

Symmetric Matrices & SVD <http://math.ucdavis.edu/class-acdc/> ©
e-vals / e-vectors put in CRN for class 50106

(λ, x) e-pair for A iff $Ax = \lambda x$

(20)

- Only makes sense for square matrices
- λ eval iff $\det |A - \lambda I| = 0$.
- $\lambda = 0 \Rightarrow v \in \text{Ker}(A)$. Thus A^{-1} exists iff A has no zero eigenvalue
- If A^{-1} exists, then A^{-1}

I.e.: $Ax = \lambda x \Rightarrow A^{-1}Ax = \lambda A^{-1}x \quad A^{-1}x = \frac{1}{\lambda}x$

- A $m \times n$ evals make sense only when $m=n$

$A^T A$ & AA^T both symmetric square matrices
 $\underbrace{n \times m \quad m \times n}_{n \times n} \quad \underbrace{m \times n \quad n \times m}_{m \times m}$
of different sizes but...

They both have the same nonzero evals

$$\underbrace{A^T A}_{n \times n} x = \lambda x \quad \Rightarrow \quad \underbrace{A A^T A}_{m \times n} x = \lambda \underbrace{A x}_{m \times 1} \quad \Rightarrow \quad A A^T (Ax) = \lambda (Ax)$$

So $\lambda \neq 0$ eval of $A^T A \Rightarrow Ax \neq 0 \Rightarrow \lambda$ eval of AA^T
Same for $AA^T x = \lambda x$

In fact we have:

(00)

(λ, x) e-pair for $A^T A$ & $\lambda \neq 0 \Rightarrow (\lambda, Ax)$ e-pr for AA^T

Cor: The nonzero e-spaces of $A^T A$ & AA^T have same dimension

P.f. $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ basis for λ -eigenspace of $A^T A$

$\Rightarrow \{Ax_1, \dots, Ax_k\}$ are in λ -eigenspace of AA^T

$$\& c_1 Ax_1 + \dots + c_k Ax_k = c_1 \lambda x_1 + \dots + c_k \lambda x_k = 0$$

iff $c_1 x_1 + \dots + c_k x_k = 0$ so $\{Ax_1, \dots, Ax_k\}$ indpt.

Thus: $\dim \lambda$ -eigenspace of $A^T A \leq \dim \lambda$ -eigenspace of AA^T

Now if A^T in place of A & it goes other way!

①

- Let $\langle x, y \rangle = x \cdot y = x^T \cdot y$
- $\uparrow$ $\uparrow$
dot matrix

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } A^T = A \text{ iff } x^T A y &= (x^T A y)^T \\ &= (y^T A^T x) \\ &= y^T A x \end{aligned}$$

or said differently: $x \cdot (Ay) = y \cdot (Ax)$

or said differently: $\boxed{\langle x, Ay \rangle = \langle Ax, y \rangle}$

"A symmetric iff we can move A across inner product $\langle, \rangle$ "

(2)

Thm ①: If A is symmetric, then A has real eigenvalues:

Proof: For complex vectors $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n$, define complex inner product

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \bar{x} \cdot y \quad \bar{x} = \text{complex conj of } x$$

Ex: $\overline{a+ib} = a-ib$, $x = \begin{pmatrix} a_1+ib_1 \\ a_2+ib_2 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \bar{x} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1-ib_1 \\ a_2-ib_2 \end{pmatrix}$

etc. Then $(a+ib)(a-ib) = a^2+b^2$ real & positive

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} a_1+ib_1 \\ a_2+ib_2 \end{pmatrix}, y = \begin{pmatrix} c_1+id_1 \\ c_2+id_2 \end{pmatrix}, \bar{x} \cdot y = (a_1-ib_1)(c_1+id_1) + (a_2-ib_2)(c_2+id_2)$$

*The bar in $\bar{x} \cdot y$ is required so that

$|x| = \bar{x} \cdot x$ is real & positive *

Then: A real & symm $\Rightarrow \langle Ax, y \rangle = \langle x, Ay \rangle$
in the complex sense also: $(\overline{Ax}) \cdot y = \bar{x} \cdot Ay$
since $\overline{Ax} \cdot y = \bar{x} \cdot Ay$ ✓

