cyclic ordering. Thus the automorphism of the fibration is

$$G = \prod_{j=3}^{2m} (\mathbb{Z}/j\mathbb{Z})^{v_j} \cong \prod_{j=3}^{2m} S_{v_j},$$
whose order, $\prod j^{v_j}v_j!$, appears in the coefficient of (2.33). In the same way we proved for the regular graph, the stabilizer subgroup of $G$ of a pairing scheme is identified with the ribbon graph automorphism group. We thus have

$$\prod_{j=3}^{2m} \frac{1}{j^{v_j}v_j!} \left( \text{trace} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial J} \right)^j \right)^{v_j} \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \text{trace}(J^2) \right) \bigg|_{J=0} = \sum_{\Gamma^R \text{ ribbon graph}} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(\Gamma^R)|} N^{b(\Gamma^R)}.$$  

Plugging it back to (2.33), we obtain

$$(2.36) \quad A(Z_H(t,N;m)) = \sum_{\Gamma^R \text{ connected ribbon graph with valence } j=3,4,\cdots,2m} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(\Gamma^R)|} N^{b(\Gamma^R)} \prod_{j=3}^{2m} t^{v_j(\Gamma^R)}.$$  

The argument of the Krull topology and taking the logarithm for the connected graphs are the same as before. Finally, we have established

$$(2.37) \quad \lim_{m \to \infty} \log A(Z_H(t,N;m)) = \sum_{\Gamma^R \text{ connected ribbon graph}} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(\Gamma^R)|} N^{b(\Gamma^R)} \prod_{j=3}^{2m} t^{v_j(\Gamma^R)}.$$  

2.8. Möbius Graphs and Non-Orientable Surfaces. We have observed that a cyclic ordering of half edges at each vertex of a graph is an intrinsic condition for the graph to be drawn on an oriented surface. Let us now turn our attention to graphs drawn on a non-orientable surface. What is an intrinsic condition for a graph to be on a non-orientable surface? And how do we find a canonical embedding of a graph into a non-orientable surface? Before answering these questions, let us review some basic facts about non-orientable surfaces.

![Figure 2.11. A Möbius band.](image)

The simplest non-orientable surface is a Möbius band (Figure 2.11). It is created by gluing one pair of parallel edges of a rectangle in a certain manner. We start with an oriented rectangle. Note that the orientation induces a natural orientation of the boundary edges. If we glue a parallel pair of edges in a way preserving the orientation, then we obtain an oriented cylinder. On the other hand, if we glue the same parallel edges in a way inconsistent with the orientation of the rectangle, then we obtain a Möbius band (Figure 2.12 top). The boundary of a Möbius band is a circle. The homotopy type of a Möbius band is that of a circle, and hence, it has Euler characteristic 0.
It is well-known that when we cut a Möbius band along the middle circle, we obtain an orientable cylinder (Figure 2.12 bottom). Following this cutting process backward, we see that a Möbius band can be constructed by identifying the antipodes of the top circle of a cylinder (Figure 2.13).

Compact non-orientable surfaces without boundary are classified by their Euler characteristic, which takes all integer values less than or equal to 1. A non-orientable surface of genus \( g \), denoted by \( X_g \), is constructed as follows. First we remove \( g + 1 \) disjoint disks from a sphere. We then glue a Möbius band to each boundary circle. The surface thus obtained is non-orientable and compact without boundary. Since a Möbius band has Euler characteristic 0, \( X_g \) has Euler characteristic \( 1 - g \). We note here that gluing a Möbius band to a boundary circle is topologically the same as identifying the antipodes on the boundary circle. Therefore, \( X_0 \) is homeomorphic to a real projective plane \( S^2 / \langle \iota \rangle \), where \( \iota : S^2 \to S^2 \) is the map of a sphere that interchanges the antipodes. \( X_0 \) can be also constructed by attaching a disk to the boundary of a Möbius band.

A non-orientable surface \( X_1 \) of genus 1 is best known as a Klein bottle. It is constructed by gluing the two ends of an oriented cylinder in a way inconsistent with the orientation chosen (Figure 2.14).

