

Brief Survey of Logic —

- A set is a collection of objects

\mathbb{N} = set of natural numbers

= $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ set given as a list

\mathbb{Z} = set of integers

= $\{\dots -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$

\mathbb{R} = set of real #'s (too many to list)

\mathbb{Q} = set of rational #'s

$$\mathbb{Q} = \left\{ \frac{p}{q} \mid p, q \in \mathbb{Z}, q \neq 0 \right\}$$

↑
such that

In general, a set is defined by:

$$\mathbb{X} = \{x \in \mathcal{S} : P(x) \text{ is true}\}$$

read " \mathbb{X} is the set of all x in \mathcal{S} such that $P(x)$ is true"

Eg $\Sigma = \{ p \in \mathbb{N} : \neg (\frac{p}{2} \in \mathbb{N}) \}$

" Σ equals the set of all p in \mathbb{N} such that it is not the case that $\frac{p}{2} \in \mathbb{N}$ "

Σ = set of odd natural numbers.

• Defn: A proposition is a ^{statement} sentence that is either T or F

• Compound Propositions are formed using logical connectives —

$\neg P$ or $\sim P$ means not P

$P \wedge Q$ means P and Q

$P \vee Q$ means P or Q

$P \implies Q$ means P implies Q \Leftrightarrow if P then Q

$P \iff Q$ means $P \implies Q$ and $Q \implies P$.

The meaning of these statements is given by truth tables: (3)

Defn A truth table for a statement involving P & Q tells the T or F of the statement as a function of the T or F of P & Q

Eg:

P	Q	$\neg P$	$P \wedge Q$	$P \vee Q$	$P \Rightarrow Q$	$P \text{ iff } Q$
T	T	F	T	T	T	T
F	T	T	F	T	T	F
T	F	F	F	T	F	F
F	F	T	F	F	F	T

• Truth table can be taken as the defn of $\neg, \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \text{iff}$

• Defn: two propositions are equivalent if they have same truth table

Ex: Show $P \Rightarrow Q$ and $\neg Q \Rightarrow \neg P$ are equivalent.

	P	Q	$P \Rightarrow Q$	$\neg Q \Rightarrow \neg P$
P.T.	T	T	T	T
	F	T	T	T
	T	F	F	F
	F	F	T	T

Defn: $\neg Q \Rightarrow \neg P$ is the contrapositive of $P \Rightarrow Q$

Defn: The converse of $P \Rightarrow Q$ is $Q \Rightarrow P$, different truth table.

Defn: tautology is always true $P \vee \neg P$
contradiction is always false $P \wedge \neg P$

Defn: \Leftrightarrow is called the bi-conditional.

From truth table, it is clear that $P \Leftrightarrow Q$

is equivalent to $(P \Rightarrow Q) \wedge (Q \Rightarrow P)$

$\&$ for truth asks that ~~means~~ P & Q are true or false together

◆ Axiomatic Method - Assume that

a set of propositions are true.

Find all true statements that follow

from there by logic

A Proof of P from A_1, \dots, A_n

is a justification that P must be

true if we assume A_1, \dots, A_n are true.

Said Differently: A proof of P is

a demonstration that P follows from

The subject of mathematical logic attempts to systematize the rules of proof: ⑥

◇ Examples of valid proofs —

① modus ponens rule:

Given P and $P \Rightarrow Q$ are true, you may deduce Q is true

"IF $\underbrace{x \in \mathbb{N} \text{ is even}}_{P(x)}$ then $\underbrace{\frac{x}{2} \in \mathbb{N}}_{Q(x)}$ "

If we know $P(x) \Rightarrow Q(x)$ is true $\forall x$

& we know $P(12)$ is true, then $Q(12)$ must be true.

② To prove $P \Rightarrow Q$

If you assume P true, and deduce Q using correct logic from known true statements, then you know $P \Rightarrow Q$ true

③ To prove $P \Rightarrow Q$ by contraposition

If you assume $\neg Q$ true & deduce $\neg P$, then you know $P \Rightarrow Q$ true

(ie. $\neg Q \Rightarrow \neg P$ is equiv to $P \Rightarrow Q$)

④ Proof by contradiction

If you assume $\neg P$ & deduce $\neg P \wedge P$, then P must be true (This is based on the law of non-contradiction)

8

⑤ To prove $P \iff Q$ (P iff Q)

Prove $P \Rightarrow Q$ and prove $Q \Rightarrow P$.