Now we show evals of A are real: ③

$$Ax = \lambda x \text{ (complex sense)} \Rightarrow$$

$$\langle Ax, x \rangle = \langle x, Ax \rangle$$

$$\langle \lambda x, x \rangle = \langle x, \lambda x \rangle$$

$$(\bar{\lambda} \bar{x}) \cdot x = \bar{x} \cdot (\lambda x)$$

$$\bar{\lambda} \cancel{|x|^2} = \lambda \cancel{|x|^2}$$

$$\bar{\lambda} = \lambda \text{ iff } \lambda \text{ is real} \checkmark$$

(4)

Thm ②: A real & symmetric $\Rightarrow A$ has
an orthon. basis of eigenvectors

Proof: ① Eigenvectors associated with
different eigenspaces are $\perp$; i.e.

$$Ax_1 = \lambda_1 x_1 \quad \& \quad Ax_2 = \lambda_2 x_2 \Rightarrow$$

$$\langle x_1, Ax_2 \rangle = \langle Ax_1, x_2 \rangle$$

$$\langle x_1, \lambda_2 x_2 \rangle = \langle \lambda_1 x_1, x_2 \rangle$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \lambda_2 (x_1 \cdot x_2) & = & \lambda_1 (x_1 \cdot x_2) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \text{real} & & \text{dot prod} & & \text{dot prod} \end{array}$$

Since $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$, the only way this can hold
is if $x_1 \cdot x_2 = 0$ ✓

Thus: if $\exists$ n distinct evals of $A = A^T$, then $\exists$
an basis of n -vectors

② If not all the evals of A are distinct, ^⑤
then still the evectors of A can be
completed to an or. basis (Sylvester's Lemma)
(we skip this pt) §5.6

Cor: $A = A^T \Rightarrow \exists$ matrix S st

$$S^{-1}AS = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}, \lambda_i \text{ real}$$

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} | & & | \\ x_1 & \cdots & x_n \\ | & & | \end{bmatrix} \quad \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \text{ or. basis.}$$

Since S is orthogonal, $S^{-1} = S^T \Rightarrow$

$$S^TAS = \Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}$$

* This is the single most important result of
the class *

(58)

Cor: $A_{m \times n} \Rightarrow A^T A$ & $A A^T$ both have real evals
& on basis of eigenvectors.

We also know they have some nonzero e-val.

Thm: A nonzero evals of $A^T A$ & $A A^T$ are positive.
(We say $A^T A$ & $A A^T$ positive semi-definite)

Proof (*) $A^T A = V^{-1} D V$ $V = \begin{bmatrix} | & & | \\ v_1 & \dots & v_n \\ | & & | \end{bmatrix}$

$\{v_i\}$ on. basis of eigenvectors

V orthogonal $\Rightarrow V^{-1} = V^T$ & $V = \begin{bmatrix} -r_1- \\ \vdots \\ -r_n- \end{bmatrix}$ o.n.

$\bullet V r_i = \begin{bmatrix} -r_1- \\ \vdots \\ -r_n- \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} | \\ r_i \\ | \end{bmatrix} = e_i$

(*) $\Rightarrow v_i^T A^T A v_i = \underbrace{v_i^T V^{-1}}_{e_i^T} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \sigma_n \end{bmatrix} \underbrace{V v_i}_{e^T} = \sigma_i$

$\uparrow$
 $\|A v\|^2 \geq 0 \checkmark$

Q We have: SVD Cont from (20)

• Thm: $A^T = A \Rightarrow$ real evals & on. basis of e-vecs.

In particular - $A = V D V^T$ $D = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$

• $A^T A$ & $A A^T$ symmetric $\Rightarrow V = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{v}_1 & \dots & \vec{v}_n \end{bmatrix}$ on basis

• $A A^T = U D_1 U^T$ $A^T A = V D_2 V^T$
 $m \times n$ $n \times m$ $m \times m$ $m \times m$ $n \times n$ $n \times n$ $n \times n$

$U = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{u}_1 & \dots & \vec{u}_m \end{bmatrix}$, $V = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{v}_1 & \dots & \vec{v}_n \end{bmatrix}$ on. bases

• (λ, v) ^{nonzero} e-pair of $A^T A \Rightarrow (\lambda, Av)$ e-pair of $A A^T$

& same nonzero evals & same dimension of

e-spaces

$$\Rightarrow D_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \lambda_r & \\ & & & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{m \times m} \quad D_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \lambda_r & \\ & & & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{n \times n}$$

Same evals - could be repeated if e-space has $\dim > 1$.