Of course it is the same as gluing two Möbius bands to a two-punctured sphere. To see that these two different constructions give the same result, let us start with the standard construction, Figure 2.15 top left. Recall that gluing a Möbius band is the same as identifying the antipodes of a boundary circle. First, we cut out a piece \( ABEDGF \) from the sphere (Figure 2.15 top right). We then flip the cut-out
piece over, and glue it back to the surface (Figure 2.15 bottom). Since we cut the surface along the line segment $AB$, the segment becomes two arcs $a$ and $g$. Arc $g$ is at the bottom of the colored piece of Figure 2.15 bottom, because the piece is flipped over. Similarly, the line segment $GD$ becomes two arcs $c$ and $e$. Arc $d$ represents the same arc $AHG$, and $b$ is equal to $BCD$. Originally the arc $AFG$ is glued to $AHG$ by identifying the antipodes of the circle. But since the cut piece is flipped over, arcs $d$ and $h$ are now glued straight, as indicated in Figure 2.15 bottom. The same gluing is done to arcs $b$ and $f$. At this stage, the surface we have thus constructed is again a sphere with two disks removed. Note that it is homeomorphic to a cylinder. The pair-wise identification of $a = g$ and $c = e$ is indeed the same as gluing two ends of an oriented cylinder in a manner that is inconsistent with the orientation.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.7\textwidth]{klein_bottle_diagram.png}
\caption{Two different constructions of a Klein bottle.}
\end{figure}

The above consideration shows that gluing two Möbius bands to two boundary circles of an oriented punctured sphere is the same as gluing these two circles in an orientation-inconsistent manner. We can now modify our previous construction of $X_g$ in a more visual way. First, let us consider the case when $g = 2k$ is even. We remove $g + 1 = 2k + 1$ disjoint disks from an oriented sphere. To one of the boundary circles, we glue a Möbius band. We note that the surface is already non-orientable. Out of the remaining $g = 2k$ boundary circles, let us form $k$ pairs of two circles. Instead of gluing two Möbius bands to a pair of circles, we simply attach a cylinder. Of course we have to connect the two circles in an orientation-inconsistent manner, but since the surface is already non-orientable, we can simply connect the two circles in whichever way we want. In particular, we can just attach a cylinder, or a handle, to a pair of circles. The surface thus obtained looks like one in Figure 2.16.

Now consider an oriented surface $\Sigma_g$ of even genus $g = 2k$. It has an orientation-reversing involution

\[ t : \Sigma_g \longrightarrow \Sigma_g. \]
Figure 2.16. A non-orientable surface $X_2$ of genus 2. It is obtained by gluing a Möbius band and a cylinder to a 3-punctured sphere.

An easy way to visualize it is to place the surface $\Sigma_g$ with half of the handles in one side. An orientation-reversing involution can be given by the antipodal mapping around the center of the surface (Figure 2.17). It is now obvious that

$$X_g \cong \Sigma_g / \langle \iota \rangle.$$ 

We note that the center of the antipodes is not on the surface. Thus the action of the involution does not have any fixed points on the surface.

Figure 2.17. The antipodal map as an orientation-reversing involution of an oriented surface of even genus. The center of the antipodes is not on the surface. Thus the involution does not have any fixed points on the surface.

The case of an odd genus $g = 2k + 1$ is almost the same. We start with an oriented sphere with $g + 1 = 2k + 2$ disjoint disks removed. Let us pair all boundary circles into $k + 1$ groups. To the first pair, we attach an oriented cylinder in an orientation-inconsistent manner. It makes sense because both the cylinder and the $(g + 1)$-punctured sphere are oriented. The surface thus obtained is non-orientable and has still $2k$ boundary circles. We then attach a cylinder to each pair of circles to make a compact non-orientable surface without boundary. It looks like one in Figure 2.18.

Figure 2.18. A non-orientable surface $X_3$ of genus 3. The two boundary circles have the natural orientation coming from the orientation of the surface. The circles are glued to one another in an orientation-inconsistent manner.

