## MAT 271: Applied \& Computational Harmonic Analysis Comments on Homework 2

Problem 1: If you state the equality condition of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality used in this Heisenberg inequality, then it is automatically "if and only if". The bottom line is that the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in this case becomes:

$$
\|f\|^{4}=4\left(\operatorname{Re} \int x \overline{f(x)} f^{\prime}(x) \mathrm{d} x\right)^{2} \leq \int x^{2}|f(x)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \int\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x,
$$

and the equality holds if and only if

$$
f^{\prime}(x)=c x f(x), \quad \text { for some constant } c .
$$

So, we can easily get the solution:

$$
f(x)=a \mathrm{e}^{c x^{2} / 2}, \quad \text { for some constants } a, c .
$$

However, the function $f$ must be in $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$. So, we must have $c<0$. Otherwise, this function cannot have a finite norm in $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$. So, we can set $c=-1 / \sigma^{2}$ for some $\sigma>0$, and get the form:

$$
f(x)=a \mathrm{e}^{-x^{2} / 2 \sigma^{2}}, \quad \text { for some constants } a \text { and } \sigma>0 .
$$

Problem 2: This was an easy problem. Most of you answered correctly.
Problems 3-4: (b) The point of this problem is to figure out the difference between the handderived Fourier series coefficients and the the DFT coefficients computed via the FFT function of MATLAB. In Part 5) here, many of you used the phrases such as "they look very similar" or "they are close". Whenever you use such words, you must be more precise and quantitative.
In order to really understand what is going on in this problem, you first need to go back
to the original definition of the DFT and the Fourier coefficients.

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{k} & =\int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} f(x) \mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi \mathrm{i} k x} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \approx \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} f\left(x_{\ell}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi \mathrm{i} k x_{\ell}} \Delta x, \quad x_{\ell}=-\frac{1}{2}+\ell \Delta x \text { and } \Delta x=\frac{1}{N} \\
& =\frac{1}{N} \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} f\left(-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\ell}{N}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi \mathrm{i} k(-1 / 2+\ell / N)} \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\pi \mathrm{i} k}}{N} \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} f\left(-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\ell}{N}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi \mathrm{i} k \ell / N} \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{k}}{N} \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} f\left(-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\ell}{N}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi \mathrm{i} k \ell / N} \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{k}}{N} \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} f_{\ell} \mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi \mathrm{i} k \ell / N} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, please read the problem carefully. The interval specified was $[-1 / 2,1 / 2$ ), not $(-1 / 2,1 / 2]$. Hence, the discretization point should starts at $x=-1 / 2$, and ends at $x=1 / 2-\Delta x$, not $x=1 / 2$. This makes a difference from my definition of the DFT in my lecture where I used $(-1 / 2,1 / 2$ ] (i.e., $\ell=-N / 2+1: N / 2)$. Hence, in this case, in order to best match the MATLAB fft and the hand-computed Fourier coefficients over $[-1 / 2,1 / 2)$, you do not need to do:
F=circshift(fftshift(fft(fftshift(circshift(f, 1)))),-1)/sqrt(length(f));
Instead, you can simply do: $F=f f t(f f t s h i f t(f)) / s q r t(l e n g t h(f)) ; ~ W e ~ a l l ~$ need to appreciate the subtlety of the discretization! See my example MATLAB script below.
Finally, the summation portion can be computed by fft (non-unitary original version) in MATLAB. Here is my MATLAB script for Problem 3 if we want to match the handcomputed Fourier coefficients and the MATLAB fft output as much as possible. I also put my codes online, so please download them and run them to see how much these two sets of coefficients agree.

```
% Problem 3
% define the basic parameters.
N=1024;
a=-0.5;
b=0.5;
% Create an array of N equidistant points over [a,b).
% Trying to exclude the point x=b=0.5 from the samples.
```

```
x=linspace(a,b,N+1);
x=x(1:end-1);
% Create a function.
y = x; % In problem 4, this should be y=x.^2, of course.
% Normalize the function to have a unit L^2 norm.
ey = norm(y);
y = y/ey;
% Do the fft to approximate the Fourier series coefficients over this
% interval. Note that we need to have 1/N here. You need to go back
% to the original definition of the Fourier coefficients and its
% approximation by the trapezoidal rule.
% Note that fft essentially view the input data is defined over the
% interval on [0,1), instead of [-1/2,1/2). So you need to do either
% of the following two:
% 1) Apply fftshift to the input vector before taking fft; or
% 2) Apply the complex exponential factor exp(pi*k)=(-1)^k to the output
% Of fft, which is equivalent to changing the signum of the fft results
% alternatively as fy(2:2:N)=-fy(2:2:N), where fy=fft(y)/N.
fy = fft(y)/N;
fy(2:2:N)=-fy(2:2:N);
% Now, prepare the analytical Fourier coefficients you derived by
% hand.
c = zeros(1,N);
% c(1) = 1/12.0; % for problem 4.
for k=1:N-1
    c(k+1)=i* (-1)^k/(2*pi*k); % c(k+1)=(-1)^k/(2*(pi*k)^2); % for problem 4.
end
% Normalize the coefficients
c = c/ey;
% Now plot real and imaginary part separately using the semilog plot.
figure(1)
clf;
subplot(1,2,1);
plot(real(c(1:N/2)));
grid
```

```
hold on
plot(real(fy(1:N/2)),'r.');
title('Real Part')
hold off
subplot(1,2,2);
plot(imag(c(1:N/2)));
grid
hold on
plot(imag(fy(1:N/2)),'r.');
title('Imaginary Part')
hold off
% Let's look at the more details around the origin.
figure(2)
clf;
subplot(1,2,1);
stem(real(c(1:N/16)),' o');
grid
hold on
stem(real(fy(1:N/16)),'r.');
title('Real Part')
hold off
subplot(1,2,2);
stem(imag(c(1:N/16)),'O');
grid
hold on
stem(imag(fy(1:N/16)),'r.');
title('Imaginary Part')
hold off
```