• Thm: $\lambda_i = \sigma_i^2 > 0$ (nonzero evals of $A^T A$) ^②
 (8 $A A^T$ are positive)

(Return to this) order so $\sigma_1^2 \geq \sigma_2^2 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_r^2 \geq 0$

• Now assume $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ on basis of e-vecs

$$\|A v_i\|^2 = \langle A v_i, A v_i \rangle = v_i^T \underbrace{A^T A v_i}_{\sigma_i^2 v_i} = \sigma_i^2$$

• $\boxed{u_i = \frac{1}{\sigma_i} A v_i}$ is correspondingly evect of $A A^T$

Check: $\langle u_i, u_j \rangle = 0$ if $\sigma_i^2 \neq \sigma_j^2$.

$$\sigma_i^2 = \sigma_j^2 \Rightarrow \langle u_i, u_j \rangle = \left\langle \frac{1}{\sigma} A v_i, \frac{1}{\sigma} A v_j \right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \langle A v_i, A v_j \rangle = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} v_i^T A^T A v_j$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \cdot \sigma^2 v_i^T v_j = 0 \quad v_i \perp v_j$$

• (*) define on basis of u 's in terms of v 's.

② Thm (SVD)

③

$$A_{m \times n} = \begin{bmatrix} | & & | \\ u_1 & \dots & u_m \\ | & & | \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & \sigma_{r_0} & \ddots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} - & v_1 & - \\ & \vdots & \\ - & v_n & - \end{bmatrix}$$

Check on basis —

$$\boxed{A v_j = \sigma_j u_j} \quad (\text{construction of } u_j \text{ from } v_j\text{'s})$$

$$U \Sigma V^T v_j = U \sigma_j e_j = \sigma_j u_j \quad \checkmark$$

Both agree on basis, so both are
equal —

Thm: $A^T A$ & $A A^T$ are both pos semi-def
with the same nonzero evals $\sigma_1^2 \geq \sigma_2^2 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_r^2$

To prove this we need:

Lemma: $A_{n \times n}$ symmetric. Then $[A$ is pos
semi-def] iff
evals $\lambda_i \geq 0$

$$v^T A v \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Pf. ($\Rightarrow$) If evals of A satis $\lambda_i \geq 0$, then

$$A = S D S^T \quad D = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} \quad \lambda_i \geq 0$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} v^T A v &= (v^T S) D (S^T v) = (S^T v)^T D (S^T v) \\ &= w^T D w = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^2 \lambda_i \geq 0 \quad \checkmark \quad \underbrace{w = (w_1, \dots, w_n)} \end{aligned}$$

Note: The SVD displays all of the fundamental vector spaces associated with A : $u_i = \frac{1}{\sigma_i} A v_i$

$$A_{m \times n} = \begin{bmatrix} | & & | \\ u_1 & \cdots & u_m \\ | & & | \end{bmatrix}^U \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & \sigma_r & 0 \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}^\Sigma \begin{bmatrix} -v_1- \\ \vdots \\ -v_n- \end{bmatrix}^{V^T}$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} | & & | & & | \\ u_1 & \cdots & u_r & u_{r+1} & \cdots & u_m \\ | & & | & & | \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & & & & 0 \\ & \ddots & & & \\ 0 & \sigma_r & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ 0 & & & 0 & \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -v_1- \\ \vdots \\ -v_r- \\ -v_{r+1}- \\ \vdots \\ -v_n- \end{bmatrix}$$

$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{Row}(A) \\ \oplus \\ \text{Ker}(A) \\ \text{"} \\ \text{Row}(A)^\perp \end{array} \right\}$

$$= \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} | & & | & & | \\ u_1 & \cdots & u_r & u_{r+1} & \cdots & u_m \\ | & & | & & | \end{bmatrix}}_{\text{Col}(A) \oplus \text{Ker}(A^T)} \begin{bmatrix} -\sigma_1 v_1- \\ \vdots \\ -\sigma_r v_r- \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \left. \vphantom{\begin{bmatrix} | & & | & & | \\ u_1 & \cdots & u_r & u_{r+1} & \cdots & u_m \\ | & & | & & | \end{bmatrix}} \right\} \text{Row}(A)$$

"
 $\text{Col}(A)^\perp$

$$\text{I.e. } A x = \begin{bmatrix} -r_1- \\ \vdots \\ -r_m- \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Row}(A) \subset \text{Ker}(A)^\perp$$

$$U \Sigma V^T v_j = U \sigma_j e_j = \sigma_j u_j$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i (u_i v_i^T) v_j = \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i \begin{pmatrix} u_i \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} (-v_i -) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ v_i \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i \begin{pmatrix} u_i \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} v_i \cdot v_j = \sigma_j v_j$$