As before, for an odd genus case, we can also find an orientation-reversing involution $\iota$ of an oriented surface $\Sigma_g$ of genus $g$ such that $X_g$ is the quotient of $\Sigma_g$ by the involution (Figure 2.19).

Thus we have shown the following.
Proposition 2.23 (Oriented covering of a non-orientable surface). For every compact non-orientable surface $X_g$ of genus $g$, there is a compact oriented surface $\Sigma_g$ and an orientation-reversing involution $\iota: \Sigma_g \rightarrow \Sigma_g$ such that $X_g \cong \Sigma_g/\langle \iota \rangle$.

Remark. Since $\iota$ does not have any fixed points, the quotient is a topological manifold, and we have 
$$\chi(X_g) = \frac{1}{2}\chi(\Sigma_g) = 1 - g.$$ 

Proposition 2.23 motivates us to introduce the notion of Möbius graphs. These are the graphs drawn on an orientable or non-orientable surface.

Definition 2.24 (Möbius graphs). A 2-color ribbon graph is a ribbon graph with an element of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ assigned to every edge. An orientation-color change at a vertex is an operation on a 2-color ribbon graph that reverses the cyclic order of the vertex and the color of an edge by adding $1 \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ if one of its half edges is incident to the vertex. Thus if an edge is doubly incident to a vertex, then the color of this edge does not change after an orientation-color change at the vertex. Two 2-color ribbon graphs are said to be equivalent if one is obtained from the other by a successive application of orientation-color change operations. An equivalence class of a 2-color ribbon graph is called a Möbius graph. A Möbius graph automorphism is a pair consisting of a permutation of vertices and a permutation of half edges that preserve the incidence relation, color at each edge, and either preserve or reverse the cyclic ordering at each vertex. We can make a ribbon graph a Möbius graph by giving color 0 at each edge. A Möbius graph is said to be orientable if it is equivalent to a ribbon graph, and non-orientable otherwise.

We can give a topological realization of a Möbius graph by indicating the color of an edge with twisting (color 1) or no twisting (color 0). The topological realization is an orientable or non-orientable surface with boundary. Figure 2.20 shows two equivalent Möbius graphs. We note that a Möbius graph has boundary circuits, which are the boundary components of the topological realization, but they are no longer canonically oriented. We can construct a compact connected surface $\Sigma_{\Gamma^M}$, orientable or non-orientable, from a connected Möbius graph $\Gamma^M$ by attaching a disk to each boundary circuit of $\Gamma^M$.

Remark. The notion of Möbius graphs has appeared in the literature in many different names, such as voltage graphs with a rotation system and the voltage group $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ (cf. [?]). The graphs on a surface, orientable or non-orientable, are studies in the context of map coloring problem for surfaces of genus $g > 1$ in [?]. Since we do not consider any other voltage groups than $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, we use the more topologically appealing terminology.
Figure 2.20. Two equivalent Möbius graphs consisting of two vertices, three edges, and one boundary circuit. The graphs are interchanged one another by an orientation-color change operation at the right hand side vertex.

The opposite of a ribbon graph is the ribbon graph obtained by reversing the cyclic order at every vertex. Generically the opposite is a different ribbon graph, but they are equivalent as a Möbius graph.

For every connected non-orientable Möbius graph $\Gamma^M$, there is a connected orientable Möbius graph $\Gamma^2$ and a fixed-point free involution $\iota : \Gamma^2 \to \Gamma^2$ such that
\[(\Gamma^2)/\langle \iota \rangle \cong \Gamma^M.\] (2.38)

We call $\Gamma^2$ the covering graph of $\Gamma^M$, which is unique up to isomorphism. This corresponds to the situation of Proposition 2.23.