Do the similar computation for Problem 4. You can see that they match closely, but not exact due to the approximation error by the trapezoidal rule and sampling. What happens if we increase the number of samples, e.g., to $N=2^{15}$ ?

Problem 5: 1)-3) Several people use the normal distribution factor as the parameter $a$, i.e., $a=$ $1 /(\sigma \sqrt{2 \pi})$. But my intention was to use $a$ as the normalization constant so that the $\ell^{2}$ norm of the input vector becomes 1 . Once you do that, then you can follow the same strategy here as above.
4) You got mixed results. In fact, it is true that the larger the value of $\sigma$ (i.e., the wider the Gaussian is), the faster the the decay of its Fourier transform because of it is proportional to $\exp \left(-2 \pi \sigma^{2} \xi^{2}\right)$ in the Fourier domain. But I was asking the decay of the Fourier coefficients of the Gaussian on the finite interval $[-1 / 2,1 / 2$ ). So, the boundary effects at $x= \pm 1 / 2$
becomes more prominent compared to the smoothness. The Fourier coefficient magnitudes follow more like $\exp \left(-2 \pi \sigma^{2} \xi^{2}\right)$ in the low frequency region. But then, the boundary effects start dominating. This fact was obscured if you use the wrong normalization $a$.
5) It seems that the decay of the Fourier coefficients of the Gaussian functions are faster than that of the polynomials such as $a x$ or $a x^{2}$, which is the case in the low frequency region. However, the periodized Gaussian over the interval $[-1 / 2,1 / 2)$ is not a $C^{\infty}$ function. It's simply a continuous function, not even $C^{1}$ function because the derivatives do not match at the boundary. Of course this derivative mismatch is less pronounced for small values of $\sigma$ or extremely large $\sigma$. Hence, in the finite length DFT, the quadratic polynomial behaves similarly to the Gaussian with appropriate value of $\sigma$ for the higher frequency part. Thus, the decay of the Fourier coefficients of $a x^{2}$ is slower than those of the Gaussian, but the decay curve in the high frequency range looks similar to that of the Gaussian with $\sigma=1$.
6) The Fourier transform of the Gaussian in this case is the following using Problem 3 of HW \#1:

$$
\mathscr{F}\left\{a \mathrm{e}^{-x^{2} /\left(2 \sigma^{2}\right)}\right\}=\mathscr{F}\{a \sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma g(x ; \sigma)\}=a \sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x ; \sigma) \mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi \mathrm{i} \xi x} \mathrm{~d} x=a \sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma \mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi^{2} \sigma^{2} \xi^{2}} .
$$

On the other hand, using the MATLAB $f f t$ function, we can only approximate the Fourier coefficients of the periodized Gaussian (or mutilated Gaussian) on $[-1 / 2,1 / 2$ ):

$$
c_{k}=\int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} g(x ; \sigma) \mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi \mathrm{i} k x} \mathrm{~d} x .
$$

Actual output is even different from this $c_{k}$ due to the error by the trapezoidal rule used to obtain FFT/DFT. Therefore, there are two errors involved here: 1) Truncation of the interval; and 2) error due to the trapezoidal rule. For more details, I strongly recommend to read [1, Chap. 6].

Problem 6: (a) Please read the problem carefully. $D_{N} \boldsymbol{f}$ represents the FFT operation in MATLAB fft (f). Hence,

$$
D_{N}=\sqrt{N} W_{N}^{*}
$$

Note that $W_{N}^{*} \boldsymbol{f}$ is the unitary (i.e., normalized) version of the DFT.
(b), (d) If you follow my lecture07.pdf, you should be able to get the solution easily as follows:

$$
\widetilde{W}_{N}^{*} \boldsymbol{f}=T_{N}^{*} S_{N} D_{N} S_{N} T_{N} \boldsymbol{f} / \sqrt{N}
$$

Note that $T_{N}^{*}=T_{N}^{\top}=T_{N}^{-1}$ whereas $S_{N}^{*}=S_{N}^{\top}=S_{N}^{-1}=S_{N}$.
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