

MATH 132A: Intro. to Stochastic Processes

Final Exam Solutions

Name:_____

Score of this problem:_____

Total Score:_____

Problem 1 (25 pts) Consider a Poisson process with rate λ . Let T_1 be the arrival time of the first event, T_n be the interarrival time between the $(n - 1)$ st and the n th events.

(a) (10 pts) Show that $P\{T_1 > t\} = e^{-\lambda t}$. [Hint: Recall the definition of a Poisson process.]

Ans: Clearly, $P\{T_1 > t\} = P\{N(t) = 0\}$. From the definition of a Poisson process,

$$P\{N(t+s) - N(s) = n\} = e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!},$$

for all $t \geq 0$, all $s \geq 0$, and all $n = 0, 1, \dots$. So, taking $s = 0$, $n = 0$, we get $P\{N(t) = 0\} = e^{-\lambda t}$.

(b) (10 pts) Show that $P\{T_2 > t\} = e^{-\lambda t}$.

Ans: Conditioning on T_1 , we have

$$P\{T_2 > t\} = E[P\{T_2 > t | T_1\}].$$

However,

$$\begin{aligned} P\{T_2 > t | T_1 = s\} &= P\{N(t+s) - N(s) = 0 | T_1 = s\} \\ &= P\{N(t+s) - N(s) = 0\} \\ &= e^{-\lambda t}. \end{aligned}$$

(c) (5 pts) Using (a) and (b), prove that $\{T_n; n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ are independently and identically distributed exponential random variables with parameter λ .

Ans: We can repeat the above argument for T_3, T_4, \dots , we can easily conclude that $P\{T_n > t\} = e^{-\lambda t}$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. So, they are independent and identically distributed. Finally, if a random variable X obeys an exponential distribution with parameter λ , its cdf is $F(t) = P\{X \leq t\} = 1 - e^{-\lambda t}$. Thus, $P\{X > t\} = e^{-\lambda t}$. This implies that T_n obeys exponential distribution with parameter λ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

Score of this problem:.....

Problem 2 (20 pts) For a Poisson process, show that for $s < t$,

$$P\{N(s) = k \mid N(t) = n\} = \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^k \left(1 - \frac{s}{t}\right)^{n-k}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

[Hint: $P\{N(s) = k \mid N(t) = n\} = P\{N(s) = k, N(t) = n\} / P\{N(t) = n\}$. Then consider how many events arrive in the interval $(s, t]$.]

Ans: Following the hint, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P\{N(s) = k \mid N(t) = n\} &= \frac{P\{N(s) = k, N(t) = n\}}{P\{N(t) = n\}} \\ &= \frac{P\{N(s) = k, N(t-s) = n-k\}}{P\{N(t) = n\}} \\ &= \frac{P\{N(s) = k\} \cdot P\{N(t-s) = n-k\}}{P\{N(t) = n\}} \\ &= \frac{e^{-\lambda s} (\lambda s)^k / k! \cdot e^{-\lambda(t-s)} (\lambda(t-s))^{n-k} / (n-k)!}{e^{-\lambda t} (\lambda t)^n / n!} \\ &= \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} \left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^k \left(\frac{t-s}{t}\right)^{n-k} \\ &= \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^k \left(1 - \frac{s}{t}\right)^{n-k}. \end{aligned}$$

Score of this problem:_____

Problem 3 (30 pts) Consider three independent random variables X_1, X_2, X_3 , which obey exponential distribution with parameters $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$, respectively.

(a) (8 pts) What is $P\{X_1 < X_2\}$?

[Hint: Condition on X_1 .]

Ans: Following the hint, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P\{X_1 < X_2\} &= E[P\{X_1 < X_2 \mid X_1\}] \\ &= \int_0^\infty P\{X_1 < X_2 \mid X_1 = x\} \lambda_1 e^{-\lambda_1 x} dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty P\{X_2 > x\} \lambda_1 e^{-\lambda_1 x} dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda_2 x} \lambda_1 e^{-\lambda_1 x} dx \\ &= \lambda_1 \int_0^\infty e^{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)x} dx \\ &= \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}. \end{aligned}$$

(b) (7 pts) Compute $P\{\min(X_2, X_3) > x\}$, and what is the probability distribution of the random variable $\min(X_2, X_3)$?

Ans:

$$\begin{aligned} P\{\min(X_2, X_3) > x\} &= P\{X_2 > x \text{ and } X_3 > x\} \\ &= P\{X_2 > x\} \cdot P\{X_3 > x\} \quad \text{from independence;} \\ &= e^{-\lambda_2 x} \cdot e^{-\lambda_3 x} \\ &= e^{-(\lambda_2 + \lambda_3)x}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\min(X_2, X_3)$ obeys exponential distribution with parameter $\lambda_2 + \lambda_3$.

(c) (7 pts) What is $P\{X_1 = \min(X_1, X_2, X_3)\}$?

[Hint: Consider $P\{X_1 < \min(X_2, X_3)\}$, and use (a) and (b).]