The construction of $\Gamma^2$ is as follows. First we apply the orientation-color change operation, if necessary, to place all vertices of $\Gamma^M$ on an oriented plane so that the cyclic ordering at each vertex is consistent with the orientation of the plane. (Of course $\Gamma^M$ does not have to be planar and its edges may not be placed on the plane.) We then prepare two copies of $\Gamma^M$, calling them $\Gamma^M$ and $\Gamma^M'$. Let $E$ be an edge of $\Gamma^M$ of color 1, incident to vertices $V_1$ and $V_2$ (which can be the same vertex), and $E'$, $V'_1$ and $V'_2$ be the corresponding edge and vertices of $\Gamma^M'$. Remove $E$ and $E'$ from $\Gamma^M \cup \Gamma^M'$ and connect $V_1$ and $V'_2$ with an edge $V_1V'_2$, and give it color 1. Likewise, connect $V_2$ and $V'_1$ with an edge $V'_1V_2$ and give it color 1. Let us call this procedure cross-bridge construction (see Figure 2.21).

The covering $\Gamma^2$ is obtained by applying the cross-bridge construction to every edge of $\Gamma^M$ of color 1. The involution $\iota$ maps every vertex $V \in \Gamma^M$ to its counterpart $V' \in \Gamma^M'$, every edge of color 0 of $\Gamma^M$ to its counterpart of $\Gamma^M'$ preserving its incidence, and a new edge $V_1V'_2$ to its cross-bridge partner $V'_1V_2$. Figure 2.22 shows the covering graph of the Möbius graph of Figure 2.20.

Let us show that $\Gamma^2$ is orientable. First, consider the subset of $\Gamma^M$ consisting of all vertices and edges of color 0. On this subset we can introduce an orientation consistent with the oriented plane. To the counterpart subset of $\Gamma^M'$, we give the
Figure 2.22. The covering graph $\Gamma^2$ of Figure 2.20. It has 4 vertices, 6 edges, and 2 boundary circuits. The Möbius graph on the left is equivalent to the ribbon graph on the right. The $180^\circ$ rotation $\iota$ about the vertical line $L$ is an orientation-reversing involution, and the quotient $\Gamma^2/\langle \iota \rangle$ is the original Möbius graph of Figure 2.20.

opposite orientation. These two subsets of $\Gamma^2$ are connected only with edges of color 1. Therefore, the orientation of the subsets can be extended consistently to the whole graph $\Gamma^2$. By construction, the involution $\iota$ is orientation reversing with respect to any orientation we choose on $\Gamma^2$. To see that the covering does not depend on the choice of an element of the equivalence class of $\Gamma^M$, let us apply an orientation-color change operation at a vertex $V$ of $\Gamma^M$, and call it $\Gamma^M_V$. The cross-bridge construction is performed on $\Gamma^M_V$ and its copy $\Gamma^M_{V'}$ to make the covering $\Gamma^2_V$. Let $V'$ be the copy of $V$ on $\Gamma^M_{V'}$. Apply the orientation-color change operation simultaneously to $V$ and $V'$, and then interchange $V$ and $V'$. This operation makes $\Gamma^2_V$ and $\Gamma^2$ equivalent.

We note that the covering $\Gamma^2$ has twice as many boundary circuits as $\Gamma^M$ does. It follows from the fact that a boundary circuit of a Möbius graph always passes through even number of twisted (i.e., color 1) edges. To see this, consider an $\epsilon$-neighborhood $B_\epsilon$ of a boundary circuit of the topological model of the graph that passes through $n$ twisted edges. The $\epsilon$-neighborhood $B_\epsilon$ is orientable since it is a part of the disk attached to create the compact surface $\Sigma_{\Gamma^M}$. We note that $B_\epsilon$ consists of $n$ twisted $\epsilon$-bands and other non-twisted bands. Since it is orientable, $n$ is even. Now, from the cross-bridge construction, one sees that the lift of a boundary circuit of $\Gamma^M$ consists of two boundary circuits of $\Gamma^2$ of the same length.

The fixed-point free and orientation-reversing involution

$$
\iota : \Gamma^2 \longrightarrow \Gamma^2
$$

induces a fixed-point free and orientation-reversing involution

$$
\iota : \Sigma_{\Gamma^2} \longrightarrow \Sigma_{\Gamma^2}
$$

of the compact orientable surface $\Sigma_{\Gamma^2}$. The quotient surface $\Sigma_{\Gamma^2}/\langle \iota \rangle$ is the non-orientable surface $\Sigma_{\Gamma^M}$.

