

MAT 271: Applied & Computational Harmonic Analysis Comments on Homework 2

Problem 1: It is important to notice that this shah (or comb) function is not really a function, but a *generalized function* or also known as a *distribution*. So, you cannot use the usual Fourier transform definition.

The best way to solve this problem is to split it into two subproblems:

(1) Show $\mathcal{F}\{\text{III}_1\}(\xi) = \text{III}_1(\xi)$;

(2) Apply the dilation operator δ_A to $\text{III}_1(x)$ and use the the Fourier transform formula:
 $\mathcal{F}\{\delta_A f\}(\xi) = \delta_{1/A}\mathcal{F}\{f\}(\xi)$.

As for (1), I stated this in my lecture when we discussed the sampling theorem, but this is what I really wanted you to show. The correct proof goes like this. Let us write $\text{III}_1(x)$ as $\text{III}(x)$. First since the shah function is a generalized function, its Fourier transform is defined by pairing it with a very nice function ϕ in the Schwartz space \mathcal{S} (a space of functions of infinitely many times differentiable and decaying faster than any polynomials in both space and frequency domain) as:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \mathcal{F}\{\text{III}\}, \phi \rangle &= \langle \text{III}, \mathcal{F}\{\phi\} \rangle \\ &= \int \text{III}(\xi) \widehat{\phi}(\xi) \, d\xi \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\phi}(k) \\ &\stackrel{(a)}{=} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi(k) \\ &= \int \text{III}(\xi) \phi(\xi) \, d\xi.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\widehat{\text{III}}(\xi) = \text{III}(\xi)$. The equality (a) above is called the *Poisson summation formula*, and will be proved simply as follows: Consider a periodized version of $\phi(x)$, i.e.,

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi(x - k).$$

This is clearly periodic with period 1. Thus, we can expand this into a Fourier series as:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi(x - k) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n e^{2\pi i n x} \\
&= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi(y - k) e^{-2\pi i n y} dy \right) e^{2\pi i n x} \\
&= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \phi(y - k) e^{-2\pi i n (y - k)} dy \right) e^{2\pi i n x} \\
&= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(y) e^{-2\pi i n y} dy \right) e^{2\pi i n x} \\
&= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\phi}(n) e^{2\pi i n x}.
\end{aligned}$$

Setting $x = 0$ in both sides, we get the Poisson summation formula:

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi(k) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\phi}(k).$$

Once we establish (1), i.e., $\widehat{\text{III}}_1(\xi) = \text{III}_1(\xi)$, it is easy to get (2) by the dilation formula. First, it is important to notice that $\delta(ax) = (1/a)\delta(x)$ or $a\delta(ax) = \delta(x)$. Now,

$$\text{III}_A(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta(x - kA) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{A} \delta(x/A - k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{A}} \boldsymbol{\delta}_A \text{III}(x).$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}\{\text{III}_A\}(\xi) &= \mathcal{F}\left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{A}} \boldsymbol{\delta}_A \text{III} \right\}(\xi) \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{A}} \boldsymbol{\delta}_{1/A} \text{III}(\xi) \\
&= \text{III}(A\xi) \\
&= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta(A\xi - k) \\
&= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{A} \delta(\xi - k/A) \\
&= \frac{1}{A} \text{III}_{1/A}(\xi).
\end{aligned}$$

I noticed that some of you had tried to compute the Fourier transform of the exponential function $\exp(2\pi i kx/A)$ in this problem, but this does not work because such an exponential function is neither in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ nor $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

Problem 2: This was an easy problem. Most of you answered correctly.

Problems 3–4: (a) A few people didn't simplify $\cos(\pi k)$ as $(-1)^k$ and $\sin(\pi k)$ as 0. Since k is an integer, you should use $(-1)^k$. By the same token, $\sin(\pi k) = 0$, of course. Also several people did not treat the case of $k = 0$. c_0 carries very important information, i.e., the so-called DC component of an input function. Thus, do not forget to compute c_0 .

(b) The point of this problem is to figure out the difference between the hand-derived Fourier series coefficients and the the DFT coefficients computed via the FFT function of MATLAB. Many people plotted and compared the absolute values of the FFT coefficients and hand-computed Fourier coefficients. That only gives you a part of the story. You really need to plot and compare the real part and imaginary part separately without taking the absolute values in order to see the real difference between the hand-computed Fourier coefficients and the output of the MATLAB `fft` function!

First of all, you need to go back to the original definition of the DFT and the Fourier coefficients.

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_k &= \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} f(x) e^{-2\pi i k x} dx \\
 &\approx \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} f(x_\ell) e^{-2\pi i k x_\ell} \Delta x, \quad x_\ell = -\frac{1}{2} + \ell \Delta x \text{ and } \Delta x = \frac{1}{N} \\
 &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} f\left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\ell}{N}\right) e^{-2\pi i k(-1/2 + \ell/N)} \\
 &= \frac{e^{-\pi i k}}{N} \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} f\left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\ell}{N}\right) e^{-2\pi i k \ell/N} \\
 &= \frac{(-1)^k}{N} \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} f\left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\ell}{N}\right) e^{-2\pi i k \ell/N} \\
 &= \frac{(-1)^k}{N} \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} f_\ell e^{-2\pi i k \ell/N}.
 \end{aligned}$$

And finally, the summation portion can be computed by `fft` (non-unitary original version) in MATLAB. Here is my MATLAB script for Problem 3. I also put my codes online, so please download them and run them to see how much these two sets of coefficients agree.

