Soshnikov Faculty 235B 1

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA - DAVIS Student Evaluation of Teaching

WINTER 2010

										(060)			
Enrollment 12 % responding 83%	excellent		very good		good		fair		poor				
	5	%	4	%	3	%	2	%	1	%	x	SD	N
Instructor's knowledge and command of subject matter	9	90%	1	10%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.9	0.3	10
Clarity of course objectives and organization	5	50%	2 3 1 3	30%	2	20%	0	0%	0	0%	4.3	0.8	10
3. Effectiveness of style and methods of class presentations	3	30%	6	60%	1	10%	0	0%	0	0%	4.2	0.6	10
4. Instructor's openness to discussion and ability to stimulate it	8	80%	0	0%	2	20%	o	0%	0	0%	4.6	0.8	10
5. Instructor's availability for consultation	7	70%	1	10%	2	20%	0	0%	0	0%	4.5	0.8	10
Relevance and educational value of the required readings	6	60%	3	30%	1	10%	0	0%	0	0%	4.5	0.7	10
7. Instructional value of course assignments	5	50%	4	40%	1	10%	0	0%	0	0%	4.4	0.7	10
8. Instructional value of examinations	6	60%	3	30%	1	10%	0	0%	0	0%	4.5	0.7	10
9. Fairness and impartiality of grading	8	80%	1	10%	0	0%	1	10%	0	0%	4.6	1.0	10
10. Overall evaluation of the instructor's teaching	8	80%	2	20%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.8	0.4	10
11. Overall evaluation of the quality of this course	7	70%	3	30%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.7	0.5	10
12.													
13.													
14.													
15.						;							
16.										,78tg N			
<u> </u>		<u>, wilitz</u>	Jan 1971			<u> </u>	No. 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	<u>:</u>			1004		12.5
18.		i a				en yak Sangaran							
19.		<u> </u>		<u></u>							And only		
20.													