Let $\Gamma^M$ be a connected non-orientable Möbius graph. The genus $g(\Gamma^M)$ of $\Gamma^M$ is the genus of the compact orientable surface $\Sigma_{\Gamma^2}$ associated with the covering $\Gamma^2$ of $\Gamma^M$. Let $v(\Gamma^M)$, $e(\Gamma^M)$, and $b(\Gamma^M)$ (resp.) denote the number of vertices, edges, and the boundary circuits of $\Gamma^M$ (resp.). Then we have the genus-Euler characteristic relation

$$
v(\Gamma^M) - e(\Gamma^M) + b(\Gamma^M) = 1 - g(\Gamma^M),
$$

(2.40)
since the Euler characteristic of $\Sigma_{g^2}$ is $2 - 2g(\Gamma^2)$ and since $\Gamma^2$ is a double covering of $\Gamma^M$.

2.9. Symmetric Matrix Integrals. (This section is under construction.)

Our next target is an integral over the space of real symmetric matrices. Let $S_N$ denote the space of all real symmetric matrices of size $N$. The goal of this section is to identify the asymptotic expansion of

$$Z(t, N; m) = \frac{1}{C_N} \int_{S_N} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \text{trace}(X^T X) \right) \exp \left( \sum_{j=3}^{2m} t_j \text{trace}(X^j) \right) dX,$$

where the overall normalization constant $C_N$ and the coefficient $1/c_j$ of the parameter $t_j$ are determined later.

First of all, we have to define the measure of integration $dX$. Let $X = [x_{ij}]_{ij}$ be a real symmetric matrix of size $N$. Since $x_{ij} = x_{ji}$, we define

$$dX = \bigwedge_{i<j} dx_{ij} \wedge \bigwedge_i dx_{ii}.$$  

The measure $dX$ is the standard Lebesgue measure of $S_N$, which is a real vector space of dimension $N(N + 1)/2$. We note that

$$\text{trace}(X^2) = \text{trace}(X^T X) = \sum_{i,j} (x_{ij})^2 = 2 \sum_{i<j} (x_{ij})^2 + \sum_i (x_{ii})^2$$

is a positive definite quadratic form. From (2.42), it is obvious that we should choose as the normalization constant. So we define

$$C_N = \int_{S_N} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \text{trace}(X^2) \right) dX = \sqrt{\pi}^{N(N-1)/2} \sqrt{2\pi}^N = 2^{N/4} \pi^{N(N+1)/4}.$$  

We also note that if we choose positive constants $c_j > 0$, then the integral $Z(t, N; m)$ is absolutely convergent for $Re(t_{2m}) < 0$ and arbitrary $t_3, t_4, \cdots, t_{2m-1}$. Therefore, $Z(t, N; m)$ is a holomorphic function in

$$t = (t_3, t_4, \cdots, t_{2m-1}, t_{2m}) \in \mathbb{C}^{2m-3} \times \Omega_c, $$

where $\Omega_c$ is the same domain as in (2.14). In exactly the same way as in the case of the scalar integral (2.15), we obtain the expansion of $Z(t, N; m)$ as the Taylor series in $t_3, t_4, \cdots, t_{2m-1}$ and the asymptotic series in $t_{2m}$:

$$A(Z(t, N; m)) = \frac{1}{C_N} \sum_{v_3, v_4, \cdots, v_{2m} \geq 0} \prod_{j=3}^{2m} t_j^{v_j} \prod_{j=3}^{2m} \text{trace}(X^j)^{v_j} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \text{trace}(X^2) \right) \prod_{j=3}^{2m} \text{trace}(X^j)^{v_j} dX.$$  

We use the following lemmas to calculate this last integral.