◊ Quantifiers : \forall = for every
 \exists = there exist

• Defn: an open sentence or predicate
is one that contains a variable

Eg: $P(x) \equiv$ "x is an element of \mathbb{N} "
 $\equiv x \in \mathbb{N}$ (or " $x^2 = 2$ ")

The truth set of $P(x)$ is the set of all
 x that make $P(x)$ true.

The universe of discourse is the set that

Eg: The truth set of

⑨

$$P(x) \quad "(x-1)(x+1)(x^2-2) = 0"$$

over \mathbb{N} truth set $\{x \in \mathbb{N} : P(x)\} = \{1\}$

over \mathbb{Z} truth set $\{x \in \mathbb{Z} : P(x)\} = \{1, -1\}$

over \mathbb{R} truth set $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : P(x)\} = \{1, -1, \pm\sqrt{2}\}$

• Defn: two statements $P(x), Q(x)$ are equivalent ^{over U} if their truth sets are equal over U . Two quantified statements are equivalent if their truth value is the same in every universe

⑩
◇ Universal/Existential statements —

$(\exists x)P(x)$ is true if truth set of $P(x)$ is non empty

$(\forall x)P(x)$ is true if truth set of $P(x)$ is \cup

• Negating \forall/\exists statements:

$$\neg (\exists x)P(x) \equiv \forall x(\neg P(x))$$

$$\neg (\forall x)P(x) \equiv \exists x(\neg P(x))$$

Proof Strategies for $\forall \exists$ statement (11)

① To prove $(\forall x) P(x)$ directly

Let $x_0 \in U$ be any fixed element of U .

Prove $P(x_0)$ is true

② To prove $(\exists x) P(x)$ directly

Find $x_0 \in U$ st $P(x_0)$ is true

③ To Prove $(\forall x) P(x)$ by contradiction

Assume $\neg (\forall x) P(x) \equiv \exists x (\neg P(x))$

Assume $\neg P(x_0)$ is true for some x_0

and derive a contradiction

~~④ To prove $(\exists x) P(x)$ by contradiction~~

~~Assume~~

④ To prove $(\exists x)P(x)$ by contradiction: ⑫

Assume $\neg(\exists x P(x)) \equiv \forall x(\neg P(x))$

and show $\neg P(x_0)$ leads to a ~~⊥~~

• Negating \forall, \exists statements:

$$\neg(\exists x)P \equiv (\forall x)(\neg P)$$

$$\neg(\forall x)P \equiv (\exists x)(\neg P)$$

equiv statements

Ex: Prove the following statement by contradiction:

For every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an $N > 0$ such that $\epsilon \cdot N > 1$.

Universe: $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+, N \in \mathbb{N}$

$(\forall \epsilon)(\exists N) P(\epsilon, N)$

$P(\epsilon, N) = "\epsilon \cdot N > 1"$

So: we assume the negation, and derive a contradiction:

$$\neg(\forall \epsilon)(\exists N) P(\epsilon, N)$$

$$\equiv (\exists \epsilon) \neg(\exists N) P(\epsilon, N)$$

$$\equiv (\exists \epsilon) \forall N \neg P(\epsilon, N)$$

\equiv there exists ϵ such that for every N

$$\underbrace{\neg(\epsilon N > 1)}_{\epsilon N \leq 1}$$

Assuming this, let ϵ_0 be that ϵ . Then

$$\epsilon_0 N \leq 1 \text{ for all } N \text{ means } N \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon_0}$$

But all N but N is an unbounded set

• Ex $(\exists! x) P(x)$

"There exists a unique x st $P(x)$ "

means the truth set of $P(x)$ consists of a single x .

Proof strategy:

① Find x_1 such that $P(x_1)$ true

② Assume x_2 is any other st $P(x_2)$ true

③ Prove: $x_1 = x_2$.