Ans: Thinking about $\min(X_2, X_3)$ is a single exponential random variable with parameter $\lambda_2 + \lambda_3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P\{X_1 = \min(X_1, X_2, X_3)\} &= P\{X_1 < \min(X_2, X_3)\} \\ &= \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + (\lambda_2 + \lambda_3)} \quad \text{from (a);} \\ &= \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3}. \end{aligned}$$

(d) (8 pts) What is $P\{X_1 < X_2 < X_3\}$?

[Hint:

$$P\{X_1 < X_2 < X_3\} = P\{X_1 = \min(X_1, X_2, X_3)\} \cdot P\{X_2 < X_3 \mid X_1 = \min(X_1, X_2, X_3)\}.$$

]

Ans: Following the hint, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} P\{X_1 < X_2 < X_3\} &= P\{X_1 = \min(X_1, X_2, X_3)\} \cdot P\{X_2 < X_3 \mid X_1 = \min(X_1, X_2, X_3)\} \\ &= \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3} \cdot P\{X_2 < X_3 \mid X_1 = \min(X_1, X_2, X_3)\} \quad \text{from (c);} \\ &= \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3} \cdot P\{X_2 < X_3\} \quad \text{from the memoryless property;} \\ &= \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3} \cdot \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_2 + \lambda_3} \quad \text{from (a).} \end{aligned}$$

Score of this problem:.....

Problem 4 (25 pts) Consider a homogeneous Markov chain $\{X_n; n = 0, 1, \dots\}$ whose state is *binary*, i.e., $X_n = 0$ or 1 for all $n \geq 0$. Let us denote $\alpha = P_{01}$ and $\beta = P_{10}$.

(a) (5 pts) Write the transition probability matrix P .

Ans: This is easy.

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \alpha & \alpha \\ \beta & 1 - \beta \end{pmatrix}$$

(b) (20 pts) Compute the limiting probabilities, i.e.,

$$\Pi = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P^n.$$

[Hint: You need to classify the situation depending on whether $\alpha = 0$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, or $\alpha = 1$, so as β .]

Ans:

- $\alpha = \beta = 0$: Then $P = I$, i.e., the identity matrix. So, clearly, $\Pi = I$.
- $\alpha = \beta = 1$: Then $P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. So, the chain oscillates between state 0 and state 1 indefinitely. Therefore Π does not exist.
- $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $\beta = 0$: Then as soon as the chain reaches state 1, it sticks to it. So, $\Pi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.
- $\alpha = 0$ and $0 < \beta \leq 1$: This is the opposite of the above case. So, $\Pi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.
- $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $0 < \beta < 1$: This case, we can compute the stationary distributions $\boldsymbol{\pi} = (\pi_0, \pi_1)$, which satisfies $\boldsymbol{\pi} = \boldsymbol{\pi}P$. From this we get $\boldsymbol{\pi} = (\beta/(\alpha + \beta), \alpha/(\alpha + \beta))$. Therefore, we have

$$\Pi = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta} & \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} \\ \frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta} & \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} \end{pmatrix}$$

Score of this problem:_____

Problem 5 (20 pts) Consider a branching process. Let X_n be the population of the n th generation, and let μ be the expected number of offspring produced by an individual in this population. Let us assume $X_0 = 1$.

(a) (10 pts) Compute $E[X_n]$.

[Hint: Represent $X_n = \sum_{i=1}^{X_{n-1}} Z_i$, where Z_i is the number of offspring of the i th individual of the $(n - 1)$ st generation. Clearly, $E[Z_i] = \mu$.]

Ans:

$$\begin{aligned} E[X_n] &= E[E[X_n | X_{n-1}]] \\ &= E \left[E \left[\sum_{i=1}^{X_{n-1}} Z_i \mid X_{n-1} \right] \right] \\ &= E[X_{n-1} E[Z_i]] \\ &= \mu E[X_{n-1}] \\ &= \mu^2 E[X_{n-2}] \\ &= \dots \\ &= \mu^n E[X_0] \\ &= \mu^n. \end{aligned}$$

(b) (10 pts) Show

$$E[X_m X_n] = \mu^{n-m} E[X_m^2] \quad \text{for } m \leq n.$$

[Hint: First consider $E[X_n | X_m]$. Next consider $E[X_m X_n | X_m]$.]

Ans: Let's follow the hint.

$$E[X_n | X_m] = X_m E[X_{n-m}], \tag{1}$$

since it is the same as starting X_m individuals and thinking $(n - m)$ th generation. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} E[X_m X_n] &= E[E[X_m X_n | X_m]] \quad \text{conditioning on } X_m; \\ &= E[X_m E[X_n | X_m]] \\ &= E[X_m^2 E[X_{n-m}]] \quad \text{from (1);} \\ &= E[X_m^2] E[X_{n-m}] \quad \text{since } E[X_{n-m}] \text{ is just a constant;} \\ &= \mu^{n-m} E[X_m^2] \quad \text{from (a).} \end{aligned}$$