`% Problem 3`

```

% define the basic parameters.
N=1024;
a=-0.5;
b=0.5;

% Create an array of N equidistant points over [a,b].
% Trying to exclude the point x=b=0.5 from the samples.
x=linspace(a,b,N+1);
x=x(1:end-1);

% Create a function.
y = x; % In problem 4, this should be y=x.^2, of course.

% Normalize the function to have a unit L^2 norm.
ey = norm(y);
y = y/ey;

% Do the fft to approximate the Fourier series coefficients over this
% interval. Note that we need to have 1/N here. You need to go back
% to the original definition of the Fourier coefficients and its
% approximation by the trapezoidal rule.
% Note that fft essentially view the input data is defined over the
% interval on [0,1], instead of [-1/2,1/2]. So you need to do either
% of the following two:
% 1) Apply fftshift to the input vector before taking fft; or
% 2) Apply the complex exponential factor exp(pi*k)=(-1)^k to the output
% of fft, which is equivalent to changing the signum of the fft results
% alternatively as fy(2:2:N)=-fy(2:2:N), where fy=fft(y)/N.

fy = fft(y)/N;
fy(2:2:N)=-fy(2:2:N);

% Now, prepare the analytical Fourier coefficients you derived by
% hand.

c = zeros(1,N);
% c(1) = 1/12.0; % for problem 4.
for k=1:N-1
    c(k+1)=i*(-1)^k/(2*pi*k); % c(k+1)=(-1)^k/(2*(pi*k)^2); % for problem 4.
end

% Normalize the coefficients
c = c/ey;

```

```

% Now plot real and imaginary part separately using the semilog plot.

figure(1)
clf;
subplot(1,2,1);
plot(real(c(1:N/2)));
grid
hold on
plot(real(fy(1:N/2)), 'r. ');
title('Real Part')
hold off

subplot(1,2,2);
plot(imag(c(1:N/2)));
grid
hold on
plot(imag(fy(1:N/2)), 'r. ');
title('Imaginary Part')
hold off

% Let's look at the more details around the origin.
figure(2)
clf;
subplot(1,2,1);
plot(real(c(1:N/16)), 'o');
grid
hold on
plot(real(fy(1:N/16)), 'r. ');
title('Real Part')
hold off

subplot(1,2,2);
plot(imag(c(1:N/16)), 'o');
grid
hold on
plot(imag(fy(1:N/16)), 'r. ');
title('Imaginary Part')
hold off

```

Do the similar computation for Problem 4. You can see that they match closely, but not exact due to the approximation error by the trapezoidal rule and sampling. What happens if we increase the number of samples, e.g., to $N = 2^{15}$?

Problem 5: 1)–3) Several people use the normal distribution factor as the parameter a , i.e., $a = 1/(\sigma\sqrt{2\pi})$. But my intention was to use a as the normalization constant so that the ℓ^2 norm

of the input vector becomes 1. Once you do that, then you can follow the same strategy here as above.

4) You got mixed results. In fact, it is true that the larger the value of σ (i.e., the wider the Gaussian is), the faster the the decay of its Fourier *transform* because of it is proportional to $\exp(-2\pi\sigma^2\xi^2)$ in the Fourier domain. But unfortunately, I am asking the decay of the Fourier *coefficients* of the Gaussian on the *finite* interval $[-1/2, 1/2]$. So, the boundary effects at $x = \pm 1/2$ becomes more prominent compared to the smoothness. The Fourier coefficient magnitudes follow more like $\exp(-2\pi\sigma^2\xi^2)$ in the low frequency region. But then, the boundary effects start dominating. This fact was obscured if you use the wrong normalization a . Also, you should use MATLAB's `semilogy` program to see the more detailed behaviors of the Fourier coefficients.

5) In theory, clearly the decay of the Fourier coefficients of the Gaussian functions are faster than that of the polynomials such as ax or ax^2 , which is the case in the low frequency region. But in the finite length DFT, the quadratic polynomial behaves similarly to the Gaussian with appropriate value of σ for the higher frequency part. Thus, the decay of the Fourier coefficients of ax^2 is slower than those of the Gaussian, but the decay curve in the high frequency range looks similar to that of the Gaussian with $\sigma = 1$.

6) The Fourier the Gaussian in this case is the following using Problem 4 of HW #1:

$$\mathcal{F}\{ae^{-x^2/(2\sigma^2)}\} = \mathcal{F}\{a\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma g(x; \sigma)\} = a\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x; \sigma)e^{-2\pi i\xi x} dx = a\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma e^{-2\pi^2\sigma^2\xi^2}.$$

On the other hand, using the MATLAB `fft` function, we can only approximate the Fourier coefficients of the periodized Gaussian (or mutilated Gaussian) on $[-1/2, 1/2]$:

$$c_k = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} g(x; \sigma)e^{-2\pi i k x} dx.$$

Actual output is even different from this c_k due to the error by the trapezoidal formula. Therefore, there are two errors involved here: 1) Truncation of the interval; and 2) error due to the trapezoidal rule. For more details, I strongly recommend to read [1, Chap. 6].

Problem 6: Most of the people got this problem right. You just need to use some trigonometric identity and the summation formula of a geometric series.

References

- [1] W. L. BRIGGS AND V. E. HENSON, *The DFT: An Owner's Manual for the Discrete Fourier Transform*, SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, 1995.