Lemma 2.25 (Matrix differentiation). Let $J = [y_{ij}]_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}$ be a symmetric matrix valued variable, and let

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial J} = \left[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{ij}} \right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}.$$  

Then

$$\left( \text{trace} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial J} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^n \exp \left( \text{trace}(X^T J) \right) \bigg|_{J=0} = (\text{trace}(X^j))^n.$$
Proof.

\[
\text{trace} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial J} \right)^j \exp \left( \text{trace}(X^t J) \right) \bigg|_{J=0} = \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^j \sum_{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{a_1 a_2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{a_2 a_3}} \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{a_j a_1}} \right) \exp \left( \sum_{a,b} x_{ab} y_{ab} \right) \bigg|_{J=0} = \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^j \sum_{a,b} x_{ab} (\delta_{a_1 b} + \delta_{a_2 b} + \delta_{a_3 b}) \cdots \right)
\]

\[
= \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^j \left( x_{a_1 a_2} + x_{a_2 a_1} \right) \left( x_{a_2 a_3} + x_{a_3 a_2} \right) \cdots \left( x_{a_j a_1} + x_{a_1 a_j} \right) = \text{trace}(X^j).
\]

The desired formula follows from repeating the above computation \(n\)-times. \(\square\)

**Lemma 2.26 (Source term for symmetric matrix integral).** Let \(J = [y_{ij}]_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}\) and \(\frac{\partial}{\partial J} = \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{ij}} \right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}\) be as above. Then

\[
\frac{1}{C_N} \int_{S_N} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \text{trace}(X^2) \right) \left( \text{trace}(X^j) \right)^n dX = \left( \text{trace} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial J} \right) \right)^j \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \text{trace}(J^2) \right) \bigg|_{J=0}^n.
\]

**Proof.** Since the integral is absolutely convergent, we can interchange the integration and the differentiation with respect to a parameter, and we obtain

\[
\frac{1}{C_N} \int_{S_N} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \text{trace}(X^2) \right) \left( \text{trace}(X^j) \right)^n dX = \frac{1}{C_N} \int_{S_N} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \text{trace}(X^2) \right) \left( \text{trace} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial J} \right) \right)^j \exp \left( \text{trace}(X^t J) \right) \bigg|_{J=0}^n dX = \left( \text{trace} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial J} \right) \right)^j \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \text{trace}(J^2 - J)^2 \right) \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \text{trace}(J^2) \right) dX \bigg|_{J=0}^n \]

where we used the translational invariance of the Lebesgue measure \(dX\) on \(S_N\). \(\square\)
As in the case of scalar integral, the quantity
\[ \prod_{j=3}^{2m} \left( \text{trace} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial J} \right)_j^v \right) \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \text{trace}(J^2) \right) \bigg|_{J=0} \]
is non-zero only when a pair of \( \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{ij}} \)'s in the differential operator operates on the exponential function \( \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \text{trace}(J^2) \right) \). Note that
\[
\left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{ij}} \right) \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{k\ell}} \right) \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b} (y_{ab})^2 \right) \bigg|_{J=0} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \delta_{ik} \delta_{j\ell} + \delta_{i\ell} \delta_{jk} \right)
\]
As before, let us introduce \( v_j \) sets of \( j \) dots for given indices \( v_3, v_4, \cdots, v_{2m} \). Since we are dealing with the differentiation by a matrix variable, the \( j \) dots are labeled with double indices like
\[ \bullet_{a_1a_2} \quad \bullet_{a_2a_3} \quad \cdots \quad \bullet_{a_ja_1} \]
and these labels introduce a \textbf{cyclic ordering} of the dots. Then we have
\[
\prod_{j=3}^{2m} \left( \text{trace} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial J} \right)_j^v \right) \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \text{trace}(J^2) \right) \bigg|_{J=0} = \sum_{\mathcal{P}} \prod_{i,j} \left( \delta_{ik} \delta_{j\ell} + \delta_{i\ell} \delta_{jk} \right)
\]
Now let us choose the constant \( c_j \) such that
\[
\frac{1}{c_j} = \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}}{j} \right)^j.
\]
To be continued...
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